The #1 Rated Football Handicapping Guide!

statfox edge

WWW.STATFOX.COM

VOLUME 10
NUMBER 3

Top Weekly Head-to-Head Trends



ANDREW LUCK, STANFORD

AARON RODGERS, GREEN BAY

THIS IS A COMPLIMENTARY ISSUE OF STATFOX EDGE

SENT TO YOU COURTESY OF: BETDSI.EU

ALSO GET A FREE STATFOX COLLEGE BOWL BETTING GUIDE THIS FALL WHEN YOU SET UP AN ACCOUNT* WITH BETDSI.COM BEFORE 12/31/11! YOUR FIRST DEPOSIT GETS YOU 111% IN BONUSES.

*RESTRICTIONS APPLY

MUST USE THE CODE "STATFOX EDGE" TO RECEIVE THIS OFFER. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT BETDSI.COM OR CALL 1-866-905-0036

EXCLUSIVE STATFOX.COM POWER TRENDS!

Dear Sports Fan,

Fall is here, and to sports fans that means one thing, football. Experience all the excitement of the upcoming 2011 NFL season with BetDSI.eu We promise to deliver the ultimate football experience, with thousands of football betting options, including player props, quarter lines, live in-game betting, free contests and much more. There is no better place to bet on football!

Discover the rewards of playing at BetDSI.eu with our Diamond Rewards Loyalty Program. Earn BetPoints on every wager and exchange them for great rewards like cash back, air miles, gift cards, custom Diamond gear, and much more. No other gaming site offers its members more rewards!

This football season, enjoy our new iPhone site which allows you to bet sports, check open bets, check account history and more, directly on your iPhone browser - with no download!

Plus, BetDsi.eu offers even more betting excitement, including casino, poker and racebook action, all with the same betting account.

If you haven't already joined BetDSI.eu, do it now. We're offering the biggest and best perks in the industry, including an unbeatable 100% deposit offer to get you started.

Call or click today. We look forward to serving you.



Best of Luck,

John Lester
VIP Host Manager
BetDSI.eu
1-877-226- 2120

BetDSI
It's Only A Game. Until You Bet It

FEATURES

THE POWER OF THE BYE 4
Boost your bankroll with trends pertaining to the NFL's open dates

PRO FOOTBALL PREVIEW AND PREDICTIONS 8
Analysis of all 32 teams and, of course, our Super Bowl XLVI prediction

MONTHLY BREAKDOWN 56
How college teams have recently fared at various points in the season

COLLEGE FOOTBALL STABILITY HANDICAPPING CHART 60
An analysis of changes teams have made in key areas since 2010

COLLEGE FOOTBALL TOP 25 62
Alabama, Oklahoma, Oregon and Stanford all battle for top honors


TOP WEEKLY NFL HEAD-TO-HEAD TRENDS 144
Breaking down potent patterns that have developed between opponents

NFL DIVISION-BY-DIVISION PREVIEWS

AFC EAST: 1) New England, 2) NY Jets, 3) Buffalo, 4) Miami 10
AFC NORTH: 1) Baltimore, 2) Pittsburgh, 3) Cleveland, 4) Cincinnati 15
AFC SOUTH: 1) Indianapolis, 2) Jacksonville, 3) Houston, 4) Tennessee....20
AFC WEST: 1) Oakland, 2) San Diego, 3) Kansas City, 4) Denver 25
NFC EAST: 1) Philadelphia, 2) NY Giants, 3) Dallas, 4) Washington....30
NFC NORTH: 1) Green Bay, 2) Detroit, 3) Chicago, 4) Minnesota 35
NFC SOUTH: 1) Tampa Bay, 2) New Orleans, 3) Atlanta, 4) Carolina....40
NFC WEST: 1) St. Louis, 2) San Francisco, 3) Seattle, 4) Arizona....45

STATS AND SCHEDULES

Week-By-Week 2011 NFL Schedule....7
2010 Offensive NFL Team Stats....50
2010 Defensive NFL Team Stats....52
Team-By-Team 2011 NFL Schedule....54




DENARD ROBINSON

FBS CONFERENCE-BY-CONFERENCE PREVIEWS AND PREDICTIONS

Atlantic Coast Conference 64
Big 12 Conference 72
Big East Conference....79
Big Ten Conference....84
Conference USA....92
Mid-American Conference 100
Mountain West Conference ..109
Pac-12 Conference 114
Southeastern Conference 122
Sun Belt Conference 130
Western Athletic Conference ..136
Independents....141

> NFL TEAM-BY-TEAM HANDICAPPING ANALYSIS



JAY CUTLER

Buffalo Bills....11
Miami Dolphins....12
New England Patriots....13
New York Jets....14
Baltimore Ravens....16
Cincinnati Bengals....17
Cleveland Browns....18
Pittsburgh Steelers....19
Houston Texans....21
Indianapolis Colts....22
Jacksonville Jaguars....23
Tennessee Titans....24
Denver Broncos....26
Kansas City Chiefs....27
Oakland Raiders....28
San Diego Chargers....29
Dallas Cowboys....31
New York Giants....32
Philadelphia Eagles....33
Washington Redskins....34
Chicago Bears....36
Detroit Lions....37
Green Bay Packers....38
Minnesota Vikings....39
Atlanta Falcons....41
Carolina Panthers....42
New Orleans Saints....43
Tampa Bay Buccaneers....44
Arizona Cardinals....46
St. Louis Rams....47
San Francisco 49ers....48
Seattle Seahawks....49

> LETTER FROM THE EDITOR

This is one football annual that will get plenty of use during the season and is something every football handicapper should own. Easy for me to say, right? Although I obviously agree 100 percent with that sentiment, they're not my words; they're words taken from a review of this annual publication at About.com.

If you've been with us in the past, you may have already noticed that we've revamped the look of this year's edition in an effort to make it more reader-friendly. In short, we've designed the publication to be a handy resource to which you can constantly refer throughout the 2011 college and pro football seasons. What hasn't changed is the substance, as we're back again this year with all of the popular insight, information, analysis and statistics to which readers have become accustomed. Our capsules for all 152 teams—32 pro and 120 college—include everything from past years' game logs and situational records to the upcoming season's Outplay Factor and Power Ratings, both of which are generated by proprietary StatFox.com formulas and have proven to be great long-term handicapping tools.

Also back by popular demand is our College Football Stability Handicapping Chart (page 60), something that began as a simple research article in 2005 and has since grown into a yearly phenomenon, letting readers dissect which teams are most and least "stable" heading into the new season. And for the fourth straight season, we've put together a week-by-week breakdown of the most potent head-to-head patterns that have developed over the years between NFL opponents (page 144).

Among the additions we've made to this year's edition is a three-page article that breaks down the most powerful trends as they pertain to NFL bye weeks (page 4). If you've never studied teams' performances in and out of the bye week, what you'll see here should open your eyes. Hopefully, it will expand your bankroll as well, as all 30 of these different powerful ATS or Over/Under trends boast winning percentages of at least 67 percent or at most 33 percent. Then there's the write-up and chart we provide starting on page 56 that breaks down how all 120 FBS teams have fared in each month of the college football season dating back to 2007. It'll have you lamenting—like we do—the loss of former Michigan head coach Rich Rodriguez from the coaching ranks. ("Captain Fade" as we not-so-affectionately referred to him.)

While we're confident that this publication will provide you with a solid head-start to your 2011 football handicapping season, our efforts certainly don't stop here. Our team of writers spends countless hours during the fall and winter breaking down stats, trends and inside information to offer up keen betting insight all season long at StatFox.com. Our world-renowned FoxSheets take the analysis another few steps further, offering up remarkably valuable information for every college and pro game. Two FoxSheets features you'll want to be sure you check out are Situational Team Power Trends, which uncover situations where a team outperforms or underperforms their normal level of play; and Super Situations, which uncover conditions and patterns where teams have consistently outperformed or underperformed their normal levels. The Super Situations are not team specific—the principle is that teams fall into patterns regardless of personnel (i.e. good passing teams, teams seeking revenge, cold teams on a losing streak, etc.)—and their remarkable success rate over the years speaks for itself. Another way to get access to this valuable information is by ordering our weekly *Platinum Sheet* publication. You can do so by calling 1-877-514-4220 or logging on to www.StatFox.com/store.

As you go through this issue and check out our offerings at StatFox.com, you'll notice that almost every bit of information in this magazine and at StatFox.com is dedicated to *handicapping* football. We always urge our readers to avoid confusing themselves by handicapping football with other publications or Web sites that focus on fantasy sports or take a more mainstream view of the sport. They simply won't have what it takes to help you succeed as a football bettor. With that in mind, we'll proudly put the StatFox Edge print products and StatFox.com head-to-head against any competition when it comes to making you a more knowledgeable and profitable handicapper.

Getting back to the About.com review I reference at the top of this page, it concluded by stating: "As one who recommends the StatFox.com Web site to plenty of bettors on a regular basis, I'll admit to having some high expectations for this annual. But after reading through the annual for a few days it's safe to say that StatFox not only met my expectations, but exceeded them quite well."

I could not have said it any better myself.

Have a profitable season,



Scott Gramling
Managing Editor

statfox

2011 EDGE FOOTBALL PREVIEW GUIDE

Managing Editor Scott Gramling

Creative Director Ian Knowles

Executive Editor Jeff Makinen

Senior Editors Vic Denicola
Jared Tropp

Art Director Anthony Scerri

Systems Analyst John Mitchell

Contributing Writers Gary Bennett
Brian Graham
Tim Stephens

Editorial Direction 10Ten Media

SPORTS ENTHUSIAST MEDIA
(a division of Daily Racing Form, LLC)

President, SIG Digital Todd Unger

Programming Manager Jacob Luft

Director of Business Development Michael Zapin
(212) 366-7674

Circulation Director Harry McAlpin

VP of Production Tom Travis

Art Director Chris Donofry

ADVERTISING
Email David Bartman:
dbartman@statfox.com

PUBLISHING OFFICES
Daily Racing Form, LLC
708 Third Avenue • 12th Floor
New York, NY 10017

DAILY RACING FORM, LLC
President
Jim Kostas

Vice President, Custom Publishing
Duke Dosik

The 2011 StatFox Edge Football Preview Guide is published annually by Daily Racing Form LLC, located at 708 Third Avenue, 12th Floor, New York, NY 10017.

© 2011 by Daily Racing Form, LLC. All rights reserved. Printed in USA. Printed by Expedi Printing, Inc., 1300 Metropolitan Avenue, Brooklyn, NY 11237. Daily Racing Form, LLC reserves the right to accept or deny any paid advertisements and is not responsible for any contracts entered in with such advertisers.


THIS PUBLICATION IS NOT SPONSORED OR AUTHORIZED BY ANY ATHLETIC CONFERENCE NOR HOLDER OF ANY TRADEMARK OR SERVICE MARK. ALL TEAM NAMES AND LOGOS ARE TRADEMARKS OF THE TEAMS INDICATED. THIS PUBLICATION MAKES NO REPRESENTATION AS TO WHETHER ONLINE GAMING IS LEGAL IN YOUR JURISDICTION. PLEASE CHECK WITH YOUR LOCAL AUTHORITIES. ALL INFORMATION IS FOR NEWS MATTER ONLY.

PRINTED IN U.S.A.



statfox.com

Providing Unprecedented Handicapping Content



StatFox is renowned for providing the most comprehensive game information on the Internet. Our popular FoxSheets are packed with proprietary handicapping tools that benefit everyone from the beginning bettor to the most advanced self-handicapper.

LOG ON TO STATFOX.COM AND START WINNING TODAY!

THE POWER OF THE BYE

30 ways to boost your bankroll by using historical trends that pertain to the NFL's open dates

The NFL "open dates" start in Week 5 this season, and if you've been a regular visitor to StatFox.com in recent seasons, you probably count the days until we run our annual articles highlighting the top team trends for pre- and post-bye week games. Well, wait no more.

In what will undoubtedly be one of the most popular and widely read articles in this issue you hold in your hands, we have highlighted the 30 most powerful bye week angles for games between Weeks 4 and 10 on the NFL schedule. If you haven't ever studied teams' performances in and out of the bye week, what you'll see here should open your eyes. Hopefully it will expand your bankroll as well, as all of these powerful ATS or Over-Under trends boast winning percentages of at least 65 percent or at most 35 percent.

OCT. 2 – TENNESSEE AT CLEVELAND

CLEVELAND has been a solid bet over its last 11 pre-bye week games, going 7-3-1 ATS. The Browns turned in one of their most impressive performances in recent history going into last year's bye, winning outright and doing so handily against the defending Super Bowl Champions in New Orleans, 30-17, despite being an underdog by nearly two touchdowns.

PLAY ON: ***CLEVELAND ATS***

OCT. 2 – DETROIT AT DALLAS


DALLAS is on a run of 10-3 ATS over its last 13 pre-bye week games. The Cowboys stayed true to form last season with a convincing 27-13 win on the road against Houston despite being a slight underdog. It would turn out to be the team's only win over a dreadful first half of the 2010 season in which they went 1-7 both straight-up and against the spread.

PLAY ON: ***DALLAS ATS***

OCT. 2 – MIAMI AT SAN DIEGO

UNDERDOGS were 9-1 ATS in Miami's previous 10 pre-bye week games heading into last season. The Dolphins' 2010 pre-bye week game came against the Patriots in a Monday night matchup where the line was pick 'em, so the trend ended up not applying last season. For whatever it's worth, Miami got absolutely drubbed at home by their division rival in that Monday nighter, falling to the Patriots by a score of 41-14.

PLAY ON: ***UNDERDOG ATS***



Josh Cribbs' Browns are 7-3-1 ATS in their last 11 games prior to their bye; eight of Cleveland's last 11 games after the bye have gone over the total.

OCT. 2 – NEW YORK JETS AT BALTIMORE

UNDERDOGS have gone a perfect 6-0 against the spread in Baltimore's past half-dozen pre-bye week games. The game that made it six straight last season was the Ravens' narrow 37-34 overtime win over a Buffalo team that was still winless at the time and an underdog by nearly two touchdowns.

PLAY ON: ***UNDERDOG ATS***

OCT. 9 – ARIZONA AT MINNESOTA

OVER the total is 6-1-1 in Arizona's last eight games heading into the team's bye week. That high-scoring train kept rolling last year in the Cardinals' 30-20 home victory over the defending Super Bowl Champion Saints in a game where the total was 45½.

PLAY ON: ***OVER THE TOTAL***

OCT. 9 – SEATTLE AT NEW YORK GIANTS

HOME TEAMS have managed to win nine of the last 10 Seattle pre-bye week games, and those teams are 7-3 ATS over that span. The Seahawks' pre-bye week game last season was in St. Louis. The Rams were a slight underdog at home yet came away with a convincing 20-3 victory over their NFC West rival.

PLAY ON: ***NEW YORK GIANTS SU & ATS***

OCT. 9 - SAN DIEGO AT DENVER

OVER the total is 14-2 in San Diego's last 16 games heading into the team's bye week. Keeping that streak going last season was quite a challenge, being that the over/under was a whopping 50 points in the Chargers' pre-bye week game at Houston. The 29-23 San Diego victory was just enough to eclipse the number.

PLAY ON: ***OVER THE TOTAL***

OCT. 16 - INDIANAPOLIS AT CINCINNATI

ROAD TEAMS are 7-3 ATS in Cincinnati's pre-bye week games since 2001. The trend held true last year, as the Bengals lost at home to Tampa Bay, 24-21, despite being 6½-point favorite over the Buccaneers. Bettors who rode the wave last year have Carson Palmer to thank—the Bengals were ahead 21-14 and in position to close it out with 2:28 to go when Palmer tried to get the ball to Terrell Owens on a third-and-13 play from mid-field. Aqib Talib picked off the pass to set up the Bucs' tying touchdown about a minute later, then on the next drive Palmer was picked by Tampa Bay's Sabby Piscitelli, who returned it to the 34-yard line with 14 seconds to go. A 21-yard completion and 31-yard field goal sealed the deal.

PLAY ON: ***INDIANAPOLIS ATS***

OCT. 16 - PHILADELPHIA AT WASHINGTON

PHILADELPHIA has not done well in the game before the bye week, going 3-8-1 ATS in such situations since 1999. One of the Eagles' worst performances of the 2010 season came in the game prior to their bye, a 37-19 loss to the Titans. Philadelphia led 19-10 early in the fourth quarter before giving up 27 unanswered points to the Titans, who were sparked by Kenny Britt's 225 receiving yards, the most receiving yards ever given up by the Eagles.

PLAY ON: ***WASHINGTON ATS***

OCT. 16 - BUFFALO AT NEW YORK GIANTS

BUFFALO is still looking for its first pre-bye week win of the 21st century—the Bills haven't won a game heading into their bye week since 1999, and they're 2-9-1 ATS over that span. Oddsmakers expected Buffalo to buck that trend last season, making the Bills a 3-point home favorite over the Jaguars the week prior to their bye. Jacksonville, however, left Western New York with a 36-26 victory.

PLAY ON: ***NEW YORK GIANTS SU & ATS***

OCT. 16 - HOUSTON AT BALTIMORE

BALTIMORE is on a run of 8-1 ATS over its last nine post-bye week games. They stayed true to form last season with a convincing 26-10 win at home against a Miami team that won and covered all of its other 2010 road games except for the season finale in New England.

PLAY ON: ***BALTIMORE ATS***

OCT. 16 - CLEVELAND AT OAKLAND

OVER the total is 8-3 in Cleveland's last 11 games after the team's bye. The Browns kept their high-scoring post-bye ways going last year with a 34-14 win at home over the Patriots when the over/under was 43½.

PLAY ON: ***OVER THE TOTAL***

OCT. 23 - SEATTLE AT CLEVELAND

SEATTLE has won only three of its last 13 games when coming off a bye week, and the team is 2-11 against the spread over that span. The Seahawks did manage to buck that trend last season, beating the Bears 23-20 despite being a 6-point road underdog. One streak that did stay alive was the game going over the total for the 10th straight time in a Seattle post-bye week game.

PLAY ON: ***CLEVELAND SU & ATS, & OVER THE TOTAL***

OCT. 23 - ATLANTA AT DETROIT

ATLANTA is on a run of 10-2 ATS over its last 12 pre-bye week games. They stayed true to form last season with a 39-32 win at home against Cincinnati in a game in which they were a 3-point favorite. Falcons All-Pro receiver Roddy White had one of the best games of his career, racking up 201 yards on 11 receptions, which included a one-handed reception and a juggling grab while falling into the end zone.

PLAY ON: ***ATLANTA ATS***

OCT. 23 - CHICAGO AT TAMPA BAY

CHICAGO has struggled in the team's games prior to its bye week in recent seasons, going 2-8 ATS in such situations since 2001. Last year's pre-bye week performance was particularly abysmal, as the Bears were upset at home by Washington. Chicago turned the ball over six times, four of which were Jay Cutler passes picked off by DeAngelo Hall, who tied an NFL single-game record with the four interceptions.

PLAY ON: ***TAMPA BAY ATS***

OCT. 23 - PITTSBURGH AT ARIZONA

UNDER the total is 13-3 in Arizona's last 16 post-bye week games. The Cardinals put up only 10 points coming off their bye last season in a 22-10 loss to Seattle. The over/under in that game was 40½.

PLAY ON: ***UNDER THE TOTAL***

OCT. 23 - KANSAS CITY AT OAKLAND

OAKLAND is 8-3 ATS in its past 11 games prior to a bye week, and for the second straight season the Raiders will host the division rival Chiefs prior their bye. Entering last year's matchup having won and covered seven straight games against the Raiders in Oakland, the Chiefs were a slight favorite on the road. It was the Raiders who prevailed, however, 23-20 in overtime.

PLAY ON: ***OAKLAND ATS***

OCT. 30 - MINNESOTA AT CAROLINA

UNDERDOGS have covered all but one of the past 14 Carolina pre-bye week games. That includes last season, when the favored Panthers lost 23-6 at home to the Bears. It's kind of hard to believe that the lowly Panthers could've been favored over a team that would go on to host a conference championship game, but the Bears sent Todd Collins out under center with Jay Cutler having suffered a concussion. Chicago instead rode its ground game to victory, racking up 218 rushing yards, the most the Bears had since their 223 against Phoenix on Oct. 28, 1990.

PLAY ON: ***UNDERDOG ATS***

OCT. 30 - DETROIT AT DENVER

DETROIT could conceivably be a road favorite for the first time in eons when the Lions try to break a seven-game pre-bye week losing streak. Detroit had failed to cover the spread in six straight pre-bye contests before Jason Hanson booted a 50-yard field goal with less than three minutes to play in what ended up being a 28-20 loss last season to the Giants, who were a 10-point favorite.

PLAY ON: ***DENVER SU & ATS***

OCT. 30 - NEW ENGLAND AT PITTSBURGH

ROAD TEAMS are 10-1-1 ATS in New England's last 12 post-bye week games. That number looked as if it was going to go to 11-1 last season when the Ravens took a 10-point fourth quarter lead over the Patriots in Foxboro the week after New England's bye. But the Pats, who were a 3-point favorite, battled back to tie the score 20-20 on Stephen Gostkowski's 24-yard field goal with 1:51 left in the fourth quarter, then won the game with just 1:56 remaining in overtime.

PLAY ON: ***NEW ENGLAND ATS***

OCT. 30 - CINCINNATI AT SEATTLE

HOME TEAMS boast a 12-2 ATS record in Cincinnati's last 14 post-bye week games. The Bengals looked as if they were going to buck that trend last season when they scored 22 unanswered third-quarter points against the favored Falcons in Atlanta to take a lead going into the final quarter. The home team would once again prevail, however, as the 3-point favorites came away with a 39-32 victory.

PLAY ON: ***SEATTLE ATS***

OCT. 30 - DALLAS AT PHILADELPHIA

PHILADELPHIA is 8-2 ATS in its past 10 games coming off a bye, and UNDER the total has come in nine times in the Eagles' past 11 post-bye week games. Bettors who sided with those trends last season were left disappointed, however, as a touchdown run by the Colts' Javarris James with less than two minutes to go cut the final margin of Philadelphia's victory to 26-24. The Eagles had been a 3-point favorite in the game, and the touchdown also pushed the total over the game's 46½ number.

PLAY ON: ***PHILADELPHIA ATS & UNDER THE TOTAL***

NOV. 6 - NEW YORK JETS AT BUFFALO

NY JETS are 7-2 ATS in their last nine post-bye week games, and all but two of the Jets' last 15 post-bye week games have gone UNDER the total. Last year's matchup between the Jets and Packers went against the sides trend, but the total came in way under the 41½ number in Green Bay's 9-0 road victory. It was the lowest-scoring contest involving Gang Green since the Jets' 6-0 win over Pittsburgh on December 14, 2003.

PLAY ON: ***NEW YORK JETS ATS & UNDER THE TOTAL***

NOV. 6 - ATLANTA AT INDIANAPOLIS

UNDER the total is 10-2 in Atlanta's last 12 games after the team's bye, although the Falcons' post-bye week game last year did go over the 44½-point total in the team's 27-21 win over Tampa Bay.

PLAY ON: ***UNDER THE TOTAL***

NOV. 7 - CHICAGO AT PHILADELPHIA

CHICAGO is 2-6-1 in its past nine games after a bye. The one push among those contests came last year in Toronto, when as a three-point favorite the Bears beat the Bills, 22-19. It wasn't exactly an impressive performance, as Chicago trailed 19-14 before Earl Bennett's touchdown reception with 6:45 left in the fourth quarter. A two-point conversion pass to Matt Forte finished the scoring and allowed Chicago to match the point spread.

PLAY ON: ***PHILADELPHIA ATS***

NOV. 13 - PITTSBURGH AT CINCINNATI

ROAD TEAMS are 7-2 ATS in the last nine Pittsburgh pre-bye week games. The trend continued last year in Baltimore's 17-14 win in Pittsburgh with the then-undefeated Steelers having entered the game as a slight favorite. The Steelers defense stopped the Ravens on the ground, limiting them to a total of 70 yards, but Joe Flacco completed 24 of 37 passes for 256 yards and a touchdown. They also came up with two big turnovers, but weren't able to turn them into points as kicker Jeff Reed missed two field goals.

PLAY ON: ***PITTSBURGH ATS***

NOV. 13 - JACKSONVILLE AT INDIANAPOLIS

INDIANAPOLIS is 10-1-1 in its last 12 pre-bye week games, with the lone push among those coming in the Colts' 27-24 win at Washington as a three-point favorite last season. Indianapolis had looked as if it may run away in the Sunday night game, racking up 294 yards by halftime. They led only 17-7, however, in part because the usually reliable Adam Vinatieri missed two field goal attempts after an 8-for-8 start to the season. Washington never led and ultimately forced the back-door push with a touchdown with less than three minutes remaining.

PLAY ON: ***INDIANAPOLIS ATS***

NOV. 13 - HOUSTON AT TAMPA BAY

HOUSTON is 6-3 ATS in its last nine pre-bye week games. The Texans failed to cover by the slimmest of margins going into their bye last season, beating the Chiefs, 35-31, in a game in which they were favored by 4½ points.

PLAY ON: ***HOUSTON ATS***

NOV. 13 - TENNESSEE AT CAROLINA

FAVORITES are 7-2 straight up and 6-3 against the spread in Carolina's last nine post-bye week games, and UNDER the total is 6-2 in the Panthers' last eight games after their bye. Those trends failed to hold up last season when the 49ers, as a 1½-point favorite, blew a 20-13 lead they held inside of two minutes left in the game, and ended up losing to then-winless Carolina, 23-20. The game's over/under was 35½.

PLAY ON: ***OAKLAND ATS***

NOV. 14 - MINNESOTA AT GREEN BAY

MINNESOTA is on a 6-2-1 ATS run over its last nine post-bye week games, and OVER the total is 11-2 in the Vikings' last 13 games coming off a bye.

PLAY ON: ***MINNESOTA ATS & OVER THE TOTAL***

> 2011 WEEK-BY-WEEK NFL SCHEDULE

WEEK 1

THURSDAY, SEPT. 8

New Orleans at Green Bay	8:30 p.m.

SUNDAY, SEPT. 11

Atlanta at Chicago	1:00 p.m.
Cincinnati at Cleveland	1:00 p.m.
Buffalo at Kansas City	1:00 p.m.
Philadelphia at St. Louis	1:00 p.m.
Tennessee at Jacksonville	1:00 p.m.
Pittsburgh at Baltimore	1:00 p.m.
Indianapolis at Houston	1:00 p.m.
Detroit at Tampa Bay	1:00 p.m.
NY Giants at Washington	4:15 p.m.
Carolina at Arizona	4:15 p.m.
Minnesota at San Diego	4:15 p.m.
Seattle at San Francisco	4:15 p.m.
Dallas at NY Jets	8:20 p.m.

MONDAY, SEPT. 12

New England at Miami	7:00 p.m.
Oakland at Denver	10:15 p.m.

WEEK 2

SUNDAY, SEPT. 18

Kansas City at Detroit	1:00 p.m.
Baltimore at Tennessee	1:00 p.m.
Cleveland at Indianapolis	1:00 p.m.
Oakland at Buffalo	1:00 p.m.
Tampa Bay at Minnesota	1:00 p.m.
Chicago at New Orleans	1:00 p.m.
Jacksonville at NY Jets	1:00 p.m.
Seattle at Pittsburgh	1:00 p.m.
Arizona at Washington	1:00 p.m.
Green Bay at Carolina	1:00 p.m.
Dallas at San Francisco	4:05 p.m.
San Diego at New England	4:15 p.m.
Cincinnati at Denver	4:15 p.m.
Houston at Miami	4:15 p.m.
Philadelphia at Atlanta	8:20 p.m.

MONDAY, SEPT. 19

St. Louis at NY Giants	8:30 p.m.

WEEK 3

SUNDAY, SEPT. 25

New England at Buffalo	1:00 p.m.
San Francisco at Cincinnati	1:00 p.m.
Miami at Cleveland	1:00 p.m.
Denver at Tennessee	1:00 p.m.
Detroit at Minnesota	1:00 p.m.
Houston at New Orleans	1:00 p.m.
NY Giants at Philadelphia	1:00 p.m.
Jacksonville at Carolina	1:00 p.m.
Kansas City at San Diego	4:05 p.m.
NY Jets at Oakland	4:05 p.m.
Baltimore at St. Louis	4:05 p.m.
Arizona at Seattle	4:15 p.m.
Atlanta at Tampa Bay	4:15 p.m.
Green Bay at Chicago	4:15 p.m.
Pittsburgh at Indianapolis	8:20 p.m.

MONDAY, SEPT. 26

Washington at Dallas	8:30 p.m.

WEEK 4

SUNDAY, OCT. 2

Carolina at Chicago	1:00 p.m.
Buffalo at Cincinnati	1:00 p.m.
Tennessee at Cleveland	1:00 p.m.
Detroit at Dallas	1:00 p.m.
Washington at St. Louis	1:00 p.m.
San Francisco at Philadelphia	1:00 p.m.
Minnesota at Kansas City	1:00 p.m.
New Orleans at Jacksonville	1:00 p.m.
Pittsburgh at Houston	1:00 p.m.
NY Giants at Arizona	4:05 p.m.
Atlanta at Seattle	4:05 p.m.
Miami at San Diego	4:15 p.m.
Denver at Green Bay	4:15 p.m.
New England at Oakland	4:15 p.m.
NY Jets at Baltimore	8:20 p.m.

MONDAY, OCT. 3

Indianapolis at Tampa Bay	8:30 p.m.

WEEK 5

SUNDAY, OCT. 9

Philadelphia at Buffalo	1:00 p.m.
Kansas City at Indianapolis	1:00 p.m.
Arizona at Minnesota	1:00 p.m.
Seattle at NY Giants	1:00 p.m.
Tennessee at Pittsburgh	1:00 p.m.
New Orleans at Carolina	1:00 p.m.
Cincinnati at Jacksonville	1:00 p.m.
Oakland at Houston	1:00 p.m.
Tampa Bay at San Francisco	4:05 p.m.

WEEK 5 (CONTINUED)

NY Jets at New England	4:15 p.m.
San Diego at Denver	4:15 p.m.
Green Bay at Atlanta	8:20 p.m.

MONDAY, OCT. 10

Chicago at Detroit	8:30 p.m.

OPEN DATE: CLEVELAND, DALLAS, ST. LOUIS, MIAMI, WASHINGTON, BALTIMORE

WEEK 6

SUNDAY, OCT. 16

Carolina at Atlanta	1:00 p.m.
Indianapolis at Cincinnati	1:00 p.m.
San Francisco at Detroit	1:00 p.m.
St. Louis at Green Bay	1:00 p.m.
Philadelphia at Washington	1:00 p.m.
Buffalo at NY Giants	1:00 p.m.
Jacksonville at Pittsburgh	1:00 p.m.
Houston at Baltimore	4:05 p.m.
Cleveland at Oakland	4:05 p.m.
Dallas at New England	4:15 p.m.
New Orleans at Tampa Bay	4:15 p.m.
Minnesota at Chicago	8:20 p.m.

MONDAY, OCT. 17

Miami at NY Jets	8:30 p.m.

OPEN DATE: DENVER, TENNESSEE, SEATTLE, KANSAS CITY, ARIZONA, SAN DIEGO

WEEK 7

SUNDAY, OCT. 23

Seattle at Cleveland	1:00 p.m.
Atlanta at Detroit	1:00 p.m.
Houston at Tennessee	1:00 p.m.
Denver at Miami	1:00 p.m.
San Diego at NY Jets	1:00 p.m.
Chicago at Tampa Bay	1:00 p.m.
Washington at Carolina	1:00 p.m.
Pittsburgh at Arizona	4:05 p.m.
Kansas City at Oakland	4:05 p.m.
Green Bay at Minnesota	4:15 p.m.
St. Louis at Dallas	4:15 p.m.
Indianapolis at New Orleans	8:20 p.m.

MONDAY, OCT. 24

Baltimore at Jacksonville	8:30 p.m.

OPEN DATE: BUFFALO, CINCINNATI, NEW ENGLAND, NY GIANTS, PHILADELPHIA, SAN FRANCISCO

WEEK 8

SUNDAY, OCT. 30

Indianapolis at Tennessee	1:00 p.m.
New Orleans at St. Louis	1:00 p.m.
Miami at NY Giants	1:00 p.m.
Minnesota at Carolina	1:00 p.m.
Arizona at Baltimore	1:00 p.m.
Jacksonville at Houston	1:00 p.m.
Washington at Buffalo	4:05 p.m.
Detroit at Denver	4:05 p.m.
New England at Pittsburgh	4:15 p.m.
Cleveland at San Francisco	4:15 p.m.
Cincinnati at Seattle	4:15 p.m.
Dallas at Philadelphia	8:20 p.m.

MONDAY, OCT. 31

San Diego at Kansas City	8:30 p.m.

OPEN DATE: ATLANTA, CHICAGO, OAKLAND, GREEN BAY, NY JETS, TAMPA BAY

WEEK 9

SUNDAY, NOV. 6

NY Jets at Buffalo	1:00 p.m.
Seattle at Dallas	1:00 p.m.
Atlanta at Indianapolis	1:00 p.m.
Miami at Kansas City	1:00 p.m.
San Francisco at Washington	1:00 p.m.
Cleveland at Houston	1:00 p.m.
Tampa Bay at New Orleans	1:00 p.m.
Denver at Oakland	4:05 p.m.
Cincinnati at Tennessee	4:05 p.m.
NY Giants at New England	4:15 p.m.
St. Louis at Arizona	4:15 p.m.
Green Bay at San Diego	4:15 p.m.
Baltimore at Pittsburgh	8:20 p.m.

MONDAY, NOV. 7

Chicago at Philadelphia	8:30 p.m.

OPEN DATE: DETROIT, MINNESOTA, CAROLINA, JACKSONVILLE

WEEK 10

THURSDAY, NOV. 10

Oakland at San Diego	8:20 p.m.

SUNDAY, NOV. 13

New Orleans at Atlanta	1:00 p.m.
Detroit at Chicago	1:00 p.m.
Pittsburgh at Cincinnati	1:00 p.m.
St. Louis at Cleveland	1:00 p.m.
Buffalo at Dallas	1:00 p.m.
Jacksonville at Indianapolis	1:00 p.m.
Denver at Kansas City	1:00 p.m.
Washington at Miami	1:00 p.m.
Arizona at Philadelphia	1:00 p.m.
Houston at Tampa Bay	1:00 p.m.
Tennessee at Carolina	1:00 p.m.
Baltimore at Seattle	4:05 p.m.
NY Giants at San Francisco	4:15 p.m.
New England at NY Jets	8:20 p.m.

MONDAY, NOV. 14

Minnesota at Green Bay	8:30 p.m.

WEEK 11

THURSDAY, NOV. 17

NY Jets at Denver	8:20 p.m.

SUNDAY, NOV. 20

Tennessee at Atlanta	1:00 p.m.
Jacksonville at Cleveland	1:00 p.m.
Carolina at Detroit	1:00 p.m.
Tampa Bay at Green Bay	1:00 p.m.
Buffalo at Miami	1:00 p.m.
Oakland at Minnesota	1:00 p.m.
Dallas at Washington	1:00 p.m.
Cincinnati at Baltimore	1:00 p.m.
Arizona at San Francisco	4:05 p.m.
Seattle at St. Louis	4:05 p.m.
San Diego at Chicago	4:15 p.m.
Philadelphia at NY Giants	8:20 p.m.

MONDAY, NOV. 21

Kansas City at New England	8:30 p.m.

OPEN DATE: INDIANAPOLIS, NEW ORLEANS, PITTSBURGH, HOUSTON

WEEK 12

THURSDAY, NOV. 24

Green Bay at Detroit	12:30 p.m.
Miami at Dallas	4:15 p.m.
San Francisco at Baltimore	8:20 p.m.

SUNDAY, NOV. 27

Minnesota at Atlanta	1:00 p.m.
Cleveland at Cincinnati	1:00 p.m.
Tampa Bay at Tennessee	1:00 p.m.
Carolina at Indianapolis	1:00 p.m.
Arizona at St. Louis	1:00 p.m.
Buffalo at NY Jets	1:00 p.m.
Houston at Jacksonville	1:00 p.m.
Chicago at Oakland	4:05 p.m.
Washington at Seattle	4:05 p.m.
New England at Philadelphia	4:15 p.m.
Denver at San Diego	4:15 p.m.
Pittsburgh at Kansas City	8:20 p.m.

MONDAY, NOV. 28

NY Giants at New Orleans	8:30 p.m.

WEEK 13

THURSDAY, DEC. 1

Philadelphia at Seattle	8:20 p.m.

SUNDAY, DEC. 4

Tennessee at Buffalo	1:00 p.m.
Kansas City at Chicago	1:00 p.m.
Baltimore at Cleveland	1:00 p.m.
Oakland at Miami	1:00 p.m.
Detroit at New Orleans	1:00 p.m.
Carolina at Tampa Bay	1:00 p.m.
NY Jets at Washington	1:00 p.m.
Atlanta at Houston	1:00 p.m.
Cincinnati at Pittsburgh	1:00 p.m.
Denver at Minnesota	4:05 p.m.
Green Bay at NY Giants	4:15 p.m.
Dallas at Arizona	4:15 p.m.
St. Louis at San Francisco	4:15 p.m.
Indianapolis at New England	8:20 p.m.

MONDAY, DEC. 5

San Diego at Jacksonville	8:30 p.m.

WEEK 14

THURSDAY, DEC. 8

Cleveland at Pittsburgh	8:20 p.m.

SUNDAY, DEC. 11

Houston at Cincinnati	1:00 p.m.
Minnesota at Detroit	1:00 p.m.
Oakland at Green Bay	1:00 p.m.
New Orleans at Tennessee	1:00 p.m.
Philadelphia at Miami	1:00 p.m.
Kansas City at NY Jets	1:00 p.m.
New England at Washington	1:00 p.m.
Atlanta at Carolina	1:00 p.m.
Tampa Bay at Jacksonville	1:00 p.m.
Indianapolis at Baltimore	1:00 p.m.
San Francisco at Arizona	4:05 p.m.
Chicago at Denver	4:05 p.m.
Buffalo at San Diego	4:15 p.m.
NY Giants at Dallas	8:20 p.m.

MONDAY, DEC. 12

St. Louis at Seattle	8:30 p.m.

WEEK 15

THURSDAY, DEC. 15

Jacksonville at Atlanta	8:20 p.m.

SATURDAY, DEC. 17

Dallas at Tampa Bay	8:20 p.m.

SUNDAY, DEC. 18

Miami at Buffalo	1:00 p.m.
Seattle at Chicago	1:00 p.m.
Tennessee at Indianapolis	1:00 p.m.
Green Bay at Kansas City	1:00 p.m.
Cincinnati at St. Louis	1:00 p.m.
New Orleans at Minnesota	1:00 p.m.
Washington at NY Giants	1:00 p.m.
Carolina at Houston	1:00 p.m.
Detroit at Oakland	4:05 p.m.
New England at Denver	4:15 p.m.
NY Jets at Philadelphia	4:15 p.m.
Cleveland at Arizona	4:15 p.m.
Baltimore at San Diego	8:20 p.m.

MONDAY, DEC. 19

Pittsburgh at San Francisco	8:30 p.m.

WEEK 16

THURSDAY, DEC. 22

Houston at Indianapolis	8:20 p.m.

SATURDAY, DEC. 24

Denver at Buffalo	1:00 p.m.
Arizona at Cincinnati	1:00 p.m.
Minnesota at Washington	1:00 p.m.
Tampa Bay at Carolina	1:00 p.m.
Cleveland at Baltimore	1:00 p.m.
Jacksonville at Tennessee	1:00 p.m.
Oakland at Kansas City	1:00 p.m.
Miami at New England	1:00 p.m.
NY Giants at NY Jets	1:00 p.m.
St. Louis at Pittsburgh	1:00 p.m.
San Diego at Detroit	4:05 p.m.
San Francisco at Seattle	4:15 p.m.
Philadelphia at Dallas	4:15 p.m.

SUNDAY, DEC. 25

Chicago at Green Bay	8:20 p.m.

MONDAY, DEC. 26

Atlanta at New Orleans	8:30 p.m.

WEEK 17

SUNDAY, JAN. 1

Tampa Bay at Atlanta	1:00 p.m.
Baltimore at Cincinnati	1:00 p.m.
Pittsburgh at Cleveland	1:00 p.m.
Detroit at Green Bay	1:00 p.m.
San Francisco at St. Louis	1:00 p.m.
NY Jets at Miami	1:00 p.m.
Chicago at Minnesota	1:00 p.m.
Buffalo at New England	1:00 p.m.
Carolina at New Orleans	1:00 p.m.
Dallas at NY Giants	1:00 p.m.
Washington at Philadelphia	1:00 p.m.
Indianapolis at Jacksonville	1:00 p.m.
Tennessee at Houston	1:00 p.m.
Seattle at Arizona	4:15 p.m.
San Diego at Oakland	4:15 p.m.
Kansas City at Denver	4:15 p.m.

POSTSEASON

AFC and NFC Wild Card Playoffs (NBC)	Saturday, January 7
AFC and NFC Wild Card Playoffs (CBS and FOX)	Sunday, January 8
AFC and NFC Divisional Playoffs (CBS and FOX)	Saturday, January 14
AFC and NFC Divisional Playoffs (CBS and FOX)	Sunday, January 15
Conference Championship Games [3:00 p.m. on CBS (AFC); 6:30 p.m. on FOX (NFC)]	Sunday, Jan. 22
Super Bowl XLVI at Indianapolis, Indiana (NBC)	Sunday, February 5

NFL PREVIEW

AFC EAST

Tom Brady's MVP season and a +28 turnover differential led the **Patriots** to the NFL's best regular-season record in 2010. Questions remain on the defensive side of the ball, particularly with New England's shaky pass defense, which led the league in INTs but gave up the third-most passing yards last year. It's been 11 seasons since another AFC East team finished with a better record than the Pats, and there's little reason to think it won't become 12. . . . The **Jets** rode their elite defense to a second-straight AFC Championship Game despite losing three of four December games. Gang Green needs RB Shonn Greene to step in as a reliable workhorse, and it'll take continued improvement from QB Mark Sanchez for them to take the next step. . . . The **Bills** kept it close in several games against AFC playoff teams—they lost in overtime to the Ravens, Steelers and Chiefs—and their offense improved as the season went on. The hope is that third-overall pick Marcell Dareus will help improve what was a terrible run defense last season. . . . Question marks surround the **Dolphins**' offense in 2011. Incumbent QB Chad Henne has yet to show himself worthy of an NFL starting gig, and rookie RB Daniel Thomas is going to be relied upon heavily. Miami's front seven is stout and their secondary is improving, but another tough schedule will keep the Dolphins from making the playoffs.

AFC NORTH

With S Ed Reed and LB Ray Lewis looking ageless as the leaders of a formidable defense, the **Ravens** have to be considered a legitimate Super Bowl contender. This could end up being that year that QB Joe Flacco, now in his fourth season, finally gets the team over the proverbial hump. . . . The **Steelers** missed the playoffs during their two recent Super Bowl hangovers, but this season they face their easiest schedule in years. Pittsburgh has plenty of talent at the offensive skill positions; it's the offensive line that could be the team's Achilles Heel. Behind an always stellar linebacker corps, Defensive Player of the Year Troy Polamalu's health is key to keeping Pittsburgh's secondary from collapsing. . . . Pat Shurmur's first year at the helm of the **Browns** could be a long one. Cleveland simply doesn't have above-average talent anywhere aside from OT Joe Thomas and returner Josh Cribbs. The West Coast scheme will help QB Colt McCoy, but he doesn't have play-making receivers to throw to. . . . After winning the division in 2009, the **Bengals** again look like a total mess. Andy Dalton, a questionable early second-round pick, is the team's likely Week 1 starter. Cincy's receivers are young and unproven, while RB Cedric Benson is far from a game-breaker. The defense doesn't offer much more by way of proven talent.

AFC SOUTH

The **Colts** should again be the best team in the AFC South, due mostly to Peyton Manning. A healthier receiving corps should eliminate some of Manning's INTs, and an improved o-line will be asked to bolster what was an abysmal running game last season. Their defense is still vulnerable to powerful running backs and needs to create more sacks and turnovers if Peyton's going to get a shot at a second Super Bowl title. . . . The **Jaguars** will face a tougher schedule this season than they did in 2010. QB David Garrard has work to do to hold off promising first-rounder Blaine Gabbert, while star RB Maurice Jones-Drew's knee issues could linger into this season. The Jags defense ranked in the lower third of the league in every important statistical measure last season. . . . The **Texans** offense is surely good enough to fuel Houston's first-ever trip to the playoffs. WR Andre Johnson and RB Arian Foster were as good as anyone at their respective positions last season, while QB Matt Schaub and TE Owen Daniels are underrated producers when healthy. Wade Phillips was brought in to try to fix the Texans' dreadful defense. A shakeup in scheme and positioning may help, but talent in the secondary is still severely lacking. . . . Life after Jeff Fisher isn't looking promising for the **Titans**. Their QB situation is up in the air while top WR Kenny Britt can't get his off-the-field life in order. Defenses will surely key in on elite RB Chris Johnson, and Tennessee may have to get creative to get him into space to use his blazing speed.

AFC WEST

The **Raiders** hope to keep the momentum going on what was their best season in eight years. RB Darren McFadden finally emerged as an elite playmaker, and QB Jason Campbell led the offense to at least 26 points in each of the team's final five games. Overcoming the departure of elite CB Nnamdi Asomugha will be the team's biggest challenge. . . . The **Chargers** special teams woes were comical last year, serving largely to keep QB Philip Rivers out of the playoffs for the first time. If TE Antonio Gates stays healthy and RB Ryan Mathews recovers from a lackluster rookie season, San Diego's offense will be as good as any in the league. The biggest question marks are on a defense that lost a slew of players to free agency, particularly among the linebacking corps. . . . After going up against a very easy schedule a year ago, the **Chiefs** are set to face the league's toughest schedule in 2011. RB Jamaal Charles and WR Dwayne Bowe are stellar playmakers, but K.C.'s front seven may not be up to the task of this year's slate of opponents. . . . Off a long-awaited breakout season for the **Broncos**, WR Brandon Lloyd's talent may go to waste if Tim Tebow is given the reins of the offense. DE Elvis Dumervil (returning from injury) and LB Von Miller (second-overall pick) could be great, but it'll take a lot more than that to return last year's 29.4-points-allowed-per-game defense to respectability.

NFC EAST

The **Eagles**' electrifying offense alone makes them the team to beat in this division. If QB Michael Vick can avoid big hits and nagging pains this season, he'll continue to benefit from throwing to a pair of talented young WRs in DeSean Jackson and Jeremy Maclin. RB LeSean McCoy has game-breaking ability, and his versatility may lead the Eagles to ask him to do more this season. Philly has a defense capable of racking up sacks and turnovers, but their LB corps once again has a number of question marks. . . .

Coming off another late-season disappointment, the **Giants** will again put their faith in an inconsistent core of players. QB Eli Manning has the receivers to thrive, but he throws too many interceptions. Defensively, the Giants have talented players on the line and in the secondary who can't seem to stay productive from game to game. . . . Jason Garrett went 5-3 as the **Cowboys**' head coach last season, and gets QB Tony Romo back under center. Offense shouldn't be a problem in Dallas, especially if former first-round RB Felix Jones can handle a full workload. The Cowboys defense gave up 30+ in half of their games last season, even with LB DeMarcus Ware's 15.5 sacks. . . . The **Redskins**' Albert Haynesworth signing is looking more and more like a microcosm of the team as a whole. Offseason rumblings of a Rex Grossman-vs.-John Beck QB battle had D.C.-area fans rooting for the lockout to continue. Meanwhile, the defense in Washington is devoid of stars and full of holes.

NFC NORTH

Fresh off a title, the **Packers** offense will have even more weapons this year. Aaron Rodgers' supporting cast will be bolstered by the healthy returns of RB Ryan Grant and potential All-Pro TE Jermichael Finley. Green Bay's defense is occasionally flimsy against the run, but it turns into a playmaking, disruptive, lockdown unit on passing downs. . . If QB Matthew Stafford can stay healthy for 16 games, the **Lions** have the offensive firepower to make waves this season. WR Calvin Johnson is arguably the league's best at his position. The defense has a ways to go, but the DT combo of Ndamukong Suh (2010 Defensive Rookie of the Year) and Nick Fairley (first-round draft pick) just may be dominant by season's end. . . . The **Bears** outperformed expectations last year, riding a strong defense and timely special teams play to many close wins. The defense will again be above-average in every category, but Chicago's offense lacks a go-to player. . . . The **Vikings**' QB situation is up in the air and dominant RB Adrian Peterson will have to carry the team more so than usual. WRs Percy Harvin and Sidney Rice have game-breaking abilities, but may not get enough chances to use their talents. An aging and depleted d-line could make the Vikings much more susceptible defensively than they've been in a while.

NFC SOUTH

The **Buccaneers** should continue to get better offensively. Their core of young players—led by QB Josh Freeman, WR Mike Williams and RB LaGarrette Blount—make Tampa's present and future look very bright. The Bucs defense is in a state of flux, as a lot will be asked of aging (CB Ronde Barber), troubled (CB Aqib Talib) and injured (DT Gerald McCoy and second-round DE Da'Quan Bowers) talents. . . . QB Drew Brees continues to put up big numbers regardless of which receivers are healthy, and the addition of former Heisman-winning RB Mark Ingram will allow the **Saints** to balance their vertical passing attack with more effective between-the-tackles running. New Orleans' middling defensive talent needs to create more turnovers; they managed just 25 last season after 39 in their championship year. . . . The **Falcons** weren't as good as their record indicates last year, and they showed just that by getting drubbed at home in the playoffs. The passing game is solid, thanks mostly to All-Pro WR Roddy White. At age 29, RB Michael Turner looks to be fading. The entire Atlanta defense looks like more of a patchwork than a solid unit, lacking athleticism at some positions and depth at others. . . . The **Panthers** are starting from scratch this season and will be left behind in an otherwise strong division. QB Cam Newton's athleticism and upside are intriguing, but probably not good enough to win many games as a rookie on an offense devoid of talent. Defensively, Carolina should begin to improve under new head coach Ron Rivera.

NFC WEST

After missing the playoffs by one game, the **Rams** should again be in the hunt to win the weak NFC West in QB Sam Bradford's second year. Bradford has the makeup of a great passer, but very little talent around him. On defense, St. Louis has a potentially dangerous pass rush. . . . For the **49ers**, their decision at QB could make all the difference. RB Frank Gore and TE Vernon Davis are both top talents at their position, and WR Michael Crabtree could become an elite playmaker with the right chemistry with his passer and coaches. Despite weaknesses in the secondary, San Fran has a potentially stalwart defense with unheralded DE Justin Smith and All-Pro LB Patrick Willis. . . . Questions under center linger in Seattle, where the **Seahawks** lack firepower on offense. Their secondary weakness may not be a huge problem in a division so lacking in passing/catching talent, and Seattle's front seven has some intriguing young talent. . . . The **Cardinals** are a mess without Kurt Warner. WR Larry Fitzgerald's talents are going to waste with a stable of useless QBs under center, although the anemic running game hopes to get a shot in the arm from high-talent, low-production second-rounder Ryan Williams. There's not much reason for optimism on defense, either, as fifth-overall pick CB Patrick Peterson joins a secondary that often struggled behind a mediocre front seven.

PREDICTED AFC STANDINGS

EAST	W	L
New England	12	4
New York Jets*	11	5
Buffalo	7	9
Miami	6	10

NORTH	W	L
Baltimore	12	4
Pittsburgh*	10	6
Cleveland	6	10
Cincinnati	4	12

SOUTH	W	L
Indianapolis	10	6
Jacksonville	8	8
Houston	7	9
Tennessee	6	10

WEST	W	L
Oakland	10	6
San Diego	9	7
Kansas City	7	9
Denver	3	13

*wildcard

PREDICTED NFC STANDINGS

EAST	W	L
Philadelphia	11	5
New York Giants*	10	6
Dallas	9	7
Washington	5	11

NORTH	W	L
Green Bay	11	5
Detroit	9	7
Chicago	8	8
Minnesota	7	9

SOUTH	W	L
Tampa Bay	11	5
New Orleans*	10	6
Atlanta	9	7
Carolina	3	13

WEST	W	L
St. Louis	9	7
San Francisco	7	9
Seattle	5	11
Arizona	4	12

*wildcard

AFC PLAYOFF PREDICTIONS

WILDCARD WEEKEND:
Jets over Colts
Raiders over Steelers

DIVISIONAL ROUND:
Ravens over Jets
Patriots over Raiders

AFC CHAMPIONSHIP:
Ravens over Patriots

NFC PLAYOFF PREDICTIONS

WILDCARD WEEKEND:
Saints over Rams
Buccaneers over Giants

DIVISIONAL ROUND:
Packers over Saints
Eagles over Buccaneers

NFC CHAMPIONSHIP:
Eagles over Packers

SUPER BOWL XLVI PREDICTION: RAVENS 24, EAGLES 20

AFC EAST PREVIEW

BUFFALO BILLS

Head coach Chan Gailey brought an aggressive spread passing game to Buffalo in his first season as head coach in 2010, going four-wide early and often. Flanker Stevie Johnson should again be the clear-cut No. 1 receiver for quarterback Ryan Fitzpatrick. On the ground, Buffalo made more of a commitment to zone blocking in their first year under Gailey. It's a running scheme that's more about finesse and deception, and Fred Jackson figures to lead their running back committee again. C.J. Spiller was asked to run only outside as a rookie—they don't trust him between the tackles yet. Defensively, the team is looking to first-round pick Marcell Dareus to vastly improve what was the NFL's worst run defense a year ago. The plan is to have Dareus slide inside next to Kyle Williams in the Bills' 4-3 defense, which will theoretically make it difficult for opposing teams to run the ball up the gut as effectively as they were able to in 2010.

MIAMI DOLPHINS


The Dolphins offense will have a different look this year, with former Cleveland Browns offensive coordinator Brian Daboll taking that position in Miami. He'll try to get some production out of a lousy passing game, which could once again be led by scattershot QB Chad Henne. The off-field problems of top receiver Brandon Marshall this offseason, and the likely ascension of rookie RB Daniel Thomas to the top of the depth chart only add more questions around this unit. With a short offseason to install the new offense, there could be issues. The defense remains very strong though, especially with OLB Cameron Wake emerging as a pass-rushing superstar. The secondary was shaky early last year, but came on strong later in the year and could take another step forward with cornerbacks Vontae Davis and Sean Smith entering their third seasons. They're also strong up the middle, with ILB Karlos Dansby anchoring the run defense behind underrated nose tackle Paul Soliai.

NEW ENGLAND PATRIOTS

Obviously, everything the Patriots do on offense is based on and off of Tom Brady, but they won't hesitate to give a heavy dose of their power running game. They have an underrated run-blocking line and added another slashing runner in second-round pick Shane Vereen and a pure power runner in third-rounder Stevan Ridley. New England ran it on 46% of their offensive snaps last year, the eighth-highest percentage in the NFL. It's all set up by Brady and their spread passing game. They went underneath more because of the departure of Randy Moss, using Wes Welker and their stable of tight ends on short and intermediate routes, and behind Brady they're one of the few teams capable of consistently sustaining long drives. Their overall run defense is strong, but the Patriots are still in search of a consistent pass rusher. Aside from star CB Devin McCourty, their secondary is weak, and they're especially vulnerable because of the lack of a pass rush.

PREDICTED STANDINGS AFC EAST

TEAM	W	L
New England Patriots	12	4
New York Jets	11	5
Buffalo Bills	7	9
Miami Dolphins	6	10



With Tom Brady leading the way for a high-powered offense, Patriots games went over the total a league-high 82.4% of the time last season.

NEW YORK JETS

The Jets have been all about ball control offense and strong defense since Rex Ryan came in, and this year should be no different. The theme of the offseason has been a heavy workload for RB Shonn Greene, who was relegated to a part-time role in his first two seasons because of fumble problems. He's a power runner behind an elite run-blocking line, with LaDainian Tomlinson set to take third-down duties. QB Mark Sanchez has been more of a game-manager to this point in his career, working off a lot of play-action. With so much changeover among his receiving corps, the Jets will surely keep it conservative on offense. Defensively, the ability of CB Darrelle Revis to take away one half of the field is a huge advantage, and makes up for their lack of a consistent pass rush. Despite Rex Ryan's exotic blitz schemes, they're unable to generate any pressure on opposing quarterbacks. They haven't missed a beat since losing nose tackle Kris Jenkins the last two seasons, thanks to the emergence of NT Sione Pouha and DE Mike DeVito.

BUFFALO BILLS

Sportsbook.com odds to win SB XLVI: 150-to-1
Sportsbook.com odds to win AFC Title: 75-to-1
2011 Schedule Strength: 22.25 (2nd toughest)
2010 Record: 4-12 (-7.2 ML units), 7-7-2 ATS
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 7-9
2010 Schedule Strength: 23.81 (tied for toughest)
StatFox.com Power Rating: 13 (#27 of 32)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -5.38 (#27 of 32)

2011 SCHEDULE / SERIES TRENDS / NOTES

Week 1	at Kansas City	BUFFALO is 5-1 against the spread versus KANSAS CITY since 2001
Week 2	Oakland	OAKLAND is 7-1 against the spread versus BUFFALO since 1992
Week 3	New England	NEW ENGLAND is 6-0 against the spread and 7-0 straight-up at BUFFALO since 2004
Week 4	at Cincinnati	BUFFALO is 7-1 against the spread and 8-0 straight-up against CINCINNATI since 1992
Week 5	Philadelphia	UNDERDOG is 4-1 against the spread in BUF-PHI series since 1992
Week 6	at N.Y. Giants	NY GIANTS are 4-1 against the spread versus BUFFALO since 1992
Week 7	BYE	
Week 8	Washington at Toronto	BUFFALO is 5-0 against the spread and straight-up against WASHINGTON since 1992
Week 9	N.Y. Jets	UNDERDOG is 11-3 against the spread and 9-5 straight-up in BUF-NYJ series since 2004
Week 10	at Dallas	Last six games are 4-2 UNDER the total in BUF-DAL series since 1992
Week 11	at Miami	BUFFALO is 10-4 against the spread versus MIAMI since 2004
Week 12	at N.Y. Jets	UNDERDOG is 6-1 against the spread when BUFFALO plays at NY JETS since 2004
Week 13	Tennessee	Last five games are 4-1 OVER the total in BUF-TEN series
Week 14	at San Diego	HOME TEAM is 5-2 against the spread and 6-1 straight-up in BUF-SD series since 1992
Week 15	Miami	BUFFALO is 5-1 against the spread and straight-up at home against MIAMI since 2004
Week 16	Denver	Last eight games are 5-2 OVER the total in BUF-DEN series since 1992
Week 17	at New England	NEW ENGLAND is 9-4 ATS, 14-0 straight-up against BUFFALO since 2004

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	6-YR TOTAL	6-YR%
STRAIGHT UP	5–11	7–9	7–9	7–9	6–10	4–12	36–60	37.5%
OVERALL ATS	7–9	10–6	10–6	7–9	8–7	7–7	49–44	52.7%
PRESEASON ATS	2–2	2–2	2–2	3–1	1–3	2–2	12–12	50.0%
HOME ATS	4–4	5–3	6–2	2–5	3–3	2–4	22–21	51.2%
ROAD ATS	3–5	5–3	4–4	5–4	5–4	5–3	27–23	54.0%
VS DIVISION ATS	4–2	4–2	4–2	1–5	3–2	2–4	18–17	51.4%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	7–5	8–4	7–5	5–7	6–5	7–5	40–31	56.3%
AS FAVORITE ATS	4–2	3–3	2–0	3–5	3–1	0–1	15–12	55.6%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	3–7	7–3	8–6	4–4	5–6	7–6	34–32	51.5%
OVER-UNDER	9–7	7–9	7–9	8–8	6–10	7–9	44–52	45.8%

STATFOX.COM POWER TRENDS

- BUFFALO is 6-1 ATS when playing against a marginal losing team (Win Pct. 40% to 49%) the last five seasons
- BUFFALO is 7-2 ATS off a road loss the last two seasons
- BUFFALO is 3-9 ATS off a home loss the last three seasons
- BUFFALO is 0-5 ATS vs. awful passing defenses - allowing 260 or more passing yards/game the last two seasons

2010 STATS/RANK

DIFFERENTIALS	NO.	RANK
Scoring	-8.9	30
Yardage	-57.1	27
Yds Per Play	-0.29	21
Yds Per Point	3.60	30
Turnovers	-17	32

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	17.7	28
First Downs	16.4	26
Yards/Game	304.5	25
Yds Per Play	5.11	21
Yds Per Point	17.22	28
RushYds/Gm	107.6	18
Yards Per Rush	4.28	13
Pass Att/Game	32.4	19
Comp/Game	18.5	22
Completion %	57.0	27
Pass Yds/Gm	196.9	24
Pass Yds/Att	6.07	28
INTs Thrown	21	26
Sacks Allowed	33	14
Att/Sack	16.7	14
Sack Yards	213	12

DEFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Allowed	26.6	28
First Downs	20.9	28
Yards/Game	361.6	24
Yds Per Play	5.40	20
Yds Per Point	13.61	27
RushYds/Gm	169.6	32
Yards Per Rush	4.75	31
Pass Att/Game	29.6	2
Comp/Game	18.4	5
Comp %	62.2	19
Pass Yds/Gm	192.0	3
Pass Yds/Att	6.49	19
Interceptions	11	28
Sacks	27	27
Att/Sack	18.5	19
Sack Yards	181	26

2007-2010 GAME LOGS

2007	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/9	vs DEN	L 14-15	W (+3)	U (38)
9/16	at PIT	L 3-26	L (+10)	U (40)
9/23	at NWE	L 7-38	L (+16½)	O (41)
9/30	vs NYJ	W 17-14	W (+3)	U (37½)
10/8	vs DAL	L 24-25	W (+10½)	O (45)
10/21	vs BAL	W 19-14	W (+3)	U (33½)
10/28	at NYJ	W 13-3	W (+3)	U (38½)
11/4	vs CIN	W 33-21	W (+1½)	O (44)
11/11	at MIA	W 13-10	W (-2½)	U (41)
11/18	vs NWE	L 10-56	L (+15½)	O (46½)
11/25	at JAC	L 14-36	L (+8½)	O (37)
12/2	at WAS	W 17-16	W (+6½)	U (37)
12/9	vs MIA	W 38-17	W (-6½)	O (34)
12/16	at CLE	L 0-8	L (+4)	U (36)
12/23	vs NYG	L 21-38	L (+2)	O (31½)
12/30	at PHI	L 9-17	W (+9)	U (38½)

2008	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/7	vs SEA	W 34-10	W (-2½)	O (37½)
9/14	at JAC	W 20-16	W (+4)	U (37)
9/21	vs OAK	W 24-23	L (-10)	O (37)
9/28	at STL	W 31-14	W (-9)	O (44)
10/5	at ARI	L 17-41	L (+2)	O (44½)
10/19	vs SDG	W 23-14	W (+1)	U (45)
10/26	at MIA	L 16-25	L (+1)	U (41½)
11/2	vs NYJ	L 17-26	L (-5)	U (43½)
11/9	at NWE	L 10-20	L (+3½)	U (40½)
11/17	vs CLE	L 27-29	L (-5)	O (41)
11/23	at KAN	W 54-31	W (-3)	O (43½)
11/30	vs SFO	L 3-10	L (-6½)	U (43)
12/7	vs MIA	L 3-16	L (-2½)	U (43)
12/14	at NYJ	L 27-31	W (+8)	O (41)
12/21	at DEN	W 30-23	W (+6)	O (46½)
12/28	vs NWE	L 0-13	L (+5½)	U (34½)

2009	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/14	at NWE	L 24-25	W (+13)	O (47)
9/20	vs TAM	W 33-20	W (-4)	O (41½)
9/27	vs NOR	L 7-27	L (+5½)	U (51½)
10/4	at MIA	L 10-38	L (+2)	O (37)
10/11	vs CLE	L 3-6	L (-5½)	U (41½)
10/18	at NYJ	W 16-13	W (+9)	U (35½)
10/25	at CAR	W 20-9	W (+7)	U (37)
11/1	vs HOU	L 10-31	L (+3)	U (42)
11/15	at TEN	L 17-41	L (+7½)	O (40½)
11/22	at JAC	L 15-18	W (+8½)	U (41)
11/29	vs MIA	W 31-14	W (+4)	O (38)
12/3	vs NYJ	L 13-19	L (+3½)	U (37)
12/13	at KAN	W 16-10	W (-3)	U (38½)
12/20	vs NWE	L 10-17	P (+7)	U (41½)
12/27	at ATL	L 3-31	L (+8)	U (40½)
1/3	vs IND	W 30-7	W (-7½)	O (32)

2010	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/12	vs MIA	L 10-15	L (+3)	U (39)
9/19	at GNB	L 7-34	L (+12½)	U (42½)
9/26	at NWE	L 30-38	W (+14)	O (42½)
10/3	vs NYJ	L 14-38	L (+5)	O (36½)
10/10	vs JAC	L 26-36	L (-3)	O (41½)
10/24	at BAL	L 34-37	W (+12½)	O (39½)
10/31	at KAN	L 10-13	W (+7)	U (45½)
11/7	vs CHI	L 19-22	P (+3)	U (41½)
11/14	vs DET	W 14-12	P (-2)	U (44½)
11/21	at CIN	W 49-31	W (+4)	O (41)
11/28	vs PIT	L 16-19	W (+6½)	U (43½)
12/5	at MIN	L 14-38	L (+5½)	O (43½)
12/12	vs CLE	W 13-6	W (0)	U (39½)
12/19	at MIA	W 17-14	W (+6)	U (40)
12/26	vs NWE	L 3-34	L (+7)	U (46)
1/2	at NYJ	L 7-38	L (0)	O (38)

> AFC EAST



MIAMI DOLPHINS

Sportsbook.com odds to win SB XLVI: 40-to-1
Sportsbook.com odds to win AFC Title: 20-to-1
2011 Schedule Strength: 21.63 (6th toughest)
2010 Record: 7-9 (-4.1 ML units), 8-8 ATS
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 7-9
2010 Schedule Strength: 23.81 (tied for toughest)
StatFox.com Power Rating: 18 (#19 of 32)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +0.13 (#16 of 32)

2011 SCHEDULE / SERIES TRENDS / NOTES

Week 1	New England	ROAD TEAM is 6-2 against the spread in MIA-NE series since 2007
Week 2	Houston	UNDERDOG is 5-0 against the spread in HOU-MIA series since 2001
Week 3	at Cleveland	CLEVELAND is 4-0 against the spread and 3-1 straight-up against MIAMI since 2001
Week 4	at San Diego	Last 11 games are 10-1 UNDER the total in MIA-SD series since 1992
Week 5	BYE	
Week 6	at N.Y. Jets	NY JETS are 24-11 against the spread versus MIAMI since 1992
Week 7	Denver	MIAMI is 5-0 against the spread and 4-1 straight-up against DENVER since 2001
Week 8	at N.Y. Giants	UNDERDOG is 4-0 against the spread in MIA-NYG series since 1992
Week 9	at Kansas City	MIAMI is 6-2 against the spread and 7-2 straight-up against KANSAS CITY since 1992
Week 10	Washington	HOME TEAM is 4-0 straight-up in MIA-WAS series since 1992
Week 11	Buffalo	BUFFALO is 10-4 against the spread versus MIAMI since 2004
Week 12	at Dallas	ROAD TEAM is 4-1 against the spread and straight-up in DAL-MIA series since 1992
Week 13	Oakland	Last six games in MIAMI are 5-1 UNDER the total in MIA-OAK series since 1992
Week 14	Philadelphia	PHILADELPHIA is 4-1 against the spread versus MIAMI since 1992
Week 15	at Buffalo	BUFFALO is 5-1 against the spread and straight-up at home against MIAMI since 2004
Week 16	at New England	MIAMI is 3-1 against the spread at NEW ENGLAND since 2007
Week 17	N.Y. Jets	NY JETS are 6-1 against the spread and 5-2 straight-up at MIAMI since 2004

SITUATIONAL RECORDS (INCLUDES POST-SEASON RESULTS)

SCENARIO	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	6-YR TOTAL	6-YR%
STRAIGHT UP	9–7	6–10	1–15	11–6	7–9	7–9	41–56	42.3%
OVERALL ATS	7–9	6–10	5–9	8–9	8–8	8–8	42–53	44.2%
PRESEASON ATS	0–4	2–2	2–2	3–1	3–0	1–3	11–12	47.8%
HOME ATS	3–5	3–5	1–6	2–7	3–5	2–6	14–34	29.2%
ROAD ATS	4–4	3–5	4–3	6–2	5–3	6–2	28–19	59.6%
VS DIVISION ATS	1–5	1–5	1–4	4–2	5–1	2–4	14–21	40.0%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	5–7	3–9	4–7	8–5	7–5	6–6	33–39	45.8%
AS FAVORITE ATS	1–3	3–6	0–2	2–5	1–3	3–5	10–24	29.4%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	6–6	3–4	5–7	6–4	7–5	5–3	32–29	52.5%
OVER-UNDER	8–8	6–10	9–7	6–11	9–6	7–9	45–51	46.9%

STATFOX.COM POWER TRENDS

- MIAMI is 9-1 ATS versus good rushing teams - averaging >=130 rushing yards/game the last two seasons
- MIAMI is 10-3 ATS in Weeks 5 through 9 the last three seasons
- MIAMI is 5-17 ATS versus poor defensive teams - allowing >=350 yards/game the last five seasons
- MIAMI is 0-11 ATS versus poor offensive teams - averaging <=285 yards/game the last five seasons

2010 STATS/RANK

DIFFERENTIALS	NO.	RANK
Scoring	-3.7	22
Yardage	13.8	11
Yds Per Play	-0.04	18
Yds Per Point	4.08	31
Turnovers	-11	29

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	17.1	30
First Downs	18.8	19
Yards/Game	323.1	21
Yds Per Play	4.97	27
Yds Per Point	18.94	31
RushYds/Gm	102.9	21
Yards Per Rush	3.71	29
Pass Att/Game	34.9	13
Comp/Game	21.0	14
Completion %	60.2	19
Pass Yds/Gm	220.3	16
Pass Yds/Att	6.32	21
INTs Thrown	20	23
Sacks Allowed	38	20
Att/Sack	15.7	17
Sack Yards	228	18

DEFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Allowed	20.8	14
First Downs	16.5	3
Yards/Game	309.3	6
Yds Per Play	5.01	4
Yds Per Point	14.86	17
RushYds/Gm	100.1	7
Yards Per Rush	3.58	4
Pass Att/Game	31.4	5
Comp/Game	18.0	4
Comp %	57.4	6
Pass Yds/Gm	209.3	8
Pass Yds/Att	6.67	20
Interceptions	11	28
Sacks	39	10
Att/Sack	13.9	7
Sack Yards	225	18

2007-2010 GAME LOGS

2007	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/9	at WAS	L 13-16	P (+3)	U (34)
9/16	vs DAL	L 20-37	L (+3)	O (39)
9/23	at NYJ	L 28-31	P (+3)	O (36½)
9/30	vs OAK	L 17-35	L (-3½)	O (39½)
10/7	at HOU	L 19-22	W (+4½)	U (43½)
10/14	at CLE	L 31-41	L (+3½)	O (45)
10/21	vs NWE	L 28-49	L (+15½)	O (50)
10/28	vs NYG	L 10-13	W (+10)	U (48)
11/11	vs BUF	L 10-13	L (+2½)	U (41)
11/18	at PHI	L 7-17	L (+9½)	U (40½)
11/26	at PIT	L 0-3	W (+15)	U (37)
12/2	vs NYJ	L 13-40	L (-2)	O (37)
12/9	at BUF	L 17-38	L (+6½)	O (34)
12/16	vs BAL	W 22-16	W (+3)	O (37)
12/23	at NWE	L 7-28	W (+22½)	U (46)
12/30	vs CIN	L 25-38	L (+3)	O (45)

2008	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/7	vs NYJ	L 14-20	L (+3)	U (37)
9/14	at ARI	L 10-31	L (+6)	O (40½)
9/21	at NWE	W 38-13	W (+12)	O (37)
10/5	vs SDG	W 17-10	W (+5½)	U (44½)
10/12	at HOU	L 28-29	W (+3)	O (44½)
10/19	vs BAL	L 13-27	L (-3)	O (35½)
10/26	vs BUF	W 25-16	W (-1)	U (41½)
11/2	at DEN	W 26-17	W (+4)	U (50)
11/9	vs SEA	W 21-19	L (-7½)	U (41½)
11/16	vs OAK	W 17-15	L (-10)	U (37½)
11/23	vs NWE	L 28-48	L (+2)	O (42)
11/30	at STL	W 16-12	L (-7)	U (44½)
12/7	vs BUF	W 16-3	W (+2½)	U (43)
12/14	vs SFO	W 14-9	L (-5½)	U (41)
12/21	at KAN	W 38-31	W (-3)	O (37)
12/28	at NYJ	W 24-17	W (+3)	U (43)

2009	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/13	at ATL	L 7-19	L (+4)	U (44)
9/21	vs IND	L 23-27	L (+3)	O (41)
9/27	at SDG	L 13-23	L (+5)	U (45)
10/4	vs BUF	W 38-10	W (-2)	O (37)
10/12	vs NYJ	W 31-27	W (+3)	O (35½)
10/25	vs NOR	L 34-46	L (+6)	O (47½)
11/1	at NYJ	W 30-25	W (+3)	O (40)
11/8	at NWE	L 17-27	W (+11)	U (47)
11/15	vs TAM	W 25-23	L (-10)	O (43)
11/19	at CAR	W 24-17	W (+3)	U (41½)
11/29	at BUF	L 14-31	L (-4)	O (38)
12/6	vs NWE	W 22-21	W (+5)	U (46)
12/13	at JAC	W 14-10	W (+2)	U (43)
12/20	at TEN	L 24-27	W (+5)	O (42½)
12/27	vs HOU	L 20-27	L (-1½)	P (47)
1/3	vs PIT	L 24-30	L (+3)	O (45)

2010	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/12	at BUF	W 15-10	W (-3)	U (39)
9/19	at MIN	W 14-10	W (+5½)	U (41)
9/26	vs NYJ	L 23-31	L (-2)	O (35½)
10/4	vs NWE	L 14-41	L (pk)	O (48½)
10/17	at GNB	W 23-20	W (+3)	U (44)
10/24	vs PIT	L 22-23	W (+3)	O (40)
10/31	at CIN	W 22-14	W (-1)	U (44)
11/7	at BAL	L 10-26	L (+5)	U (41)
11/14	vs TEN	W 29-17	W (-1½)	O (43)
11/18	vs CHI	L 0-16	L (-3)	U (40)
11/28	at OAK	W 33-17	W (+3)	O (38)
12/5	vs CLE	L 10-13	L (-6)	U (43½)
12/12	at NYJ	W 10-6	W (+4½)	U (38)
12/19	vs BUF	L 14-17	L (-6)	U (40)
12/26	vs DET	L 27-34	L (-3½)	O (41½)
1/2	at NWE	L 7-38	L (+4)	O (44)



NEW ENGLAND PATRIOTS

Sportsbook.com odds to win SB XLVI: 6-to-1
Sportsbook.com odds to win AFC Title: 11-to-4
2011 Schedule Strength: 20.50 (14th toughest)
2010 Record: 14-3 (+7.6 ML units), 10-6-1 ATS
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 14-3
2010 Schedule Strength: 22.53 (8th toughest)
StatFox.com Power Rating: 35 (#1 of 32)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +14.82 (#2 of 32)

2011 SCHEDULE / SERIES TRENDS / NOTES

Week 1	at Miami	ROAD TEAM is 6-2 against the spread in MIA-NE series since 2007
Week 2	San Diego	UNDERDOG is 8-3 against the spread and 7-4 straight-up in NE-SD series since 1992
Week 3	at Buffalo	NEW ENGLAND is 6-0 against the spread and 7-0 straight-up at BUFFALO since 2004
Week 4	at Oakland	FAVORITE is 3-0 against the spread and 4-0 straight-up in NE-OAK series since 2001
Week 5	N.Y. Jets	ROAD TEAM is 14-7 against the spread and 13-9 straight-up in NE-NYJ series since 2001
Week 6	Dallas	FAVORITE is 4-0 against the spread and straight-up in DAL-NE series since 1992
Week 7	BYE	
Week 8	at Pittsburgh	NEW ENGLAND is 4-1 against the spread and straight-up at PITTSBURGH since 2001
Week 9	N.Y. Giants	NY GIANTS are 4-1 against the spread versus NEW ENGLAND since 1992
Week 10	at N.Y. Jets	NEW ENGLAND is 8-2 against the spread and straight-up at NY JETS since 2001
Week 11	Kansas City	FAVORITE is 9-0 straight-up in the KC-NE series since 1992
Week 12	at Philadelphia	UNDERDOG is 4-0 against the spread in NE-PHI series since 1992
Week 13	Indianapolis	UNDERDOG is 5-1 against the spread in IND-NE series since 2006
Week 14	at Washington	NEW ENGLAND is 2-0 against the spread versus WASHINGTON since 2001
Week 15	at Denver	DENVER is 6-2 against the spread and straight-up against NEW ENGLAND since 2001
Week 16	Miami	MIAMI is 3-1 against the spread at NEW ENGLAND since 2007
Week 17	Buffalo	NEW ENGLAND is 9-4 ATS, 14-0 straight-up against BUFFALO since 2004

SITUATIONAL RECORDS (INCLUDES POST-SEASON RESULTS)

SCENARIO	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	6-YR TOTAL	6-YR%
STRAIGHT UP	11–7	14–5	18–1	11–5	10–7	14–3	78–28	73.6%
OVERALL ATS	9–9	11–8	10–9	9–7	8–8	10–6	57–47	54.8%
PRESEASON ATS	2–2	2–1	2–2	0–4	2–2	2–1	10–12	45.5%
HOME ATS	4–5	3–6	5–5	3–5	5–4	4–4	24–29	45.3%
ROAD ATS	5–4	8–2	5–4	6–2	3–4	6–2	33–18	64.7%
VS DIVISION ATS	4–2	4–3	4–2	4–2	1–4	4–3	21–16	56.8%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	7–7	9–6	8–6	7–5	6–6	7–5	44–35	55.7%
AS FAVORITE ATS	8–5	7–7	10–9	8–6	6–7	7–6	46–40	53.5%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	1–4	4–1	0–0	1–1	2–1	3–0	11–7	61.1%
OVER-UNDER	9–8	8–11	11–7	9–7	6–10	14–3	57–46	55.3%

STATFOX.COM POWER TRENDS

- NEW ENGLAND is 6-1 ATS off a home no-cover where the team won as a favorite the last three seasons
- NEW ENGLAND is 17-3 ATS in October games the last five seasons
- NEW ENGLAND is 10-3 ATS vs. poor passing defenses - allowing a comp. pct. of 61% or worse the last two seasons
- NEW ENGLAND is 2-10 ATS after 8 or more consecutive wins the last five seasons

2010 STATS/RANK

DIFFERENTIALS	NO.	RANK
Scoring	12.8	1
Yardage	-2.8	19
Yds Per Play	0.35	8
Yds Per Point	-7.50	1
Turnovers	+28	1

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	32.4	1
First Downs	20.9	6
Yards/Game	363.8	8
Yds Per Play	5.90	4
Yds Per Point	11.24	1
RushYds/Gm	123.3	9
Yards Per Rush	4.35	10
Pass Att/Game	31.7	20
Comp/Game	20.7	16
Completion %	65.3	5
Pass Yds/Gm	240.4	11
Pass Yds/Att	7.59	3
INTs Thrown	5	1
Sacks Allowed	25	4
Att/Sack	21.3	7
Sack Yards	175	6

DEFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Allowed	19.6	8
First Downs	21.8	30
Yards/Game	366.5	25
Yds Per Play	5.55	26
Yds Per Point	18.73	4
RushYds/Gm	108.2	11
Yards Per Rush	4.23	16
Pass Att/Game	38.3	31
Comp/Game	24.3	31
Comp %	63.6	24
Pass Yds/Gm	258.3	30
Pass Yds/Att	6.75	24
Interceptions	25	1
Sacks	35	16
Att/Sack	18.5	21
Sack Yards	212	22

2007-2010 GAME LOGS

2007	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/9	at NYJ	W 38-14	W (-6)	O (41½)
9/16	vs SDG	W 38-14	W (-3½)	O (46)
9/23	vs BUF	W 38-7	W (-16½)	O (41)
10/1	at CIN	W 34-13	W (-8)	U (54)
10/7	vs CLE	W 34-17	W (-15½)	O (48)
10/14	at DAL	W 48-27	W (-4½)	O (52)
10/21	at MIA	W 49-28	W (-15½)	O (50)
10/28	vs WAS	W 52-7	W (-15)	O (46½)
11/4	at IND	W 24-20	L (-4½)	U (56)
11/18	at BUF	W 56-10	W (-15½)	O (46½)
11/25	vs PHI	W 31-28	L (-23½)	O (51)
12/3	at BAL	W 27-24	L (-19½)	O (46½)
12/9	vs PIT	W 34-13	W (-11)	U (49½)
12/16	vs NYJ	W 20-10	L (-20)	U (42)
12/23	vs MIA	W 28-7	L (-22½)	U (46)
12/29	at NYG	W 38-35	L (-13)	O (46½)

2008	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/7	vs KAN	W 17-10	L (-16½)	U (43½)
9/14	at NYJ	W 19-10	W (-1)	U (37)
9/21	vs MIA	L 13-38	L (-12)	O (37)
10/5	at SFO	W 30-21	W (-3)	O (41)
10/12	at SDG	L 10-30	L (+6)	U (45)
10/20	vs DEN	W 41-7	W (-3)	O (47½)
10/26	vs STL	W 23-16	L (-9)	U (41)
11/2	at IND	L 15-18	W (+6½)	U (44)
11/9	vs BUF	W 20-10	W (-3½)	U (40½)
11/13	vs NYJ	L 31-34	L (-3)	O (41)
11/23	at MIA	W 48-28	W (-2)	O (42)
11/30	vs PIT	L 10-33	L (-2½)	O (40)
12/7	at SEA	W 24-21	L (-7½)	O (43)
12/14	at OAK	W 49-26	W (-7)	O (38)
12/21	vs ARI	W 47-7	W (-8)	O (41)
12/28	at BUF	W 13-0	W (-5½)	U (34½)

2009	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/14	vs BUF	W 25-24	L (-13)	O (47)
9/20	at NYJ	L 9-16	L (-3½)	U (44½)
9/27	vs ATL	W 26-10	W (-4)	U (45½)
10/4	vs BAL	W 27-21	W (-2)	O (45)
10/11	at DEN	L 17-20	L (-3)	U (41)
10/18	vs TEN	W 59-0	W (-9)	O (39)
10/25	vs TAM	W 35-7	W (-15½)	U (44½)
11/8	vs MIA	W 27-17	L (-11)	U (47)
11/15	at IND	L 34-35	W (+3)	O (48½)
11/22	vs NYJ	W 31-14	W (-11)	P (45)
11/30	at NOR	L 17-38	L (+2)	U (57)
12/6	at MIA	L 21-22	L (-5)	U (46)
12/13	vs CAR	W 20-10	L (-12½)	U (43½)
12/20	at BUF	W 17-10	P (-7)	U (41½)
12/27	vs JAC	W 35-7	W (-9)	U (44½)
1/3	at HOU	L 27-34	W (+8)	O (47)

2010	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/12	vs CIN	W 38-24	W (-5)	O (45)
9/19	at NYJ	L 14-28	L (-3)	O (39½)
9/26	vs BUF	W 38-30	L (-14)	O (42½)
10/4	at MIA	W 41-14	W (pk)	O (48½)
10/17	vs BAL	W 23-20	P (-3)	U (44½)
10/24	at SDG	W 23-20	W (+3)	U (49)
10/31	vs MIN	W 28-18	W (-5)	O (45)
11/7	at CLE	L 14-34	L (-4)	O (43½)
11/14	at PIT	W 39-26	W (+4)	O (45)
11/21	vs IND	W 31-28	L (-4)	O (49)
11/25	at DET	W 45-24	W (-6)	O (50)
12/6	vs NYJ	W 45-3	W (-4)	O (44)
12/12	at CHI	W 36-7	W (-3)	O (37½)
12/19	vs GNB	W 31-27	L (-14)	O (43½)
12/26	at BUF	W 34-3	W (-7)	U (46)
1/2	vs MIA	W 38-7	W (-4)	O (44)

> AFC EAST



NEW YORK JETS

Sportsbook.com odds to win SB XLVI: 12-to-1
Sportsbook.com odds to win AFC Title: 5-to-1
2011 Schedule Strength: 20.94 (10th toughest)
2010 Record: 13-6 (+7.2 ML units), 11-8 ATS
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 14-5
2010 Schedule Strength: 23.16 (3rd toughest)
StatFox.com Power Rating: 28 (#5 of 32)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +4.23 (#8 of 32)

2011 SCHEDULE / SERIES TRENDS / NOTES

Week 1	Dallas	DALLAS is 3-1 against the spread and straight-up against NY JETS since 1992
Week 2	Jacksonville	JACKSONVILLE is 5-0 against the spread and 4-1 straight-up against NY JETS since 2001
Week 3	at Oakland	HOME TEAM is 5-2 against the spread in NYJ-OAK series since 2001
Week 4	at Baltimore	BALTIMORE is 6-1 against the spread and straight-up against NY JETS since 1992
Week 5	at New England	ROAD TEAM is 14-7 against the spread and 13-9 straight-up in NE-NYJ series since 2001
Week 6	Miami	NY JETS are 24-11 against the spread versus MIAMI since 1992
Week 7	San Diego	ROAD TEAM is 5-2 against the spread and 6-1 straight-up in NYJ-SD series since 1992
Week 8	BYE	
Week 9	at Buffalo	UNDERDOG is 11-3 against the spread and 9-5 straight-up in BUF-NYJ series since 2004
Week 10	New England	NEW ENGLAND is 8-2 against the spread and straight-up at NY JETS since 2001
Week 11	at Denver	FAVORITE is 5-1 against the spread and straight-up at DENVER in DEN-NYJ series since 1992
Week 12	Buffalo	UNDERDOG is 6-1 against the spread when BUFFALO plays at NY JETS since 2004
Week 13	at Washington	FAVORITE is 5-0 straight-up in NYJ-WAS series since 1992
Week 14	Kansas City	KANSAS CITY is 4-1 against the spread versus NY JETS since 2001
Week 15	at Philadelphia	PHILADELPHIA is 3-1 against the spread and 4-0 straight-up against NY JETS since 1992
Week 16	Giants	NY GIANTS are 3-1 against the spread and 4-1 straight-up against NY JETS since 1992
Week 17	at Miami	NY JETS are 6-1 against the spread and 5-2 straight-up at MIAMI since 2004

SITUATIONAL RECORDS (INCLUDES POST-SEASON RESULTS)

SCENARIO	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	6-YR TOTAL	6-YR%
STRAIGHT UP	4–12	10–7	4–12	9–7	11–8	13–6	51–52	49.5%
OVERALL ATS	6–10	11–6	6–9	7–9	11–8	11–8	52–50	51.0%
PRESEASON ATS	3–1	1–3	3–1	2–1	3–1	2–2	14–9	60.9%
HOME ATS	5–3	5–3	2–5	3–5	4–4	4–4	23–24	48.9%
ROAD ATS	1–7	6–3	4–4	4–4	7–4	7–4	29–26	52.7%
VS DIVISION ATS	3–3	4–3	2–3	3–3	2–4	5–2	19–18	51.4%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	5–7	8–5	5–6	5–7	9–6	10–5	42–36	53.8%
AS FAVORITE ATS	1–2	4–1	0–3	4–7	6–5	5–5	20–23	46.5%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	5–8	7–5	6–6	3–2	5–3	6–3	32–27	54.2%
OVER-UNDER	9–7	10–7	7–9	8–8	9–9	14–5	57–45	55.9%

STATFOX.COM POWER TRENDS

- NY JETS is 10-2 ATS after allowing 99 or less rushing yards in 2 straight games the last three seasons
- NY JETS is 11-3 ATS revenging a loss against opponent the last three seasons
- NY JETS is 2-8 ATS versus good rushing teams - averaging >=4.5 rushing yards/carry the last five seasons
- NY JETS is 1-10 ATS versus terrible defensive teams - allowing >=375 yards/game the last five seasons

2010 STATS/RANK

DIFFERENTIALS	NO.	RANK
Scoring	3.9	8
Yardage	59.5	6
Yds Per Play	0.41	7
Yds Per Point	-0.04	13
Turnovers	+9	5

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	22.9	14
First Downs	19.2	15
Yards/Game	351.0	11
Yds Per Play	5.17	19
Yds Per Point	15.30	16
RushYds/Gm	148.4	4
Yards Per Rush	4.45	8
Pass Att/Game	32.8	18
Comp/Game	18.0	25
Completion %	54.9	30
Pass Yds/Gm	202.6	22
Pass Yds/Att	6.18	25
INTs Thrown	14	12
Sacks Allowed	28	8
Att/Sack	19.8	8
Sack Yards	178	7

DEFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Allowed	19.0	6
First Downs	17.0	5
Yards/Game	291.5	3
Yds Per Play	4.76	3
Yds Per Point	15.34	14
RushYds/Gm	90.9	3
Yards Per Rush	3.57	3
Pass Att/Game	33.2	15
Comp/Game	16.8	2
Comp %	50.7	1
Pass Yds/Gm	200.6	6
Pass Yds/Att	6.04	5
Interceptions	12	25
Sacks	40	8
Att/Sack	14.3	8
Sack Yards	245	14

2007-2010 GAME LOGS

2007	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/9	vs NWE	L 14-38	L (+6)	O (41½)
9/16	at BAL	L 13-20	W (+9)	O (32½)
9/23	vs MIA	W 31-28	P (-3)	O (36½)
9/30	at BUF	L 14-17	L (-3)	U (37½)
10/7	at NYG	L 24-35	L (+3½)	O (40½)
10/14	vs PHI	L 9-16	L (+4)	U (43½)
10/21	at CIN	L 31-38	L (+5½)	O (48½)
10/28	vs BUF	L 3-13	L (-3)	U (38½)
11/4	vs WAS	L 20-23	W (+3½)	O (36)
11/18	vs PIT	W 19-16	W (+9)	U (40½)
11/22	at DAL	L 3-34	L (+14)	U (48)
12/2	at MIA	W 40-13	W (+2)	O (37)
12/9	vs CLE	L 18-24	L (+3)	U (47)
12/16	at NWE	L 10-20	W (+20)	U (42)
12/23	at TEN	L 6-10	W (+8)	U (37½)
12/30	vs KAN	W 13-10	L (-4½)	U (35½)

2008	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/7	at MIA	W 20-14	W (-3)	U (37)
9/14	vs NWE	L 10-19	L (+1)	U (37)
9/22	at SDG	L 29-48	L (+8)	O (46½)
9/28	vs ARI	W 56-35	W (-1½)	O (43½)
10/12	vs CIN	W 26-14	W (-9½)	U (44)
10/19	at OAK	L 13-16	L (-3)	U (41)
10/26	vs KAN	W 28-24	L (-14)	O (40½)
11/2	at BUF	W 26-17	W (+5)	U (43½)
11/9	vs STL	W 47-3	W (-9½)	O (43½)
11/13	at NWE	W 34-31	W (+3)	O (41)
11/23	at TEN	W 34-13	W (+5½)	O (41)
11/30	vs DEN	L 17-34	L (-8)	O (48)
12/7	at SFO	L 14-24	L (-5)	U (45½)
12/14	vs BUF	W 31-27	L (-8)	O (41)
12/21	at SEA	L 3-13	L (-3½)	U (41½)
12/28	vs MIA	L 17-24	L (-3)	U (43)

2009	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/13	at HOU	W 24-7	W (+4½)	U (44½)
9/20	vs NWE	W 16-9	W (+3½)	U (44½)
9/27	vs TEN	W 24-17	W (-1)	O (36)
10/4	at NOR	L 10-24	L (+7½)	U (47)
10/12	at MIA	L 27-31	L (-3)	O (35½)
10/18	vs BUF	L 13-16	L (-9)	U (35½)
10/25	at OAK	W 38-0	W (-6)	O (34)
11/1	vs MIA	L 25-30	L (-3)	O (40)
11/15	vs JAC	L 22-24	L (-6½)	O (40½)
11/22	at NWE	L 14-31	L (+11)	P (45)
11/29	vs CAR	W 17-6	W (-3)	U (41)
12/3	vs BUF	W 19-13	W (-3½)	U (37)
12/13	at TAM	W 26-3	W (-3½)	U (36½)
12/20	vs ATL	L 7-10	L (-5)	U (36½)
12/27	at IND	W 29-15	W (+3)	O (40½)
1/3	vs CIN	W 37-0	W (-9½)	O (33½)

2010	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/13	vs BAL	L 9-10	L (-1½)	U (36)
9/19	vs NWE	W 28-14	W (+3)	O (39½)
9/26	at MIA	W 31-23	W (+2)	O (35½)
10/3	at BUF	W 38-14	W (-5)	O (36½)
10/11	vs MIN	W 29-20	W (-4)	O (38)
10/17	at DEN	W 24-20	W (-3)	O (42½)
10/31	vs GNB	L 0-9	L (-6½)	U (41½)
11/7	at DET	W 23-20	L (-5½)	O (42½)
11/14	at CLE	W 26-20	W (-3)	O (37)
11/21	vs HOU	W 30-27	L (-6½)	O (46)
11/25	vs CIN	W 26-10	W (-9½)	U (43)
12/6	at NWE	L 3-45	L (+4)	O (44)
12/12	vs MIA	L 6-10	L (-4½)	U (38)
12/19	at PIT	W 22-17	W (+3)	O (36)
12/26	at CHI	L 34-38	L (+3)	O (35½)
1/2	vs BUF	W 38-7	W (pk)	O (38)

AFC NORTH PREVIEW

BALTIMORE RAVENS

The Ravens are still searching for an identity offensively. Despite bringing in big-money possession receiver Anquan Boldin for QB Joe Flacco last offseason, they lack a perimeter threat who can stretch the defense. Rookie Torrey Smith could potentially fill that role but is likely a year away from being a major contributor. The struggles of Michael Oher after switching from right to left tackle last season doesn't bode well for Flacco either. Ray Rice and the running game could get back on track against a weaker slate of defenses this year. Baltimore is still a good defensive team thanks to their ability to stuff the run. NT Haloti Ngata is arguably the NFL's best interior lineman and LB Ray Lewis has been ageless. They're still searching for a second pass rusher to go along with Terrell Suggs. Ball-hawking safety Ed Reed needs to stay healthy for this unit to be elite; he's 32, but is relatively healthy for the first time in years. The rest of their secondary is weak; their defensive numbers were skewed by 2010's early season schedule of putrid opposing QBs. They're rolling the dice that first-round pick Jimmy Smith, a talented CB with character concerns, can be a major contributor.

CINCINNATI BENGALS


Whether franchise QB Carson Palmer is traded or forced into retirement, he has sworn he'll never play another game for the Bengals. He'll likely be replaced by second-round pick Andy Dalton, a heady pocket passer with questionable arm strength. He'll be operating a new offense, as the team fired long-time offensive coordinator Bob Bratkowski and will replace his aggressive vertical passing game with new OC Jay Gruden's West Coast attack. Cincinnati will also be breaking in a young, new group of receivers, including No. 4 overall pick A.J. Green and fourth-year WR Jerome Simpson on the outside and second-year slot receiver Jordan Shipley. It's a lot of youth and West Coast system's tend to require a steeper learning curve, so there could be major growing pains for this unit. Defensively, they have some decent young talent, including second-year DE Carlos Dunlap, and a good coordinator in Mike Zimmer, but this unit isn't good enough to carry the load.

CLEVELAND BROWNS

The Browns will transition to a full-fledged West Coast under new head coach Pat Shurmur. He had success with rookie QB Sam Bradford in St. Louis last season, and QB Colt McCoy has the mobility and accuracy to fit a West Coast scheme. But this offense will still be heavily based on the run. Peyton Hillis wore down as last year went on, but the return of Montario Hardesty (who Cleveland traded up to draft in the second round of the 2010 Draft) will allow the Browns to get Hillis some needed rest. After playing a 3-4 defense under former head coach Eric Mangini, they'll switch to a 4-3 front with more of a Cover-2 feel under new defensive coordinator Dick Jauron. They added DT Phil Taylor and DE Jabaal Sheard in the first and second rounds, respectively, on Draft day, but they still don't have ideal personnel for their front seven. The secondary is also a weakness; they need a huge leap forward from second-year CB Joe Haden, their only starting-caliber corner. Josh Cribbs' ability in the return game will be one of their biggest advantages.

PREDICTED STANDINGS AFC NORTH

TEAM	W	L
Baltimore Ravens	12	4
Pittsburgh Steelers	10	6
Cleveland Browns	6	10
Cincinnati Bengals	4	12



The Steelers have missed the playoffs the season after each of their last two Super Bowl appearances. They'll rely heavily on Ben Roethlisberger, Hines Ward and the passing game to break that trend in 2011.

PITTSBURGH STEELERS

Offensive coordinator Bruce Arians and QB Ben Roethlisberger are ready to air it out this season. Even with WR Hines Ward entering the twilight of his career, they have plenty of talent in the receiving corps. Mike Wallace is an emerging star, second-year talents Emmanuel Sanders and Antonio Brown are solid, and Heath Miller is still one of the league's best two-way tight ends. The offense could go as far as their offensive line allows them to go. It's a big question mark after a season of injuries and ineffectiveness. Whether the defense is very good or great will depend on the health of S Troy Polamalu, who has been hampered by injuries in recent years. The front seven is outstanding. They added depth to an aging D-Line with first-round pick Cameron Heyward, and still have the best pass-rushing tandem in the NFL in James Harrison and LaMarr Woodley.

> AFC NORTH



BALTIMORE RAVENS

Sportsbook.com odds to win SB XLVI: 12-to-1
Sportsbook.com odds to win AFC Title: 11-to-2
2011 Schedule Strength: 18.38 (26th toughest)
2010 Record: 13-5 (+7.3 ML units), 9-8-1 ATS
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 8-10
2010 Schedule Strength: 20.72 (14th toughest)
StatFox.com Power Rating: 29 (#3 of 32)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +6.40 (#4 of 32)

2011 SCHEDULE / SERIES TRENDS / NOTES

Week 1	Pittsburgh	PITTSBURGH is 3-0 against the spread at BALTIMORE since 2008
Week 2	at Tennessee	UNDERDOG is 14-5 against the spread in BAL-TEN series since 1992
Week 3	at St. Louis	FAVORITE is 3-1 against the spread and 4-0 straight-up in BAL-STL series since 1992
Week 4	N.Y. Jets	BALTIMORE is 6-1 against the spread and straight-up against NY JETS since 1992
Week 5	BYE	
Week 6	Houston	ROAD TEAM is 4-0 against the spread and 3-1 straight-up in BAL-HOU series since 2001
Week 7	at Jacksonville	UNDERDOG is 8-4 against the spread in BAL-JAC series since 1992
Week 8	Arizona	ARIZONA is 3-1 against the spread versus Baltimore since 1992
Week 9	at Pittsburgh	Last seven games in PITTSBURGH are 5-1 OVER the total in BAL-PIT series since 2006
Week 10	at Seattle	HOME TEAM is 2-0 against the spread and 3-0 straight-up in BAL-SEA series since 1992
Week 11	Cincinnati	CINCINNATI is 10-4 against the spread versus BALTIMORE since 2004
Week 12	San Francisco	HOME TEAM is 3-0 against the spread in BAL-SF series since 1992
Week 13	at Cleveland	Last seven games in CLEVELAND are 5-1 UNDER the total in BAL-CLE series since 2004
Week 14	Indianapolis	FAVORITE is 8-3 against the spread and 10-1 straight-up in BAL-IND series since 1992
Week 15	at San Diego	HOME TEAM is 5-2 against the spread and straight-up in BAL-SD series since 1992
Week 16	Cleveland	FAVORITE is 5-2 against the spread and 7-0 straight-up at BALTIMORE in BAL-CLE series since 2004
Week 17	at Cincinnati	CINCINNATI is 4-1 against the spread and straight-up against BALTIMORE at CINCINNATI since 2006

SITUATIONAL RECORDS (INCLUDES POST-SEASON RESULTS)

SCENARIO	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	6-YR TOTAL	6-YR%
STRAIGHT UP	6–10	13–4	5–11	13–6	10–8	13–5	60–44	57.7%
OVERALL ATS	7–9	10–7	3–13	14–5	9–8	9–8	52–50	51.0%
PRESEASON ATS	2–2	2–2	1–3	1–3	3–1	3–1	12–12	50.0%
HOME ATS	5–3	6–3	3–5	6–2	5–3	3–5	28–21	57.1%
ROAD ATS	2–6	4–4	0–8	8–3	4–5	6–3	24–29	45.3%
VS DIVISION ATS	3–3	3–3	1–5	5–2	2–3	2–5	16–21	43.2%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	5–7	7–6	2–10	11–4	6–7	7–6	38–40	48.7%
AS FAVORITE ATS	4–3	5–5	1–7	7–1	6–4	7–6	30–26	53.6%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	3–6	5–2	2–6	7–4	3–4	2–2	22–24	47.8%
OVER-UNDER	5–11	6–10	10–5	11–8	7–10	8–10	47–54	46.5%

STATFOX.COM POWER TRENDS

- BALTIMORE is 9-2 ATS after a playing a game where 50 total points or more were scored the last three seasons
- BALTIMORE is 12-3 ATS when playing against a team with a losing record the last three seasons
- BALTIMORE is 10-3 ATS after failing to cover the spread in 2 out of their last 3 games the last three seasons
- BALTIMORE is 0-7 ATS vs. good rush def. - allowing <=90 rush yds/game in second half of season, last three seasons

2010 STATS/RANK

DIFFERENTIALS	NO.	RANK
Scoring	5.4	6
Yardage	4.5	15
Yds Per Play	0.01	16
Yds Per Point	-4.40	4
Turnovers	+7	9

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	22.3	16
First Downs	18.9	18
Yards/Game	322.9	22
Yds Per Play	5.07	23
Yds Per Point	14.47	9
RushYds/Gm	114.4	14
Yards Per Rush	3.76	28
Pass Att/Game	30.7	25
Comp/Game	19.3	18
Completion %	62.7	9
Pass Yds/Gm	208.4	20
Pass Yds/Att	6.79	11
INTs Thrown	10	6
Sacks Allowed	40	23
Att/Sack	13.3	25
Sack Yards	294	27

DEFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Allowed	16.9	3
First Downs	17.8	11
Yards/Game	318.4	10
Yds Per Play	5.06	6
Yds Per Point	18.87	3
RushYds/Gm	93.9	5
Yards Per Rush	3.91	7
Pass Att/Game	37.3	30
Comp/Game	21.8	23
Comp %	58.6	11
Pass Yds/Gm	224.4	21
Pass Yds/Att	6.03	4
Interceptions	19	8
Sacks	27	27
Att/Sack	23.1	32
Sack Yards	190	24

2007-2010 GAME LOGS

2007	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/10	at CIN	L 20-27	L (+2½)	O (39½)
9/16	vs NYJ	W 20-13	L (-9)	O (32½)
9/23	vs ARI	W 26-23	L (-7)	O (36)
9/30	at CLE	L 13-27	L (-3½)	P (40)
10/7	at SFO	W 9-7	L (-4)	U (34½)
10/14	vs STL	W 22-3	W (-9)	U (36½)
10/21	at BUF	L 14-19	L (-3)	U (33½)
11/5	at PIT	L 7-38	L (+9)	O (36)
11/11	vs CIN	L 7-21	L (-3)	U (44)
11/18	vs CLE	L 30-33	L (+1)	O (43½)
11/25	at SDG	L 14-32	L (+9½)	O (39)
12/3	vs NWE	L 24-27	W (+19½)	O (46½)
12/9	vs IND	L 20-44	L (+9)	O (42)
12/16	at MIA	L 16-22	L (-3)	O (37)
12/23	at SEA	L 6-27	L (+13)	U (38½)
12/30	vs PIT	W 27-21	W (+3)	O (34½)

2008	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/7	vs CIN	W 17-10	W (+2)	U (38)
9/21	vs CLE	W 28-10	W (-3)	O (36½)
9/29	at PIT	L 20-23	W (+6)	O (34½)
10/5	vs TEN	L 10-13	L (+1)	U (33½)
10/12	at IND	L 3-31	L (+4)	U (39)
10/19	at MIA	W 27-13	W (+3)	O (35½)
10/26	vs OAK	W 29-10	W (-8)	O (34½)
11/2	at CLE	W 37-27	W (+3)	O (36½)
11/9	at HOU	W 41-13	W (+3)	O (44)
11/16	at NYG	L 10-30	L (+6½)	O (39)
11/23	vs PHI	W 36-7	W (-2)	O (39)
11/30	at CIN	W 34-3	W (-7)	O (36)
12/7	vs WAS	W 24-10	W (-6)	U (35)
12/14	vs PIT	L 9-13	L (-3)	U (34)
12/20	at DAL	W 33-24	W (+5½)	O (39)
12/28	vs JAC	W 27-7	W (-10½)	U (37½)

2009	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/13	vs KAN	W 38-24	W (-13)	O (37)
9/20	at SDG	W 31-26	W (+1)	O (41)
9/27	vs CLE	W 34-3	W (-13½)	U (38½)
10/4	at NWE	L 21-27	L (+2)	O (45)
10/11	vs CIN	L 14-17	L (-9)	U (42)
10/18	at MIN	L 31-33	W (+3)	O (45½)
11/1	vs DEN	W 30-7	W (-4½)	U (42½)
11/8	at CIN	L 7-17	L (-3)	U (45)
11/16	at CLE	W 16-0	W (-11)	U (39½)
11/22	vs IND	L 15-17	L (+1)	U (44½)
11/29	vs PIT	W 20-17	L (-7½)	O (34½)
12/7	at GNB	L 14-27	L (+4)	U (43)
12/13	vs DET	W 48-3	W (-14)	O (40½)
12/20	vs CHI	W 31-7	W (-10½)	U (39½)
12/27	at PIT	L 20-23	P (+3)	P (43)
1/3	at OAK	W 21-13	L (-10)	U (39½)

2010	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/13	at NYJ	W 10-9	W (+1½)	U (36)
9/19	at CIN	L 10-15	L (-3)	U (39)
9/26	vs CLE	W 24-17	L (-12½)	O (36)
10/3	at PIT	W 17-14	W (+2½)	U (34)
10/10	vs DEN	W 31-17	W (-8)	O (39½)
10/17	at NWE	L 20-23	P (+3)	U (44½)
10/24	vs BUF	W 37-34	L (-12½)	O (39½)
11/7	vs MIA	W 26-10	W (-5)	U (41)
11/11	at ATL	L 21-26	L (+2)	O (44)
11/21	at CAR	W 37-13	W (-13)	O (36½)
11/28	vs TAM	W 17-10	L (-7½)	U (41½)
12/5	vs PIT	L 10-13	L (-3)	U (38½)
12/13	at HOU	W 34-28	W (-3)	O (47)
12/19	vs NOR	W 30-24	W (-2)	O (45)
12/26	at CLE	W 20-10	W (-3)	U (39½)
1/2	vs CIN	W 13-7	L (-9½)	U (43)

CINCINNATI BENGALS

Sportsbook.com odds to win SB XLVI: 100-to-1
Sportsbook.com odds to win AFC Title: 50-to-1
2011 Schedule Strength: 17.94 (29th toughest)
2010 Record: 4-12 (-8.9 ML units), 7-9 ATS
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 8-8
2010 Schedule Strength: 22.94 (4th toughest)
StatFox.com Power Rating: 18 (#19 of 32)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -3.96 (#26 of 32)

2011 SCHEDULE / SERIES TRENDS / NOTES

Week 1	at Cleveland	UNDERDOG is 4-1 against the spread at CLEVELAND in CIN-CLE series since 2006
Week 2	at Denver	UNDERDOG is 3-0 against the spread in CIN-DEN series since 2004
Week 3	San Francisco	HOME TEAM is 5-0 straight-up in CIN-SF series since 1992
Week 4	Buffalo	BUFFALO is 7-1 against the spread and 8-0 straight-up against CINCINNATI since 1992
Week 5	at Jacksonville	FAVORITE is 6-1 against the spread and 8-0 straight-up at JACKSONVILLE in CIN-JAC series since 1992
Week 6	Indianapolis	FAVORITE is 10-3 against the spread and 12-1 straight-up in CIN-IND series since 1992
Week 7	BYE	
Week 8	at Seattle	CINCINNATI is 3-1 against the spread at SEATTLE since 1992
Week 9	at Tennessee	FAVORITE is 6-1 against the spread and straight-up in CIN-TEN series since 2001
Week 10	Pittsburgh	PITTSBURGH is 15-5 against the spread and 16-4 straight-up at CINCINNATI since 1992
Week 11	at Baltimore	CINCINNATI is 10-4 against the spread versus BALTIMORE since 2004
Week 12	Cleveland	UNDERDOG is 7-1 against the spread in CIN-CLE series since 2007
Week 13	at Pittsburgh	PITTSBURGH is 11-4 against the spread and straight-up against CINCINNATI since 2004
Week 14	Houston	HOUSTON is 3-0 against the spread versus CINCINNATI since 2004
Week 15	at St. Louis	ST. LOUIS is 4-1 against the spread versus CINCINNATI since 1992
Week 16	Arizona	ARIZONA is 4-1 against the spread versus CINCINNATI since 1992
Week 17	Baltimore	CINCINNATI is 4-1 against the spread and straight-up against BALTIMORE at CINCINNATI since 2006

SITUATIONAL RECORDS (INCLUDES POST-SEASON RESULTS)

SCENARIO	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	6-YR TOTAL	6-YR%
STRAIGHT UP	11–6	8–8	7–9	4–11	10–7	4–12	44–53	45.4%
OVERALL ATS	8–8	8–7	6–9	7–9	7–10	7–9	43–52	45.3%
PRESEASON ATS	2–2	4–0	2–2	2–2	2–2	2–3	14–11	56.0%
HOME ATS	2–7	3–4	4–4	4–4	3–6	4–4	20–29	40.8%
ROAD ATS	6–1	5–3	2–5	3–5	4–4	3–5	23–23	50.0%
VS DIVISION ATS	4–3	4–2	3–3	1–5	4–2	3–3	19–18	51.4%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	5–7	7–5	6–6	3–9	5–8	5–7	31–42	42.5%
AS FAVORITE ATS	7–5	5–4	4–6	1–2	0–8	1–3	18–28	39.1%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	1–3	3–3	2–3	6–7	7–2	6–6	25–24	51.0%
OVER-UNDER	8–9	7–9	7–9	5–10	8–9	8–8	43–54	44.3%

STATFOX.COM POWER TRENDS

- CINCINNATI is 9-2 ATS vs. good offensive teams - scoring 24 or more points/game the last three seasons
- CINCINNATI is 8-3 ATS off a upset win as an underdog the last three seasons
- CINCINNATI is 4-10 ATS when playing against a team with a losing record the last three seasons
- CINCINNATI is 1-15 ATS vs. good defensive teams who give up 17 or less points/game the last five seasons

2010 STATS/RANK

DIFFERENTIALS	NO.	RANK
Scoring	-4.6	26
Yardage	-1.4	18
Yds Per Play	-0.49	25
Yds Per Point	2.98	28
Turnovers	-8	25

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	20.1	22
First Downs	19.8	12
Yards/Game	330.6	20
Yds Per Play	5.06	24
Yds Per Point	16.43	24
RushYds/Gm	95.1	27
Yards Per Rush	3.56	32
Pass Att/Game	36.9	5
Comp/Game	22.8	5
Completion %	61.9	16
Pass Yds/Gm	235.4	13
Pass Yds/Att	6.38	20
INTs Thrown	20	23
Sacks Allowed	28	8
Att/Sack	22.1	6
Sack Yards	221	14

DEFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Allowed	24.7	24
First Downs	18.1	12
Yards/Game	332.0	15
Yds Per Play	5.55	25
Yds Per Point	13.45	29
RushYds/Gm	115.2	19
Yards Per Rush	4.43	21
Pass Att/Game	32.1	9
Comp/Game	20.4	16
Comp %	63.4	22
Pass Yds/Gm	216.8	14
Pass Yds/Att	6.75	22
Interceptions	16	14
Sacks	27	27
Att/Sack	20.0	27
Sack Yards	179	27

2007-2010 GAME LOGS

2007	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/10	vs BAL	W 27-20	W (-2½)	O (39½)
9/16	at CLE	L 45-51	L (-7)	O (41½)
9/23	at SEA	L 21-24	P (+3)	U (49)
10/1	vs NWE	L 13-34	L (+8)	U (54)
10/14	at KAN	L 20-27	L (-2½)	O (44)
10/21	vs NYJ	W 38-31	W (-5½)	O (48½)
10/28	vs PIT	L 13-24	L (+4)	U (48)
11/4	at BUF	L 21-33	L (-1½)	O (44)
11/11	at BAL	W 21-7	W (+3)	U (44)
11/18	vs ARI	L 27-35	L (-3)	O (48½)
11/25	vs TEN	W 35-6	W (-1)	U (47)
12/2	at PIT	L 10-24	L (+6½)	U (39½)
12/9	vs STL	W 19-10	L (-10)	U (45½)
12/15	at SFO	L 13-20	L (-8)	U (43)
12/23	vs CLE	W 19-14	W (+2)	U (43)
12/30	at MIA	W 38-25	W (-3)	O (45)

2008	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/7	at BAL	L 10-17	L (-2)	U (38)
9/14	vs TEN	L 7-24	L (+1)	U (37)
9/21	at NYG	L 23-26	W (+12½)	O (42½)
9/28	vs CLE	L 12-20	L (-1)	U (42)
10/5	at DAL	L 22-31	W (+16)	O (46)
10/12	at NYJ	L 14-26	L (+9½)	U (44)
10/19	vs PIT	L 10-38	L (+7½)	O (36½)
10/26	at HOU	L 6-35	L (+9)	U (46)
11/2	vs JAC	W 21-19	W (+7)	P (40)
11/16	vs PHI	T 13-13	W (+8½)	U (41)
11/20	at PIT	L 10-27	L (+12)	O (34½)
11/30	vs BAL	L 3-34	L (+7)	O (36)
12/7	at IND	L 3-35	L (+13½)	U (41½)
12/14	vs WAS	W 20-13	W (+6½)	U (35½)
12/21	at CLE	W 14-0	W (+2)	U (31)
12/28	vs KAN	W 16-6	W (-1)	U (40)

2009	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/13	vs DEN	L 7-12	L (-5)	U (41)
9/20	at GNB	W 31-24	W (+9)	O (41½)
9/27	vs PIT	W 23-20	W (+3)	O (36½)
10/4	at CLE	W 23-20	L (-6)	O (37½)
10/11	at BAL	W 17-14	W (+9)	U (42)
10/18	vs HOU	L 17-28	L (-3½)	U (46)
10/25	vs CHI	W 45-10	W (+1½)	O (42½)
11/8	vs BAL	W 17-7	W (+3)	U (45)
11/15	at PIT	W 18-12	W (+7)	U (41½)
11/22	at OAK	L 17-20	L (-8½)	O (36)
11/29	vs CLE	W 16-7	L (-12½)	U (39)
12/6	vs DET	W 23-13	L (-13½)	U (42)
12/13	at MIN	L 10-30	L (+6)	U (42)
12/20	at SDG	L 24-27	W (+6½)	O (44)
12/27	vs KAN	W 17-10	L (-13)	U (39½)
1/3	at NYJ	L 0-37	L (+9½)	O (33½)

2010	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/12	at NWE	L 24-38	L (+5)	O (45)
9/19	vs BAL	W 15-10	W (+3)	U (39)
9/26	at CAR	W 20-7	W (-3)	U (37)
10/3	at CLE	L 20-23	L (-2)	O (36½)
10/10	vs TAM	L 21-24	L (-6½)	O (38½)
10/24	at ATL	L 32-39	L (+3)	O (43)
10/31	vs MIA	L 14-22	L (+1)	U (44)
11/8	vs PIT	L 21-27	L (+5)	O (41½)
11/14	at IND	L 17-23	W (+7)	U (47)
11/21	vs BUF	L 31-49	L (-4)	O (41)
11/25	at NYJ	L 10-26	L (+9½)	U (43)
12/5	vs NOR	L 30-34	W (+6½)	O (45)
12/12	at PIT	L 7-23	L (+8)	U (40)
12/19	vs CLE	W 19-17	W (pk)	U (41)
12/26	vs SDG	W 34-20	W (+7½)	O (43½)
1/2	at BAL	L 7-13	W (+9½)	U (43)

> AFC NORTH



CLEVELAND BROWNS

Sportsbook.com odds to win SB XLVI: 60-to-1
Sportsbook.com odds to win AFC Title: 30-to-1
2011 Schedule Strength: 19.31 (19th toughest)
2010 Record: 5-11 (-1.5 ML units), 5-10-1 ATS
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 9-7
2010 Schedule Strength: 22.19 (9th toughest)
StatFox.com Power Rating: 15 (#24 of 32)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -2.85 (#22 of 32)

2011 SCHEDULE / SERIES TRENDS / NOTES

Week 1	Cincinnati	UNDERDOG is 4-1 against the spread at CLEVELAND in CIN-CLE series since 2006
Week 2	at Indianapolis	Last four games at INDIANAPOLIS are 4-0 UNDER the total in CLE-IND series since 1992
Week 3	Miami	CLEVELAND is 4-0 against the spread and 3-1 straight-up against MIAMI since 2001
Week 4	Tennessee	FAVORITE is 7-1 against the spread and straight-up at CLEVELAND in CLE-TEN series since 1992
Week 5	BYE	
Week 6	at Oakland	CLEVELAND is 7-1 against the spread and 6-2 straight-up against OAKLAND since 1992
Week 7	Seattle	FAVORITE is 4-0 against the spread and 5-0 straight-up in CLE-SEA series since 1992
Week 8	at San Francisco	CLEVELAND is 3-0 against the spread and straight-up against SAN FRANCISCO since 1992
Week 9	at Houston	Last six games are 5-1 UNDER the total in CLE-HOU series since 2001
Week 10	St. Louis	FAVORITE is 4-0 against the spread and straight-up in CLE-STL series since 1992
Week 11	Jacksonville	ROAD TEAM is 5-2 against the spread and straight-up in CLE-JAC series since 2001
Week 12	at Cincinnati	UNDERDOG is 7-1 against the spread in CIN-CLE series since 2007
Week 13	Baltimore	Last seven games in CLEVELAND are 5-1 UNDER the total in BAL-CLE series since 2004
Week 14	at Pittsburgh	PITTSBURGH is 12-6 against the spread and 16-2 straight-up at home against CLEVELAND since 1992
Week 15	at Arizona	FAVORITE is 4-0 against the spread in the ARI-CLE series since 1992
Week 16	at Baltimore	FAVORITE is 5-2 against the spread and 7-0 straight-up at BALTIMORE in BAL-CLE series since 2004
Week 17	Pittsburgh	Last 14 games are 10-4 OVER the total in CLE-PIT series since 2004

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	6-YR TOTAL	6-YR%
STRAIGHT UP	6–10	4–12	10–6	4–12	5–11	5–11	34–62	35.4%
OVERALL ATS	8–8	8–7	12–4	6–9	10–6	5–10	49–44	52.7%
PRESEASON ATS	4–0	2–2	3–1	0–4	2–2	2–2	13–11	54.2%
HOME ATS	4–4	4–4	7–1	2–5	5–3	2–6	24–23	51.1%
ROAD ATS	4–4	4–3	5–3	4–4	5–3	3–4	25–21	54.3%
VS DIVISION ATS	2–4	3–3	4–2	2–4	4–2	2–4	17–19	47.2%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	6–6	7–5	9–3	4–7	9–3	4–8	39–32	54.9%
AS FAVORITE ATS	3–1	2–2	8–1	0–4	2–0	0–2	15–10	60.0%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	5–7	6–5	4–3	6–5	8–6	5–8	34–34	50.0%
OVER-UNDER	4–12	7–9	9–6	6–9	7–9	9–7	42–52	44.7%

STATFOX.COM POWER TRENDS

- CLEVELAND is 9-2 ATS after scoring 30 points or more last game the last five seasons
- CLEVELAND is 12-5 ATS in October games the last five seasons
- CLEVELAND is 3-8 ATS vs. good teams - outscoring opponents by 6+ PPG on the season the last three seasons
- CLEVELAND is 0-6 ATS versus good rushing defenses - allowing <=3.5 rushing yards/carry the last three seasons

2010 STATS/RANK

DIFFERENTIALS	NO.	RANK
Scoring	-3.9	23
Yardage	-60.3	28
Yds Per Play	-0.38	23
Yds Per Point	0.24	15
Turnovers	-1	15

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	16.9	31
First Downs	16.6	25
Yards/Game	289.8	29
Yds Per Play	5.00	25
Yds Per Point	17.11	27
RushYds/Gm	102.9	20
Yards Per Rush	3.98	21
Pass Att/Game	29.9	28
Comp/Game	18.5	22
Completion %	61.9	15
Pass Yds/Gm	186.8	29
Pass Yds/Att	6.25	22
INTs Thrown	18	19
Sacks Allowed	35	16
Att/Sack	14.7	22
Sack Yards	214	13

DEFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Allowed	20.8	13
First Downs	19.8	23
Yards/Game	350.1	22
Yds Per Play	5.38	19
Yds Per Point	16.87	8
RushYds/Gm	129.4	27
Yards Per Rush	4.10	12
Pass Att/Game	31.7	8
Comp/Game	19.3	11
Comp %	61.0	15
Pass Yds/Gm	220.7	18
Pass Yds/Att	6.96	27
Interceptions	19	8
Sacks	29	25
Att/Sack	18.5	20
Sack Yards	178	28

2007-2010 GAME LOGS

2007	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/9	vs PIT	L 7-34	L (+4½)	O (36½)
9/16	vs CIN	W 51-45	W (+7)	O (41½)
9/23	at OAK	L 24-26	W (+3)	O (41½)
9/30	vs BAL	W 27-13	W (+3½)	P (40)
10/7	at NWE	L 17-34	L (+15½)	O (48)
10/14	vs MIA	W 41-31	W (-3½)	O (45)
10/28	at STL	W 27-20	W (-3)	O (46½)
11/4	vs SEA	W 33-30	W (-1½)	O (46½)
11/11	at PIT	L 28-31	W (+9)	O (46½)
11/18	at BAL	W 33-30	W (-1)	O (43½)
11/25	vs HOU	W 27-17	W (-3)	U (52)
12/2	at ARI	L 21-27	L (+1)	U (52)
12/9	at NYJ	W 24-18	W (-3)	U (47)
12/16	vs BUF	W 8-0	W (-4)	U (36)
12/23	at CIN	L 14-19	L (-2)	U (43)
12/30	vs SFO	W 20-7	W (-11½)	U (41)

2008	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/7	vs DAL	L 10-28	L (+6)	U (48)
9/14	vs PIT	L 6-10	W (+6)	U (43)
9/21	at BAL	L 10-28	L (+3)	O (36½)
9/28	at CIN	W 20-12	W (+1)	U (42)
10/13	vs NYG	W 35-14	W (+7½)	O (42½)
10/19	at WAS	L 11-14	W (+7)	U (41½)
10/26	at JAC	W 23-17	W (+7)	U (41½)
11/2	vs BAL	L 27-37	L (-3)	O (36½)
11/6	vs DEN	L 30-34	L (-3)	O (46)
11/17	at BUF	W 29-27	W (+5)	O (41)
11/23	vs HOU	L 6-16	L (-3)	U (49½)
11/30	vs IND	L 6-10	P (+4)	U (44)
12/7	at TEN	L 9-28	L (+13½)	O (36½)
12/15	at PHI	L 10-30	L (+15½)	P (40)
12/21	vs CIN	L 0-14	L (-2)	U (31)
12/28	at PIT	L 0-31	L (+11½)	U (33½)

2009	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/13	vs MIN	L 20-34	L (+4)	O (39)
9/20	at DEN	L 6-27	L (+3)	U (39½)
9/27	at BAL	L 3-34	L (+13½)	U (38½)
10/4	vs CIN	L 20-23	W (+6)	O (37½)
10/11	at BUF	W 6-3	W (+5½)	U (41½)
10/18	at PIT	L 14-27	W (+13½)	O (37½)
10/25	vs GNB	L 3-31	L (+8½)	U (41½)
11/1	at CHI	L 6-30	L (+11)	U (39½)
11/16	vs BAL	L 0-16	L (+11)	U (39½)
11/22	at DET	L 37-38	W (+3)	O (37½)
11/29	at CIN	L 7-16	W (+12½)	U (39)
12/6	vs SDG	L 23-30	W (+13½)	O (42½)
12/10	vs PIT	W 13-6	W (+10)	U (34)
12/20	at KAN	W 41-34	W (+2)	O (39)
12/27	vs OAK	W 23-9	W (-3)	U (37)
1/3	vs JAC	W 23-17	W (-2)	O (35)

2010	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/12	at TAM	L 14-17	P (+3)	U (37)
9/19	vs KAN	L 14-16	L (-3)	U (38)
9/26	at BAL	L 17-24	W (+12½)	O (36)
10/3	vs CIN	W 23-20	W (+2)	O (36½)
10/10	vs ATL	L 10-20	L (+3)	U (41½)
10/17	at PIT	L 10-28	L (+14)	O (37)
10/24	at NOR	W 30-17	W (+12½)	O (44)
11/7	vs NWE	W 34-14	W (+4)	O (43½)
11/14	vs NYJ	L 20-26	L (+3)	O (37)
11/21	at JAC	L 20-24	L (+3)	O (43)
11/28	vs CAR	W 24-23	L (-8)	O (37)
12/5	at MIA	W 13-10	W (+6)	U (43½)
12/12	at BUF	L 6-13	L (pk)	U (39½)
12/19	at CIN	L 17-19	L (pk)	U (41)
12/26	vs BAL	L 10-20	L (+3)	U (39½)
1/2	vs PIT	L 9-41	L (+6)	O (37½)

PITTSBURGH STEELERS

Sportsbook.com odds to win SB XLVI: 12-to-1
Sportsbook.com odds to win AFC Title: 5-to-1
2011 Schedule Strength: 18.13 (28th toughest)
2010 Record: 14-5 (+8.6 ML units), 12-7 ATS
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 10-9
2010 Schedule Strength: 22.58 (7th toughest)
StatFox.com Power Rating: 29 (#3 of 32)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: 9.08 (#3 of 32)

2011 SCHEDULE / SERIES TRENDS / NOTES

Week 1	at Baltimore	PITTSBURGH is 3-0 against the spread at BALTIMORE since 2008
Week 2	Seattle	SEATTLE is 5-2 against the spread versus PITTSBURGH since 1992
Week 3	at Indianapolis	Last four games are 3-1 UNDER the total in IND-PIT series since 2001
Week 4	at Houston	PITTSBURGH is 2-0 against the spread and straight-up against HOUSTON since 2004
Week 5	Tennessee	Last 13 games at PITTSBURGH are 10-3 OVER the total in PIT-TEN series since 1992
Week 6	Jacksonville	HOME TEAM is 15-6 against the spread in JAC-PIT series since 1992
Week 7	at Arizona	Last five games are 4-1 OVER the total in the ARI-PIT series since 1992
Week 8	New England	NEW ENGLAND is 4-1 against the spread and straight-up at PITTSBURGH since 2001
Week 9	Baltimore	Last seven games in PITTSBURGH are 5-1 OVER the total in BAL-PIT series since 2006
Week 10	at Cincinnati	PITTSBURGH is 15-5 against the spread and 16-4 straight-up at CINCINNATI since 1992
Week 11	BYE	
Week 12	at Kansas City	Last four games are 4-0 OVER the total in KC-PIT series since 2001
Week 13	Cincinnati	PITTSBURGH is 11-4 against the spread and straight-up against CINCINNATI since 2004
Week 14	Cleveland	PITTSBURGH is 12-6 against the spread and 16-2 straight-up at home against CLEVELAND since 1992
Week 15	at San Francisco	FAVORITE is 4-1 against the spread and straight-up in PIT-SF series since 1992
Week 16	St. Louis	Last four games are 3-1 OVER the total in PIT-STL series since 1992
Week 17	at Cleveland	Last 14 games are 10-4 OVER the total in CLE-PIT series since 2004

SITUATIONAL RECORDS (INCLUDES POST-SEASON RESULTS)

SCENARIO	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	6-YR TOTAL	6-YR%
STRAIGHT UP	15–5	8–8	10–7	15–4	9–7	14–5	71–36	66.4%
OVERALL ATS	13–7	7–9	9–8	11–8	5–10	12–7	57–49	53.8%
PRESEASON ATS	3–1	1–3	3–2	1–3	3–1	3–1	14–11	56.0%
HOME ATS	3–5	5–3	6–3	6–4	2–5	7–3	29–23	55.8%
ROAD ATS	10–2	2–6	3–5	5–4	3–5	5–4	28–26	51.9%
VS DIVISION ATS	4–3	2–4	4–2	5–2	1–4	6–1	22–16	57.9%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	9–6	4–8	6–7	9–5	4–7	9–5	41–38	51.9%
AS FAVORITE ATS	9–6	6–7	8–7	7–7	4–10	9–5	43–42	50.6%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	4–1	1–2	1–1	4–1	1–0	3–2	14–7	66.7%
OVER-UNDER	10–9	10–6	9–8	11–8	9–6	10–9	59–46	56.2%

STATFOX.COM POWER TRENDS

- PITTSBURGH is 7-1 ATS after a loss by 10 or more points the last five seasons
- PITTSBURGH is 5-1 ATS revenging a close loss by 7 points or less to opponent the last two seasons
- PITTSBURGH is 2-12 ATS after scoring 35 points or more last game the last five seasons
- PITTSBURGH is 0-7 ATS versus poor defensive teams - allowing >=350 yards/game the last two seasons

2010 STATS/RANK

DIFFERENTIALS	NO.	RANK
Scoring	8.9	3
Yardage	68.4	3
Yds Per Play	1.01	2
Yds Per Point	-4.36	5
Turnovers	+16	2

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	23.4	12
First Downs	18.4	20
Yards/Game	345.3	14
Yds Per Play	5.56	11
Yds Per Point	14.73	10
RushYds/Gm	120.3	11
Yards Per Rush	4.09	18
Pass Att/Game	29.9	27
Comp/Game	18.6	21
Completion %	62.2	11
Pass Yds/Gm	225.0	14
Pass Yds/Att	7.52	4
INTs Thrown	9	4
Sacks Allowed	43	25
Att/Sack	12.1	30
Sack Yards	290	25

DEFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Allowed	14.5	1
First Downs	17.0	5
Yards/Game	276.9	2
Yds Per Play	4.55	1
Yds Per Point	19.09	2
RushYds/Gm	62.8	1
Yards Per Rush	3.01	1
Pass Att/Game	37.1	29
Comp/Game	22.7	28
Comp %	61.2	16
Pass Yds/Gm	214.1	12
Pass Yds/Att	5.78	1
Interceptions	20	6
Sacks	47	1
Att/Sack	13.6	6
Sack Yards	319	3

2007-2010 GAME LOGS

2007	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/9	at CLE	W 34-7	W (-4½)	O (36½)
9/16	vs BUF	W 26-3	W (-10)	U (40)
9/23	vs SFO	W 37-16	W (-10)	O (37½)
9/30	at ARI	L 14-21	L (-5½)	U (42½)
10/7	vs SEA	W 21-0	W (-5)	U (41)
10/21	at DEN	L 28-31	L (-4)	O (38)
10/28	at CIN	W 24-13	W (-4)	U (48)
11/5	vs BAL	W 38-7	W (-9)	O (36)
11/11	vs CLE	W 31-28	L (-9)	O (46½)
11/18	at NYJ	L 16-19	L (-9)	U (40½)
11/26	vs MIA	W 3-0	L (-15)	U (37)
12/2	vs CIN	W 24-10	W (-6½)	U (39½)
12/9	at NWE	L 13-34	L (+11)	U (49½)
12/16	vs JAC	L 22-29	L (-3)	O (35)
12/20	at STL	W 41-24	W (-8)	O (43)
12/30	at BAL	L 21-27	L (-3)	O (34½)

2008	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/7	vs HOU	W 38-17	W (-6)	O (44)
9/14	at CLE	W 10-6	L (-6)	U (43)
9/21	at PHI	L 6-15	L (+3½)	U (44½)
9/29	vs BAL	W 23-20	L (-6)	O (34½)
10/5	at JAC	W 26-21	W (+5½)	O (38)
10/19	at CIN	W 38-10	W (-7½)	O (36½)
10/26	vs NYG	L 14-21	L (-3)	U (41)
11/3	at WAS	W 23-6	W (+3)	U (37½)
11/9	vs IND	L 20-24	L (-3)	O (39)
11/16	vs SDG	W 11-10	L (-4)	U (40)
11/20	vs CIN	W 27-10	W (-12)	O (34½)
11/30	at NWE	W 33-10	W (+2½)	O (40)
12/7	vs DAL	W 20-13	W (-4)	U (38)
12/14	at BAL	W 13-9	W (+3)	U (34)
12/21	at TEN	L 14-31	L (-3)	O (34)
12/28	vs CLE	W 31-0	W (-11½)	U (33½)

2009	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/10	vs TEN	W 13-10	L (-6)	U (36)
9/20	at CHI	L 14-17	L (-3)	U (38)
9/27	at CIN	L 20-23	L (-3)	O (36½)
10/4	vs SDG	W 38-28	W (-5½)	O (43)
10/11	at DET	W 28-20	L (-11)	O (44)
10/18	vs CLE	W 27-14	L (-13½)	O (37½)
10/25	vs MIN	W 27-17	W (-6)	U (46½)
11/9	at DEN	W 28-10	W (-3)	U (41)
11/15	vs CIN	L 12-18	L (-7)	U (41½)
11/22	at KAN	L 24-27	L (-11)	O (39½)
11/29	at BAL	L 17-20	W (+7½)	O (34½)
12/6	vs OAK	L 24-27	L (-15)	O (37)
12/10	at CLE	L 6-13	L (-10)	U (34)
12/20	vs GNB	W 37-36	L (-3)	O (42)
12/27	vs BAL	W 23-20	P (-3)	P (43)
1/3	at MIA	W 30-24	W (-3)	O (45)

2010	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/12	vs ATL	W 15-9	W (+1)	U (39)
9/19	at TEN	W 19-11	W (+6)	U (37)
9/26	at TAM	W 38-13	W (-3)	O (33½)
10/3	vs BAL	L 14-17	L (-2½)	U (34)
10/17	vs CLE	W 28-10	W (-14)	O (37)
10/24	at MIA	W 23-22	L (-3)	O (40)
10/31	at NOR	L 10-20	L (+1)	U (44½)
11/8	at CIN	W 27-21	W (-5)	O (41½)
11/14	vs NWE	L 26-39	L (-4)	O (45)
11/21	vs OAK	W 35-3	W (-7)	U (41)
11/28	at BUF	W 19-16	L (-6½)	U (43½)
12/5	at BAL	W 13-10	W (+3)	U (38½)
12/12	vs CIN	W 23-7	W (-8)	U (40)
12/19	vs NYJ	L 17-22	L (-3)	O (36)
12/23	vs CAR	W 27-3	W (-14)	U (37)
1/2	at CLE	W 41-9	W (-6)	O (37½)

AFC SOUTH PREVIEW

HOUSTON TEXANS

The Texans finally have a complete offense with their offensive line evolving into an elite zone-blocking unit and Arian Foster stepping up as a perfect one-cut back behind them. Their passing game is still one of the best, built around the Matt Schaub-Andre Johnson connection. Owen Daniels is potentially 100 percent again after struggling in his first year back from a torn ACL. There will be wholesale changes to their struggling defense, though. They brought in former Dallas Cowboys head coach Wade Phillips to rebuild the defense, which will include a switch to a 3-4 front. They drafted DE J.J. Watt 11th overall to step onto the defensive line, with All-Pro Mario Williams becoming a supersized (6' 6", 290-pound) outside linebacker. The real upgrade is needed in the secondary, which was the NFL's worst last season. Their best hope might be a combination of an upgraded pass rush in Phillips' blitz-heavy scheme, as well as improved play from second-year CB Kareem Jackson.

INDIANAPOLIS COLTS


The entire organization lives and dies with QB Peyton Manning. The good news is that he'll get All-Pro TE Dallas Clark back in the lineup after missing most of 2010 with a neck injury. The injuries, and resulting rotating door of personnel at wide receiver and tight end, were a big reason for Manning's erratic play last season. Though the fact that he's 35 and had minor offseason neck surgery is a reminder that he can't play at an elite level forever. They added offensive linemen with their first two picks (Anthony Castonzo in the first, Ben Ijalana in the second) to try and upgrade an O-Line that can't run block. The defense has the same strengths and weaknesses it's had for seemingly the past decade. They have an elite pass rush with Dwight Freeney and Robert Mathis on the edges, helping compensate for a mediocre secondary. But their emphasis on speed over size leaves them vulnerable against the run, especially against bigger backs.

JACKSONVILLE JAGUARS

The Jags have a quarterback controversy brewing after drafting Blaine Gabbert to eventually unseat veteran David Garrard. Regardless of who's under center, this offense will be built around the running game. RB Maurice Jones-Drew is the centerpiece of the offense, even though he started to break down physically last year, and they have quality depth in Rashad Jennings. If Garrard hangs onto the starting job, they'll continue to get creative with him in the running game, including some classic option plays. Defensively, there are plenty of question marks. They're undersized in the front seven, leading to problems against the run. And they have issues against the pass. Their secondary is weak, and they need a healthy return of star DE Aaron Kampman, whose coming off a second torn ACL, to energize a pass rush that has few other threats.

PREDICTED STANDINGS AFC SOUTH

TEAM	W	L
Indianapolis Colts	10	6
Jacksonville Jaguars	8	8
Houston Texans	7	9
Tennessee Titans	6	10



Peyton Manning looks to lead Indianapolis to double-digit wins and a playoff berth for a 10th straight season in 2011.

TENNESSEE TITANS

For the first time since Jack Pardee was leading the Houston Oilers in 1994, this franchise will not have Jeff Fisher on the sidelines. Former offensive line coach Mike Munchak takes over, and he'll have a new quarterback under center (possibly raw rookie Jake Locker, the No. 8 pick of the Draft) and a new offensive coordinator in Chris Palmer (who has a shaky track record with his last two rookie QBs: Tim Couch and David Carr). They'll have a short offseason to get the new passing game installed, so the offense will rely heavily on Chris Johnson again. Munchak has said he'll make it a point to get Johnson the ball in space more often, something Tennessee didn't do often in the past. The defensive staff is also undergoing changes, with former Seahawks secondary coach Jerry Gray taking over as defensive coordinator. Perhaps the biggest loss on the coaching staff was defensive line coach Jim Washburn, now with the Eagles, who generated an outstanding pass rush despite middling personnel on the defensive line.

HOUSTON TEXANS

Sportsbook.com odds to win SB XLVI: 40-to-1
Sportsbook.com odds to win AFC Title: 20-to-1
2011 Schedule Strength: 20.25 (15th toughest)
2010 Record: 6-10 (-5.3 ML units), 5-10-1 ATS
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 11-5
2010 Schedule Strength: 20.56 (16th toughest)
StatFox.com Power Rating: 21 (#15 of 32)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -2.87 (#23 of 32)

2011 SCHEDULE / SERIES TRENDS / NOTES

Week 1	Indianapolis	Last five games are 5-0 OVER the total in HOU-IND series since 2006
Week 2	at Miami	UNDERDOG is 5-0 against the spread in HOU-MIA series since 2002
Week 3	at New Orleans	FAVORITE is 2-0 against the spread and straight-up in HOU-NO series since 2002
Week 4	Pittsburgh	PITTSBURGH is 2-0 against the spread and straight-up against HOUSTON since 2004
Week 5	Oakland	HOUSTON is 5-1 against the spread and straight-up against OAKLAND since 2002
Week 6	at Baltimore	ROAD TEAM is 4-0 against the spread and 3-1 straight-up in BAL-HOU series since 2002
Week 7	at Tennessee	Last five games at TENNESSEE are 5-0 OVER the total in HOU-TEN series since 2006
Week 8	Jacksonville	HOUSTON is 4-1 against the spread and straight-up at home against JACKSONVILLE since 2006
Week 9	Cleveland	Last six games are 5-1 UNDER the total in CLE-HOU series since 2002
Week 10	at Tampa Bay	HOME TEAM is 2-0 against the spread and straight-up in HOU-TB series since 2002
Week 11	BYE	
Week 12	at Jacksonville	HOME TEAM is 6-2 against the spread and 7-1 straight-up in HOU-JAC series since 2007
Week 13	Atlanta	HOME TEAM is 2-0 against the spread and straight-up in ATL-HOU series since 2002
Week 14	at Cincinnati	HOUSTON is 3-0 against the spread versus CINCINNATI since 2004
Week 15	Carolina	HOUSTON is 2-0 against the spread and straight-up against CAROLINA since 2002
Week 16	at Indianapolis	INDIANAPOLIS is 9-0 straight-up at home against HOUSTON since 2002
Week 17	Tennessee	UNDERDOG is 4-1 against the spread and straight-up at HOUSTON in HOU-TEN series since 2006

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	6-YR TOTAL	6-YR%
STRAIGHT UP	2–14	6–10	8–8	8–8	9–7	6–10	39–57	40.6%
OVERALL ATS	7–9	7–9	8–8	9–7	7–8	5–10	43–51	45.7%
PRESEASON ATS	1–3	3–0	2–2	2–1	2–2	1–3	11–11	50.0%
HOME ATS	2–6	4–4	6–2	5–3	2–5	3–5	22–25	46.8%
ROAD ATS	5–3	3–5	2–6	4–4	5–3	2–5	21–26	44.7%
VS DIVISION ATS	3–3	3–3	2–4	5–1	2–4	3–3	18–18	50.0%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	6–6	6–6	5–7	7–5	6–6	5–7	35–37	48.6%
AS FAVORITE ATS	1–1	1–2	3–3	3–4	3–6	3–4	14–20	41.2%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	6–8	6–7	5–5	6–3	4–2	2–6	29–31	48.3%
OVER-UNDER	9–7	8–8	9–6	10–6	5–10	11–5	52–42	55.3%

STATFOX.COM POWER TRENDS

- HOUSTON is 6-1 ATS revenging a loss where opponent scored 35 or more points the last five seasons
- HOUSTON is 7-2 ATS off an upset loss as a favorite the last three seasons
- HOUSTON is 3-10 ATS vs. good passing teams with a completion pct. of 61% or better the last two seasons
- HOUSTON is 1-10 ATS versus good rushing defenses - allowing <=90 rushing yards/game the last five seasons

2010 STATS/RANK

DIFFERENTIALS	NO.	RANK
Scoring	-2.3	18
Yardage	9.6	13
Yds Per Play	0.04	15
Yds Per Point	1.73	25
Turnovers	+0	13

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	24.4	9
First Downs	22.5	2
Yards/Game	386.6	3
Yds Per Play	6.01	2
Yds Per Point	15.86	22
RushYds/Gm	127.6	7
Yards Per Rush	4.83	3
Pass Att/Game	35.9	10
Comp/Game	22.8	5
Completion %	63.6	7
Pass Yds/Gm	258.9	4
Pass Yds/Att	7.22	5
INTs Thrown	12	7
Sacks Allowed	32	11
Att/Sack	18.9	10
Sack Yards	226	16

DEFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Allowed	26.7	29
First Downs	22.0	31
Yards/Game	376.9	30
Yds Per Play	5.97	31
Yds Per Point	14.12	22
RushYds/Gm	109.4	13
Yards Per Rush	4.04	11
Pass Att/Game	34.2	21
Comp/Game	22.1	24
Comp %	64.7	27
Pass Yds/Gm	267.5	32
Pass Yds/Att	7.82	31
Interceptions	13	23
Sacks	30	22
Att/Sack	19.2	26
Sack Yards	219	21

2007-2010 GAME LOGS

2007	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/9	vs KAN	W 20-3	W (-3)	U (37½)
9/16	at CAR	W 34-21	W (+6½)	O (38)
9/23	vs IND	L 24-30	W (+6½)	O (46)
9/30	at ATL	L 16-26	L (-2½)	O (39½)
10/7	vs MIA	W 22-19	L (-4½)	U (43½)
10/14	at JAC	L 17-37	L (+6)	O (37)
10/21	vs TEN	L 36-38	L (-2½)	O (37½)
10/28	at SDG	L 10-35	L (+10)	U (45½)
11/4	at OAK	W 24-17	W (+3)	P (41)
11/18	vs NOR	W 23-10	W (-2)	U (49½)
11/25	at CLE	L 17-27	L (+3)	U (52)
12/2	at TEN	L 20-28	L (+3)	O (39)
12/9	vs TAM	W 28-14	W (+3)	O (39½)
12/13	vs DEN	W 31-13	W (+3)	U (47½)
12/23	at IND	L 15-38	L (+6½)	O (45)
12/30	vs JAC	W 42-28	W (-7)	O (42)

2008	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/7	at PIT	L 17-38	L (+6)	O (44)
9/21	at TEN	L 12-31	L (+4½)	O (40)
9/28	at JAC	L 27-30	W (+6½)	O (43)
10/5	vs IND	L 27-31	W (+4½)	O (48)
10/12	vs MIA	W 29-28	L (-3)	O (44½)
10/19	vs DET	W 28-21	L (-11)	O (48)
10/26	vs CIN	W 35-6	W (-9)	U (46)
11/2	at MIN	L 21-28	L (+5½)	O (46½)
11/9	vs BAL	L 13-41	L (-3)	O (44)
11/16	at IND	L 27-33	W (+7½)	O (50½)
11/23	at CLE	W 16-6	W (+3)	U (49½)
12/1	vs JAC	W 30-17	W (-3)	U (48½)
12/7	at GNB	W 24-21	W (+7)	U (47½)
12/14	vs TEN	W 13-12	W (+3)	U (45)
12/21	at OAK	L 16-27	L (-6½)	U (43½)
12/28	vs CHI	W 31-24	W (-3)	O (46)

2009	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/13	vs NYJ	L 7-24	L (-4½)	U (44½)
9/20	at TEN	W 34-31	W (+7)	O (40½)
9/27	vs JAC	L 24-31	L (-4)	O (47½)
10/4	vs OAK	W 29-6	W (-8)	U (42½)
10/11	at ARI	L 21-28	L (+6)	U (50½)
10/18	at CIN	W 28-17	W (+3½)	U (46)
10/25	vs SFO	W 24-21	P (-3)	O (44½)
11/1	at BUF	W 31-10	W (-3)	U (42)
11/8	at IND	L 17-20	W (+7½)	U (50½)
11/23	vs TEN	L 17-20	L (-3½)	U (48)
11/29	vs IND	L 27-35	L (+3)	O (48½)
12/6	at JAC	L 18-23	L (-1)	U (48)
12/13	vs SEA	W 34-7	W (-7)	U (45)
12/20	at STL	W 16-13	L (-14)	U (43½)
12/27	at MIA	W 27-20	W (+1½)	P (47)
1/3	vs NWE	W 34-27	L (-8)	O (47)

2010	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/12	vs IND	W 34-24	W (+1)	O (48)
9/19	at WAS	W 30-27	P (-3)	O (43)
9/26	vs DAL	L 13-27	L (-2½)	U (47½)
10/3	at OAK	W 31-24	W (-3)	O (43)
10/10	vs NYG	L 10-34	L (-3)	U (48)
10/17	vs KAN	W 35-31	L (-4½)	O (45½)
11/1	at IND	L 17-30	L (+5)	U (50½)
11/7	vs SDG	L 23-29	L (+3)	O (50)
11/14	at JAC	L 24-31	L (pk)	O (48)
11/21	at NYJ	L 27-30	W (+6½)	O (46)
11/28	vs TEN	W 20-0	W (-4½)	U (46½)
12/2	at PHI	L 24-34	L (+8)	O (51)
12/13	vs BAL	L 28-34	L (+3)	O (47)
12/19	at TEN	L 17-31	L (+2)	O (47)
12/26	at DEN	L 23-24	L (-1½)	U (49)
1/2	vs JAC	W 34-17	W (-4½)	O (48)

> AFC SOUTH

INDIANAPOLIS COLTS

Sportsbook.com odds to win SB XLVI: 12-to-1
Sportsbook.com odds to win AFC Title: 5-to-1
2011 Schedule Strength: 20.56 (13th toughest)
2010 Record: 10-7 (-0.5 ML units), 8-8-1 ATS
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 10-6-1
2010 Schedule Strength: 20.53 (17th toughest)
StatFox.com Power Rating: 23 (#10 of 32)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: 1.18 (#15 of 32)

2011 SCHEDULE / SERIES TRENDS / NOTES

Week 1	at Houston	Last five games are 5-0 OVER the total in HOU-IND series since 2006
Week 2	Cleveland	Last four games at INDIANAPOLIS are 4-0 UNDER the total in CLE-IND series since 1992
Week 3	Pittsburgh	Last four games are 3-1 UNDER the total in IND-PIT series since 2001
Week 4	at Tampa Bay	INDIANAPOLIS is 4-1 against the spread versus TAMPA BAY since 1992
Week 5	Kansas City	INDIANAPOLIS is 8-2 against the spread and 9-1 straight-up against KANSAS CITY since 1992
Week 6	at Cincinnati	FAVORITE is 10-3 against the spread and 12-1 straight-up in CIN-IND series since 1992
Week 7	at New Orleans	FAVORITE is 5-1 against the spread and straight-up in IND-NO series since 1992
Week 8	at Tennessee	TENNESSEE is 4-1 against the spread at home against INDIANAPOLIS since 2006
Week 9	Atlanta	INDIANAPOLIS is 4-0 against the spread versus ATLANTA since 1992
Week 10	Jacksonville	Last six games are 5-1 OVER the total in IND-JAC series since 2008
Week 11	BYE	
Week 12	Carolina	CAROLINA is 3-1 against the spread and straight-up against INDIANAPOLIS since 1992
Week 13	at New England	UNDERDOG is 5-1 against the spread in IND-NE series since 2006
Week 14	at Baltimore	FAVORITE is 8-3 against the spread and 10-1 straight-up in BAL-IND series since 1992
Week 15	Tennessee	Last nine games at INDIANAPOLIS are 8-1 UNDER the total in IND-TEN series since 2001
Week 16	Houston	INDIANAPOLIS is 9-0 straight-up at home against HOUSTON since 2001
Week 17	at Jacksonville	ROAD TEAM is 6-2 against the spread in IND-JAC series since 2007

SITUATIONAL RECORDS (INCLUDES POST-SEASON RESULTS)

SCENARIO	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	6-YR TOTAL	6-YR%
STRAIGHT UP	14–3	16–4	13–4	12–5	16–3	10–7	81–26	75.7%
OVERALL ATS	9–7	12–8	9–8	7–9	12–7	8–8	57–47	54.8%
PRESEASON ATS	0–5	2–2	1–2	2–3	1–3	1–3	7–18	28.0%
HOME ATS	3–6	7–3	5–4	3–5	5–5	4–5	27–28	49.1%
ROAD ATS	6–1	5–5	4–4	4–4	7–2	4–3	30–19	61.2%
VS DIVISION ATS	3–2	2–4	2–4	2–4	4–2	2–4	15–20	42.9%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	7–5	8–7	5–8	6–6	9–5	6–7	41–38	51.9%
AS FAVORITE ATS	9–6	9–7	8–7	3–8	11–6	6–8	46–42	52.3%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	0–1	3–1	1–1	4–1	1–1	2–0	11–5	68.8%
OVER-UNDER	6–11	9–11	8–9	8–9	10–9	10–6	51–55	48.1%

STATFOX.COM POWER TRENDS

- INDIANAPOLIS is 10-1 ATS versus good rushing teams - averaging >=4.5 rushing yards/carry the last two seasons
- INDIANAPOLIS is 12-3 ATS when playing against a top-level team (Win Pct. > 75%) the last five seasons
- INDIANAPOLIS is 16-4 ATS vs. good defensive teams who give up 17 or less points/game the last five seasons
- INDIANAPOLIS is 1-9 ATS after a loss by 6 or less points the last five seasons

2010 STATS/RANK

DIFFERENTIALS	NO.	RANK
Scoring	2.9	12
Yardage	39.2	8
Yds Per Play	0.18	12
Yds Per Point	-0.08	11
Turnovers	-4	19

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	27.2	4
First Downs	22.8	1
Yards/Game	380.8	4
Yds Per Play	5.59	9
Yds Per Point	14.00	6
RushYds/Gm	92.7	29
Yards Per Rush	3.77	27
Pass Att/Game	42.5	1
Comp/Game	28.1	1
Completion %	66.2	2
Pass Yds/Gm	288.1	1
Pass Yds/Att	6.78	12
INTs Thrown	17	18
Sacks Allowed	16	1
Att/Sack	43.5	1
Sack Yards	91	1

DEFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Allowed	24.3	22
First Downs	19.6	21
Yards/Game	341.6	20
Yds Per Play	5.41	21
Yds Per Point	14.09	23
RushYds/Gm	127.0	25
Yards Per Rush	4.57	25
Pass Att/Game	33.4	17
Comp/Game	22.3	25
Comp %	66.5	32
Pass Yds/Gm	214.6	13
Pass Yds/Att	6.42	13
Interceptions	10	30
Sacks	30	22
Att/Sack	18.8	24
Sack Yards	194	23

2007-2010 GAME LOGS

2007	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/6	vs NOR	W 41-10	W (-5½)	U (52½)
9/16	at TEN	W 22-20	L (-6½)	U (45)
9/23	at HOU	W 30-24	L (-6½)	O (46)
9/30	vs DEN	W 38-20	W (-10)	O (46½)
10/7	vs TAM	W 33-14	W (-8½)	O (44½)
10/22	at JAC	W 29-7	W (-3)	U (44½)
10/28	at CAR	W 31-7	W (-7)	U (43½)
11/4	vs NWE	L 20-24	W (+4½)	U (56)
11/11	at SDG	L 21-23	L (-3½)	U (47)
11/18	vs KAN	W 13-10	L (-14½)	U (41½)
11/22	at ATL	W 31-13	W (-13)	O (42½)
12/2	vs JAC	W 28-25	L (-7)	O (45)
12/9	at BAL	W 44-20	W (-9)	O (42)
12/16	at OAK	W 21-14	L (-9½)	U (45)
12/23	vs HOU	W 38-15	W (-6½)	O (45)
12/30	vs TEN	L 10-16	L (+4½)	U (41)

2008	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/7	vs CHI	L 13-29	L (-10½)	U (42½)
9/14	at MIN	W 18-15	W (pk)	U (43½)
9/21	vs JAC	L 21-23	L (-4)	O (41½)
10/5	at HOU	W 31-27	L (-4½)	O (48)
10/12	vs BAL	W 31-3	W (-4)	U (39)
10/19	at GNB	L 14-34	L (-2½)	O (46½)
10/27	at TEN	L 21-31	L (+3½)	O (41)
11/2	vs NWE	W 18-15	L (-6½)	U (44)
11/9	at PIT	W 24-20	W (+3)	O (39)
11/16	vs HOU	W 33-27	L (-7½)	O (50½)
11/23	at SDG	W 23-20	W (+3)	U (49½)
11/30	at CLE	W 10-6	P (-4)	U (44)
12/7	vs CIN	W 35-3	W (-13½)	U (41½)
12/14	vs DET	W 31-21	L (-16½)	O (45)
12/18	at JAC	W 31-24	W (-5)	O (43½)
12/28	vs TEN	W 23-0	W (+3)	U (39½)

2009	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/13	vs JAC	W 14-12	L (-6)	U (45)
9/21	at MIA	W 27-23	W (-3)	O (41)
9/27	at ARI	W 31-10	W (+3)	U (48½)
10/4	vs SEA	W 34-17	W (-10)	O (43)
10/11	at TEN	W 31-9	W (-3)	U (44)
10/25	at STL	W 42-6	W (-14)	O (45)
11/1	vs SFO	W 18-14	L (-12½)	U (45)
11/8	vs HOU	W 20-17	L (-7½)	U (50½)
11/15	vs NWE	W 35-34	L (-3)	O (48½)
11/22	at BAL	W 17-15	W (-1)	U (44½)
11/29	at HOU	W 35-27	W (-3)	O (48½)
12/6	vs TEN	W 27-17	W (-6)	U (47)
12/13	vs DEN	W 28-16	W (-6½)	O (43½)
12/17	at JAC	W 35-31	W (-3)	O (43)
12/27	vs NYJ	L 15-29	L (-3)	O (40½)
1/3	at BUF	L 7-30	L (+7½)	O (32)

2010	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/12	at HOU	L 24-34	L (-1)	O (48)
9/19	vs NYG	W 38-14	W (-4½)	O (48)
9/26	at DEN	W 27-13	W (-6)	U (47)
10/3	at JAC	L 28-31	L (-6½)	O (45½)
10/10	vs KAN	W 19-9	W (-7)	U (46)
10/17	at WAS	W 27-24	P (-3)	O (44)
11/1	vs HOU	W 30-17	W (-5)	U (50½)
11/7	at PHI	L 24-26	W (+3)	O (46½)
11/14	vs CIN	W 23-17	L (-7)	U (47)
11/21	at NWE	L 28-31	W (+4)	O (49)
11/28	vs SDG	L 14-36	L (-1½)	P (50)
12/5	vs DAL	L 35-38	L (-5½)	O (48)
12/9	at TEN	W 30-28	L (-3)	O (44)
12/19	vs JAC	W 34-24	W (-4)	O (48)
12/26	at OAK	W 31-26	W (-2)	O (46)
1/2	vs TEN	W 23-20	L (-8½)	U (48)

JACKSONVILLE JAGUARS

Sportsbook.com odds to win SB XLVI: 60-to-1
Sportsbook.com odds to win AFC Title: 30-to-1
2011 Schedule Strength: 21.69 (4th toughest)
2010 Record: 8-8 (+1.2 ML units), 9-7 ATS
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 11-5
2010 Schedule Strength: 19.38 (23rd toughest)
StatFox.com Power Rating: 16 (#23 of 32)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -3.09 (#24 of 32)

2011 SCHEDULE / SERIES TRENDS / NOTES

Week 1	Tennessee	FAVORITE is 7-3 against the spread and straight-up at JACKSONVILLE in JAC-TEN series since 2001
Week 2	at N.Y. Jets	JACKSONVILLE is 5-0 against the spread and 4-1 straight-up against NY JETS since 2001
Week 3	at Carolina	JACKSONVILLE is 3-1 against the spread and straight-up against CAROLINA since 1995
Week 4	New Orleans	FAVORITE is 3-1 against the spread and 4-0 straight-up in JAC-NO series since 1995
Week 5	Cincinnati	FAVORITE is 6-1 against the spread and 8-0 straight-up at JACKSONVILLE in CIN-JAC series since 1995
Week 6	at Pittsburgh	HOME TEAM is 15-6 against the spread in JAC-PIT series since 1995
Week 7	Baltimore	UNDERDOG is 8-4 against the spread in BAL-JAC series since 1995
Week 8	at Houston	HOUSTON is 4-1 against the spread and straight-up at home against JACKSONVILLE since 2006
Week 9	BYE	
Week 10	at Indianapolis	Last six games are 5-1 OVER the total in IND-JAC series since 2008
Week 11	at Cleveland	ROAD TEAM is 5-2 against the spread and straight-up in CLE-JAC series since 2001
Week 12	Houston	HOME TEAM is 6-2 against the spread and 7-1 straight-up in HOU-JAC series since 2007
Week 13	San Diego	HOME TEAM is 4-0 against the spread and straight-up in JAC-SD series since 1995
Week 14	Tampa Bay	UNDERDOG is 4-0 against the spread in JAC-TB series since 1995
Week 15	at Atlanta	Last four games are 4-0 UNDER in ATL-JAC series
Week 16	at Tennessee	UNDERDOG is 6-1 against the spread and 5-2 straight-up at TENNESSEE in JAC-TEN series since 2004
Week 17	Indianapolis	ROAD TEAM is 6-2 against the spread in IND-JAC series since 2007

SITUATIONAL RECORDS (INCLUDES POST-SEASON RESULTS)

SCENARIO	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	6-YR TOTAL	6-YR%
STRAIGHT UP	12–5	8–8	12–6	5–11	7–9	8–8	52–47	52.5%
OVERALL ATS	9–6	8–8	12–6	4–12	5–11	9–7	47–50	48.5%
PRESEASON ATS	2–2	3–1	3–1	2–2	2–1	3–1	15–8	65.2%
HOME ATS	3–3	6–2	5–3	1–7	2–6	5–3	22–24	47.8%
ROAD ATS	6–3	2–6	7–3	3–5	3–5	4–4	25–26	49.0%
VS DIVISION ATS	3–2	2–4	3–3	1–5	4–2	3–3	16–19	45.7%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	7–4	5–7	10–4	2–10	5–7	7–5	36–37	49.3%
AS FAVORITE ATS	6–5	3–6	6–3	1–7	0–5	3–1	19–27	41.3%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	3–1	5–2	6–3	3–5	5–6	6–6	28–23	54.9%
OVER-UNDER	10–7	9–7	13–4	6–9	8–8	11–5	57–40	58.8%

STATFOX.COM POWER TRENDS

- JACKSONVILLE is 10-1 ATS after a 2 game road trip the last five seasons
- JACKSONVILLE is 3-10 ATS after scoring 14 points or less last game the last three seasons
- JACKSONVILLE is 2-11 ATS vs. poor passing teams averaging 175 or less passing yards/game the last three seasons
- JACKSONVILLE is 1-9 ATS in the last 2 weeks of the regular season the last five seasons

2010 STATS/RANK

DIFFERENTIALS	NO.	RANK
Scoring	-4.1	24
Yardage	-31.0	23
Yds Per Play	-0.93	32
Yds Per Point	1.25	22
Turnovers	-15	31

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	22.1	18
First Downs	20.6	8
Yards/Game	340.8	16
Yds Per Play	5.35	15
Yds Per Point	15.45	19
RushYds/Gm	149.1	3
Yards Per Rush	4.65	5
Pass Att/Game	29.3	31
Comp/Game	18.2	24
Completion %	62.1	12
Pass Yds/Gm	191.7	27
Pass Yds/Att	6.54	16
INTs Thrown	21	26
Sacks Allowed	37	19
Att/Sack	13.7	24
Sack Yards	289	24

DEFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Allowed	26.2	27
First Downs	19.8	22
Yards/Game	371.8	28
Yds Per Play	6.28	32
Yds Per Point	14.20	21
RushYds/Gm	121.6	22
Yards Per Rush	4.68	30
Pass Att/Game	31.6	7
Comp/Game	20.6	18
Comp %	65.0	29
Pass Yds/Gm	250.3	28
Pass Yds/Att	7.91	32
Interceptions	13	23
Sacks	26	30
Att/Sack	20.5	28
Sack Yards	189	25

2007-2010 GAME LOGS

2007	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/9	vs TEN	L 10-13	L (-7½)	U (39½)
9/16	vs ATL	W 13-7	L (-10)	U (34½)
9/23	at DEN	W 23-14	W (+3½)	O (36)
10/7	at KAN	W 17-7	W (-2)	U (35)
10/14	vs HOU	W 37-17	W (-6)	O (37)
10/22	vs IND	L 7-29	L (+3)	U (44½)
10/28	at TAM	W 24-23	W (+3½)	O (32½)
11/4	at NOR	L 24-41	L (+3½)	O (39½)
11/11	at TEN	W 28-13	W (+5)	O (36)
11/18	vs SDG	W 24-17	W (-3)	O (40½)
11/25	vs BUF	W 36-14	W (-8½)	O (37)
12/2	at IND	L 25-28	W (+7)	O (45)
12/9	vs CAR	W 37-6	W (-11)	O (38½)
12/16	at PIT	W 29-22	W (+3)	O (35)
12/23	vs OAK	W 49-11	W (-14)	O (40½)
12/30	at HOU	L 28-42	L (+7)	O (42)

2008	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/7	at TEN	L 10-17	L (-3)	U (37)
9/14	vs BUF	L 16-20	L (-4)	U (37)
9/21	at IND	W 23-21	W (+4)	O (41½)
9/28	vs HOU	W 30-27	L (-6½)	O (43)
10/5	vs PIT	L 21-26	L (-5½)	O (38)
10/12	at DEN	W 24-17	W (+3)	U (48)
10/26	vs CLE	L 17-23	L (-7)	U (41½)
11/2	at CIN	L 19-21	L (-7)	P (40)
11/9	at DET	W 38-14	W (-6)	O (43)
11/16	vs TEN	L 14-24	L (+2½)	U (39½)
11/23	vs MIN	L 12-30	L (-2)	O (41½)
12/1	at HOU	L 17-30	L (+3)	U (48½)
12/7	at CHI	L 10-23	L (+6½)	U (40)
12/14	vs GNB	W 20-16	W (+3)	U (45½)
12/18	vs IND	L 24-31	L (+5)	O (43½)
12/28	at BAL	L 7-27	L (+10½)	U (37½)

2009	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/13	at IND	L 12-14	W (+6)	U (45)
9/20	vs ARI	L 17-31	L (-3)	O (44)
9/27	at HOU	W 31-24	W (+4)	O (47½)
10/4	vs TEN	W 37-17	W (+3)	O (42)
10/11	at SEA	L 0-41	L (+2)	U (44)
10/18	vs STL	W 23-20	L (-9½)	O (42)
11/1	at TEN	L 13-30	L (+3)	U (44)
11/8	vs KAN	W 24-21	L (-7)	O (41½)
11/15	at NYJ	W 24-22	W (+6½)	O (40½)
11/22	vs BUF	W 18-15	L (-8½)	U (41)
11/29	at SFO	L 3-20	L (+3)	U (42)
12/6	vs HOU	W 23-18	W (+1)	U (48)
12/13	vs MIA	L 10-14	L (-2)	U (43)
12/17	vs IND	L 31-35	L (+3)	O (43)
12/27	at NWE	L 7-35	L (+9)	U (44½)
1/3	at CLE	L 17-23	L (+2)	O (35)

2010	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/12	vs DEN	W 24-17	W (-3)	U (41½)
9/19	at SDG	L 13-38	L (+7)	O (45)
9/26	vs PHI	L 3-28	L (+3)	U (44½)
10/3	vs IND	W 31-28	W (+6½)	O (45½)
10/10	at BUF	W 36-26	W (+3)	O (41½)
10/18	vs TEN	L 3-30	L (+3)	U (43½)
10/24	at KAN	L 20-42	L (+9)	O (39½)
10/31	at DAL	W 35-17	W (+6½)	O (43½)
11/14	vs HOU	W 31-24	W (pk)	O (48)
11/21	vs CLE	W 24-20	W (-3)	O (43)
11/28	at NYG	L 20-24	W (+7½)	O (43)
12/5	at TEN	W 17-6	W (+3)	U (43½)
12/12	vs OAK	W 38-31	W (-3)	O (41½)
12/19	at IND	L 24-34	L (+4)	O (48)
12/26	vs WAS	L 17-20	L (-7)	U (45½)
1/2	at HOU	L 17-34	L (+4½)	O (48)

> AFC SOUTH

TENNESSEE TITANS

Sportsbook.com odds to win SB XLVI: 60-to-1
Sportsbook.com odds to win AFC Title: 30-to-1
2011 Schedule Strength: 19.63 (18th toughest)
2010 Record: 6-10 (-7.4 ML units), 8-8 ATS
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 9-7
2010 Schedule Strength: 20.31 (18th toughest)
StatFox.com Power Rating: 18 (#19 of 32)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -1.67 (#18 of 32)

2011 SCHEDULE / SERIES TRENDS / NOTES

Week 1	at Jacksonville	FAVORITE is 7-3 against the spread and straight-up at JACKSONVILLE in JAC-TEN series since 2001
Week 2	Baltimore	UNDERDOG is 14-5 against the spread in BAL-TEN series since 1992
Week 3	Denver	Last five games are 5-0 OVER the total in DEN-TEN series since 1992
Week 4	at Cleveland	FAVORITE is 7-1 against the spread and straight-up at CLEVELAND in CLE-TEN series since 1992
Week 5	at Pittsburgh	Last 13 games at PITTSBURGH are 10-3 OVER the total in PIT-TEN series since 1992
Week 6	BYE	
Week 7	Houston	Last five games are 5-0 OVER the total in HOU-TEN series since 2006
Week 8	Indianapolis	TENNESSEE is 4-1 against the spread at home against INDIANAPOLIS since 2006
Week 9	Cincinnati	FAVORITE is 6-1 against the spread and straight-up in CIN-TEN series since 2001
Week 10	at Carolina	TENNESSEE is 2-1 against the spread and straight-up against CAROLINA since 1992
Week 11	at Atlanta	TENNESSEE is 4-0 against the spread versus ATLANTA since 1992
Week 12	Tampa Bay	FAVORITE is 4-1 against the spread and straight-up in TB-TEN series since 1992
Week 13	at Buffalo	Last five games are 4-1 OVER the total in BUF-TEN series
Week 14	New Orleans	TENNESSEE is 3-1 against the spread and 4-1 straight-up against NEW ORLEANS since 1992
Week 15	at Indianapolis	Last nine games at INDIANAPOLIS are 8-1 UNDER the total in IND-TEN series since 2001
Week 16	Jacksonville	UNDERDOG is 6-1 against the spread and 5-2 straight-up at TENNESSEE in JAC-TEN series since 2004
Week 17	at Houston	UNDERDOG is 4-1 against the spread and straight-up at HOUSTON in HOU-TEN series since 2006

SITUATIONAL RECORDS (INCLUDES POST-SEASON RESULTS)

SCENARIO	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	6-YR TOTAL	6-YR%
STRAIGHT UP	4–12	8–8	10–7	13–4	8–8	6–10	49–49	50.0%
OVERALL ATS	6–10	11–5	8–8	12–4	6–9	8–8	51–44	53.7%
PRESEASON ATS	1–3	1–3	3–1	1–3	2–2	2–2	10–14	41.7%
HOME ATS	4–4	5–3	3–4	6–2	3–4	4–4	25–21	54.3%
ROAD ATS	2–6	6–2	5–4	6–2	3–5	4–4	26–23	53.1%
VS DIVISION ATS	2–4	5–1	5–1	4–2	2–4	4–2	22–14	61.1%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	3–9	8–4	6–7	9–4	4–8	5–7	35–39	47.3%
AS FAVORITE ATS	1–1	1–2	4–3	10–4	3–5	4–4	23–19	54.8%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	5–9	10–3	4–5	2–0	3–4	4–4	28–25	52.8%
OVER-UNDER	9–7	11–4	5–11	8–9	9–7	9–7	51–45	53.1%

STATFOX.COM POWER TRENDS

- TENNESSEE is 8-0 ATS versus poor rushing defenses - allowing >=130 rushing yards/game the last three seasons
- TENNESSEE is 7-1 ATS vs. poor passing teams averaging 175 or less passing yards/game the last three seasons
- TENNESSEE is 13-4 ATS in non-conference games the last five seasons
- TENNESSEE is 3-11 ATS vs. mistake free teams - 42 or less penalty yards per game the last three seasons

2010 STATS/RANK

DIFFERENTIALS	NO.	RANK
Scoring	1.1	16
Yardage	-65.6	29
Yds Per Play	0.16	13
Yds Per Point	-3.78	6
Turnovers	-4	19

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	22.3	17
First Downs	15.8	29
Yards/Game	302.1	27
Yds Per Play	5.33	16
Yds Per Point	13.58	3
RushYds/Gm	107.9	17
Yards Per Rush	4.25	14
Pass Att/Game	29.6	30
Comp/Game	17.1	31
Completion %	57.6	26
Pass Yds/Gm	194.2	25
Pass Yds/Att	6.55	15
INTs Thrown	15	13
Sacks Allowed	27	6
Att/Sack	18.6	11
Sack Yards	171	5

DEFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Allowed	21.2	15
First Downs	22.3	32
Yards/Game	367.7	26
Yds Per Play	5.17	14
Yds Per Point	17.35	7
RushYds/Gm	115.6	20
Yards Per Rush	3.91	9
Pass Att/Game	39.1	32
Comp/Game	25.7	32
Comp %	65.7	31
Pass Yds/Gm	252.1	29
Pass Yds/Att	6.44	15
Interceptions	17	11
Sacks	40	8
Att/Sack	16.7	15
Sack Yards	272	9

2007-2010 GAME LOGS

2007	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/9	at JAC	W 13-10	W (+7½)	U (39½)
9/16	vs IND	L 20-22	W (+6½)	U (45)
9/24	at NOR	W 31-14	W (+4½)	U (46½)
10/7	vs ATL	W 20-13	P (-7)	U (41½)
10/14	at TAM	L 10-13	L (+2)	U (37)
10/21	at HOU	W 38-36	W (+2½)	O (37½)
10/28	vs OAK	W 13-9	L (-6½)	U (39)
11/4	vs CAR	W 20-7	W (-5½)	U (35)
11/11	vs JAC	L 13-28	L (-5)	O (36)
11/19	at DEN	L 20-34	L (+1)	O (38)
11/25	at CIN	L 6-35	L (+1)	U (47)
12/2	vs HOU	W 28-20	W (-3)	O (39)
12/9	vs SDG	L 17-23	L (+2)	P (40)
12/16	at KAN	W 26-17	W (-3½)	O (34½)
12/23	vs NYJ	W 10-6	L (-8)	U (37½)
12/30	at IND	W 16-10	W (-4½)	U (41)

2008	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/7	vs JAC	W 17-10	W (+3)	U (37)
9/14	at CIN	W 24-7	W (-1)	U (37)
9/21	vs HOU	W 31-12	W (-4½)	O (40)
9/28	vs MIN	W 30-17	W (-3)	O (34½)
10/5	at BAL	W 13-10	W (-1)	U (33½)
10/19	at KAN	W 34-10	W (-8½)	O (35)
10/27	vs IND	W 31-21	W (-3½)	O (41)
11/2	vs GNB	W 19-16	P (-3)	U (41)
11/9	at CHI	W 21-14	W (-3)	U (37)
11/16	at JAC	W 24-14	W (-2½)	U (39½)
11/23	vs NYJ	L 13-34	L (-5½)	O (41)
11/27	at DET	W 47-10	W (-10½)	O (44)
12/7	vs CLE	W 28-9	W (-13½)	O (36½)
12/14	at HOU	L 12-13	L (-3)	U (45)
12/21	vs PIT	W 31-14	W (+3)	O (34)
12/28	at IND	L 0-23	L (-3)	U (39½)

2009	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/10	at PIT	L 10-13	W (+6)	U (36)
9/20	vs HOU	L 31-34	L (-7)	O (40½)
9/27	at NYJ	L 17-24	L (+1)	O (36)
10/4	at JAC	L 17-37	L (-3)	O (42)
10/11	vs IND	L 9-31	L (+3)	U (44)
10/18	at NWE	L 0-59	L (+9)	O (39)
11/1	vs JAC	W 30-13	W (-3)	U (44)
11/8	at SFO	W 34-27	W (+4)	O (40½)
11/15	vs BUF	W 41-17	W (-7½)	O (40½)
11/23	at HOU	W 20-17	W (+3½)	U (48)
11/29	vs ARI	W 20-17	P (-3)	U (44)
12/6	at IND	L 17-27	L (+6)	U (47)
12/13	vs STL	W 47-7	W (-14)	O (40)
12/20	vs MIA	W 27-24	L (-5)	O (42½)
12/25	vs SDG	L 17-42	L (-2)	O (47½)
1/3	at SEA	W 17-13	L (-5½)	U (46)

2010	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/12	vs OAK	W 38-13	W (-6½)	O (39½)
9/19	vs PIT	L 11-19	L (-6)	U (37)
9/26	at NYG	W 29-10	W (+3)	U (43)
10/3	vs DEN	L 20-26	L (-6)	O (43½)
10/10	at DAL	W 34-27	W (+6½)	O (43½)
10/18	at JAC	W 30-3	W (-3)	U (43½)
10/24	vs PHI	W 37-19	W (-3)	O (41)
10/31	at SDG	L 25-33	L (+6)	O (45)
11/14	at MIA	L 17-29	L (+1½)	O (43)
11/21	vs WAS	L 16-19	L (-7)	U (44)
11/28	at HOU	L 0-20	L (+4½)	U (46½)
12/5	vs JAC	L 6-17	L (-3)	U (43½)
12/9	vs IND	L 28-30	W (+3)	O (44)
12/19	vs HOU	W 31-17	W (-2)	O (47)
12/26	at KAN	L 14-34	L (+4)	O (42½)
1/2	at IND	L 20-23	W (+8½)	U (48)

AFC WEST PREVIEW

DENVER BRONCOS

Denver brings in another new head coach, with former Panthers head man John Fox taking over. Unlike Josh McDaniels, Fox typically runs a conservative, ball-control offense complimented by a strong defense. Tim Tebow is likely to get his shot to be Fox's franchise QB. He showed the ability to make plays with his feet last year, but the jury is still very much out on his ability in the passing game. They're still trying to figure out how heavily they can lean on injury-prone RB Knowshon Moreno. Denver was a trainwreck defensively last season, especially because of their weak pass rush. The return of Elvis Dumervil, who will play defensive end in Fox's 4-3 defense, and the arrival of No. 2 overall pick Von Miller at outside linebacker should improve on that.

KANSAS CITY CHIEFS

The Chiefs took some steps forward last year, making the playoffs for the first time since 2006. But they also took advantage of one of the weakest schedules in recent memory. During the regular season, they played only three games against teams who finished with winning records (a split with the Chargers and a loss to the Colts) before getting trounced by Baltimore at home in the playoffs. They'll continue to ride the ground game in 2011, and head coach Todd Haley has hinted towards a much bigger load for star RB Jamaal Charles. Even with drop-prone WR Dwayne Bowe now one of the league's best big-play threats, the jury is still out on QB Matt Cassel. K.C. drafted a physical compliment to Bowe in first-rounder Jon Baldwin, and hope to get more production out of second-year weapons like slot receiver Dexter McCluster and TE Tony Moeaki. Defensively, their front seven is shaky aside from stud pass-rusher Tamba Hali. Their defensive line will be tested against a slate of better running games this fall.

OAKLAND RAIDERS

Just when the Raiders were starting to make some progress, Al Davis shakes everything up again. Out is head coach Tom Cable, replaced by offensive coordinator Hue Jackson, who proved to be an outstanding play-caller. But along with Cable, the Raiders are losing the zone blocking scheme that established their running game as one of the best. They'll switch to Davis's preferred power-blocking scheme in front of injury-prone backs Darren McFadden and Michael Bush. Veteran QB Jason Campbell should continue to benefit from Jackson's play-calling. Defensively, the Raiders are losing their best player on either side of the ball as elite CB Nnamdi Asomugha leaves via free agency. Their front seven has shown promise, and could improve with second-year LB Rolando McClain taking on a bigger role. Oakland's biggest advantage will likely be in special teams. Shane Lechler is still the NFL's best punter, perhaps of all time, and speedy WR Jacoby Ford is emerging as an elite return man.

PREDICTED STANDINGS AFC WEST

TEAM	W	L
Oakland Raiders	10	6
San Diego Chargers	9	7
Kansas City Chiefs	7	9
Denver Broncos	3	13



RB Jamaal Charles and K.C. ran roughshod over a weak schedule in 2010, but they were just 2-5 (ATS and SU) vs. teams that finished .500 or better.

SAN DIEGO CHARGERS

Weak special teams and sloppy mistakes at the worst times kept the Chargers out of the playoffs in 2010, but this is still the most talented team in the division. QB Philip Rivers is on the verge of joining the Manning-Brady-Brees-Rodgers class of quarterbacks after keeping the Chargers offense near the top of the NFL despite a series of injuries and holdouts. This year he could have top WR Vincent Jackson and a healthy TE Antonio Gates, as well as LT Marcus McNeill for an entire season. They're also expecting bigger things out of RB Ryan Mathews after the 2010 first-rounder struggled through injuries. Defensive coordinator Ron Rivera took the head coaching job in Carolina, but the D is in good hands under former San Francisco coordinator Greg Manusky. The front seven should be strong against the run. Journeyman Antonio Garay emerged as one of the NFL's elite nose tackles in 2010, and they added depth to their injury-plagued defensive end rotation with first-round pick Corey Liuget. Their pass rush is a bit lacking aside from OLB Shaun Phillips and Garay. The special teams will be rebuilt, as they replaced long-time ST coordinator Steve Crosby with former Tampa coordinator Rich Bisaccia.

> AFC WEST



DENVER BRONCOS

Sportsbook.com odds to win SB XLVI: 60-to-1
Sportsbook.com odds to win AFC Title: 30-to-1
2011 Schedule Strength: 22.19 (3rd toughest)
2010 Record: 4-12 (-10.1 ML units), 6-10 ATS
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 10-6
2010 Schedule Strength: 19.50 (22nd toughest)
StatFox.com Power Rating: 12 (#29 of 32)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -9.49 (#30 of 32)

2011 SCHEDULE / SERIES TRENDS / NOTES

Week 1	Oakland	OAKLAND is 5-0 against the spread at DENVER since 2006
Week 2	Cincinnati	UNDERDOG is 3-0 against the spread in CIN-DEN series since 2004
Week 3	at Tennessee	Last five games are 5-0 OVER the total in DEN-TEN series since 1992
Week 4	at Green Bay	HOME TEAM is 3-1 against the spread and 4-1 straight-up in DEN-GB series since 1992
Week 5	San Diego	SAN DIEGO is 8-2 against the spread and straight-up against DENVER since 2006
Week 6	BYE	
Week 7	at Miami	MIAMI is 5-0 against the spread and 4-1 straight-up against DENVER since 2001
Week 8	Detroit	DETROIT is 2-0 against the spread versus DENVER since 2001
Week 9	at Oakland	FAVORITE is 8-2 against the spread and 9-1 straight-up at OAKLAND in DEN-OAK since 2001
Week 10	at Kansas City	Last 10 games at KANSAS CITY are 8-2 OVER the total in DEN-KC series since 2001
Week 11	N.Y. Jets	FAVORITE is 5-1 against the spread and straight-up at DENVER in DEN-NYJ series since 1992
Week 12	at San Diego	SAN DIEGO is 4-1 against the spread and straight-up at home against DENVER since 2006
Week 13	at Minnesota	MINNESOTA is 4-1 against the spread versus DENVER since 1992
Week 14	Chicago	CHICAGO is 3-1 against the spread versus DENVER since 1992
Week 15	New England	DENVER is 6-2 against the spread and straight-up against NEW ENGLAND since 2001
Week 16	at Buffalo	Last eight games are 5-2 OVER the total in BUF-DEN series since 1992
Week 17	Kansas City	DENVER is 7-3 against the spread and 9-1 straight-up at home against KANSAS CITY since 2001

SITUATIONAL RECORDS (INCLUDES POST-SEASON RESULTS)

SCENARIO	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	6-YR TOTAL	6-YR%
STRAIGHT UP	14–4	9–7	7–9	8–8	8–8	4–12	50–48	51.0%
OVERALL ATS	12–5	5–11	5–11	4–12	9–7	6–10	41–56	42.3%
PRESEASON ATS	3–1	2–2	2–2	2–1	2–2	1–3	12–11	52.2%
HOME ATS	6–3	1–7	4–4	0–8	4–4	4–4	19–30	38.8%
ROAD ATS	6–2	4–4	1–7	4–4	5–3	2–6	22–26	45.8%
VS DIVISION ATS	4–1	0–6	2–4	1–5	3–3	3–3	13–22	37.1%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	9–4	4–8	4–8	3–9	6–6	5–7	31–42	42.5%
AS FAVORITE ATS	9–4	3–9	2–6	1–9	3–3	1–3	19–34	35.8%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	3–1	2–2	3–5	3–3	6–4	5–7	22–22	50.0%
OVER-UNDER	10–8	8–8	12–4	9–7	7–9	10–6	56–42	57.1%

STATFOX.COM POWER TRENDS

- DENVER is 8-2 ATS after gaining 6 or more yards/play in their previous game the last two seasons
- DENVER is 11-4 ATS after a game that went under the total the last two seasons
- DENVER is 11-27 ATS after playing their last game on the road the last five seasons
- DENVER is 0-10 ATS when playing against a marginal losing team (Win Pct. 40% to 49%) the last five seasons

2010 STATS/RANK

DIFFERENTIALS	NO.	RANK
Scoring	-7.9	29
Yardage	-42.0	25
Yds Per Play	-0.44	24
Yds Per Point	2.95	27
Turnovers	-9	27

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	21.5	19
First Downs	19.3	14
Yards/Game	348.8	13
Yds Per Play	5.48	12
Yds Per Point	16.22	23
RushYds/Gm	96.5	26
Yards Per Rush	3.88	24
Pass Att/Game	36.3	7
Comp/Game	20.9	15
Completion %	57.6	25
Pass Yds/Gm	252.3	7
Pass Yds/Att	6.96	8
INTs Thrown	12	7
Sacks Allowed	40	23
Att/Sack	15.5	18
Sack Yards	269	23

DEFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Allowed	29.4	32
First Downs	20.9	27
Yards/Game	390.8	32
Yds Per Play	5.92	29
Yds Per Point	13.28	30
RushYds/Gm	154.6	31
Yards Per Rush	4.66	29
Pass Att/Game	31.4	6
Comp/Game	18.6	7
Comp %	59.2	13
Pass Yds/Gm	236.3	25
Pass Yds/Att	7.53	30
Interceptions	10	30
Sacks	23	32
Att/Sack	22.8	31
Sack Yards	156	31

2007-2010 GAME LOGS

2007	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/9	at BUF	W 15-14	L (-3)	U (38)
9/16	vs OAK	W 23-20	L (-9½)	O (37)
9/23	vs JAC	L 14-23	L (-3½)	O (36)
9/30	at IND	L 20-38	L (+10)	O (46½)
10/7	vs SDG	L 3-41	L (+1½)	O (43½)
10/21	vs PIT	W 31-28	W (+4)	O (38)
10/29	vs GNB	L 13-19	L (-3)	U (43½)
11/4	at DET	L 7-44	L (+3)	O (47)
11/11	at KAN	W 27-11	W (+3)	O (37)
11/19	vs TEN	W 34-20	W (-1)	O (38)
11/25	at CHI	L 34-37	L (+1)	O (42½)
12/2	at OAK	L 20-34	L (-3½)	O (41)
12/9	vs KAN	W 41-7	W (-7½)	O (37)
12/13	at HOU	L 13-31	L (-3)	U (47½)
12/24	at SDG	L 3-23	L (+9)	U (47)
12/30	vs MIN	W 22-19	W (+2½)	O (40½)

2008	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/8	at OAK	W 41-14	W (-3)	O (40)
9/14	vs SDG	W 39-38	L (-1½)	O (46½)
9/21	vs NOR	W 34-32	L (-5½)	O (51½)
9/28	at KAN	L 19-33	L (-8)	O (47)
10/5	vs TAM	W 16-13	L (-3½)	U (47)
10/12	vs JAC	L 17-24	L (-3)	U (48)
10/20	at NWE	L 7-41	L (+3)	O (47½)
11/2	vs MIA	L 17-26	L (-4)	U (50)
11/6	at CLE	W 34-30	W (+3)	O (46)
11/16	at ATL	W 24-20	W (+6½)	U (51)
11/23	vs OAK	L 10-31	L (-8½)	U (44)
11/30	at NYJ	W 34-17	W (+8)	O (48)
12/7	vs KAN	W 24-17	L (-9)	U (49½)
12/14	at CAR	L 10-30	L (+7)	U (46½)
12/21	vs BUF	L 23-30	L (-6)	O (46½)
12/28	at SDG	L 21-52	L (+7)	O (50)

2009	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/13	at CIN	W 12-7	W (+5)	U (41)
9/20	vs CLE	W 27-6	W (-3)	U (39½)
9/27	at OAK	W 23-3	W (-2½)	U (38)
10/4	vs DAL	W 17-10	W (+3)	U (44)
10/11	vs NWE	W 20-17	W (+3)	U (41)
10/19	at SDG	W 34-23	W (+3)	O (44½)
11/1	at BAL	L 7-30	L (+4½)	U (42½)
11/9	vs PIT	L 10-28	L (+3)	U (41)
11/15	at WAS	L 17-27	L (-3)	O (35½)
11/22	vs SDG	L 3-32	L (+6)	U (41½)
11/26	vs NYG	W 26-6	W (+4½)	U (43½)
12/6	at KAN	W 44-13	W (-5)	O (38½)
12/13	at IND	L 16-28	L (+6½)	O (43½)
12/20	vs OAK	L 19-20	L (-13½)	O (37)
12/27	at PHI	L 27-30	W (+7)	O (43½)
1/3	vs KAN	L 24-44	L (-9)	O (38)

2010	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/12	at JAC	L 17-24	L (+3)	U (41½)
9/19	vs SEA	W 31-14	W (-3)	O (41)
9/26	vs IND	L 13-27	L (+6)	U (47)
10/3	at TEN	W 26-20	W (+6)	O (43½)
10/10	at BAL	L 17-31	L (+8)	O (39½)
10/17	vs NYJ	L 20-24	L (+3)	O (42½)
10/24	vs OAK	L 14-59	L (-7)	O (43)
10/31	vs SFO	L 16-24	L (+2)	U (41)
11/14	vs KAN	W 49-29	W (+1)	O (44½)
11/22	at SDG	L 14-35	L (+8½)	U (50)
11/28	vs STL	L 33-36	L (-3)	O (46½)
12/5	at KAN	L 6-10	W (+8)	U (48)
12/12	at ARI	L 13-43	L (-4)	O (44½)
12/19	at OAK	L 23-39	L (+8)	O (41½)
12/26	vs HOU	W 24-23	W (+1½)	U (49)
1/2	vs SDG	L 28-33	W (+5½)	O (47½)

KANSAS CITY CHIEFS

Sportsbook.com odds to win SB XLVI: 30-to-1
Sportsbook.com odds to win AFC Title: 15-to-1
2011 Schedule Strength: 22.81 (toughest)
2010 Record: 10-7 (+3.3 ML units), 9-8 ATS
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 7-10
2010 Schedule Strength: 18.00 (24th toughest)
StatFox.com Power Rating: 15 (#24 of 32)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -2.68 (#21 of 32)

2011 SCHEDULE / SERIES TRENDS / NOTES

Week 1	Buffalo	BUFFALO is 5-1 against the spread versus KANSAS CITY since 2001
Week 2	at Detroit	KANSAS CITY is 4-0 against the spread and 3-1 straight-up against DETROIT since 1992
Week 3	at San Diego	SAN DIEGO is 5-2 against the spread and 6-1 straight-up at home against KANSAS CITY since 2004
Week 4	Minnesota	HOME TEAM is 3-1 against the spread and 4-1 straight-up in KC-MIN series since 1992
Week 5	at Indianapolis	INDIANAPOLIS is 8-2 against the spread and 9-1 straight-up against KANSAS CITY since 1992
Week 6	BYE	
Week 7	at Oakland	KANSAS CITY is 8-2 against the spread and 7-3 straight-up at OAKLAND since 2001
Week 8	San Diego	HOME TEAM is 9-5 against the spread and straight-up in KC-SD series since 2004
Week 9	Miami	MIAMI is 6-2 against the spread and 7-2 straight-up against KANSAS CITY since 1992
Week 10	Denver	Last 10 games at KANSAS CITY are 8-2 OVER the total in DEN-KC series since 2001
Week 11	at New England	FAVORITE is 9-0 straight-up in the KC-NE series since 1992
Week 12	Pittsburgh	Last four games are 4-0 OVER the total in KC-PIT series since 2001
Week 13	at Chicago	UNDERDOG is 4-1 against the spread in CHI-KC series since 1992
Week 14	at N.Y. Jets	KANSAS CITY is 4-1 against the spread versus NY JETS since 2001
Week 15	Green Bay	Last four games are 4-0 OVER the total in GB-KC series since 1992
Week 16	Oakland	ROAD TEAM is 12-2 against the spread and 10-4 straight-up in KC-OAK series since 2004
Week 17	at Denver	DENVER is 7-3 against the spread and 9-1 straight-up at home against KANSAS CITY since 2001

SITUATIONAL RECORDS (INCLUDES POST-SEASON RESULTS)

SCENARIO	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	6-YR TOTAL	6-YR%
STRAIGHT UP	10–6	9–8	4–12	2–14	4–12	10–7	39–59	39.8%
OVERALL ATS	10–5	8–9	7–8	8–8	7–9	9–8	49–47	51.0%
PRESEASON ATS	0–4	2–2	0–4	1–3	0–4	0–3	3–20	13.0%
HOME ATS	7–1	5–3	1–6	3–5	2–6	5–4	23–25	47.9%
ROAD ATS	3–4	3–6	6–2	5–3	5–3	4–4	26–22	54.2%
VS DIVISION ATS	4–2	4–2	2–4	5–1	2–4	1–5	18–18	50.0%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	9–3	5–8	5–7	7–5	5–7	5–8	36–38	48.6%
AS FAVORITE ATS	8–1	5–4	0–3	0–1	0–2	4–5	17–16	51.5%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	2–4	3–5	7–5	8–7	7–7	5–3	32–31	50.8%
OVER-UNDER	6–10	7–9	8–8	8–8	9–5	7–10	45–50	47.4%

STATFOX.COM POWER TRENDS

- KANSAS CITY is 10-1 ATS vs. awful passing defenses - allowing a comp. pct. of 64% or worse the last three seasons
- KANSAS CITY is 11-3 ATS revenging a loss where team scored less than 14 points the last five seasons
- KANSAS CITY is 5-13 ATS after 2 or more consecutive wins against the spread the last five seasons
- KANSAS CITY is 0-6 ATS after gaining 175 or more rushing yards in 2 straight games the last five seasons

2010 STATS/RANK

DIFFERENTIALS	NO.	RANK
Scoring	2.5	13
Yardage	19.5	10
Yds Per Play	0.12	14
Yds Per Point	-0.92	9
Turnovers	+9	5

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	22.9	13
First Downs	19.9	11
Yards/Game	349.7	12
Yds Per Play	5.26	18
Yds Per Point	15.29	15
RushYds/Gm	164.2	1
Yards Per Rush	4.72	4
Pass Att/Game	29.7	29
Comp/Game	17.1	30
Completion %	57.7	24
Pass Yds/Gm	185.5	30
Pass Yds/Att	6.25	23
INTs Thrown	8	3
Sacks Allowed	32	11
Att/Sack	15.8	16
Sack Yards	221	14

DEFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Allowed	20.4	11
First Downs	18.3	14
Yards/Game	330.2	14
Yds Per Play	5.14	12
Yds Per Point	16.21	12
RushYds/Gm	110.3	15
Yards Per Rush	4.32	18
Pass Att/Game	36.3	26
Comp/Game	19.9	13
Comp %	54.9	3
Pass Yds/Gm	219.9	17
Pass Yds/Att	6.06	6
Interceptions	14	19
Sacks	39	10
Att/Sack	15.9	11
Sack Yards	258	11

2007-2010 GAME LOGS

2007	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/9	at HOU	L 3-20	L (+3)	U (37½)
9/16	at CHI	L 10-20	W (+11½)	U (35½)
9/23	vs MIN	W 13-10	P (-3)	U (34½)
9/30	at SDG	W 30-16	W (+12½)	O (39)
10/7	vs JAC	L 7-17	L (+2)	U (35)
10/14	vs CIN	W 27-20	W (+2½)	O (44)
10/21	at OAK	W 12-10	W (+2)	U (37½)
11/4	vs GNB	L 22-33	L (-2½)	O (37½)
11/11	vs DEN	L 11-27	L (-3)	O (37)
11/18	at IND	L 10-13	W (+14½)	U (41½)
11/25	vs OAK	L 17-20	L (-4)	O (34½)
12/2	vs SDG	L 10-24	L (+5½)	U (37½)
12/9	at DEN	L 7-41	L (+7½)	O (37)
12/16	vs TEN	L 17-26	L (+3½)	O (34½)
12/23	at DET	L 20-25	W (+6)	O (43½)
12/30	at NYJ	L 10-13	W (+4½)	U (35½)

2008	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/7	at NWE	L 10-17	W (+16½)	U (43½)
9/14	vs OAK	L 8-23	L (-3)	U (36)
9/21	at ATL	L 14-38	L (+6)	O (37½)
9/28	vs DEN	W 33-19	W (+8)	O (47)
10/5	at CAR	L 0-34	L (+9½)	U (38)
10/19	vs TEN	L 10-34	L (+8½)	O (35)
10/26	at NYJ	L 24-28	W (+14)	O (40½)
11/2	vs TAM	L 27-30	W (+9½)	O (37)
11/9	at SDG	L 19-20	W (+15)	U (46½)
11/16	vs NOR	L 20-30	L (+6)	U (50½)
11/23	vs BUF	L 31-54	L (+3)	O (43½)
11/30	at OAK	W 20-13	W (+3)	U (41)
12/7	at DEN	L 17-24	W (+9)	U (49½)
12/14	vs SDG	L 21-22	W (+6)	O (41½)
12/21	vs MIA	L 31-38	L (+3)	O (37)
12/28	at CIN	L 6-16	L (+1)	U (40)

2009	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/13	at BAL	L 24-38	L (+13)	O (37)
9/20	vs OAK	L 10-13	L (-1)	U (40)
9/27	at PHI	L 14-34	L (+7½)	O (39)
10/4	vs NYG	L 16-27	L (+9)	P (43)
10/11	vs DAL	L 20-26	W (+7)	O (43½)
10/18	at WAS	W 14-6	W (+6½)	U (36½)
10/25	vs SDG	L 7-37	L (+6)	P (44)
11/8	at JAC	L 21-24	W (+7)	O (41½)
11/15	at OAK	W 16-10	W (+3)	U (36½)
11/22	vs PIT	W 27-24	W (+11)	O (39½)
11/29	at SDG	L 14-43	L (+13)	O (44½)
12/6	vs DEN	L 13-44	L (+5)	O (38½)
12/13	vs BUF	L 10-16	L (+3)	U (38½)
12/20	vs CLE	L 34-41	L (-2)	O (39)
12/27	at CIN	L 10-17	W (+13)	U (39½)
1/3	at DEN	W 44-24	W (+9)	O (38)

2010	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/13	vs SDG	W 21-14	W (+4)	U (45½)
9/19	at CLE	W 16-14	W (+3)	U (38)
9/26	vs SFO	W 31-10	W (+3)	O (38)
10/10	at IND	L 9-19	L (+7)	U (46)
10/17	at HOU	L 31-35	W (+4½)	O (45½)
10/24	vs JAC	W 42-20	W (-9)	O (39½)
10/31	vs BUF	W 13-10	L (-7)	U (45½)
11/7	at OAK	L 20-23	L (-1½)	O (41)
11/14	at DEN	L 29-49	L (-1)	O (44½)
11/21	vs ARI	W 31-13	W (-7)	U (45)
11/28	at SEA	W 42-24	W (-1½)	O (45½)
12/5	vs DEN	W 10-6	L (-8)	U (48)
12/12	at SDG	L 0-31	L (+10)	U (45½)
12/19	at STL	W 27-13	W (+3)	U (43)
12/26	vs TEN	W 34-14	W (-4)	O (42½)
1/2	vs OAK	L 10-31	L (-4)	U (42½)

> AFC WEST



OAKLAND RAIDERS

Sportsbook.com odds to win SB XLVI: 50-to-1
Sportsbook.com odds to win AFC Title: 25-to-1
2011 Schedule Strength: 20.81 (11th toughest)
2010 Record: 8-8 (+6.7 ML units), 8-8 ATS
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 10-6
2010 Schedule Strength: 17.50 (28th toughest)
StatFox.com Power Rating: 23 (#10 of 32)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: 4.58 (#6 of 32)

2011 SCHEDULE / SERIES TRENDS / NOTES

Week 1	at Denver	OAKLAND is 5-0 against the spread at DENVER since 2006
Week 2	at Buffalo	OAKLAND is 7-1 against the spread versus BUFFALO since 1992
Week 3	N.Y. Jets	HOME TEAM is 5-2 against the spread in NYJ-OAK series since 2001
Week 4	New England	FAVORITE is 3-0 against the spread and 4-0 straight-up in NE-OAK series since 2001
Week 5	at Houston	HOUSTON is 5-1 against the spread and straight-up against OAKLAND since 2001
Week 6	Cleveland	CLEVELAND is 7-1 against the spread and 6-2 straight-up against OAKLAND since 1992
Week 7	Kansas City	KANSAS CITY is 8-2 against the spread and 7-3 straight-up at OAKLAND since 2001
Week 8	BYE	
Week 9	Denver	FAVORITE is 8-2 against the spread and 9-1 straight-up at OAKLAND in DEN-OAK since 2001
Week 10	at San Diego	Last 18 games at SAN DIEGO are 14-4 UNDER the total in OAK-SD series since 1992
Week 11	at Minnesota	UNDERDOG is 4-1 against the spread and straight-up in MIN-OAK series since 1992
Week 12	Chicago	CHICAGO is 4-0 against the spread versus OAKLAND since 1992
Week 13	at Miami	Last six games in MIAMI are 5-1 UNDER the total in MIA-OAK series since 1992
Week 14	at Green Bay	GREEN BAY is 3-1 against the spread and 4-0 straight-up against OAKLAND since 1992
Week 15	Detroit	DETROIT is 2-0 against the spread and straight-up against OAKLAND since 2001
Week 16	at Kansas City	ROAD TEAM is 12-2 against the spread and 10-4 straight-up in KC-OAK series since 2004
Week 17	San Diego	SAN DIEGO is 14-5 against the spread at OAKLAND since 1992

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	6-YR TOTAL	6-YR%
STRAIGHT UP	4–12	2–14	4–12	5–11	5–11	8–8	28–68	29.2%
OVERALL ATS	5–11	6–10	6–10	7–9	8–8	8–8	40–56	41.7%
PRESEASON ATS	1–3	4–1	2–2	2–2	2–2	2–1	13–11	54.2%
HOME ATS	2–6	3–5	2–6	2–6	4–4	4–4	17–31	35.4%
ROAD ATS	3–5	3–5	4–4	5–3	4–4	4–4	23–25	47.9%
VS DIVISION ATS	0–6	4–2	3–3	2–4	4–2	6–0	19–17	52.8%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	2–10	5–7	6–6	6–6	7–5	6–6	32–40	44.4%
AS FAVORITE ATS	3–3	0–2	0–4	0–1	0–1	2–2	5–13	27.8%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	2–8	6–8	6–6	7–8	8–7	6–6	35–43	44.9%
OVER-UNDER	7–9	3–12	10–5	5–9	7–9	10–6	42–50	45.7%

STATFOX.COM POWER TRENDS

- OAKLAND is 10-2 ATS versus division opponents the last two seasons
- OAKLAND is 10-3 ATS after allowing 30 points or more last game the last three seasons
- OAKLAND is 3-14 ATS versus poor defensive teams - allowing >=350 yards/game the last five seasons
- OAKLAND is 1-8 ATS off a upset win as an underdog the last two seasons

2010 STATS/RANK

DIFFERENTIALS	NO.	RANK
Scoring	2.4	14
Yardage	31.9	9
Yds Per Play	0.25	9
Yds Per Point	-0.08	12
Turnovers	-2	17

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	25.6	6
First Downs	19.1	16
Yards/Game	354.6	10
Yds Per Play	5.46	13
Yds Per Point	13.84	4
RushYds/Gm	155.8	2
Yards Per Rush	4.96	2
Pass Att/Game	30.8	24
Comp/Game	17.5	28
Completion %	56.8	28
Pass Yds/Gm	198.8	23
Pass Yds/Att	6.45	19
INTs Thrown	16	16
Sacks Allowed	44	26
Att/Sack	12.2	28
Sack Yards	291	26

DEFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Allowed	23.2	20
First Downs	18.3	13
Yards/Game	322.7	11
Yds Per Play	5.21	15
Yds Per Point	13.92	24
RushYds/Gm	133.6	29
Yards Per Rush	4.51	23
Pass Att/Game	29.4	1
Comp/Game	15.6	1
Comp %	53.0	2
Pass Yds/Gm	189.1	2
Pass Yds/Att	6.44	14
Interceptions	12	25
Sacks	47	1
Att/Sack	11.0	1
Sack Yards	291	5

2007-2010 GAME LOGS

2007	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/9	vs DET	L 21-36	L (-3)	O (39½)
9/16	at DEN	L 20-23	W (+9½)	O (37)
9/23	vs CLE	W 26-24	L (-3)	O (41½)
9/30	at MIA	W 35-17	W (+3½)	O (39½)
10/14	at SDG	L 14-28	L (+9½)	U (44)
10/21	vs KAN	L 10-12	L (-2)	U (37½)
10/28	at TEN	L 9-13	W (+6½)	U (39)
11/4	vs HOU	L 17-24	L (-3)	P (41)
11/11	vs CHI	L 6-17	L (+3½)	U (38)
11/18	at MIN	L 22-29	L (+4½)	O (35½)
11/25	at KAN	W 20-17	W (+4)	O (34½)
12/2	vs DEN	W 34-20	W (+3½)	O (41)
12/9	at GNB	L 7-38	L (+10)	O (40)
12/16	vs IND	L 14-21	W (+9½)	U (45)
12/23	at JAC	L 11-49	L (+14)	O (40½)
12/30	vs SDG	L 17-30	L (+9)	O (41½)

2008	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/8	vs DEN	L 14-41	L (+3)	O (40)
9/14	at KAN	W 23-8	W (+3)	U (36)
9/21	at BUF	L 23-24	W (+10)	O (37)
9/28	vs SDG	L 18-28	L (+8)	P (46)
10/12	at NOR	L 3-34	L (+7)	U (47)
10/19	vs NYJ	W 16-13	W (+3)	U (41)
10/26	at BAL	L 10-29	L (+8)	O (34½)
11/2	vs ATL	L 0-24	L (+3)	U (41)
11/9	vs CAR	L 6-17	L (+10)	U (37½)
11/16	at MIA	L 15-17	W (+10)	U (37½)
11/23	at DEN	W 31-10	W (+8½)	U (44)
11/30	vs KAN	L 13-20	L (-3)	U (41)
12/4	at SDG	L 7-34	L (+9)	P (41)
12/14	vs NWE	L 26-49	L (+7)	O (38)
12/21	vs HOU	W 27-16	W (+6½)	U (43½)
12/28	at TAM	W 31-24	W (+11)	O (39½)

2009	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/14	vs SDG	L 20-24	W (+10)	O (42½)
9/20	at KAN	W 13-10	W (+1)	U (40)
9/27	vs DEN	L 3-23	L (+2½)	U (38)
10/4	at HOU	L 6-29	L (+8)	U (42½)
10/11	at NYG	L 7-44	L (+15)	O (38)
10/18	vs PHI	W 13-9	W (+14)	U (40½)
10/25	vs NYJ	L 0-38	L (+6)	O (34)
11/1	at SDG	L 16-24	W (+15½)	U (41½)
11/15	vs KAN	L 10-16	L (-3)	U (36½)
11/22	vs CIN	W 20-17	W (+8½)	O (36)
11/26	at DAL	L 7-24	L (+13½)	U (40½)
12/6	at PIT	W 27-24	W (+15)	O (37)
12/13	vs WAS	L 13-34	L (+2½)	O (37)
12/20	at DEN	W 20-19	W (+13½)	O (37)
12/27	at CLE	L 9-23	L (+3)	U (37)
1/3	vs BAL	L 13-21	W (+10)	U (39½)

2010	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/12	at TEN	L 13-38	L (+6½)	O (39½)
9/19	vs STL	W 16-14	L (-3)	U (37½)
9/26	at ARI	L 23-24	W (+5½)	O (39)
10/3	vs HOU	L 24-31	L (+3)	O (43)
10/10	vs SDG	W 35-27	W (+7)	O (44)
10/17	at SFO	L 9-17	L (+7½)	U (41)
10/24	at DEN	W 59-14	W (+7)	O (43)
10/31	vs SEA	W 33-3	W (-2)	U (40)
11/7	vs KAN	W 23-20	W (+1½)	O (41)
11/21	at PIT	L 3-35	L (+7)	U (41)
11/28	vs MIA	L 17-33	L (-3)	O (38)
12/5	at SDG	W 28-13	W (+13)	U (45)
12/12	at JAC	L 31-38	L (+3)	O (41½)
12/19	vs DEN	W 39-23	W (-8)	O (41½)
12/26	vs IND	L 26-31	L (+2)	O (46)
1/2	at KAN	W 31-10	W (+4)	U (42½)

SAN DIEGO CHARGERS

Sportsbook.com odds to win SB XLVI: 12-to-1
Sportsbook.com odds to win AFC Title: 11-to-2
2011 Schedule Strength: 21.00 (8th toughest)
2010 Record: 9-7 (-13.2 ML units), 8-8 ATS
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 8-7-1
2010 Schedule Strength: 17.50 (28th toughest)
StatFox.com Power Rating: 26 (#6 of 32)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +5.42 (#5 of 32)

2011 SCHEDULE / SERIES TRENDS / NOTES

Week 1	Minnesota	UNDERDOG is 4-0 against the spread and 3-1 straight-up in MIN-SD series since 1992
Week 2	at New England	UNDERDOG is 8-3 against the spread and 7-4 straight-up in NE-SD series since 1992
Week 3	Kansas City	SAN DIEGO is 5-2 against the spread and 6-1 straight-up at home against KANSAS CITY since 2004
Week 4	Miami	Last 11 games are 10-1 UNDER the total in MIA-SD series since 1992
Week 5	at Denver	SAN DIEGO is 8-2 against the spread and straight-up against DENVER since 2006
Week 6	BYE	
Week 7	at N.Y. Jets	ROAD TEAM is 5-2 against the spread and 6-1 straight-up in NYJ-SD series since 1992
Week 8	at Kansas City	HOME TEAM is 9-5 against the spread and straight-up in KC-SD series since 2004
Week 9	Green Bay	GREEN BAY is 5-0 against the spread and straight-up against SAN DIEGO since 1992
Week 10	Oakland	Last 18 games at SAN DIEGO are 14-4 UNDER the total in OAK-SD series since 1992
Week 11	at Chicago	CHICAGO is 4-1 against the spread and straight-up against SAN DIEGO since 1992
Week 12	Denver	SAN DIEGO is 4-1 against the spread and straight-up at home against DENVER since 2006
Week 13	at Jacksonville	HOME TEAM is 4-0 against the spread and straight-up in JAC-SD series since 1992
Week 14	Buffalo	HOME TEAM is 5-2 against the spread and 6-1 straight-up in BUF-SD series since 1992
Week 15	Baltimore	HOME TEAM is 5-2 against the spread and straight-up in BAL-SD series since 1992
Week 16	at Detroit	SAN DIEGO is 4-0 against the spread and straight-up against DETROIT since 1992
Week 17	at Oakland	SAN DIEGO is 14-5 against the spread at OAKLAND since 1992

SITUATIONAL RECORDS (INCLUDES POST-SEASON RESULTS)

SCENARIO	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	6-YR TOTAL	6-YR%
STRAIGHT UP	9–7	14–3	13–6	9–9	13–4	9–7	67–36	65.0%
OVERALL ATS	9–6	9–8	14–5	9–9	8–8	8–8	57–44	56.4%
PRESEASON ATS	2–2	2–2	2–2	3–1	2–1	1–2	12–10	54.5%
HOME ATS	4–4	5–4	8–1	5–4	3–5	6–2	31–20	60.8%
ROAD ATS	5–2	4–4	6–4	4–5	5–3	2–6	26–24	52.0%
VS DIVISION ATS	3–2	4–2	5–1	4–2	3–3	2–4	21–14	60.0%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	6–5	7–6	12–3	8–6	5–8	6–6	44–34	56.4%
AS FAVORITE ATS	6–5	7–7	11–3	5–8	5–7	7–8	41–38	51.9%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	3–1	2–1	3–2	4–1	3–1	1–0	16–6	72.7%
OVER-UNDER	5–11	10–7	10–8	8–8	10–6	8–7	51–47	52.0%

STATFOX.COM POWER TRENDS

- SAN DIEGO is 8-1 ATS when playing against a good team (Win Pct. 60% to 75%) the last two seasons
- SAN DIEGO is 7-1 ATS off a home loss the last five seasons
- SAN DIEGO is 3-10 ATS after allowing 14 points or less last game the last three seasons
- SAN DIEGO is 1-6 ATS versus terrible rushing defenses - allowing >=150 rushing yards/game the last three seasons

2010 STATS/RANK

DIFFERENTIALS	NO.	RANK
Scoring	7.5	5
Yardage	124.7	1
Yds Per Play	1.47	1
Yds Per Point	0.89	19
Turnovers	-6	23

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	27.6	2
First Downs	22.3	3
Yards/Game	395.6	1
Yds Per Play	6.09	1
Yds Per Point	14.35	8
RushYds/Gm	113.1	15
Yards Per Rush	3.96	22
Pass Att/Game	34.0	14
Comp/Game	22.4	8
Completion %	66.0	3
Pass Yds/Gm	282.4	2
Pass Yds/Att	8.31	1
INTs Thrown	13	9
Sacks Allowed	38	20
Att/Sack	15.3	20
Sack Yards	227	17

DEFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Allowed	20.1	10
First Downs	15.4	1
Yards/Game	270.9	1
Yds Per Play	4.62	2
Yds Per Point	13.46	28
RushYds/Gm	93.8	4
Yards Per Rush	3.71	5
Pass Att/Game	30.5	3
Comp/Game	17.8	3
Comp %	58.4	10
Pass Yds/Gm	177.1	1
Pass Yds/Att	5.81	2
Interceptions	16	14
Sacks	47	1
Att/Sack	11.4	2
Sack Yards	300	4

2007-2010 GAME LOGS

2007	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/9	vs CHI	W 14-3	W (-7)	U (43)
9/16	at NWE	L 14-38	L (+3½)	O (46)
9/23	at GNB	L 24-31	L (-5½)	O (42½)
9/30	vs KAN	L 16-30	L (-12½)	O (39)
10/7	at DEN	W 41-3	W (-1½)	O (43½)
10/14	vs OAK	W 28-14	W (-9½)	U (44)
10/28	vs HOU	W 35-10	W (-10)	U (45½)
11/4	at MIN	L 17-35	L (-7)	O (42)
11/11	vs IND	W 23-21	W (+3½)	U (47)
11/18	at JAC	L 17-24	L (+3)	O (40½)
11/25	vs BAL	W 32-14	W (-9½)	O (39)
12/2	at KAN	W 24-10	W (-5½)	U (37½)
12/9	at TEN	W 23-17	W (-2)	P (40)
12/16	vs DET	W 51-14	W (-9½)	O (45½)
12/24	vs DEN	W 23-3	W (-9)	U (47)
12/30	at OAK	W 30-17	W (-9)	O (41½)

2008	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/7	vs CAR	L 24-26	L (-8½)	O (40½)
9/14	at DEN	L 38-39	W (+1½)	O (46½)
9/22	vs NYJ	W 48-29	W (-8)	O (46½)
9/28	at OAK	W 28-18	W (-8)	P (46)
10/5	at MIA	L 10-17	L (-5½)	U (44½)
10/12	vs NWE	W 30-10	W (-6)	U (45)
10/19	at BUF	L 14-23	L (-1)	U (45)
10/26	vs NOR	L 32-37	L (-3)	O (46)
11/9	vs KAN	W 20-19	L (-15)	U (46½)
11/16	at PIT	L 10-11	W (+4)	U (40)
11/23	vs IND	L 20-23	L (-3)	U (49½)
11/30	vs ATL	L 16-22	L (-6½)	U (48)
12/4	vs OAK	W 34-7	W (-9)	P (41)
12/14	at KAN	W 22-21	L (-6)	O (41½)
12/21	at TAM	W 41-24	W (+4)	O (42)
12/28	vs DEN	W 52-21	W (-7)	O (50)

2009	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/14	at OAK	W 24-20	L (-10)	O (42½)
9/20	vs BAL	L 26-31	L (-1)	O (41)
9/27	vs MIA	W 23-13	W (-5)	U (45)
10/4	at PIT	L 28-38	L (+5½)	O (43)
10/19	vs DEN	L 23-34	L (-3)	O (44½)
10/25	at KAN	W 37-7	W (-6)	P (44)
11/1	vs OAK	W 24-16	L (-15½)	U (41½)
11/8	at NYG	W 21-20	W (+5)	U (48½)
11/15	vs PHI	W 31-23	W (-1)	O (47)
11/22	at DEN	W 32-3	W (-6)	U (41½)
11/29	vs KAN	W 43-14	W (-13)	O (44½)
12/6	at CLE	W 30-23	L (-13½)	O (42½)
12/13	at DAL	W 20-17	W (+3½)	U (49)
12/20	vs CIN	W 27-24	L (-6½)	O (44)
12/25	at TEN	W 42-17	W (+2)	O (47½)
1/3	vs WAS	W 23-20	P (-3)	O (39)

2010	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/13	at KAN	L 14-21	L (-4)	U (45½)
9/19	vs JAC	W 38-13	W (-7)	O (45)
9/26	at SEA	L 20-27	L (-3½)	O (44)
10/3	vs ARI	W 41-10	W (-10)	O (47)
10/10	at OAK	L 27-35	L (-7)	O (44)
10/17	at STL	L 17-20	L (-8½)	U (45)
10/24	vs NWE	L 20-23	L (-3)	U (49)
10/31	vs TEN	W 33-25	W (-6)	O (45)
11/7	at HOU	W 29-23	W (-3)	O (50)
11/22	vs DEN	W 35-14	W (-8½)	U (50)
11/28	at IND	W 36-14	W (+1½)	P (50)
12/5	vs OAK	L 13-28	L (-13)	U (45)
12/12	vs KAN	W 31-0	W (-10)	U (45½)
12/16	vs SFO	W 34-7	W (-10)	U (44½)
12/26	at CIN	L 20-34	L (-7½)	O (43½)
1/2	at DEN	W 33-28	L (-5½)	O (47½)

NFC EAST PREVIEW

DALLAS COWBOYS

Jason Garrett enters his first full season as head coach after piloting the Cowboys to a respectable 5-3 finish, and he'll get QB Tony Romo back in the lineup. They'd like to make more of a commitment to the run, especially with a bigger role for RB Felix Jones, but Garrett has run the offense since 2007 and they're primarily a pass-first team. One thing to watch will be how supremely talented but high maintenance WR Dez Bryant works with new receivers coach Jimmy Robinson, who came over from Green Bay. Former receivers coach Ray Sherman had been accused of coddling Bryant. Defensively, Dallas has a potentially great front seven, led by pass rushing stud LB DeMarcus Ware. The secondary is a major Achilles heel though. Their collection of cornerbacks struggles in pass coverage and run support, and they have some of the worst safety play in the NFL.

NEW YORK GIANTS

The Giants once again made few changes after a disappointing season, keeping their offensive coaching staff intact. Eli Manning is still maddeningly inconsistent, but does have the raw talent and the weapons to light it up when things are clicking. Hakeem Nicks is now one of the NFL's elite receivers, and new starting RB Ahmad Bradshaw has provided a little more versatility than long-time starter Brandon Jacobs, now a second stringer. The defense has recovered from a nightmarish 2009, with DEs Justin Tuck and Osi Umenyiora playing at an All-Pro level to highlight a deep and talented defensive line. The secondary is still a weakness though, especially after a shaky year from big-money free agent S Antrel Rolle. He and injury-prone S Kenny Phillips are a risky but awfully talented combo. They added another cover man in first-round CB Prince Amukamara.

PHILADELPHIA EAGLES

Unlike a year ago, Michael Vick enters 2011 as the starter in Andy Reid's West Coast offense. Vick will continue to take a lot of deep shots, especially with field-stretchers DeSean Jackson and Jeremy Maclin giving him a couple of elite targets downfield. Vick started to break down late last season, as the Eagles fizzled out at the end of the year. They added a powerful run blocker in first-round G Danny Watkins and could rely more on versatile RB LeSean McCoy and the running game to keep Vick out of harm's way. The defense has a chance to be very good again, especially up front. The Eagles pulled off a mini coup when they lured defensive line coach Jim Washburn away from Tennessee. Washburn was responsible for turning a mediocre Titans group into one of the league's best pass rushes. DE Trent Cole is far more talented than anyone Washburn had in Tennessee. He'll have far more talent to work with in Philly. A lack of athleticism in the linebacking corps is a concern though.

PREDICTED STANDINGS NFC EAST

TEAM	W	L
Philadelphia Eagles	11	5
New York Giants	10	6
Dallas Cowboys	9	7
Washington Redskins	5	11



A rejuvenated Michael Vick led the Eagles to an NFC-best 26.8 points per game in 2010, but he was slowed by an ankle injury late in the year.

WASHINGTON REDSKINS

The 'Skins look directionless as they head into the second year of the Mike Shanahan era. After the confused benching of Donovan McNabb last season, Washington spent this offseason debating between John Beck and Rex Grossman for the starting QB job. Considering their underwhelming receiving corps, this will most certainly be one of the NFL's worst passing offenses again. And despite their train wreck of a passing game, Washington threw it on 65.0% of their plays last year, the second-highest percentage in the league. One thing that did work out last season was the running game, behind Shanahan's vaunted zone blocking scheme. RB Ryan Torain will have to carry a heavy load, though he'll almost surely break down physically again. Defensively, they seem to have given up on DT Albert Haynesworth, who will go down as the worst free agent signing of all time. They added first-round pick Ryan Kerrigan to the front seven in an attempt to generate more of a pass rush, especially in light of OLB Brian Orakpo's disappointing second season. Defensive coordinator Jim Haslett has made S LaRon Landry more of an in-the-box player, which leaves his already thin secondary susceptible to the pass.

DALLAS COWBOYS

Sportsbook.com odds to win SB XLVI: 20-to-1
Sportsbook.com odds to win NFC Title: 9-to-1
2011 Schedule Strength: 18.56 (24th toughest)
2010 Record: 6-10 (-7.3 ML units), 6-10 ATS
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 13-3
2010 Schedule Strength: 20.63 (15th toughest)
StatFox.com Power Rating: 20 (#16 of 32)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -1.51 (#17 of 32)

2011 SCHEDULE / SERIES TRENDS / NOTES

Week 1	at N.Y. Jets	DALLAS is 3-1 against the spread and straight-up against NY JETS since 1992
Week 2	at San Francisco	Last 12 games are 9-3 OVER the total in DAL-SF series since 1992
Week 3	Washington	UNDERDOG is 8-2 against the spread at DALLAS in DAL-WAS series since 2001
Week 4	Detroit	HOME TEAM is 4-1 against the spread in DAL-DET series since 2004
Week 5	BYE	
Week 6	at New England	FAVORITE is 4-0 against the spread and straight-up in DAL-NE series since 1992
Week 7	St. Louis	UNDERDOG is 4-1 against the spread and straight-up in DAL-STL series since 1992
Week 8	at Philadelphia	HOME TEAM is 4-1 against the spread in DAL-PHI series since 2009
Week 9	Seattle	DALLAS is 6-2 against the spread versus SEATTLE since 1992
Week 10	Buffalo	Last six games are 4-2 UNDER the total in BUF-DAL series since 1992
Week 11	at Washington	UNDERDOG is 10-4 against the spread in DAL-WAS series since 2004
Week 12	Miami	ROAD TEAM is 4-1 against the spread and straight-up in DAL-MIA series since 1992
Week 13	at Arizona	HOME team is 6-2 against the spread and 7-1 straight-up in the ARI-DAL series since 2001
Week 14	N.Y. Giants	NY GIANTS are 9-4 against the spread versus DALLAS since 2004
Week 15	at Tampa Bay	FAVORITE is 5-1 against the spread and 6-0 straight-up in DAL-TB series since 1992
Week 16	Philadelphia	UNDERDOG is 10-5 against the spread in DAL-PHI series since 2004
Week 17	at N.Y. Giants	Last 10 games at NY GIANTS are 8-2 OVER the total in DAL-NYG series since 2001

SITUATIONAL RECORDS (INCLUDES POST-SEASON RESULTS)

SCENARIO	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	6-YR TOTAL	6-YR%
STRAIGHT UP	9–7	9–8	13–4	9–7	12–6	6–10	58–42	58.0%
OVERALL ATS	7–7	8–7	9–8	7–9	10–8	6–10	47–49	49.0%
PRESEASON ATS	3–1	3–1	2–2	1–3	2–2	3–2	14–11	56.0%
HOME ATS	3–3	4–4	5–4	4–4	6–3	3–5	25–23	52.1%
ROAD ATS	4–4	4–3	4–4	3–5	4–5	3–5	22–26	45.8%
VS DIVISION ATS	2–3	1–4	3–4	2–4	4–3	2–4	14–22	38.9%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	6–5	5–6	7–6	6–6	9–5	4–8	37–36	50.7%
AS FAVORITE ATS	2–4	6–6	8–6	7–6	9–7	1–8	33–37	47.1%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	5–3	2–1	1–2	0–3	2–1	5–2	15–12	55.6%
OVER-UNDER	8–7	10–6	10–7	9–7	7–11	13–3	57–41	58.2%

STATFOX.COM POWER TRENDS

- DALLAS is 10-0 ATS after allowing 300 or more passing yards in their last game the last five seasons
- DALLAS is 14-4 ATS in weeks 10 through 13 the last five seasons
- DALLAS is 6-14 ATS in December games the last five seasons
- DALLAS is 2-9 ATS vs. good passing defenses - allowing 5.7 or less passing yards/att. the last five seasons

2010 STATS/RANK

DIFFERENTIALS	NO.	RANK
Scoring	-2.7	21
Yardage	12.5	12
Yds Per Play	-0.13	20
Yds Per Point	1.88	26
Turnovers	+0	13

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	24.6	7
First Downs	20.4	9
Yards/Game	364.3	7
Yds Per Play	5.63	7
Yds Per Point	14.79	12
RushYds/Gm	111.8	16
Yards Per Rush	4.19	15
Pass Att/Game	36.0	9
Comp/Game	23.7	4
Completion %	65.8	4
Pass Yds/Gm	252.4	6
Pass Yds/Att	7.01	7
INTs Thrown	19	20
Sacks Allowed	32	11
Att/Sack	19.0	9
Sack Yards	169	4

DEFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Allowed	27.3	31
First Downs	19.3	19
Yards/Game	351.8	23
Yds Per Play	5.76	28
Yds Per Point	12.91	32
RushYds/Gm	108.6	12
Yards Per Rush	4.33	19
Pass Att/Game	33.8	20
Comp/Game	21.8	22
Comp %	64.4	26
Pass Yds/Gm	243.2	26
Pass Yds/Att	7.21	28
Interceptions	20	6
Sacks	36	14
Att/Sack	16.0	12
Sack Yards	260	10

2007-2010 GAME LOGS

2007	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/9	vs NYG	W 45-35	W (-6)	O (44)
9/16	at MIA	W 37-20	W (-3)	O (39)
9/23	at CHI	W 34-10	W (+3)	O (41½)
9/30	vs STL	W 35-7	W (-13)	U (47)
10/8	at BUF	W 25-24	L (-10½)	O (45)
10/14	vs NWE	L 27-48	L (+4½)	O (52)
10/21	vs MIN	W 24-14	W (-9½)	U (45½)
11/4	at PHI	W 38-17	W (-3)	O (46)
11/11	at NYG	W 31-20	W (-2)	O (47½)
11/18	vs WAS	W 28-23	L (-10½)	O (47)
11/22	vs NYJ	W 34-3	W (-14)	U (48)
11/29	vs GNB	W 37-27	W (-7)	O (51½)
12/9	at DET	W 28-27	L (-10½)	O (50)
12/16	vs PHI	L 6-10	L (-10)	U (48½)
12/22	at CAR	W 20-13	L (-10½)	U (42½)
12/30	at WAS	L 6-27	L (+9)	U (38½)

2008	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/7	at CLE	W 28-10	W (-6)	U (48)
9/15	vs PHI	W 41-37	L (-6½)	O (46)
9/21	at GNB	W 27-16	W (-3)	U (50½)
9/28	vs WAS	L 24-26	L (-10)	O (46½)
10/5	vs CIN	W 31-22	L (-16)	O (46)
10/12	at ARI	L 24-30	L (-4½)	O (53½)
10/19	at STL	L 14-34	L (-8)	O (45½)
10/26	vs TAM	W 13-9	W (-1½)	U (40½)
11/2	at NYG	L 14-35	L (+8½)	O (42½)
11/16	at WAS	W 14-10	W (-2)	U (42½)
11/23	vs SFO	W 35-22	W (-9½)	O (45½)
11/27	vs SEA	W 34-9	W (-11½)	U (46)
12/7	at PIT	L 13-20	L (+4)	U (38)
12/14	vs NYG	W 20-8	W (-3)	U (46)
12/20	vs BAL	L 24-33	L (-5½)	O (39)
12/28	at PHI	L 6-44	L (+2½)	O (40½)

2009	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/13	at TAM	W 34-21	W (-4½)	O (39½)
9/20	vs NYG	L 31-33	L (-3)	O (45)
9/28	vs CAR	W 21-7	W (-8)	U (48)
10/4	at DEN	L 10-17	L (-3)	U (44)
10/11	at KAN	W 26-20	L (-7)	O (43½)
10/25	vs ATL	W 37-21	W (-5½)	O (48)
11/1	vs SEA	W 38-17	W (-10)	O (46½)
11/8	at PHI	W 20-16	W (+3)	U (49)
11/15	at GNB	L 7-17	L (-3)	U (48)
11/22	vs WAS	W 7-6	L (-10½)	U (41½)
11/26	vs OAK	W 24-7	W (-13½)	U (40½)
12/6	at NYG	L 24-31	L (-1)	O (45½)
12/13	vs SDG	L 17-20	L (-3½)	U (49)
12/19	at NOR	W 24-17	W (+7)	U (53½)
12/27	at WAS	W 17-0	W (-7)	U (41½)
1/3	vs PHI	W 24-0	W (-3)	U (47)

2010	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/12	at WAS	L 7-13	L (-3½)	U (40)
9/19	vs CHI	L 20-27	L (-7)	O (41½)
9/26	at HOU	W 27-13	W (+2½)	U (47½)
10/10	vs TEN	L 27-34	L (-6½)	O (43½)
10/17	at MIN	L 21-24	L (+1½)	O (43½)
10/25	vs NYG	L 35-41	L (-3½)	O (45)
10/31	vs JAC	L 17-35	L (-6½)	O (43½)
11/7	at GNB	L 7-45	L (+7½)	O (46)
11/14	at NYG	W 33-20	W (+12½)	O (46)
11/21	vs DET	W 35-19	W (-5½)	O (47)
11/25	vs NOR	L 27-30	W (+4½)	O (48)
12/5	at IND	W 38-35	W (+5½)	O (48)
12/12	vs PHI	L 27-30	W (+4)	O (50½)
12/19	vs WAS	W 33-30	L (-9½)	O (44)
12/25	at ARI	L 26-27	L (-7)	O (44½)
1/2	at PHI	W 14-13	L (-2½)	U (43½)

> NFC EAST

NEW YORK GIANTS

Sportsbook.com odds to win SB XLVI: 15-to-1
Sportsbook.com odds to win NFC Title: 7-to-1
2011 Schedule Strength: 19.25 (20th toughest)
2010 Record: 10-6 (-2.6 ML units), 7-9 ATS
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 9-7
2010 Schedule Strength: 19.69 (21st toughest)
StatFox.com Power Rating: 23 (#10 of 32)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +4.01. (#10 of 32)

2011 SCHEDULE / SERIES TRENDS / NOTES

Week 1	at Washington	NY GIANTS are 8-2 against the spread and 9-1 straight-up against WASHINGTON since 2006
Week 2	St. Louis	NY GIANTS are 5-0 against the spread and 4-1 straight-up against ST. LOUIS since 2001
Week 3	at Philadelphia	UNDERDOG is 10-2 against the spread and 9-3 straight-up in NYG-PHI series since 2006
Week 4	at Arizona	Last seven games are 5-2 UNDER the total in ARI-NYG series since 2001
Week 5	Seattle	FAVORITE is 3-0 against the spread and straight-up in NYG-SEA series since 2006
Week 6	Buffalo	NY GIANTS are 4-1 against the spread versus BUFFALO since 1992
Week 7	BYE	
Week 8	Miami	UNDERDOG is 4-0 against the spread in MIA-NYG series since 1992
Week 9	at New England	NY GIANTS are 4-1 against the spread versus NEW ENGLAND since 1992
Week 10	at San Francisco	NY GIANTS are 5-0 against the spread versus SAN FRANCISCO since 2001
Week 11	Philadelphia	PHILADELPHIA is 4-0 against the spread and straight-up at NY GIANTS since 2008
Week 12	at New Orleans	Last four games at NEW ORLEANS are 4-0 OVER the total in NO-NYG series since 1992
Week 13	Green Bay	ROAD TEAM is 4-1 against the spread and straight-up in GB-NYG series since 2001
Week 14	at Dallas	NY GIANTS are 9-4 against the spread versus DALLAS since 2004
Week 15	Washington	Last 10 games at NY GIANTS are 8-2 UNDER the total in NYG-WAS series since 2001
Week 16	at N.Y. Jets	NY GIANTS are 3-1 against the spread and 4-1 straight-up against NY JETS since 1992
Week 17	Dallas	Last 10 games at NY GIANTS are 8-2 OVER the total in DAL-NYG series since 2001

SITUATIONAL RECORDS (INCLUDES POST-SEASON RESULTS)

SCENARIO	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	6-YR TOTAL	6-YR%
STRAIGHT UP	11–6	8–9	14–6	12–5	8–8	10–6	63–40	61.2%
OVERALL ATS	10–6	8–8	14–6	12–5	7–9	7–9	58–43	57.4%
PRESEASON ATS	3–1	4–0	1–3	2–0	1–3	1–2	12–9	57.1%
HOME ATS	7–3	2–5	4–4	6–3	3–5	3–5	25–25	50.0%
ROAD ATS	3–3	6–3	10–2	6–2	4–4	4–4	33–18	64.7%
VS DIVISION ATS	3–2	5–1	4–3	4–3	4–2	2–4	22–15	59.5%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	8–4	8–4	10–5	10–3	5–7	6–6	47–29	61.8%
AS FAVORITE ATS	9–4	4–5	5–4	9–4	5–6	5–6	37–29	56.1%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	1–2	4–3	9–2	3–1	2–3	2–3	21–14	60.0%
OVER-UNDER	8–9	7–9	9–11	9–8	11–4	9–7	53–48	52.5%

STATFOX.COM POWER TRENDS

- NY GIANTS is 6-1 ATS off a home win against a division rival the last five seasons
- NY GIANTS is 9-2 ATS versus good rushing defenses - allowing <=90 rushing yards/game the last three seasons
- NY GIANTS is 4-10 ATS versus poor rushing defenses - allowing >=130 rushing yards/game the last five seasons
- NY GIANTS is 0-7 ATS after allowing 400 or more total yards in their previous game the last two seasons

2010 STATS/RANK

DIFFERENTIALS	NO.	RANK
Scoring	2.9	11
Yardage	69.6	2
Yds Per Play	0.78	3
Yds Per Point	1.12	21
Turnovers	-3	18

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	24.6	8
First Downs	20.7	7
Yards/Game	380.3	5
Yds Per Play	5.88	5
Yds Per Point	15.44	18
RushYds/Gm	137.5	6
Yards Per Rush	4.58	7
Pass Att/Game	33.7	17
Comp/Game	21.2	13
Completion %	62.9	8
Pass Yds/Gm	242.8	10
Pass Yds/Att	7.21	6
INTs Thrown	25	31
Sacks Allowed	16	1
Att/Sack	34.7	2
Sack Yards	117	2

DEFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Allowed	21.7	17
First Downs	16.4	2
Yards/Game	310.8	7
Yds Per Play	5.10	10
Yds Per Point	14.33	20
RushYds/Gm	101.4	8
Yards Per Rush	4.18	14
Pass Att/Game	33.7	19
Comp/Game	19.3	10
Comp %	57.1	5
Pass Yds/Gm	209.3	9
Pass Yds/Att	6.21	9
Interceptions	16	14
Sacks	47	1
Att/Sack	12.5	5
Sack Yards	319	2

2007-2010 GAME LOGS

2007	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/9	at DAL	L 35-45	L (+6)	O (44)
9/16	vs GNB	L 13-35	L (-3)	O (39½)
9/23	at WAS	W 24-17	W (+3½)	U (42)
9/30	vs PHI	W 16-3	W (+2)	U (47½)
10/7	vs NYJ	W 35-24	W (-3½)	O (40½)
10/15	at ATL	W 31-10	W (-5)	U (43½)
10/21	vs SFO	W 33-15	W (-9½)	O (39)
10/28	vs MIA	W 13-10	L (-10)	U (48)
11/11	vs DAL	L 20-31	L (+2)	O (47½)
11/18	at DET	W 16-10	W (-2½)	U (48)
11/25	vs MIN	L 17-41	L (-7)	O (40)
12/2	at CHI	W 21-16	W (pk)	U (39½)
12/9	at PHI	W 16-13	W (+3)	U (42)
12/16	vs WAS	L 10-22	L (-6)	U (36½)
12/23	at BUF	W 38-21	W (-2)	O (31½)
12/29	vs NWE	L 35-38	W (+13)	O (46½)

2008	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	vs WAS	W 16-7	W (-4½)	U (41)
9/14	at STL	W 41-13	W (-7½)	O (43½)
9/21	vs CIN	W 26-23	L (-12½)	O (42½)
10/5	vs SEA	W 44-6	W (-6)	O (43½)
10/13	at CLE	L 14-35	L (-7½)	O (42½)
10/19	vs SFO	W 29-17	W (-10)	U (47)
10/26	at PIT	W 21-14	W (+3)	U (41)
11/2	vs DAL	W 35-14	W (-8½)	O (42½)
11/9	at PHI	W 36-31	W (+3)	O (42½)
11/16	vs BAL	W 30-10	W (-6½)	O (39)
11/23	at ARI	W 37-29	W (-3)	O (48)
11/30	at WAS	W 23-7	W (-3½)	U (41)
12/7	vs PHI	L 14-20	L (-6)	U (41)
12/14	at DAL	L 8-20	L (+3)	U (46)
12/21	vs CAR	W 34-28	W (-4)	O (37½)
12/28	at MIN	L 19-20	W (+7)	U (41)

2009	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/13	vs WAS	W 23-17	W (-5½)	O (37)
9/20	at DAL	W 33-31	W (+3)	O (45)
9/27	at TAM	W 24-0	W (-6)	U (46)
10/4	at KAN	W 27-16	W (-9)	P (43)
10/11	vs OAK	W 44-7	W (-15)	O (38)
10/18	at NOR	L 27-48	L (+3)	O (47)
10/25	vs ARI	L 17-24	L (-7½)	U (47)
11/1	at PHI	L 17-40	L (-3)	O (43½)
11/8	vs SDG	L 20-21	L (-5)	U (48½)
11/22	vs ATL	W 34-31	L (-7)	O (46½)
11/26	at DEN	L 6-26	L (-4½)	U (43½)
12/6	vs DAL	W 31-24	W (+1)	O (45½)
12/13	vs PHI	L 38-45	L (+1)	O (42)
12/21	at WAS	W 45-12	W (-3)	O (43½)
12/27	vs CAR	L 9-41	L (-8)	O (43)
1/3	at MIN	L 7-44	L (+8)	O (47½)

2010	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/12	vs CAR	W 31-18	W (-5½)	O (40½)
9/19	at IND	L 14-38	L (+4½)	O (48)
9/26	vs TEN	L 10-29	L (-3)	U (43)
10/3	vs CHI	W 17-3	W (-4)	U (43½)
10/10	at HOU	W 34-10	W (+3)	U (48)
10/17	vs DET	W 28-20	L (-10)	O (45½)
10/25	at DAL	W 41-35	W (+3½)	O (45)
11/7	at SEA	W 41-7	W (-7)	O (40½)
11/14	vs DAL	L 20-33	L (-12½)	O (46)
11/21	at PHI	L 17-27	L (+3)	U (47½)
11/28	vs JAC	W 24-20	L (-7½)	O (43)
12/5	vs WAS	W 31-7	W (-7½)	U (43)
12/13	vs MIN	W 21-3	W (-5)	U (43½)
12/19	vs PHI	L 31-38	L (-3)	O (47)
12/26	at GNB	L 17-45	L (+3)	O (43)
1/2	at WAS	W 17-14	L (-4½)	U (44)



PHILADELPHIA EAGLES

Sportsbook.com odds to win SB XLVI: 12-to-1
Sportsbook.com odds to win NFC Title: 11-to-2
2011 Schedule Strength: 18.50 (25th toughest)
2010 Record: 10-7 (-7.2 ML units), 8-9 ATS
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 10-7
2010 Schedule Strength: 21.59 (12th toughest)
StatFox.com Power Rating: 24 (#7 of 32)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +3.60 (#11 of 32)

2011 SCHEDULE / SERIES TRENDS / NOTES

Week 1	at St. Louis	PHILADELPHIA is 7-1 against the spread versus ST. LOUIS since 1992
Week 2	at Atlanta	PHILADELPHIA is 7-1 against the spread and straight-up against ATLANTA since 2001
Week 3	N.Y. Giants	UNDERDOG is 10-2 against the spread and 9-3 straight-up in NYG-PHI series since 2006
Week 4	San Francisco	PHILADELPHIA is 5-0 against the spread and straight-up against SAN FRANCISCO since 2004
Week 5	at Buffalo	UNDERDOG is 4-1 against the spread in BUF-PHI series since 1992
Week 6	at Washington	PHILADELPHIA is 12-6 against the spread at WASHINGTON since 1992
Week 7	BYE	
Week 8	Dallas	HOME TEAM is 4-1 against the spread in DAL-PHI series since 2009
Week 9	Chicago	UNDERDOG is 5-2 against the spread in CHI-PHI series since 2001
Week 10	Arizona	FAVORITE is 4-2 against the spread in the ARI-PHI series since 2001
Week 11	at N.Y. Giants	PHILADELPHIA is 4-0 against the spread and straight-up at NY GIANTS since 2008
Week 12	New England	UNDERDOG is 4-0 against the spread in NE-PHI series since 1992
Week 13	at Seattle	ROAD TEAM is 6-2 against the spread and 7-1 straight-up in PHI-SEA series since 1992
Week 14	at Miami	PHILADELPHIA is 4-1 against the spread versus MIAMI since 1992
Week 15	N.Y. Jets	PHILADELPHIA is 3-1 against the spread and 4-0 straight-up against NY JETS since 1992
Week 16	at Dallas	UNDERDOG is 10-5 against the spread in DAL-PHI series since 2004
Week 17	Washington	ROAD TEAM is 7-1 against the spread and 6-2 straight-up in PHI-WAS series since 2007

SITUATIONAL RECORDS (INCLUDES POST-SEASON RESULTS)

SCENARIO	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	6-YR TOTAL	6-YR%
STRAIGHT UP	6–10	11–7	8–8	11–7	11–6	10–7	57–45	55.9%
OVERALL ATS	5–11	10–7	8–8	12–7	9–8	8–9	52–50	51.0%
PRESEASON ATS	2–2	3–2	1–3	2–2	0–4	1–3	9–16	36.0%
HOME ATS	3–5	5–4	2–6	6–2	4–4	4–5	24–26	48.0%
ROAD ATS	2–6	5–3	6–2	6–5	5–4	4–4	28–24	53.8%
VS DIVISION ATS	1–5	4–2	2–4	4–3	3–4	4–2	18–20	47.4%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	4–8	9–4	5–7	10–5	8–5	6–7	42–36	53.8%
AS FAVORITE ATS	2–5	5–6	3–7	9–6	8–4	5–7	32–35	47.8%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	3–6	5–1	5–1	3–1	1–4	3–2	20–15	57.1%
OVER-UNDER	9–6	9–8	7–9	8–10	11–6	10–7	54–46	54.0%

STATFOX.COM POWER TRENDS

- PHILADELPHIA is 8-1 ATS after a road game where both teams scored 24 or more points the last three seasons
- PHILADELPHIA is 6-1 ATS against NFC West division opponents the last three seasons
- PHILADELPHIA is 10-2 ATS off a road win against a division rival the last five seasons
- PHILADELPHIA is 1-6 ATS vs. excellent passing teams averaging 7.5 or more passing yards/att. the last two seasons

2010 STATS/RANK

DIFFERENTIALS	NO.	RANK
Scoring	3.8	9
Yardage	62.6	5
Yds Per Play	0.77	4
Yds Per Point	0.32	16
Turnovers	+9	5

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	27.4	3
First Downs	20.1	10
Yards/Game	389.4	2
Yds Per Play	6.00	3
Yds Per Point	14.19	7
RushYds/Gm	145.5	5
Yards Per Rush	5.45	1
Pass Att/Game	35.1	12
Comp/Game	21.8	12
Completion %	62.0	13
Pass Yds/Gm	243.9	9
Pass Yds/Att	6.96	9
INTs Thrown	13	9
Sacks Allowed	50	29
Att/Sack	12.2	29
Sack Yards	313	28

DEFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Allowed	23.6	21
First Downs	19.3	18
Yards/Game	326.8	12
Yds Per Play	5.23	16
Yds Per Point	13.87	25
RushYds/Gm	109.8	14
Yards Per Rush	4.14	13
Pass Att/Game	33.6	18
Comp/Game	19.3	12
Comp %	57.5	8
Pass Yds/Gm	216.9	15
Pass Yds/Att	6.46	17
Interceptions	23	3
Sacks	38	12
Att/Sack	15.1	10
Sack Yards	290	7

2007-2010 GAME LOGS

2007	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/9	at GNB	L 13-16	L (-3)	U (41½)
9/17	vs WAS	L 12-20	L (-6½)	U (39)
9/23	vs DET	W 56-21	W (-5)	O (44½)
9/30	at NYG	L 3-16	L (-2)	U (47½)
10/14	at NYJ	W 16-9	W (-4)	U (43½)
10/21	vs CHI	L 16-19	L (-5½)	U (42)
10/28	at MIN	W 23-16	W (pk)	O (37½)
11/4	vs DAL	L 17-38	L (+3)	O (46)
11/11	at WAS	W 33-25	W (+2½)	O (37½)
11/18	vs MIA	W 17-7	W (-9½)	U (40½)
11/25	at NWE	L 28-31	W (+23½)	O (51)
12/2	vs SEA	L 24-28	L (-3)	O (40½)
12/9	vs NYG	L 13-16	L (-3)	U (42)
12/16	at DAL	W 10-6	W (+10)	U (48½)
12/23	at NOR	W 38-23	W (+3)	O (47½)
12/30	vs BUF	W 17-9	L (-9)	U (38½)

2008	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/7	vs STL	W 38-3	W (-9)	U (43½)
9/15	at DAL	L 37-41	W (+6½)	O (46)
9/21	vs PIT	W 15-6	W (-3½)	U (44½)
9/28	at CHI	L 20-24	L (-3)	O (39)
10/5	vs WAS	L 17-23	L (-6½)	U (41½)
10/12	at SFO	W 40-26	W (-5)	O (41)
10/26	vs ATL	W 27-14	W (-9)	U (44½)
11/2	at SEA	W 26-7	W (-7)	U (44)
11/9	vs NYG	L 31-36	L (-3)	O (42½)
11/16	at CIN	T 13-13	L (-8½)	U (41)
11/23	at BAL	L 7-36	L (+2)	O (39)
11/27	vs ARI	W 48-20	W (-3)	O (49)
12/7	at NYG	W 20-14	W (+6)	U (41)
12/15	vs CLE	W 30-10	W (-15½)	P (40)
12/21	at WAS	L 3-10	L (-6)	U (37½)
12/28	vs DAL	W 44-6	W (-2½)	O (40½)

2009	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/13	at CAR	W 38-10	W (-2½)	O (43½)
9/20	vs NOR	L 22-48	L (+2½)	O (46)
9/27	vs KAN	W 34-14	W (-7½)	O (39)
10/11	vs TAM	W 33-14	W (-16)	O (42)
10/18	at OAK	L 9-13	L (-14)	U (40½)
10/26	at WAS	W 27-17	W (-8½)	O (38)
11/1	vs NYG	W 40-17	W (+3)	O (43½)
11/8	vs DAL	L 16-20	L (-3)	U (49)
11/15	at SDG	L 23-31	L (+1)	O (47)
11/22	at CHI	W 24-20	W (-3)	U (46½)
11/29	vs WAS	W 27-24	L (-10)	O (40½)
12/6	at ATL	W 34-7	W (-4)	U (43½)
12/13	at NYG	W 45-38	W (-1)	O (42)
12/20	vs SFO	W 27-13	W (-7)	U (41½)
12/27	vs DEN	W 30-27	L (-7)	O (43½)
1/3	at DAL	L 0-24	L (+3)	U (47)

2010	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/12	vs GNB	L 20-27	L (+3)	U (48)
9/19	at DET	W 35-32	L (-6½)	O (41)
9/26	at JAC	W 28-3	W (-3)	U (44½)
10/3	vs WAS	L 12-17	L (-5)	U (44)
10/10	at SFO	W 27-24	W (+3)	O (39½)
10/17	vs ATL	W 31-17	W (-2)	O (43)
10/24	at TEN	L 19-37	L (+3)	O (41)
11/7	vs IND	W 26-24	L (-3)	O (46½)
11/15	at WAS	W 59-28	W (-3)	O (44)
11/21	vs NYG	W 27-17	W (-3)	U (47½)
11/28	at CHI	L 26-31	L (-3)	O (42)
12/2	vs HOU	W 34-24	W (-8)	O (51)
12/12	at DAL	W 30-27	L (-4)	O (50½)
12/19	at NYG	W 38-31	W (+3)	O (47)
12/28	vs MIN	L 14-24	L (-14½)	U (44)
1/2	vs DAL	L 13-14	W (+2½)	U (43½)

> NFC EAST

WASHINGTON REDSKINS

Sportsbook.com odds to win SB XLVI: 60-to-1
Sportsbook.com odds to win NFC Title: 30-to-1
2011 Schedule Strength: 18.38 (26th toughest)
2010 Record: 6-10 (-0.8 ML units), 8-5-3 ATS
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 6-10
2010 Schedule Strength: 21.38 (13th toughest)
StatFox.com Power Rating: 15 (#24 of 32)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -3.82 (#25 of 32)

2011 SCHEDULE / SERIES TRENDS / NOTES

Week 1	N.Y. Giants	NY GIANTS are 8-2 against the spread and 9-1 straight-up against WASHINGTON since 2006
Week 2	Arizona	ARIZONA is 6-0 straight-up and 4-2 against the spread versus WASHINGTON since 2001
Week 3	at Dallas	UNDERDOG is 8-2 against the spread at DALLAS in DAL-WAS series since 2001
Week 4	at St. Louis	UNDERDOG is 9-3 against the spread and 8-4 straight-up in STL-WAS series since 1992
Week 5	BYE	
Week 6	Philadelphia	PHILADELPHIA is 12-6 against the spread at WASHINGTON since 1992
Week 7	at Carolina	UNDERDOG is 9-0 against the spread in CAR-WAS series since 1992
Week 8	Buffalo at Toronto	BUFFALO is 5-0 against the spread and straight-up against WASHINGTON since 1992
Week 9	San Francisco	FAVORITE is 9-0 straight-up in SF-WAS series since 1992
Week 10	at Miami	HOME TEAM is 4-0 straight-up in MIA-WAS series since 1992
Week 11	Dallas	UNDERDOG is 10-4 against the spread in DAL-WAS series since 2004
Week 12	at Seattle	FAVORITE is 4-1 against the spread and 5-1 straight-up at SEATTLE in SEA-WAS series since 1992
Week 13	N.Y. Jets	FAVORITE is 5-0 straight-up in NYJ-WAS series since 1992
Week 14	New England	NEW ENGLAND is 2-0 against the spread versus WASHINGTON since 2001
Week 15	at N.Y. Giants	Last 10 games at NY GIANTS are 8-2 UNDER the total in NYG-WAS series since 2001
Week 16	Minnesota	Last four games at WASHINGTON are 4-0 UNDER the total in MIN-WAS series since 1992
Week 17	at Philadelphia	ROAD TEAM is 7-1 against the spread and 6-2 straight-up in PHI-WAS series since 2007

SITUATIONAL RECORDS (INCLUDES POST-SEASON RESULTS)

SCENARIO	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	6-YR TOTAL	6-YR%
STRAIGHT UP	11–7	5–11	9–8	8–8	4–12	6–10	43–56	43.4%
OVERALL ATS	11–7	5–9	7–8	6–8	6–9	8–5	43–46	48.3%
PRESEASON ATS	1–3	0–4	3–1	2–3	2–2	2–2	10–15	40.0%
HOME ATS	5–3	3–4	3–4	3–5	2–6	3–2	19–24	44.2%
ROAD ATS	6–4	2–5	4–4	3–3	4–3	5–3	24–22	52.2%
vs DIVISION ATS	5–1	1–4	4–2	3–3	2–4	4–2	19–16	54.3%
vs CONFERENCE ATS	10–4	3–7	7–5	6–4	4–8	6–5	36–33	52.2%
as FAVORITE ATS	7–3	1–2	3–5	2–4	1–4	0–1	14–19	42.4%
as UNDERDOG ATS	4–4	4–7	4–3	4–4	5–5	8–4	29–27	51.8%
OVER-UNDER	8–10	8–7	8–9	3–12	9–7	6–10	42–55	43.3%

STATFOX.COM POWER TRENDS

- WASHINGTON is 6-1 ATS vs. good teams - outscoring opponents by 6+ PPG on the season the last two seasons
- WASHINGTON is 5-15 ATS when playing against a team with a losing record the last five seasons
- WASHINGTON is 1-6 ATS against NFC West division opponents the last five seasons
- WASHINGTON is 0-10 ATS after allowing 99 or less rushing yards in 2 straight games the last five seasons

2010 STATS/RANK

DIFFERENTIALS	NO.	RANK
Scoring	-4.7	27
Yardage	-53.4	26
Yds Per Play	-0.57	27
Yds Per Point	1.27	23
Turnovers	-4	19

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	18.9	25
First Downs	18.4	20
Yards/Game	335.9	18
Yds Per Play	5.36	14
Yds Per Point	17.79	29
RushYds/Gm	91.3	30
Yards Per Rush	4.16	16
Pass Att/Game	37.8	4
Comp/Game	21.8	11
Completion %	57.7	23
Pass Yds/Gm	244.6	8
Pass Yds/Att	6.47	18
INTs Thrown	19	20
Sacks Allowed	46	28
Att/Sack	14.2	23
Sack Yards	348	30

DEFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Allowed	23.6	22
First Downs	20.4	25
Yards/Game	389.3	31
Yds Per Play	5.93	30
Yds Per Point	16.52	10
RushYds/Gm	127.6	26
Yards Per Rush	4.60	26
Pass Att/Game	36.1	25
Comp/Game	22.9	30
Comp %	63.5	23
Pass Yds/Gm	261.7	31
Pass Yds/Att	7.24	29
Interceptions	14	19
Sacks	29	25
Att/Sack	20.9	29
Sack Yards	176	30

2007-2010 GAME LOGS

2007	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/9	vs MIA	W 16-13	P (-3)	U (34)
9/17	at PHI	W 20-12	W (+6½)	U (39)
9/23	vs NYG	L 17-24	L (-3½)	U (42)
10/7	vs DET	W 34-3	W (-3½)	U (44½)
10/14	at GNB	L 14-17	P (+3)	U (40½)
10/21	vs ARI	W 21-19	L (-8)	O (35½)
10/28	at NWE	L 7-52	L (+15)	O (46½)
11/4	at NYJ	W 23-20	L (-3½)	O (36)
11/11	vs PHI	L 25-33	L (-2½)	O (37½)
11/18	at DAL	L 23-28	W (+10½)	O (47)
11/25	at TAM	L 13-19	L (+3½)	U (38)
12/2	vs BUF	L 16-17	L (-6½)	U (37)
12/6	vs CHI	W 24-16	W (-3)	O (39½)
12/16	at NYG	W 22-10	W (+6)	U (36½)
12/23	at MIN	W 32-21	W (+6)	O (40½)
12/30	vs DAL	W 27-6	W (-9)	U (38½)

2008	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	at NYG	L 7-16	L (+4½)	U (41)
9/14	vs NOR	W 29-24	W (pk)	O (43½)
9/21	vs ARI	W 24-17	W (-3)	U (43½)
9/28	at DAL	W 26-24	W (+10)	O (46½)
10/5	at PHI	W 23-17	W (+6½)	U (41½)
10/12	vs STL	L 17-19	L (-11½)	U (44)
10/19	vs CLE	W 14-11	L (-7)	U (41½)
10/26	at DET	W 25-17	W (-7)	P (42)
11/3	vs PIT	L 6-23	L (-3)	U (37½)
11/16	vs DAL	L 10-14	L (+2)	U (42½)
11/23	at SEA	W 20-17	P (-3)	U (40)
11/30	vs NYG	L 7-23	L (+3½)	U (41)
12/7	at BAL	L 10-24	L (+6)	U (35)
12/14	at CIN	L 13-20	L (-6½)	U (35½)
12/21	vs PHI	W 10-3	W (+6)	U (37½)
12/28	at SFO	L 24-27	P (+3)	O (37)

2009	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/13	at NYG	L 17-23	L (+5½)	O (37)
9/20	vs STL	W 9-7	L (-10)	U (37)
9/27	at DET	L 14-19	L (-6)	U (39½)
10/4	vs TAM	W 16-13	L (-9½)	U (35½)
10/11	at CAR	L 17-20	W (+5)	U (37½)
10/18	vs KAN	L 6-14	L (-6½)	U (36½)
10/26	vs PHI	L 17-27	L (+8½)	O (38)
11/8	at ATL	L 17-31	L (+8½)	O (40½)
11/15	vs DEN	W 27-17	W (+3)	O (35½)
11/22	at DAL	L 6-7	W (+10½)	U (41½)
11/29	at PHI	L 24-27	W (+10)	O (40½)
12/6	vs NOR	L 30-33	W (+8)	O (46½)
12/13	at OAK	W 34-13	W (-2½)	O (37)
12/21	vs NYG	L 12-45	L (+3)	O (43½)
12/27	vs DAL	L 0-17	L (+7)	U (41½)
1/3	at SDG	L 20-23	P (+3)	O (39)

2010	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/12	vs DAL	W 13-7	W (+3½)	U (40)
9/19	vs HOU	L 27-30	P (+3)	O (43)
9/26	at STL	L 16-30	L (-5)	O (39)
10/3	at PHI	W 17-12	W (+5)	U (44)
10/10	vs GNB	W 16-13	W (+3)	U (45)
10/17	vs IND	L 24-27	P (+3)	O (44)
10/24	at CHI	W 17-14	W (+3)	U (39½)
10/31	at DET	L 25-37	L (+3)	O (45)
11/15	vs PHI	L 28-59	L (+3)	O (44)
11/21	at TEN	W 19-16	W (+7)	U (44)
11/28	vs MIN	L 13-17	L (+2½)	U (43½)
12/5	at NYG	L 7-31	L (+7½)	U (43)
12/12	vs TAM	L 16-17	P (+1)	U (41)
12/19	at DAL	L 30-33	W (+9½)	O (44)
12/26	at JAC	W 20-17	W (+7)	U (45½)
1/2	vs NYG	L 14-17	W (+4½)	U (44)

NFC NORTH PREVIEW

CHICAGO BEARS

Chicago seemed to be more of a smoke and mirrors team last year, but they did make it to within a win of the Super Bowl. Offensive coordinator Mike Martz, long a pass-happy mad bomber, has turned to the ground game, mostly because the erratic Jay Cutler isn't a good fit for his system, which requires the kind of anticipatory throws that Cutler doesn't do well. Offensive line coach Mike Tice worked wonders last season, and the addition of first-round pick Gabe Carimi, the best run-blocking tackle in the draft, indicates that they plan on relying on the ground game again. The arrival of DE Julius Peppers made a huge difference on the defensive side of the ball, and Chicago's bend-don't-break philosophy led to a number of tight wins. Devin Hester's prowess in the return game also tips the scales in their favor. Opposing teams had a net punting average of 32.6 against Chicago, lowest in the NFL.

DETROIT LIONS


Detroit continues to assemble an impressive collection of young talent, and it's starting to pay off. They won six games last season despite being without their quarterback for most of the season. QB Matthew Stafford was reportedly 100 percent healthy during offseason workouts, and he has some dangerous weapons led by WR Calvin Johnson. The Lions want to utilize big-play RB Jahvid Best as much as they can, but he was unable to stay healthy as a rookie (he entered the league with a reputation for being injury-prone). They drafted bruising big back Mikel LeShoure with a second-round pick to spell Best, and also grabbed a deep threat in WR Titus Young in that round to draw attention away from Johnson. The defense is still a work in progress, but they landed first-round DT Nick Fairley, the draft's most disruptive lineman, to go alongside 2010 Defensive Rookie of the Year Ndamukong Suh. The defensive backfield is a major issue though, as they're severely undermanned. They were the most penalized team in the NFC last season, and the addition of Fairley, who picked up many personal fouls at Auburn, will only add to that.

GREEN BAY PACKERS

The Super Bowl champs hit their stride in last year's playoffs, thanks to QB Aaron Rodgers' ascension to the elite class of quarterbacks. It's a passing game with West Coast principles, but they have the ability to stretch the field. Behind Rodgers and the passing game, they have the ability to score a ton of points even without a running game. Of course, with Ryan Grant coming back and James Starks emerging as a solid option during last year's postseason, the Packers might have another dimension on offense. They also have All-Pro caliber TE Jermichael Finley returning to the lineup. Their 3-4 front is a little soft against the run, but elite against the pass, even though OLB Clay Matthews is their only great pass rushing threat. And even with CB Charles Woodson starting to show his age, their physical secondary is still one of the best because of the emergence of CBs Tramon Williams and Sam Shields.

PREDICTED STANDINGS NFC NORTH

TEAM	W	L
Green Bay Packers	11	5
Detroit Lions	9	7
Chicago Bears	8	8
Minnesota Vikings	7	9



Despite Jay Cutler's erratic play, Chicago managed to end a three-year playoff drought and came within one possession of the Super Bowl last season.

MINNESOTA VIKINGS

The post-Favre Vikings will have a much different look in 2011. Leslie Frazier was kept on as the head coach after going 3-3 as an interim coach last year. He's brought in a new offensive coordinator in Bill Musgrave, who plans on going with a heavily run-based offense this year. All-Pro RB Adrian Peterson is the favorite to lead the NFL in carries. Musgrave's passing game will be rooted in the West Coast offense, so the scheme won't be drastically different to last season's. But they'll likely be very conservative with a new quarterback under center, specifically if it's rookie Christian Ponder. Frazier had been Minnesota's defensive coordinator, so the defense will continue to be a Cover-2 base. They might have a couple of holes to fill on the defensive line, as DT Pat Williams and DE Ray Edwards could be leaving a defensive line that has been elite against the run in recent years.

> NFC NORTH



CHICAGO BEARS

Sportsbook.com odds to win SB XLVI: 30-to-1
Sportsbook.com odds to win NFC Title: 15-to-1
2011 Schedule Strength: 21.06 (7th toughest)
2010 Record: 12-6 (+6.7 ML units), 10-7-1 ATS
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 8-10
2010 Schedule Strength: 21.78 (11th toughest)
StatFox.com Power Rating: 24 (#7 of 32)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +3.58.(#12 of 32)

2011 SCHEDULE / SERIES TRENDS / NOTES

Week 1	Atlanta	Last eight games are 7-1 UNDER the total in ATL-CHI series since 1992
Week 2	at New Orleans	FAVORITE is 5-0 against the spread and 6-0 straight-up in CHI-NO series since 2001
Week 3	Green Bay	GREEN BAY is 14-6 against the spread and 15-5 straight-up at CHICAGO since 1992
Week 4	Carolina	UNDERDOG is 4-1 against the spread in CAR-CHI series since 1992
Week 5	at Detroit	Last 10 games are 7-3 OVER the total in CHI-DET series since 2006
Week 6	Minnesota	CHICAGO is 8-2 against the spread and 9-1 straight-up at home against MINNESOTA since 2001
Week 7	Tampa Bay at London	TAMPA BAY is 3-1 against the spread versus CHICAGO since 2004
Week 8	BYE	
Week 9	at Philadelphia	UNDERDOG is 5-2 against the spread in CHI-PHI series since 2001
Week 10	Detroit	UNDERDOG is 9-4 against the spread in CHI-DET series since 2004
Week 11	San Diego	CHICAGO is 4-1 against the spread and straight-up against SAN DIEGO since 1992
Week 12	at Oakland	CHICAGO is 4-0 against the spread versus OAKLAND since 1992
Week 13	Kansas City	UNDERDOG is 4-1 against the spread in CHI-KC series since 1992
Week 14	at Denver	CHICAGO is 3-1 against the spread versus DENVER since 1992
Week 15	Seattle	Last seven games are 6-1 OVER the total in CHI-SEA series since 2001
Week 16	at Green Bay	Last nine games are 7-2 UNDER the total in CHI-GB series since 2007
Week 17	at Minnesota	HOME TEAM is 5-1 against the spread and straight-up in CHI-MIN series since 2008

SITUATIONAL RECORDS (INCLUDES POST-SEASON RESULTS)

SCENARIO	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	6-YR TOTAL	6-YR%
STRAIGHT UP	11–6	15–4	7–9	9–7	7–9	12–6	61–41	59.8%
OVERALL ATS	9–6	11–8	7–9	7–8	6–10	10–7	50–48	51.0%
PRESEASON ATS	2–2	2–2	1–2	1–3	3–1	0–4	9–14	39.1%
HOME ATS	6–3	6–4	3–5	4–4	4–4	5–5	28–25	52.8%
ROAD ATS	3–3	5–4	4–4	3–4	2–6	5–2	22–23	48.9%
VS DIVISION ATS	4–1	3–3	3–3	2–4	3–3	4–3	19–17	52.8%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	8–3	8–6	5–7	5–6	4–8	8–6	38–36	51.4%
AS FAVORITE ATS	4–2	9–7	3–5	5–4	4–3	3–4	28–25	52.8%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	5–4	2–1	4–4	2–4	2–7	7–3	22–23	48.9%
OVER-UNDER	5–11	13–5	9–7	7–9	6–10	8–10	48–52	48.0%

STATFOX.COM POWER TRENDS

- CHICAGO is 7-0 ATS revenging a home loss against opponent the last five seasons
- CHICAGO is 7-1 ATS off a non-conference game the last two seasons
- CHICAGO is 15-4 ATS against AFC West division opponents since 1992
- CHICAGO is 1-6 ATS after a 2 game home stand the last three seasons

2010 STATS/RANK

DIFFERENTIALS	NO.	RANK
Scoring	3.0	10
Yardage	-24.9	22
Yds Per Play	-0.07	19
Yds Per Point	-3.72	7
Turnovers	+4	11

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	20.9	21
First Downs	16.4	27
Yards/Game	289.4	30
Yds Per Play	4.95	28
Yds Per Point	13.87	5
RushYds/Gm	101.0	22
Yards Per Rush	3.89	23
Pass Att/Game	29.1	32
Comp/Game	17.3	29
Completion %	59.2	22
Pass Yds/Gm	188.4	28
Pass Yds/Att	6.47	17
INTs Thrown	21	26
Sacks Allowed	55	32
Att/Sack	9.5	32
Sack Yards	382	32

DEFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Allowed	17.9	4
First Downs	17.6	9
Yards/Game	314.3	9
Yds Per Play	5.02	5
Yds Per Point	17.58	6
RushYds/Gm	90.1	2
Yards Per Rush	3.72	6
Pass Att/Game	36.4	27
Comp/Game	22.7	27
Comp %	62.4	20
Pass Yds/Gm	224.3	20
Pass Yds/Att	6.16	7
Interceptions	21	5
Sacks	33	17
Att/Sack	18.6	22
Sack Yards	232	16

2007-2010 GAME LOGS

2007	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/9	at SDG	L 3-14	L (+7)	U (43)
9/16	vs KAN	W 20-10	L (-11½)	U (35½)
9/23	vs DAL	L 10-34	L (-3)	O (41½)
9/30	at DET	L 27-37	L (-2½)	O (46)
10/7	at GNB	W 27-20	W (+3)	O (40½)
10/14	vs MIN	L 31-34	L (-5)	O (35½)
10/21	at PHI	W 19-16	W (+5½)	U (42)
10/28	vs DET	L 7-16	L (-6)	U (45½)
11/11	at OAK	W 17-6	W (-3½)	U (38)
11/18	at SEA	L 23-30	L (+6)	O (37½)
11/25	vs DEN	W 37-34	W (-1)	O (42½)
12/2	vs NYG	L 16-21	L (0)	U (39½)
12/6	at WAS	L 16-24	L (+3)	O (39½)
12/17	at MIN	L 13-20	W (+10½)	U (43½)
12/23	vs GNB	W 35-7	W (+7½)	O (31½)
12/30	vs NOR	W 33-25	W (-1)	O (42)

2008	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/7	at IND	W 29-13	W (+10½)	U (42½)
9/14	at CAR	L 17-20	P (+3)	U (37½)
9/21	vs TAM	L 24-27	L (-3)	O (34½)
9/28	vs PHI	W 24-20	W (+3)	O (39)
10/5	at DET	W 34-7	W (-3)	U (45½)
10/12	at ATL	L 20-22	L (-3)	U (43)
10/19	vs MIN	W 48-41	W (-3)	O (38)
11/2	vs DET	W 27-23	L (-13)	O (43½)
11/9	vs TEN	L 14-21	L (+3)	U (37)
11/16	at GNB	L 3-37	L (+3½)	U (43)
11/23	at STL	W 27-3	W (-7)	U (44½)
11/30	at MIN	L 14-34	L (+5½)	O (42)
12/7	vs JAC	W 23-10	W (-6½)	U (40)
12/11	vs NOR	W 27-24	W (-2½)	O (46)
12/22	vs GNB	W 20-17	L (-4)	U (41)
12/28	at HOU	L 24-31	L (+3)	O (46)

2009	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/13	at GNB	L 15-21	L (+5)	U (46½)
9/20	vs PIT	W 17-14	W (+3)	U (38)
9/27	at SEA	W 25-19	W (-3)	O (37½)
10/4	vs DET	W 48-24	W (-10)	O (43)
10/18	at ATL	L 14-21	L (+4)	U (46)
10/25	at CIN	L 10-45	L (-1½)	O (42½)
11/1	vs CLE	W 30-6	W (-11)	U (39½)
11/8	vs ARI	L 21-41	L (-2½)	O (44½)
11/12	at SFO	L 6-10	L (+3)	U (43)
11/22	vs PHI	L 20-24	L (+3)	U (46½)
11/29	at MIN	L 10-36	L (+10)	U (47)
12/6	vs STL	W 17-9	L (-9)	U (41)
12/13	vs GNB	L 14-21	L (+3½)	U (42)
12/20	at BAL	L 7-31	L (+10½)	U (39½)
12/28	vs MIN	W 36-30	W (+8)	O (40½)
1/3	at DET	W 37-23	W (-5)	O (44)

2010	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/12	vs DET	W 19-14	L (-6½)	U (44½)
9/19	at DAL	W 27-20	W (+7)	O (41½)
9/27	vs GNB	W 20-17	W (+3)	U (45)
10/3	at NYG	L 3-17	L (+4)	U (43½)
10/10	at CAR	W 23-6	W (+3)	U (33)
10/17	vs SEA	L 20-23	L (-6)	O (37½)
10/24	vs WAS	L 14-17	L (-3)	U (39½)
11/7	at BUF	W 22-19	P (-3)	U (41½)
11/14	vs MIN	W 27-13	W (0)	U (41)
11/18	at MIA	W 16-0	W (+3)	U (40)
11/28	vs PHI	W 31-26	W (+3)	O (42)
12/5	at DET	W 24-20	L (-5)	O (43½)
12/12	vs NWE	L 7-36	L (+3)	O (37½)
12/20	at MIN	W 40-14	W (-5½)	O (34½)
12/26	vs NYJ	W 38-34	W (-3)	O (35½)
1/2	at GNB	L 3-10	W (+11)	U (43)

DETROIT LIONS

Sportsbook.com odds to win SB XLVI: 30-to-1
Sportsbook.com odds to win NFC Title: 15-to-1
2011 Schedule Strength: 21.69 (4th toughest)
2010 Record: 6-10 (-1.9 ML units), 12-3-1 ATS
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 10-5-1
2010 Schedule Strength: 22.81 (5th toughest)
StatFox.com Power Rating: 19 (#17 of 32)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +1.93 (#13 of 32)

2011 SCHEDULE / SERIES TRENDS / NOTES

Week 1	at Tampa Bay	DETROIT is 6-1 against the spread versus TAMPA BAY since 2001
Week 2	Kansas City	KANSAS CITY is 4-0 against the spread and 3-1 straight-up against DETROIT since 1992
Week 3	at Minnesota	Last six games are 6-0 UNDER the total in DET-MIN series since 2008
Week 4	at Dallas	HOME TEAM is 4-1 against the spread in DAL-DET series since 2004
Week 5	Chicago	Last 10 games are 7-3 OVER the total in CHI-DET series since 2006
Week 6	San Francisco	SAN FRANCISCO is 7-2 against the spread and 10-1 straight-up against DETROIT since 1992
Week 7	Atlanta	FAVORITE is 7-1 straight-up in ATL-DET series since 1992
Week 8	at Denver	DETROIT is 2-0 against the spread versus DENVER since 2001
Week 9	BYE	
Week 10	at Chicago	UNDERDOG is 9-4 against the spread in CHI-DET series since 2004
Week 11	Carolina	DETROIT is 4-1 against the spread versus CAROLINA since 1992
Week 12	Green Bay	HOME TEAM is 25-13 against the spread and 30-10 straight-up in DET-GB series since 1992
Week 13	at New Orleans	NEW ORLEANS is 5-3 against the spread and straight-up against DETROIT since 1992
Week 14	Minnesota	FAVORITE is 3-0 against the spread and 4-0 straight-up in DET-MIN series since 2009
Week 15	at Oakland	DETROIT is 2-0 against the spread and straight-up against OAKLAND since 2001
Week 16	San Diego	SAN DIEGO is 4-0 against the spread and straight-up against DETROIT since 1992
Week 17	at Green Bay	GREEN BAY is 13-5 against the spread and 20-0 straight-up at home against DETROIT since 1992

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	6-YR TOTAL	6-YR%
STRAIGHT UP	5–11	3–13	7–9	0–16	2–14	6–10	23–73	24.0%
OVERALL ATS	9–7	6–10	6–9	7–9	4–10	12–3	44–48	47.8%
PRESEASON ATS	1–3	1–3	1–3	3–0	2–2	3–1	11–12	47.8%
HOME ATS	4–4	4–4	4–3	1–7	3–5	7–1	23–24	48.9%
ROAD ATS	5–3	2–6	2–6	6–2	1–5	5–2	21–24	46.7%
VS DIVISION ATS	2–4	1–5	2–3	4–2	0–5	5–1	14–20	41.2%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	6–6	4–8	4–7	5–7	2–8	10–2	31–38	44.9%
AS FAVORITE ATS	3–2	0–3	2–1	0–1	0–2	3–0	8–9	47.1%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	6–5	6–7	4–8	7–8	4–8	9–3	36–39	48.0%
OVER-UNDER	7–9	9–7	11–5	10–5	8–8	10–5	55–39	58.5%

STATFOX.COM POWER TRENDS

- DETROIT is 6-0 ATS vs. good defensive teams who give up 17 or less points/game the last two seasons
- DETROIT is 9-2 ATS in December games the last three seasons
- DETROIT is 8-2 ATS when playing against a good team (Win Pct. 60% to 75%) the last two seasons
- DETROIT is 2-9 ATS vs. bad defensive teams who give up 24 or more points/game the last five seasons

2010 STATS/RANK

DIFFERENTIALS	NO.	RANK
Scoring	-0.5	17
Yardage	-4.7	20
Yds Per Play	-0.37	22
Yds Per Point	0.08	14
Turnovers	+4	11

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	22.6	15
First Downs	19.0	17
Yards/Game	338.9	17
Yds Per Play	5.10	22
Yds Per Point	14.98	14
RushYds/Gm	100.8	23
Yards Per Rush	3.99	20
Pass Att/Game	39.6	3
Comp/Game	23.9	3
Completion %	60.5	17
Pass Yds/Gm	238.1	12
Pass Yds/Att	6.02	29
INTs Thrown	16	16
Sacks Allowed	27	6
Att/Sack	24.4	5
Sack Yards	191	8

DEFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Allowed	23.1	19
First Downs	20.0	24
Yards/Game	343.6	21
Yds Per Play	5.47	23
Yds Per Point	14.90	16
RushYds/Gm	125.1	24
Yards Per Rush	4.53	24
Pass Att/Game	32.4	10
Comp/Game	20.6	19
Comp %	63.7	25
Pass Yds/Gm	218.6	16
Pass Yds/Att	6.75	23
Interceptions	14	19
Sacks	45	6
Att/Sack	12.5	3
Sack Yards	289	8

2007-2010 GAME LOGS

2007	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/9	at OAK	W 36-21	W (+3)	O (39½)
9/16	vs MIN	W 20-17	P (-3)	U (43½)
9/23	at PHI	L 21-56	L (+5)	O (44½)
9/30	vs CHI	W 37-27	W (+2½)	O (46)
10/7	at WAS	L 3-34	L (+3½)	U (44½)
10/21	vs TAM	W 23-16	W (-2½)	U (45)
10/28	at CHI	W 16-7	W (+6)	U (45½)
11/4	vs DEN	W 44-7	W (-3)	O (47)
11/11	at ARI	L 21-31	L (+2½)	O (45)
11/18	vs NYG	L 10-16	L (+2½)	U (48)
11/22	vs GNB	L 26-37	L (+3)	O (47)
12/2	at MIN	L 10-42	L (+5½)	O (44½)
12/9	vs DAL	L 27-28	W (+10½)	O (50)
12/16	at SDG	L 14-51	L (+9½)	O (45½)
12/23	vs KAN	W 25-20	L (-6)	O (43½)
12/30	at GNB	L 13-34	L (+3)	O (38½)

2008	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/7	at ATL	L 21-34	L (-3)	O (41)
9/14	vs GNB	L 25-48	L (+3)	O (46½)
9/21	at SFO	L 13-31	L (+4½)	U (47)
10/5	vs CHI	L 7-34	L (+3)	U (45½)
10/12	at MIN	L 10-12	W (+13)	U (44½)
10/19	at HOU	L 21-28	W (+11)	O (48)
10/26	vs WAS	L 17-25	L (+7)	P (42)
11/2	at CHI	L 23-27	W (+13)	O (43½)
11/9	vs JAC	L 14-38	L (+6)	O (43)
11/16	at CAR	L 22-31	W (+14)	O (40½)
11/23	vs TAM	L 20-38	L (+8)	O (42)
11/27	vs TEN	L 10-47	L (+10½)	O (44)
12/7	vs MIN	L 16-20	W (+11)	U (44)
12/14	at IND	L 21-31	W (+16½)	O (45)
12/21	vs NOR	L 7-42	L (+6½)	U (50½)
12/28	at GNB	L 21-31	W (+11)	O (41½)

2009	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/13	at NOR	L 27-45	L (+14)	O (49½)
9/20	vs MIN	L 13-27	L (+10)	U (45)
9/27	vs WAS	W 19-14	W (+6)	U (39½)
10/4	at CHI	L 24-48	L (+10)	O (43)
10/11	vs PIT	L 20-28	W (+11)	O (44)
10/18	at GNB	L 0-26	L (+14)	U (48½)
11/1	vs STL	L 10-17	L (-3)	U (43½)
11/8	at SEA	L 20-32	L (+11)	O (41½)
11/15	at MIN	L 10-27	P (+17)	U (47½)
11/22	vs CLE	W 38-37	L (-3)	O (37½)
11/26	vs GNB	L 12-34	L (+11)	U (48)
12/6	at CIN	L 13-23	W (+13½)	U (42)
12/13	at BAL	L 3-48	L (+14)	O (40½)
12/20	vs ARI	L 24-31	W (+14)	O (46½)
12/27	at SFO	L 6-20	P (+14)	U (41)
1/3	vs CHI	L 23-37	L (+5)	O (44)

2010	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/12	at CHI	L 14-19	W (+6½)	U (44½)
9/19	vs PHI	L 32-35	W (+6½)	O (41)
9/26	at MIN	L 10-24	L (+13)	U (42½)
10/3	at GNB	L 26-28	W (+14½)	O (45½)
10/10	vs STL	W 44-6	W (-3)	O (43½)
10/17	at NYG	L 20-28	W (+10)	O (45½)
10/31	vs WAS	W 37-25	W (-3)	O (45)
11/7	vs NYJ	L 20-23	W (+5½)	O (42½)
11/14	at BUF	L 12-14	P (+2)	U (44½)
11/21	at DAL	L 19-35	L (+5½)	O (47)
11/25	vs NWE	L 24-45	L (+6)	O (50)
12/5	vs CHI	L 20-24	W (+5)	O (43½)
12/12	vs GNB	W 7-3	W (+7)	U (45½)
12/19	at TAM	W 23-20	W (+3½)	P (43)
12/26	at MIA	W 34-27	W (+3½)	O (41½)
1/2	vs MIN	W 20-13	W (-3½)	U (43½)

> NFC NORTH

GREEN BAY PACKERS

Sportsbook.com odds to win SB XLVI: 6-to-1
Sportsbook.com odds to win NFC Title: 5-to-2
2011 Schedule Strength: 18.81 (23rd toughest)
2010 Record: 14-6 (+6.0 ML units), 13-7 ATS
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 8-12
2010 Schedule Strength: 22.10 (10th toughest)
StatFox.com Power Rating: 35 (#1 of 32)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +16.50 (#1 of 32)

2011 SCHEDULE / SERIES TRENDS / NOTES

Week 1	New Orleans	Last 4 games are 4-0 OVER the total in GB-NO series since 2001
Week 2	at Carolina	ROAD TEAM is 5-1 against the spread in CAR-GB series since 2001
Week 3	at Chicago	GREEN BAY is 14-6 against the spread and 15-5 straight-up at CHICAGO since 1992
Week 4	Denver	HOME TEAM is 3-1 against the spread and 4-1 straight-up in DEN-GB series since 1992
Week 5	at Atlanta	ROAD TEAM is 6-1 against the spread and 5-2 straight-up in ATL-GB series since 2001
Week 6	St. Louis	FAVORITE is 9-3 against the spread and 11-1 straight-up in GB-STL series since 1992
Week 7	at Minnesota	UNDERDOG is 14-5 against the spread and 10-9 straight-up at MINNESOTA in GB-MIN series since 1992
Week 8	BYE	
Week 9	at San Diego	GREEN BAY is 5-0 against the spread and straight-up against SAN DIEGO since 1992
Week 10	Minnesota	FAVORITE is 6-2 against the spread and 7-1 straight-up in GB-MIN series since 2007
Week 11	Tampa Bay	FAVORITE is 12-0 straight-up at GREEN BAY in GB-TB series since 1992
Week 12	at Detroit	HOME TEAM is 25-13 against the spread and 30-10 straight-up in DET-GB series since 1992
Week 13	at N.Y. Giants	ROAD TEAM is 4-1 against the spread and straight-up in GB-NYG series since 2001
Week 14	Oakland	GREEN BAY is 3-1 against the spread and 4-0 straight-up against OAKLAND since 1992
Week 15	at Kansas City	Last four games are 4-0 OVER the total in GB-KC series since 1992
Week 16	Chicago	Last nine games are 7-2 UNDER the total in CHI-GB series since 2007
Week 17	Detroit	GREEN BAY is 13-5 against the spread and 20-0 straight-up at home against DETROIT since 1992

SITUATIONAL RECORDS (INCLUDES POST-SEASON RESULTS)

SCENARIO	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	6-YR TOTAL	6-YR%
STRAIGHT UP	4–12	8–8	14–4	6–10	11–6	14–6	57–46	55.3%
OVERALL ATS	5–9	8–8	13–4	7–8	11–5	13–7	57–41	58.2%
PRESEASON ATS	2–2	1–3	2–2	2–2	3–1	2–1	12–11	52.2%
HOME ATS	2–4	2–6	7–2	3–5	5–2	5–3	24–22	52.2%
ROAD ATS	3–5	6–2	6–2	4–3	6–3	8–4	33–19	63.5%
vs DIVISION ATS	0–5	4–2	4–2	5–1	4–2	3–4	20–16	55.6%
vs CONFERENCE ATS	4–6	7–5	9–4	6–6	8–4	9–6	43–31	58.1%
as FAVORITE ATS	1–3	2–2	7–3	4–5	8–4	9–6	31–23	57.4%
as UNDERDOG ATS	4–6	6–6	6–1	3–3	3–1	4–1	26–18	59.1%
OVER-UNDER	6–10	6–8	13–4	9–6	9–8	8–12	51–48	51.5%

STATFOX.COM POWER TRENDS

- GREEN BAY is 6-0 ATS versus good rushing defenses - allowing <=90 rushing yards/game the last three seasons
- GREEN BAY is 8-1 ATS vs. mistake prone teams - 60+ penalty yards per game the last two seasons
- GREEN BAY is 12-3 ATS after 3 or more consecutive wins the last five seasons
- GREEN BAY is 2-7 ATS against NFC South division opponents the last five seasons

2010 STATS/RANK

DIFFERENTIALS	NO.	RANK
Scoring	9.3	2
Yardage	49.0	7
Yds Per Play	0.63	5
Yds Per Point	-5.84	2
Turnovers	+10	4

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	24.3	10
First Downs	19.5	13
Yards/Game	358.1	9
Yds Per Play	5.73	6
Yds Per Point	14.77	11
RushYds/Gm	100.4	24
Yards Per Rush	3.81	25
Pass Att/Game	33.8	16
Comp/Game	22.0	10
Completion %	65.1	6
Pass Yds/Gm	257.8	5
Pass Yds/Att	7.62	2
INTs Thrown	13	9
Sacks Allowed	38	20
Att/Sack	15.2	21
Sack Yards	231	20

DEFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Allowed	15.0	2
First Downs	16.9	4
Yards/Game	309.1	5
Yds Per Play	5.10	9
Yds Per Point	20.61	1
RushYds/Gm	114.9	18
Yards Per Rush	4.64	28
Pass Att/Game	32.9	13
Comp/Game	18.5	6
Comp %	56.2	4
Pass Yds/Gm	194.2	5
Pass Yds/Att	5.9	3
Interceptions	24	2
Sacks	46	5
Att/Sack	12.5	4
Sack Yards	333	1

2007-2010 GAME LOGS

2007	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/9	vs PHI	W 16-13	W (+3)	U (41½)
9/16	at NYG	W 35-13	W (+3)	O (39½)
9/23	vs SDG	W 31-24	W (+5½)	O (42½)
9/30	at MIN	W 23-16	W (pk)	O (38)
10/7	vs CHI	L 20-27	L (-3)	O (40½)
10/14	vs WAS	W 17-14	P (-3)	U (40½)
10/29	at DEN	W 19-13	W (+3)	U (43½)
11/4	at KAN	W 33-22	W (+2½)	O (37½)
11/11	vs MIN	W 34-0	W (-5½)	U (40½)
11/18	vs CAR	W 31-17	W (-10)	O (37)
11/22	at DET	W 37-26	W (-3)	O (47)
11/29	at DAL	L 27-37	L (+7)	O (51½)
12/9	vs OAK	W 38-7	W (-10)	O (40)
12/16	at STL	W 33-14	W (-7)	P (47)
12/23	at CHI	L 7-35	L (-7½)	O (31½)
12/30	vs DET	W 34-13	W (-3)	O (38½)

2008	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/8	vs MIN	W 24-19	W (-2)	O (37)
9/14	at DET	W 48-25	W (-3)	O (46½)
9/21	vs DAL	L 16-27	L (+3)	U (50½)
9/28	at TAM	L 21-30	L (+2)	O (43)
10/5	vs ATL	L 24-27	L (-5½)	O (42½)
10/12	at SEA	W 27-17	W (-1)	P (44)
10/19	vs IND	W 34-14	W (+2½)	O (46½)
11/2	at TEN	L 16-19	P (+3)	U (41)
11/9	at MIN	L 27-28	W (+2)	O (44½)
11/16	vs CHI	W 37-3	W (-3½)	U (43)
11/24	at NOR	L 29-51	L (pk)	O (51½)
11/30	vs CAR	L 31-35	L (-3)	O (41½)
12/7	vs HOU	L 21-24	L (-7)	U (47½)
12/14	at JAC	L 16-20	L (-3)	U (45½)
12/22	at CHI	L 17-20	W (+4)	U (41)
12/28	vs DET	W 31-21	L (-11)	O (41½)

2009	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/13	vs CHI	W 21-15	W (-5)	U (46½)
9/20	vs CIN	L 24-31	L (-9)	O (41½)
9/27	at STL	W 36-17	W (-6½)	O (42½)
10/5	at MIN	L 23-30	L (+4½)	O (46½)
10/18	vs DET	W 26-0	W (-14)	U (48½)
10/25	at CLE	W 31-3	W (-8½)	U (41½)
11/1	vs MIN	L 26-38	L (-3)	O (46½)
11/8	at TAM	L 28-38	L (-9½)	O (43)
11/15	vs DAL	W 17-7	W (+3)	U (48)
11/22	vs SFO	W 30-24	P (-6)	O (41½)
11/26	at DET	W 34-12	W (-11)	U (48)
12/7	vs BAL	W 27-14	W (-4)	U (43)
12/13	at CHI	W 21-14	W (-3½)	U (42)
12/20	at PIT	L 36-37	W (+3)	O (42)
12/27	vs SEA	W 48-10	W (-13)	O (43½)
1/3	at ARI	W 33-7	W (+3)	U (42)

2010	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/12	at PHI	W 27-20	W (-3)	U (48)
9/19	vs BUF	W 34-7	W (-12½)	U (42½)
9/27	at CHI	L 17-20	L (-3)	U (45)
10/3	vs DET	W 28-26	L (-14½)	O (45½)
10/10	at WAS	L 13-16	L (-3)	U (45)
10/17	vs MIA	L 20-23	L (-3)	U (44)
10/24	vs MIN	W 28-24	W (-3)	O (44)
10/31	at NYJ	W 9-0	W (+6½)	U (41½)
11/7	vs DAL	W 45-7	W (-7½)	O (46)
11/21	at MIN	W 31-3	W (-3)	U (44½)
11/28	at ATL	L 17-20	L (+2½)	U (47½)
12/5	vs SFO	W 34-16	W (-8½)	O (41)
12/12	at DET	L 3-7	L (-7)	U (45½)
12/19	at NWE	L 27-31	W (+14)	O (43½)
12/26	vs NYG	W 45-17	W (-3)	O (43)
1/2	vs CHI	W 10-3	L (-11)	U (43)

MINNESOTA VIKINGS

Sportsbook.com odds to win SB XLVI: 40-to-1
Sportsbook.com odds to win NFC Title: 20-to-1
2011 Schedule Strength: 20.81 (11th toughest)
2010 Record: 6-10 (-0.3 ML units), 5-11 ATS
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 7-9
2010 Schedule Strength: 22.69 (6th toughest)
StatFox.com Power Rating: 19 (#17 of 32)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -2.37 (#20 of 32)

2011 SCHEDULE / SERIES TRENDS / NOTES

Week 1	at San Diego	UNDERDOG is 4-0 against the spread and 3-1 straight-up in MIN-SD series since 1992
Week 2	Tampa Bay	TAMPA BAY is 4-1 against the spread and straight-up against MINNESOTA since 2001
Week 3	Detroit	Last six games are 6-0 UNDER the total in DET-MIN series since 2008
Week 4	at Kansas City	HOME TEAM is 3-1 against the spread and 4-1 straight-up in KC-MIN series since 1992
Week 5	Arizona	UNDERDOG is 5-0 against the spread in the ARI-MIN series since 2001
Week 6	at Chicago	CHICAGO is 8-2 against the spread and 9-1 straight-up at home against MINNESOTA since 2001
Week 7	Green Bay	UNDERDOG is 14-5 against the spread and 10-9 straight-up at MINNESOTA in GB-MIN series since 1992
Week 8	at Carolina	Last six games are 5-1 UNDER the total in CAR-MIN series since 2001
Week 9	BYE	
Week 10	at Green Bay	FAVORITE is 6-2 against the spread and 7-1 straight-up in GB-MIN series since 2007
Week 11	Oakland	UNDERDOG is 4-1 against the spread and straight-up in MIN-OAK series since 1992
Week 12	at Atlanta	ROAD TEAM is 6-2 straight-up in ATL-MIN series since 1992
Week 13	Denver	MINNESOTA is 4-1 against the spread versus DENVER since 1992
Week 14	at Detroit	FAVORITE is 3-0 against the spread and 4-0 straight-up in DET-MIN series since 2009
Week 15	New Orleans	Last 12 games are 9-3 OVER the total in MIN-NO series since 1992
Week 16	at Washington	Last four games at WASHINGTON are 4-0 UNDER the total in MIN-WAS series since 1992
Week 17	Chicago	HOME TEAM is 5-1 against the spread and straight-up in CHI-MIN series since 2008

SITUATIONAL RECORDS (INCLUDES POST-SEASON RESULTS)

SCENARIO	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	6-YR TOTAL	6-YR%
STRAIGHT UP	9–7	6–10	8–8	10–7	13–5	6–10	52–47	52.5%
OVERALL ATS	9–7	7–9	7–7	6–11	11–6	5–11	45–51	46.9%
PRESEASON ATS	2–2	3–1	2–2	2–2	3–1	3–1	15–9	62.5%
HOME ATS	6–2	3–5	4–4	3–6	6–2	3–4	25–23	52.1%
ROAD ATS	3–5	4–4	3–3	3–5	5–4	2–7	20–28	41.7%
VS DIVISION ATS	5–1	4–2	2–3	1–5	4–1	1–5	17–17	50.0%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	8–4	7–5	5–6	4–9	9–4	4–8	37–36	50.7%
AS FAVORITE ATS	7–1	2–4	4–3	3–5	9–5	4–2	29–20	59.2%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	2–6	5–5	3–4	3–6	2–1	1–9	16–31	34.0%
OVER-UNDER	5–11	7–7	9–7	9–8	9–9	7–9	46–51	47.4%

STATFOX.COM POWER TRENDS

- MINNESOTA is 6-1 ATS after allowing 30 points or more last game the last three seasons
- MINNESOTA is 8-3 ATS vs. bad defensive teams who give up 24 or more points/game the last three seasons
- MINNESOTA is 2-8 ATS after gaining 175 or more rushing yards last game the last three seasons
- MINNESOTA is 0-5 ATS revenging a road loss against opponent the last two seasons

2010 STATS/RANK

DIFFERENTIALS	NO.	RANK
Scoring	-4.2	25
Yardage	2.3	17
Yds Per Play	0.01	17
Yds Per Point	3.56	29
Turnovers	-11	29

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	17.6	29
First Downs	18.1	23
Yards/Game	314.9	23
Yds Per Play	5.13	20
Yds Per Point	17.93	30
RushYds/Gm	121.4	10
Yards Per Rush	4.39	9
Pass Att/Game	31.6	21
Comp/Game	19.1	20
Completion %	60.4	18
Pass Yds/Gm	193.6	26
Pass Yds/Att	6.13	27
INTs Thrown	26	32
Sacks Allowed	35	16
Att/Sack	15.4	19
Sack Yards	230	19

DEFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Allowed	21.8	18
First Downs	17.3	8
Yards/Game	312.6	8
Yds Per Play	5.12	11
Yds Per Point	14.37	19
RushYds/Gm	102.2	9
Yards Per Rush	3.91	8
Pass Att/Game	33.1	14
Comp/Game	20.8	20
Comp %	63.0	21
Pass Yds/Gm	210.4	10
Pass Yds/Att	6.36	12
Interceptions	15	17
Sacks	30	22
Att/Sack	18.6	23
Sack Yards	177	29

2007-2010 GAME LOGS

2007	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/9	vs ATL	W 24-3	W (-3)	U (34½)
9/16	at DET	L 17-20	P (+3)	U (43½)
9/23	at KAN	L 10-13	P (+3)	U (34½)
9/30	vs GNB	L 16-23	L (pk)	O (38)
10/14	at CHI	W 34-31	W (+5)	O (35½)
10/21	at DAL	L 14-24	L (+9½)	U (45½)
10/28	vs PHI	L 16-23	L (pk)	O (37½)
11/4	vs SDG	W 35-17	W (+7)	O (42)
11/11	at GNB	L 0-34	L (+5½)	U (40½)
11/18	vs OAK	W 29-22	W (-4½)	O (35½)
11/25	at NYG	W 41-17	W (+7)	O (40)
12/2	vs DET	W 42-10	W (-5½)	O (44½)
12/9	at SFO	W 27-7	W (-7½)	U (39)
12/17	vs CHI	W 20-13	L (-10½)	U (43½)
12/23	vs WAS	L 21-32	L (-6)	O (40½)
12/30	at DEN	L 19-22	L (-2½)	O (40½)

2008	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/8	at GNB	L 19-24	L (+2)	O (37)
9/14	vs IND	L 15-18	L (pk)	U (43½)
9/21	vs CAR	W 20-10	W (-3)	U (37½)
9/28	at TEN	L 17-30	L (+3)	O (34½)
10/6	at NOR	W 30-27	W (+3)	O (46½)
10/12	vs DET	W 12-10	L (-13)	U (44½)
10/19	at CHI	L 41-48	L (+3)	O (38)
11/2	vs HOU	W 28-21	W (-5½)	O (46½)
11/9	vs GNB	W 28-27	L (-2)	O (44½)
11/16	at TAM	L 13-19	L (+5½)	U (39)
11/23	at JAC	W 30-12	W (+2)	O (41½)
11/30	vs CHI	W 34-14	W (-5½)	O (42)
12/7	at DET	W 20-16	L (-11)	U (44)
12/14	at ARI	W 35-14	W (+4½)	O (46½)
12/21	vs ATL	L 17-24	L (-3)	U (43)
12/28	vs NYG	W 20-19	L (-7)	U (41)

2009	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/13	at CLE	W 34-20	W (-4)	O (39)
9/20	at DET	W 27-13	W (-10)	U (45)
9/27	vs SFO	W 27-24	L (-6½)	O (39)
10/5	vs GNB	W 30-23	W (-4½)	O (46½)
10/11	at STL	W 38-10	W (-10)	O (40½)
10/18	vs BAL	W 33-31	L (-3)	O (45½)
10/25	at PIT	L 17-27	L (+6)	U (46½)
11/1	at GNB	W 38-26	W (+3)	O (46½)
11/15	vs DET	W 27-10	P (-17)	U (47½)
11/22	vs SEA	W 35-9	W (-10½)	U (47)
11/29	vs CHI	W 36-10	W (-10)	U (47)
12/6	at ARI	L 17-30	L (-3)	U (48)
12/13	vs CIN	W 30-10	W (-6)	U (42)
12/20	at CAR	L 7-26	L (-8½)	U (42½)
12/28	at CHI	L 30-36	L (-8)	O (40½)
1/3	vs NYG	W 44-7	W (-8)	O (47½)

2010	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/9	at NOR	L 9-14	L (+4½)	U (49)
9/19	vs MIA	L 10-14	L (-5½)	U (41)
9/26	vs DET	W 24-10	W (-13)	U (42½)
10/11	at NYJ	L 20-29	L (+4)	O (38)
10/17	vs DAL	W 24-21	W (-1½)	O (43½)
10/24	at GNB	L 24-28	L (+3)	O (44)
10/31	at NWE	L 18-28	L (+5)	O (45)
11/7	vs ARI	W 27-24	L (-7)	O (42½)
11/14	at CHI	L 13-27	L (pk)	U (41)
11/21	vs GNB	L 3-31	L (+3)	U (44½)
11/28	at WAS	W 17-13	W (-2½)	U (43½)
12/5	vs BUF	W 38-14	W (-5½)	O (43½)
12/13	vs NYG	L 3-21	L (+5)	U (43½)
12/20	vs CHI	L 14-40	L (+5½)	O (34½)
12/28	at PHI	W 24-14	W (+14½)	U (44)
1/2	at DET	L 13-20	L (+3½)	U (43½)

NFC SOUTH PREVIEW

ATLANTA FALCONS

The Falcons caught some breaks during the 2010 regular season, as opponents had the lowest FG percentage against them (65.2%) and the fourth-most penalty yards (62.7 per game). Atlanta wasn't competitive in their playoff game against Green Bay. But they did get another weapon for QB Matt Ryan by trading up to draft big possession receiver Julio Jones to compliment All-Pro WR Roddy White. They've been a run-first offense in Ryan's first three seasons, but should go more aggressive with the passing game, especially with RB Michael Turner fading fast. Defensively is where they could struggle, especially because of mediocre personnel up the middle. They had trouble stopping the run at times last year, and they're still relying heavily on aging vet John Abraham to carry the pass rush. They'll be asking a lot out of a good-not-great cornerback tandem of Dunta Robinson and Brent Grimes, and their sub package personnel is weak in the defensive backfield.

CAROLINA PANTHERS


After a nightmare year, the Panthers cleaned house. They have a new head coach in former San Diego Chargers defensive coordinator Ron Rivera, and a new franchise quarterback under center in No. 1 overall pick Cam Newton. Newton has the physical gifts to cover up for a lot of shortcomings, but he's not known as a cerebral quarterback, will have a short offseason to learn an NFL system and doesn't have a lot of weapons around him. The Panthers will almost surely use some non-traditional plays (options, and various quarterback runs) to take advantage of Newton's rare skill set. The Carolina defense was solid a year ago and should improve under Rivera, one of football's best defensive minds. Their secondary is their strength, and they spent both their third-round picks on defensive tackles (Terrell McClain and Sione Fua) in an attempt to upgrade their run defense. They are still searching for a consistent pass rush since losing Julius Peppers to Chicago last offseason.

NEW ORLEANS SAINTS

The Saints were shaky on both sides of the ball a year ago, but they were aggressive in upgrading on draft day. Pierre Thomas, who was signed to a significant contract extension in March, is the most comfortable in their offense but hasn't been able to stay healthy, which is why New Orleans traded for a second first-round pick to take Mark Ingram. The Saints use a series of different blocking systems up front, and can tailor them to their backs. The passing game should keep clicking with Drew Brees, though they may not be elite any more with No. 1 WR Marques Colston slowed by another knee injury. The defense struggled to create much of a pass rush last year, and added DE Cameron Jordan with their original first-round pick; he's less of an edge rusher but can slide inside. He'll fit well in Gregg Williams' blitz-happy scheme. Their secondary is elite when S Malcolm Jenkins is healthy.

PREDICTED STANDINGS NFC SOUTH

TEAM	W	L
Tampa Bay Buccaneers	11	5
New Orleans Saints	10	6
Atlanta Falcons	9	7
Carolina Panthers	3	13



RB Michael Turner has carried a heavy load since joining the Falcons in 2008, but at age 29 he's showing signs of wearing down.

TAMPA BAY BUCCANEERS

The Bucs gutted out 10 wins thanks to the quick maturation of QB Josh Freeman, who has become one of the top 10 or so quarterbacks in the NFL. He and the young Tampa receivers (WRs Mike Williams and Arrelious Benn are both entering their second seasons) should only get better. The passing game is complimented by a power running game, with RB LeGarrette Blount wearing down defenses between the tackles. Blount does have to sit on passing downs. Defensively, the Bucs still run a Cover-2 base with a smallish front four. They struggled to stop the run all year, especially after DT Gerald McCoy got hurt. They also struggled to generate a consistent pass rush, and spent their first two draft picks on DEs this April (Adrian Clayborn and Da'Quan Bowers). The secondary was their strength, especially at cornerback. But they are relying on an aging Ronde Barber on one side, and the off-the-field troubles of Aqib Talib (an arrest for his alleged involvement in a shooting) has made him a question mark entering the season.

ATLANTA FALCONS

Sportsbook.com odds to win SB XLVI: 12-to-1
Sportsbook.com odds to win NFC Title: 11-to-2
2011 Schedule Strength: 20.00 (17th toughest)
2010 Record: 13-4 (+8.7. ML units), 11-6 ATS
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 10-6-1
2010 Schedule Strength: 20.12 (20th toughest)
StatFox.com Power Rating: 24 (#7 of 32)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: 4.09 (#9 of 32)

2011 SCHEDULE / SERIES TRENDS / NOTES

Week 1	at Chicago	Last eight games are 7-1 UNDER the total in ATL-CHI series since 1992
Week 2	Philadelphia	PHILADELPHIA is 7-1 against the spread and straight-up against ATLANTA since 2001
Week 3	at Tampa Bay	FAVORITE is 6-1 against the spread and 7-0 straight-up at TAMPA BAY in ATL-TB series since 2004
Week 4	at Seattle	Last five games are 4-1 OVER the total in ATL-SEA series since 2001
Week 5	Green Bay	ROAD TEAM is 6-1 against the spread and 5-2 straight-up in ATL-GB series since 2001
Week 6	Carolina	ATLANTA is 10-5 against the spread and 12-4 straight-up at home against CAROLINA since 1992
Week 7	at Detroit	FAVORITE is 7-1 straight-up in ATL-DET series since 1992
Week 8	BYE	
Week 9	at Indianapolis	INDIANAPOLIS is 4-0 against the spread versus ATLANTA since 1992
Week 10	New Orleans	UNDERDOG is 4-0 against the spread in ATL-NO series since 2009
Week 11	Tennessee	TENNESSEE is 4-0 against the spread versus ATLANTA since 1992
Week 12	Minnesota	ROAD TEAM is 6-2 straight-up in ATL-MIN series since 1992
Week 13	at Houston	HOME TEAM is 2-0 against the spread and straight-up in ATL-HOU series since 2001
Week 14	at Carolina	Last 16 games are 13-3 UNDER the total in ATL-CAR series at CAROLINA since 1992
Week 15	Jacksonville	Last four games are 4-0 UNDER in ATL-JAC series
Week 16	at New Orleans	UNDERDOG is 15-4 against the spread at NEW ORLEANS in ATL-NO series since 1992
Week 17	Tampa Bay	FAVORITE is 7-3 against the spread and 10-0 straight-up in ATL-TB series since 2006

SITUATIONAL RECORDS (INCLUDES POST-SEASON RESULTS)

SCENARIO	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	6-YR TOTAL	6-YR%
STRAIGHT UP	8–8	7–9	4–12	11–6	9–7	13–4	52–46	53.1%
OVERALL ATS	8–8	7–9	7–8	9–8	11–5	11–6	53–44	54.6%
PRESEASON ATS	4–1	1–2	3–1	3–1	2–1	2–2	15–8	65.2%
HOME ATS	4–4	3–5	3–5	5–3	6–2	5–4	26–23	53.1%
ROAD ATS	4–4	4–4	4–3	4–5	5–3	6–2	27–21	56.3%
VS DIVISION ATS	2–4	3–3	2–4	2–4	4–2	4–2	17–19	47.2%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	5–7	5–7	5–7	6–7	8–4	8–5	37–37	50.0%
AS FAVORITE ATS	5–3	4–6	1–0	3–3	6–2	10–5	29–19	60.4%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	3–5	3–3	6–8	6–5	5–3	1–1	24–25	49.0%
OVER-UNDER	9–7	4–11	9–7	8–9	7–9	10–6	47–49	49.0%

STATFOX.COM POWER TRENDS

- ATLANTA is 13-2 ATS after a loss the last three seasons
- ATLANTA is 11-2 ATS when playing against a bad team (Win Pct. 25% to 40%) the last five seasons
- ATLANTA is 18-7 ATS after the first month of the season the last two seasons
- ATLANTA is 6-17 ATS versus good offensive teams - averaging >=5.65 yards/play the last five seasons

2010 STATS/RANK

DIFFERENTIALS	NO.	RANK
Scoring	7.9	4
Yardage	8.7	14
Yds Per Play	-0.58	28
Yds Per Point	-5.29	3
Turnovers	+14	3

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	25.9	5
First Downs	22.1	4
Yards/Game	341.1	15
Yds Per Play	4.98	26
Yds Per Point	13.18	2
RushYds/Gm	118.2	12
Yards Per Rush	3.80	26
Pass Att/Game	36.1	8
Comp/Game	22.6	7
Completion %	62.6	10
Pass Yds/Gm	222.9	15
Pass Yds/Att	6.18	24
INTs Thrown	9	4
Sacks Allowed	23	3
Att/Sack	26.1	4
Sack Yards	158	3

DEFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Allowed	18.0	5
First Downs	17.8	10
Yards/Game	332.4	16
Yds Per Play	5.56	27
Yds Per Point	18.47	5
RushYds/Gm	105.9	10
Yards Per Rush	4.63	27
Pass Att/Game	35.0	23
Comp/Game	22.8	29
Comp %	65.0	28
Pass Yds/Gm	226.6	22
Pass Yds/Att	6.47	18
Interceptions	22	4
Sacks	31	20
Att/Sack	19.1	25
Sack Yards	221	20

2007-2010 GAME LOGS

2007	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/9	at MIN	L 3-24	L (+3)	U (34½)
9/16	at JAC	L 7-13	W (+10)	U (34½)
9/23	vs CAR	L 20-27	L (+4)	O (38)
9/30	vs HOU	W 26-16	W (+2½)	O (39½)
10/7	at TEN	L 13-20	P (+7)	U (41½)
10/15	vs NYG	L 10-31	L (+5)	U (43½)
10/21	at NOR	L 16-22	W (+7½)	U (42½)
11/4	vs SFO	W 20-16	W (-3½)	U (37)
11/11	at CAR	W 20-13	W (+3)	U (35)
11/18	vs TAM	L 7-31	L (+3)	O (35½)
11/22	vs IND	L 13-31	L (+13)	O (42½)
12/2	at STL	L 16-28	L (+3)	O (40)
12/10	vs NOR	L 14-34	L (+4)	O (44)
12/16	at TAM	L 3-37	L (+13)	O (37½)
12/23	at ARI	L 27-30	W (+11)	O (44)
12/30	vs SEA	W 44-41	W (+2)	O (38½)

2008	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/7	vs DET	W 34-21	W (+3)	O (41)
9/14	at TAM	L 9-24	L (+7)	U (37½)
9/21	vs KAN	W 38-14	W (-6)	O (37½)
9/28	at CAR	L 9-24	L (+7)	U (38½)
10/5	at GNB	W 27-24	W (+5½)	O (42½)
10/12	vs CHI	W 22-20	W (+3)	U (43)
10/26	at PHI	L 14-27	L (+9)	U (44½)
11/2	at OAK	W 24-0	W (-3)	U (41)
11/9	vs NOR	W 34-20	W (-1½)	O (50½)
11/16	vs DEN	L 20-24	L (-6½)	U (51)
11/23	vs CAR	W 45-28	W (+1)	O (41)
11/30	at SDG	W 22-16	W (+6½)	U (48)
12/7	at NOR	L 25-29	L (+3)	O (52)
12/14	vs TAM	W 13-10	L (-5)	U (43)
12/21	at MIN	W 24-17	W (+3)	U (43)
12/28	vs STL	W 31-27	L (-14)	O (44½)

2009	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/13	vs MIA	W 19-7	W (-4)	U (44)
9/20	vs CAR	W 28-20	W (-6)	O (43½)
9/27	at NWE	L 10-26	L (+4)	U (45½)
10/11	at SFO	W 45-10	W (0)	O (39½)
10/18	vs CHI	W 21-14	W (-4)	U (46)
10/25	at DAL	L 21-37	L (+5½)	O (48)
11/2	at NOR	L 27-35	W (+11)	O (56)
11/8	vs WAS	W 31-17	W (-8½)	O (40½)
11/15	at CAR	L 19-28	L (-1)	O (43½)
11/22	at NYG	L 31-34	W (+7)	O (46½)
11/29	vs TAM	W 20-17	L (-13)	U (46)
12/6	vs PHI	L 7-34	L (+4)	U (43½)
12/13	vs NOR	L 23-26	W (+10)	U (49½)
12/20	at NYJ	W 10-7	W (+5)	U (36½)
12/27	vs BUF	W 31-3	W (-8)	U (40½)
1/3	at TAM	W 20-10	W (-3)	U (41½)

2010	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/12	at PIT	L 9-15	L (-1)	U (39)
9/19	vs ARI	W 41-7	W (-6½)	O (43)
9/26	at NOR	W 27-24	W (+3)	O (49)
10/3	vs SFO	W 16-14	L (-7)	U (42)
10/10	at CLE	W 20-10	W (-3)	U (41½)
10/17	at PHI	L 17-31	L (+2)	O (43)
10/24	vs CIN	W 39-32	W (-3)	O (43)
11/7	vs TAM	W 27-21	L (-10)	O (44½)
11/11	vs BAL	W 26-21	W (-2)	O (44)
11/21	at STL	W 34-17	W (-3)	O (43)
11/28	vs GNB	W 20-17	W (-2½)	U (47½)
12/5	at TAM	W 28-24	W (-3)	O (43)
12/12	at CAR	W 31-10	W (-7)	U (41½)
12/19	at SEA	W 34-18	W (-5½)	O (46½)
12/27	vs NOR	L 14-17	L (-2)	U (50)
1/2	vs CAR	W 31-10	W (-14)	P (41)

> NFC SOUTH



CAROLINA PANTHERS

Sportsbook.com odds to win SB XLVI: 150-to-1
Sportsbook.com odds to win NFC Title: 75-to-1
2011 Schedule Strength: 21.00 (8th toughest)
2010 Record: 2-14 (-13.2 ML units), 4-12 ATS
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 6-9-1
2010 Schedule Strength: 20.31 (18th toughest)
StatFox.com Power Rating: 9 (#31 of 32)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -13.46 (#32 of 32)

2011 SCHEDULE / SERIES TRENDS / NOTES

Week 1	at Arizona	CAROLINA is 5-2 against the spread and 6-1 straight-up against ARIZONA since 2004
Week 2	Green Bay	ROAD TEAM is 5-1 against the spread in CAR-GB series since 2001
Week 3	Jacksonville	JACKSONVILLE is 3-1 against the spread and straight-up against CAROLINA since 1995
Week 4	at Chicago	UNDERDOG is 4-1 against the spread in CAR-CHI series since 1995
Week 5	New Orleans	ROAD TEAM is 16-3 against the spread in CAR-NO series since 2001
Week 6	at Atlanta	ATLANTA is 10-5 against the spread and 12-4 straight-up at home against CAROLINA since 1995
Week 7	Washington	UNDERDOGS are 9-0 against the spread in CAR-WAS series since 1995
Week 8	Minnesota	Last six games are 5-1 UNDER the total in CAR-MIN series since 2001
Week 9	BYE	
Week 10	Tennessee	TENNESSEE is 2-1 against the spread and straight-up against CAROLINA since 1995
Week 11	at Detroit	DETROIT is 4-1 against the spread versus CAROLINA since 1995
Week 12	at Indianapolis	CAROLINA is 3-1 against the spread and straight-up against INDIANAPOLIS since 1995
Week 13	at Tampa Bay	Last seven games are 6-1 OVER the total in CAR-TB series since 2004
Week 14	Atlanta	Last 16 games are 13-3 UNDER the total in ATL-CAR series at CAROLINA since 1995
Week 15	at Houston	HOUSTON is 2-0 against the spread and straight-up against CAROLINA since 2001
Week 16	Tampa Bay	Last nine games at CAROLINA are 7-2 UNDER the total in CAR-TB series since 2001
Week 17	at New Orleans	CAROLINA is 9-0 against the spread on the road against NEW ORLEANS since 2001

SITUATIONAL RECORDS (INCLUDES POST-SEASON RESULTS)

SCENARIO	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	6-YR TOTAL	6-YR%
STRAIGHT UP	13–6	8–8	7–9	12–5	8–8	2–14	50–50	50.0%
OVERALL ATS	11–8	5–9	8–8	8–7	9–7	4–12	45–51	46.9%
PRESEASON ATS	1–3	2–2	1–3	2–2	0–4	1–3	7–17	29.2%
HOME ATS	4–4	2–5	3–5	5–3	4–4	2–6	20–27	42.6%
ROAD ATS	7–4	3–4	5–3	3–4	5–3	2–6	25–24	51.0%
VS DIVISION ATS	4–2	3–3	3–3	3–2	5–1	1–5	19–16	54.3%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	8–7	4–8	8–4	4–7	8–4	3–9	35–39	47.3%
AS FAVORITE ATS	7–7	3–6	3–3	6–4	3–3	1–2	23–25	47.9%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	4–1	2–3	5–5	2–3	6–5	3–10	22–27	44.9%
OVER-UNDER	10–8	7–9	6–10	8–9	6–10	6–9	43–55	43.9%

STATFOX.COM POWER TRENDS

- CAROLINA is 7-0 ATS versus excellent offensive teams - averaging >=375 yards/game the last three seasons
- CAROLINA is 8-2 ATS after a playing a game where 50 total points or more were scored the last three seasons
- CAROLINA is 5-14 ATS in the first month of the season the last five seasons
- CAROLINA is 1-7 ATS against AFC South division opponents the last 10 seasons

2010 STATS/RANK

DIFFERENTIALS	NO.	RANK
Scoring	-13.2	32
Yardage	-77.4	31
Yds Per Play	-0.77	30
Yds Per Point	7.93	32
Turnovers	-8	25

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	12.3	32
First Downs	14.1	32
Yards/Game	258.4	32
Yds Per Play	4.30	32
Yds Per Point	21.10	32
RushYds/Gm	115.4	13
Yards Per Rush	4.31	12
Pass Att/Game	30.3	26
Comp/Game	16.0	32
Completion %	52.9	31
Pass Yds/Gm	143.1	32
Pass Yds/Att	4.73	32
INTs Thrown	21	26
Sacks Allowed	50	29
Att/Sack	10.7	31
Sack Yards	346	29

DEFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Allowed	25.5	26
First Downs	20.5	26
Yards/Game	335.9	18
Yds Per Play	5.07	7
Yds Per Point	13.17	31
RushYds/Gm	123.8	23
Yards Per Rush	3.94	10
Pass Att/Game	32.9	12
Comp/Game	20.4	15
Comp %	62.0	18
Pass Yds/Gm	212.1	11
Pass Yds/Att	6.45	16
Interceptions	17	11
Sacks	31	20
Att/Sack	18.0	18
Sack Yards	224	19

2007-2010 GAME LOGS

2007	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/9	at STL	W 27-13	W (+1½)	U (43)
9/16	vs HOU	L 21-34	L (-6½)	O (38)
9/23	at ATL	W 27-20	W (-4)	O (38)
9/30	vs TAM	L 7-20	L (-3)	U (38½)
10/7	at NOR	W 16-13	W (+3½)	U (43½)
10/14	at ARI	W 25-10	W (+6)	U (38½)
10/28	vs IND	L 7-31	L (+7)	U (43½)
11/4	at TEN	L 7-20	L (+5½)	U (35)
11/11	vs ATL	L 13-20	L (-3)	U (35)
11/18	at GNB	L 17-31	L (+10)	O (37)
11/25	vs NOR	L 6-31	L (+3)	U (42)
12/2	vs SFO	W 31-14	W (-3)	O (35)
12/9	at JAC	L 6-37	L (+11)	O (38½)
12/16	vs SEA	W 13-10	W (+7)	U (37)
12/22	vs DAL	L 13-20	W (+10½)	U (42½)
12/30	at TAM	W 31-23	W (-3)	O (35½)

2008	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/7	at SDG	W 26-24	W (+8½)	O (40½)
9/14	vs CHI	W 20-17	P (-3)	U (37½)
9/21	at MIN	L 10-20	L (+3)	U (37½)
9/28	vs ATL	W 24-9	W (-7)	U (38½)
10/5	vs KAN	W 34-0	W (-9½)	U (38)
10/12	at TAM	L 3-27	L (+2½)	U (37)
10/19	vs NOR	W 30-7	W (-3)	U (44½)
10/26	vs ARI	W 27-23	L (-5)	O (43½)
11/9	at OAK	W 17-6	W (-10)	U (37½)
11/16	vs DET	W 31-22	L (-14)	O (40½)
11/23	at ATL	L 28-45	L (-1)	O (41)
11/30	at GNB	W 35-31	W (+3)	O (41½)
12/8	vs TAM	W 38-23	W (-3)	O (40)
12/14	vs DEN	W 30-10	W (-7)	U (46½)
12/21	at NYG	L 28-34	L (+4)	O (37½)
12/28	at NOR	W 33-31	P (-2)	O (51½)

2009	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/13	vs PHI	L 10-38	L (+2½)	O (43½)
9/20	at ATL	L 20-28	L (+6)	O (43½)
9/28	at DAL	L 7-21	L (+8)	U (48)
10/11	vs WAS	W 20-17	L (-5)	U (37½)
10/18	at TAM	W 28-21	W (-3)	O (40½)
10/25	vs BUF	L 9-20	L (-7)	U (37)
11/1	at ARI	W 34-21	W (+9½)	O (43½)
11/8	at NOR	L 20-30	W (+12)	U (51)
11/15	vs ATL	W 28-19	W (+1)	O (43½)
11/19	vs MIA	L 17-24	L (-3)	U (41½)
11/29	at NYJ	L 6-17	L (+3)	U (41)
12/6	vs TAM	W 16-6	W (-3)	U (40)
12/13	at NWE	L 10-20	W (+12½)	U (43½)
12/20	vs MIN	W 26-7	W (+8½)	U (42½)
12/27	at NYG	W 41-9	W (+8)	O (43)
1/3	vs NOR	W 23-10	W (-10)	U (40½)

2010	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/12	at NYG	L 18-31	L (+5½)	O (40½)
9/19	vs TAM	L 7-20	L (-4)	U (38)
9/26	vs CIN	L 7-20	L (+3)	U (37)
10/3	at NOR	L 14-16	W (+12½)	U (43½)
10/10	vs CHI	L 6-23	L (-3)	U (33)
10/24	vs SFO	W 23-20	W (+1½)	O (35½)
10/31	at STL	L 10-20	L (+3)	U (37½)
11/7	vs NOR	L 3-34	L (+5½)	U (40)
11/14	at TAM	L 16-31	L (+7)	O (37)
11/21	vs BAL	L 13-37	L (+13)	O (36½)
11/28	at CLE	L 23-24	W (+8)	O (37)
12/5	at SEA	L 14-31	L (+5)	O (40½)
12/12	vs ATL	L 10-31	L (+7)	U (41½)
12/19	vs ARI	W 19-12	W (-2½)	U (37½)
12/23	at PIT	L 3-27	L (+14)	U (37)
1/2	at ATL	L 10-31	L (+14)	P (41)

> NFC SOUTH

NEW ORLEANS SAINTS

Sportsbook.com odds to win SB XLVI: 12-to-1
Sportsbook.com odds to win NFC Title: 11-to-2
2011 Schedule Strength: 19.25 (20th toughest)
2010 Record: 11-6 (-8.8 ML units), 7-10 ATS
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 9-8
2010 Schedule Strength: 17.76 (27th toughest)
StatFox.com Power Rating: 23 (#10 of 32)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +4.36 (#7 of 32)

2011 SCHEDULE / SERIES TRENDS / NOTES

Week 1	at Green Bay	Last 4 games are 4-0 OVER the spread in GB-NO series since 2001
Week 2	Chicago	FAVORITE is 5-0 against the spread and 6-0 straight-up in CHI-NO series since 2001
Week 3	Houston	FAVORITE is 2-0 against the spread and straight-up in HOU-NO series since 2001
Week 4	at Jacksonville	FAVORITE is 3-1 against the spread and 4-0 straight-up in JAC-NO series since 1992
Week 5	at Carolina	ROAD TEAM is 16-3 against the spread in CAR-NO series since 2001
Week 6	at Tampa Bay	UNDERDOG is 16-7 against the spread and 13-11 straight-up in NO-TB series since 1992
Week 7	Indianapolis	FAVORITE is 5-1 against the spread and straight-up in IND-NO series since 1992
Week 8	at St. Louis	Last 13 games at ST. LOUIS are 10-3 OVER the total in NO-STL series since 1992
Week 9	Tampa Bay	TAMPA BAY is 6-1 against the spread and 5-2 straight-up at NEW ORLEANS since 2004
Week 10	at Atlanta	UNDERDOG is 4-0 against the spread in ATL-NO series since 2009
Week 11	BYE	
Week 12	N.Y. Giants	Last four games at NEW ORLEANS are 4-0 OVER the total in NO-NYG series since 1992
Week 13	Detroit	NEW ORLEANS is 5-3 against the spread and straight-up against DETROIT since 1992
Week 14	at Tennessee	TENNESSEE is 3-1 against the spread and 4-1 straight-up against NEW ORLEANS since 1992
Week 15	at Minnesota	Last 12 games are 9-3 OVER the total in MIN-NO series since 1992
Week 16	Atlanta	UNDERDOG is 15-4 against the spread at NEW ORLEANS in ATL-NO series since 1992
Week 17	Carolina	CAROLINA is 9-0 against the spread on the road against NEW ORLEANS since 2001

SITUATIONAL RECORDS (INCLUDES POST-SEASON RESULTS)

SCENARIO	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	6-YR TOTAL	6-YR%
STRAIGHT UP	3–13	11–7	7–9	8–8	16–3	11–6	56–46	54.9%
OVERALL ATS	5–10	10–8	6–10	10–5	10–9	7–10	48–52	48.0%
PRESEASON ATS	1–3	1–3	3–2	2–2	3–1	3–1	13–12	52.0%
HOME ATS	2–4	3–6	2–6	5–1	5–5	4–4	21–26	44.7%
ROAD ATS	3–6	7–2	4–4	5–4	5–4	3–6	27–26	50.9%
VS DIVISION ATS	2–4	4–2	2–4	3–2	1–5	3–3	15–20	42.9%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	2–9	9–5	5–7	6–5	5–9	6–7	33–42	44.0%
AS FAVORITE ATS	1–2	2–5	5–7	6–1	9–8	6–9	29–32	47.5%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	4–8	8–3	1–3	4–4	1–1	1–1	19–20	48.7%
OVER-UNDER	7–9	10–8	10–6	10–5	9–10	9–8	55–46	54.5%

STATFOX.COM POWER TRENDS

- NEW ORLEANS is 9-0 ATS off a road loss the last three seasons
- NEW ORLEANS is 6-1 ATS when playing against a top-level team (Win Pct. > 75%) the last two seasons
- NEW ORLEANS is 9-2 ATS vs. good offensive teams - scoring 24 or more points/game the last three seasons
- NEW ORLEANS is 0-5 ATS off an extremely close road win by 3 points or less the last three seasons

2010 STATS/RANK

DIFFERENTIALS	NO.	RANK
Scoring	4.8	7
Yardage	66.3	4
Yds Per Play	0.42	6
Yds Per Point	-0.44	10
Turnovers	-6	23

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	24	11
First Downs	21.9	5
Yards/Game	372.5	6
Yds Per Play	5.59	10
Yds Per Point	15.52	20
RushYds/Gm	94.9	28
Yards Per Rush	4.00	19
Pass Att/Game	41.3	2
Comp/Game	28.1	1
Completion %	68.1	1
Pass Yds/Gm	277.6	3
Pass Yds/Att	6.72	13
INTs Thrown	22	30
Sacks Allowed	26	5
Att/Sack	26.4	3
Sack Yards	195	10

DEFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Allowed	19.2	7
First Downs	17.1	7
Yards/Game	306.3	4
Yds Per Play	5.17	13
Yds Per Point	15.96	13
RushYds/Gm	112.3	16
Yards Per Rush	4.27	17
Pass Att/Game	30.9	4
Comp/Game	19.1	8
Comp %	61.9	17
Pass Yds/Gm	193.9	4
Pass Yds/Att	6.28	11
Interceptions	9	32
Sacks	33	17
Att/Sack	16.0	13
Sack Yards	250	12

2007-2010 GAME LOGS

2007	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/6	at IND	L 10-41	L (+5½)	U (52½)
9/16	at TAM	L 14-31	L (-5½)	O (41)
9/24	vs TEN	L 14-31	L (-4½)	U (46½)
10/7	vs CAR	L 13-16	L (-3½)	U (43½)
10/14	at SEA	W 28-17	W (+5½)	O (43)
10/21	vs ATL	W 22-16	L (-7½)	U (42½)
10/28	at SFO	W 31-10	W (-2)	O (39½)
11/4	vs JAC	W 41-24	W (-3½)	O (39½)
11/11	vs STL	L 29-37	L (-10)	O (47)
11/18	at HOU	L 10-23	L (+2)	U (49½)
11/25	at CAR	W 31-6	W (-3)	U (42)
12/2	vs TAM	L 23-27	L (-6)	O (41)
12/10	at ATL	W 34-14	W (-4)	O (44)
12/16	vs ARI	W 31-24	W (-4)	O (49½)
12/23	vs PHI	L 23-38	L (-3)	O (47½)
12/30	at CHI	L 25-33	L (+1)	O (42)

2008	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/7	vs TAM	W 24-20	W (-3)	O (43)
9/14	at WAS	L 24-29	L (pk)	O (43½)
9/21	at DEN	L 32-34	W (+5½)	O (51½)
9/28	vs SFO	W 31-17	W (-4)	P (48)
10/6	vs MIN	L 27-30	L (-3)	O (46½)
10/12	vs OAK	W 34-3	W (-7)	U (47)
10/19	at CAR	L 7-30	L (+3)	U (44½)
10/26	vs SDG	W 37-32	W (+3)	O (46)
11/9	at ATL	L 20-34	L (+1½)	O (50½)
11/16	at KAN	W 30-20	W (-6)	U (50½)
11/24	vs GNB	W 51-29	W (pk)	O (51½)
11/30	at TAM	L 20-23	W (+3½)	U (44)
12/7	vs ATL	W 29-25	W (-3)	O (52)
12/11	at CHI	L 24-27	L (+2½)	O (46)
12/21	at DET	W 42-7	W (-6½)	U (50½)
12/28	vs CAR	L 31-33	P (+2)	O (51½)

2009	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/13	vs DET	W 45-27	W (-14)	O (49½)
9/20	at PHI	W 48-22	W (-2½)	O (46)
9/27	at BUF	W 27-7	W (-5½)	U (51½)
10/4	vs NYJ	W 24-10	W (-7½)	U (47)
10/18	vs NYG	W 48-27	W (-3)	O (47)
10/25	at MIA	W 46-34	W (-6)	O (47½)
11/2	vs ATL	W 35-27	L (-11)	O (56)
11/8	vs CAR	W 30-20	L (-12)	U (51)
11/15	at STL	W 28-23	L (-14)	O (50½)
11/22	at TAM	W 38-7	W (-10)	U (50½)
11/30	vs NWE	W 38-17	W (-2)	U (57)
12/6	at WAS	W 33-30	L (-8)	O (46½)
12/13	at ATL	W 26-23	L (-10)	U (49½)
12/19	vs DAL	L 17-24	L (-7)	U (53½)
12/27	vs TAM	L 17-20	L (-13½)	U (48½)
1/3	at CAR	L 10-23	L (+10)	U (40½)

2010	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/9	vs MIN	W 14-9	W (-4½)	U (49)
9/20	at SFO	W 25-22	L (-4½)	O (44)
9/26	vs ATL	L 24-27	L (-3)	O (49)
10/3	vs CAR	W 16-14	L (-12½)	U (43½)
10/10	at ARI	L 20-30	L (-6½)	O (45½)
10/17	at TAM	W 31-6	W (-5)	U (43)
10/24	vs CLE	L 17-30	L (-12½)	O (44)
10/31	vs PIT	W 20-10	W (-1)	U (44½)
11/7	at CAR	W 34-3	W (-5½)	U (40)
11/21	vs SEA	W 34-19	W (-11)	O (44½)
11/25	at DAL	W 30-27	L (-4½)	O (48)
12/5	at CIN	W 34-30	L (-6½)	O (45)
12/12	vs STL	W 31-13	W (-9½)	U (47½)
12/19	at BAL	L 24-30	L (+2)	O (45)
12/27	at ATL	W 17-14	W (+2)	U (50)
1/2	vs TAM	L 13-23	L (-7)	U (46½)

> NFC SOUTH



TAMPA BAY BUCCANEERS

Sportsbook.com odds to win SB XLVI: 30-to-1
Sportsbook.com odds to win NFC Title: 15-to-1
2011 Schedule Strength: 20.25 (15th toughest)
2010 Record: 10-6 (+7.1. ML units), 9-5-2 ATS
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 7-8-1
2010 Schedule Strength: 17.88 (25th toughest)
StatFox.com Power Rating: 22 (#14 of 32)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: 1.46 (#14 of 32)

2011 SCHEDULE / SERIES TRENDS / NOTES

Week 1	Detroit	DETROIT is 6-1 against the spread versus TAMPA BAY since 2001
Week 2	at Minnesota	TAMPA BAY is 4-1 against the spread and straight-up against MINNESOTA since 2001
Week 3	Atlanta	FAVORITE is 6-1 against the spread and 7-0 straight-up at TAMPA BAY in ATL-TB series since 2004
Week 4	Indianapolis	INDIANAPOLIS is 4-1 against the spread versus TAMPA BAY since 1992
Week 5	at San Francisco	UNDERDOG is 5-1 against the spread and 4-2 straight-up at SAN FRANCISCO in SF-TB series since 1992
Week 6	New Orleans	UNDERDOG is 16-7 against the spread and 13-11 straight-up in NO-TB series since 1992
Week 7	Chicago at London	TAMPA BAY is 3-1 against the spread versus CHICAGO since 2004
Week 8	BYE	
Week 9	at New Orleans	TAMPA BAY is 6-1 against the spread and 5-2 straight-up at NEW ORLEANS since 2004
Week 10	Houston	HOME TEAM is 2-0 against the spread and straight-up in HOU-TB series since 2001
Week 11	at Green Bay	FAVORITE is 12-0 straight-up at GREEN BAY in GB-TB series since 1992
Week 12	at Tennessee	FAVORITE is 4-1 against the spread and straight-up in TB-TEN series since 1992
Week 13	Carolina	Last seven games are 6-1 OVER the total in CAR-TB series since 2004
Week 14	at Jacksonville	UNDERDOG is 4-0 against the spread in JAC-TB series since 1992
Week 15	Dallas	FAVORITE is 5-1 against the spread and 6-0 straight-up in DAL-TB series since 1992
Week 16	at Carolina	Last nine games at CAROLINA are 7-2 UNDER the total in CAR-TB series since 2001
Week 17	at Atlanta	FAVORITE is 7-3 against the spread and 10-0 straight-up in ATL-TB series since 2006

SITUATIONAL RECORDS (INCLUDES POST-SEASON RESULTS)

SCENARIO	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	6-YR TOTAL	6-YR%
STRAIGHT UP	11–6	4–12	9–8	9–7	3–13	10–6	46–52	46.9%
OVERALL ATS	8–9	6–9	9–8	8–8	6–10	9–5	46–49	48.4%
PRESEASON ATS	3–1	1–2	3–1	3–1	1–3	3–1	14–9	60.9%
HOME ATS	4–5	3–4	6–3	4–4	1–6	2–5	20–27	42.6%
ROAD ATS	4–4	3–5	3–5	4–4	5–4	7–0	26–22	54.2%
VS DIVISION ATS	4–2	2–4	5–1	3–3	2–4	4–2	20–16	55.6%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	6–7	4–7	8–5	7–5	5–7	7–4	37–35	51.4%
AS FAVORITE ATS	4–7	0–3	6–4	5–5	0–0	2–2	17–21	44.7%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	4–2	6–6	3–4	3–3	6–10	7–3	29–28	50.9%
OVER-UNDER	6–11	7–9	9–8	8–8	6–10	7–8	43–54	44.3%

STATFOX.COM POWER TRENDS

- TAMPA BAY is 5-0 ATS off a home win the last two seasons
- TAMPA BAY is 7-1 ATS in November games the last two seasons
- TAMPA BAY is 2-9 ATS revenging a same season loss against opponent the last five seasons
- TAMPA BAY is 1-7 ATS off a road loss the last two seasons

2010 STATS/RANK

DIFFERENTIALS	NO.	RANK
Scoring	1.4	15
Yardage	2.4	16
Yds Per Play	0.24	10
Yds Per Point	-1.01	8
Turnovers	+9	5

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	21.3	20
First Downs	18.0	24
Yards/Game	335.1	19
Yds Per Play	5.61	8
Yds Per Point	15.72	21
RushYds/Gm	125.1	8
Yards Per Rush	4.64	6
Pass Att/Game	30.9	23
Comp/Game	19.1	19
Completion %	61.9	14
Pass Yds/Gm	210.1	17
Pass Yds/Att	6.8	10
INTs Thrown	6	2
Sacks Allowed	30	10
Att/Sack	17.5	13
Sack Yards	203	11

DEFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Allowed	19.9	9
First Downs	19.2	17
Yards/Game	332.7	17
Yds Per Play	5.37	18
Yds Per Point	16.74	9
RushYds/Gm	131.7	28
Yards Per Rush	4.75	32
Pass Att/Game	32.6	11
Comp/Game	19.2	9
Comp %	58.9	12
Pass Yds/Gm	201.0	7
Pass Yds/Att	6.17	8
Interceptions	19	8
Sacks	26	30
Att/Sack	21.0	30
Sack Yards	143	32

2007-2010 GAME LOGS

2007	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/9	at SEA	L 6-20	L (+6)	U (40½)
9/16	vs NOR	W 31-14	W (+5½)	O (41)
9/23	vs STL	W 24-3	W (-3½)	U (39)
9/30	at CAR	W 20-7	W (+3)	U (38½)
10/7	at IND	L 14-33	L (+8½)	O (44½)
10/14	vs TEN	W 13-10	W (-2)	U (37)
10/21	at DET	L 16-23	L (+2½)	U (45)
10/28	vs JAC	L 23-24	L (-3½)	O (32½)
11/4	vs ARI	W 17-10	W (-3)	U (39)
11/18	at ATL	W 31-7	W (-3)	O (35½)
11/25	vs WAS	W 19-13	W (-3½)	U (38)
12/2	at NOR	W 27-23	W (+6)	O (41)
12/9	at HOU	L 14-28	L (-3)	O (39½)
12/16	vs ATL	W 37-3	W (-13)	O (37½)
12/23	at SFO	L 19-21	L (-6½)	O (36½)
12/30	vs CAR	L 23-31	L (+3)	O (35½)

2008	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/7	at NOR	L 20-24	L (+3)	O (43)
9/14	vs ATL	W 24-9	W (-7)	U (37½)
9/21	at CHI	W 27-24	W (+3)	O (34½)
9/28	vs GNB	W 30-21	W (-2)	O (43)
10/5	at DEN	L 13-16	W (+3½)	U (47)
10/12	vs CAR	W 27-3	W (-2½)	U (37)
10/19	vs SEA	W 20-10	L (-11)	U (40)
10/26	at DAL	L 9-13	L (+1½)	U (40½)
11/2	at KAN	W 30-27	L (-9½)	O (37)
11/16	vs MIN	W 19-13	W (-5½)	U (39)
11/23	at DET	W 38-20	W (-8)	O (42)
11/30	vs NOR	W 23-20	L (-3½)	U (44)
12/8	at CAR	L 23-38	L (+3)	O (40)
12/14	at ATL	L 10-13	W (+5)	U (43)
12/21	vs SDG	L 24-41	L (-4)	O (42)
12/28	vs OAK	L 24-31	L (-11)	O (39½)

2009	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/13	vs DAL	L 21-34	L (+4½)	O (39½)
9/20	at BUF	L 20-33	L (+4)	O (41½)
9/27	vs NYG	L 0-24	L (+6)	U (46)
10/4	at WAS	L 13-16	W (+9½)	U (35½)
10/11	at PHI	L 14-33	L (+16)	O (42)
10/18	vs CAR	L 21-28	L (+3)	O (40½)
10/25	vs NWE	L 7-35	L (+15½)	U (44½)
11/8	vs GNB	W 38-28	W (+9½)	O (43)
11/15	at MIA	L 23-25	W (+10)	O (43)
11/22	vs NOR	L 7-38	L (+10)	U (50½)
11/29	at ATL	L 17-20	W (+13)	U (46)
12/6	at CAR	L 6-16	L (+3)	U (40)
12/13	vs NYJ	L 3-26	L (+3½)	U (36½)
12/20	at SEA	W 24-7	W (+6½)	U (39)
12/27	at NOR	W 20-17	W (+13½)	U (48½)
1/3	vs ATL	L 10-20	L (+3)	U (41½)

2010	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/12	vs CLE	W 17-14	P (-3)	U (37)
9/19	at CAR	W 20-7	W (+4)	U (38)
9/26	vs PIT	L 13-38	L (+3)	O (33½)
10/10	at CIN	W 24-21	W (+6½)	O (38½)
10/17	vs NOR	L 6-31	L (+5)	U (43)
10/24	vs STL	W 18-17	L (-3)	U (39)
10/31	at ARI	W 38-35	W (+3)	O (38)
11/7	at ATL	L 21-27	W (+10)	O (44½)
11/14	vs CAR	W 31-16	W (-7)	O (37)
11/21	at SFO	W 21-0	W (+3½)	U (41)
11/28	at BAL	L 10-17	W (+7½)	U (41½)
12/5	vs ATL	L 24-28	L (+3)	O (43)
12/12	at WAS	W 17-16	P (-1)	U (41)
12/19	vs DET	L 20-23	L (-3½)	P (43)
12/26	vs SEA	W 38-15	W (-5½)	O (43½)
1/2	at NOR	W 23-13	W (+7)	U (46½)

NFC WEST PREVIEW

ARIZONA CARDINALS

The Cards are still reeling in the post-Kurt Warner Era. They have little threat of a passing game, even with superstar WR Larry Fitzgerald. And with teams focusing in on the run, they couldn't get their power running game going with an interior offensive line that has been underwhelming. They'd like to pound it between the tackles, but RB Tim Hightower is too fumble prone and Beanie Wells has been injured and ineffective. They drafted RB Ryan Williams in the second round to add more of a big-play dimension. Defensively, the Cards use a 3-4 front but still lack a perimeter pass rusher in their linebacking corps. What little pass rush they get usually comes on the interior. CB Patrick Peterson, the Draft's No. 5 pick, should upgrade a secondary that struggled badly last season.

ST. LOUIS RAMS


The Rams offense will have a much different look this year, with former Denver Broncos head coach (and New England Patriots play-caller during their record-setting 2007 season) Josh McDaniels taking over as offensive coordinator. Whereas the Rams used an ultra-conservative West Coast passing game last year, McDaniels has always run an aggressive spread attack. However, he'll have a short offseason to install it with second-year QB Sam Bradford. He also has a definite lack of weapons in the receiving corps. They'll continue to use RB Steven Jackson heavily as they have few options behind him, but Jackson has shown signs of wear in the last two seasons. Defensively, head coach Steve Spagnuolo has been able to generate a pass rush with DE Chris Long and journeymen like James Hall, and they added an athletic pass rusher with their first-round pick in DE Robert Quinn. The pass rush should help make up for a weak secondary once again.

SAN FRANCISCO 49ERS

The Niners went local with their head coaching search, bringing former NFL quarterback Jim Harbaugh over from Stanford University. Harbaugh will bring the same offense he ran with the Cardinal: a West Coast offense built around the power running game (and an emphasis on the running game will be a necessity with the Niners' shaky quarterback situation). They have the necessary size on the offensive line, and a bell cow running back in Frank Gore. Harbaugh brought defensive coordinator Vic Fangio with him and they'll retain the 3-4 scheme the Niners used under Mike Singletary. They drafted Aldon Smith seventh overall to try and generate an outside pass rush. Smith is making the switch from defensive end to outside linebacker, so he'll have to learn to play in space before he can see significant playing time. They have a good defensive line led by the NFL's best 3-4 lineman, DE Justin Smith, who creates pressure and plays the run well, and they'll continue to funnel opposing ballcarriers to All-Pro ILB Patrick Willis. The secondary is their biggest weakness.

PREDICTED STANDINGS NFC WEST

TEAM	W	L
St. Louis Rams	9	7
San Francisco 49ers	7	9
Seattle Seahawks	5	11
Arizona Cardinals	4	12



QB Sam Bradford, the reigning Offensive Rookie of the Year, will operate a more aggressive offense under new play-caller Josh McDaniels.

SEATTLE SEAHAWKS

Despite an NFC West title and a first-round playoff win, the Seahawks are making some major changes to their offense. In a surprise move, they fired offensive coordinator Jeremy Bates and brought in former Minnesota Vikings play-caller Darrell Bevell. Bevell's offense won't be drastically different, as he's also a West Coast disciple, and with their quarterback situation unsettled they'll likely rely on the running game anyway. RB Marshawn Lynch proved to be a capable workhorse late last season and in the playoffs, and Leon Washington should be more effective as a change-of-pace runner and return specialist in his second year back from a broken leg. Defensively, they'll continue to go with Pete Carroll's versatile, hybrid scheme. They're excellent against the run when both Brandon Mebane and Colin Cole are in the lineup, and they have versatile playmakers in the linebacking corps, led by Aaron Curry and David Hawthorne. They have issues covering though, especially on the perimeter. Their collection of cornerbacks is one of the weakest in the NFL.

> NFC WEST



ARIZONA CARDINALS

Sportsbook.com odds to win SB XLVI: 60-to-1
Sportsbook.com odds to win NFC Title: 30-to-1
2011 Schedule Strength: 16.31 (31st toughest)
2010 Record: 5-11 (-4.0 ML units), 5-11 ATS
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 10-6
2010 Schedule Strength: 17.19 (31st toughest)
StatFox.com Power Rating: 8 (#32 of 32)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -11.50 (#31 of 32)

2011 SCHEDULE		SERIES TRENDS / NOTES
Week 1	Carolina	CAROLINA is 5-2 against the spread and 6-1 straight-up against ARIZONA since 2004
Week 2	at Washington	ARIZONA is 6-0 straight-up and 4-2 against the spread versus WASHINGTON since 2001
Week 3	at Seattle	HOME TEAM is 7-3 against the spread in the ARI-SEA series since 2006
Week 4	N.Y. Giants	Last seven games are 5-2 UNDER the total in ARI-NYG series since 2001
Week 5	at Minnesota	UNDERDOG is 5-0 against the spread in the ARI-MIN series since 2001
Week 6	BYE	
Week 7	Pittsburgh	Last five games are 4-1 OVER the total in the ARI-PIT series since 1992
Week 8	at Baltimore	ARIZONA is 3-1 against the spread versus Baltimore since 1992
Week 9	St. Louis	Last seven games at ARIZONA are 6-1 UNDER the total in ARI-STL series since 2004
Week 10	at Philadelphia	FAVORITE is 4-2 against the spread in the ARI-PHI series since 2001
Week 11	at San Francisco	SAN FRANCISCO is 5-1 against the spread versus ARIZONA since 2008
Week 12	at St. Louis	FAVORITE is 5-1 against the spread and 6-0 straight-up in ARI-STL series since 2008
Week 13	Dallas	HOME TEAM is 6-2 against the spread and 7-1 straight-up in the ARI-DAL series since 2001
Week 14	San Francisco	SAN FRANCISCO is 6-0 against the spread in games at ARIZONA since 2004
Week 15	Cleveland	FAVORITE is 4-0 against the spread in the ARI-CLE series since 1992
Week 16	at Cincinnati	ARIZONA is 4-1 against the spread versus CINCINNATI since 1992
Week 17	Seattle	Last seven games at ARIZONA are 7-0 OVER the total in ARI-SEA series

SITUATIONAL RECORDS (INCLUDES POST-SEASON RESULTS)

SCENARIO	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	6-YR TOTAL	6-YR%
STRAIGHT UP	5–11	5–11	8–8	12–8	11–7	5–11	46–56	45.1%
OVERALL ATS	6–10	8–8	9–7	13–7	9–8	5–11	50–51	49.5%
PRESEASON ATS	3–1	2–2	1–3	2–2	0–4	3–1	11–13	45.8%
HOME ATS	2–5	4–4	5–3	7–3	5–4	3–5	26–24	52.0%
ROAD ATS	4–5	4–4	4–4	6–4	4–4	2–6	24–27	47.1%
vs DIVISION ATS	3–3	3–3	3–3	5–1	3–3	1–5	18–18	50.0%
vs CONFERENCE ATS	4–8	6–6	5–7	10–5	7–7	4–8	36–41	46.8%
as FAVORITE ATS	4–2	1–3	3–4	8–2	3–7	1–3	20–21	48.8%
as UNDERDOG ATS	2–8	7–5	6–3	5–5	6–1	4–8	30–30	50.0%
OVER-UNDER	10–6	10–5	11–5	14–6	7–11	10–6	62–39	61.4%

STATFOX.COM POWER TRENDS

- ARIZONA is 7-1 ATS revenging a loss where opponent scored 28 or more points the last three seasons
- ARIZONA is 11-2 ATS when playing against a top-level team (Win Pct. > 75%) the last five seasons
- ARIZONA is 17-4 ATS vs. good passing teams averaging 7 or more passing yards/att. the last five seasons
- ARIZONA is 3-11 ATS after 1 or more consecutive wins against the spread the last two seasons

2010 STATS/RANK

DIFFERENTIALS	NO.	RANK
Scoring	-9.0	31
Yardage	-104.9	32
Yds Per Play	-0.85	31
Yds Per Point	1.10	20
Turnovers	-5	22

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	18.1	26
First Downs	15.1	31
Yards/Game	268.7	31
Yds Per Play	4.62	30
Yds Per Point	14.88	13
RushYds/Game	86.8	32
Yards Per Rush	4.34	11
Pass Att/Game	35.1	11
Comp/Game	17.8	26
Completion %	50.8	32
Pass Yds/Gm	181.9	31
Pass Yds/Att	5.19	31
INTs Thrown	19	20
Sacks Allowed	50	29
Att/Sack	12.2	27
Sack Yards	353	31

DEFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Allowed	27.1	30
First Downs	21.2	29
Yards/Game	373.6	29
Yds Per Play	5.47	22
Yds Per Point	13.77	26
RushYds/Gm	145.2	30
Yards Per Rush	4.42	20
Pass Att/Game	33.3	16
Comp/Game	20.3	14
Comp %	60.8	14
Pass Yds/Gm	228.4	23
Pass Yds/Att	6.86	26
Interceptions	17	11
Sacks	33	17
Att/Sack	17.2	17
Sack Yards	227	17

2007-2010 GAME LOGS

2007	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/10	at SFO	L 17-20	W (+3½)	U (47)
9/16	vs SEA	W 23-20	W (+2½)	O (42½)
9/23	at BAL	L 23-26	W (+7)	O (36)
9/30	vs PIT	W 21-14	W (+5½)	U (42½)
10/7	at STL	W 34-31	L (-3½)	O (40)
10/14	vs CAR	L 10-25	L (-6)	U (38½)
10/21	at WAS	L 19-21	W (+8)	O (35½)
11/4	at TAM	L 10-17	L (+3)	U (39)
11/11	vs DET	W 31-21	W (-2½)	O (45)
11/18	at CIN	W 35-27	W (+3)	O (48½)
11/25	vs SFO	L 31-37	L (-10)	O (37½)
12/2	vs CLE	W 27-21	W (-1)	U (52)
12/9	at SEA	L 21-42	L (+8)	O (44)
12/16	at NOR	L 24-31	L (+4)	O (49½)
12/23	vs ATL	W 30-27	L (-11)	O (44)
12/30	vs STL	W 48-19	W (-6)	O (49½)

2008	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/7	at SFO	W 23-13	W (-2½)	U (42½)
9/14	vs MIA	W 31-10	W (-6)	O (40½)
9/21	at WAS	L 17-24	L (+3)	U (43½)
9/28	at NYJ	L 35-56	L (+1½)	O (43½)
10/5	vs BUF	W 41-17	W (-2)	O (44½)
10/12	vs DAL	W 30-24	W (+4½)	O (53½)
10/26	at CAR	L 23-27	W (+5)	O (43½)
11/2	at STL	W 34-13	W (-3)	U (49)
11/10	vs SFO	W 29-24	L (-9½)	O (47½)
11/16	at SEA	W 26-20	W (-3)	U (47½)
11/23	vs NYG	L 29-37	L (+3)	O (48)
11/27	at PHI	L 20-48	L (+3)	O (49)
12/7	vs STL	W 34-10	W (-14½)	U (48)
12/14	vs MIN	L 14-35	L (-4½)	O (46½)
12/21	at NWE	L 7-47	L (+8)	O (41)
12/28	vs SEA	W 34-21	W (-7)	O (47)

2009	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/13	vs SFO	L 16-20	L (-4½)	U (45)
9/20	at JAC	W 31-17	W (+3)	O (44)
9/27	vs IND	L 10-31	L (-3)	U (48½)
10/11	vs HOU	W 28-21	W (-6)	U (50½)
10/18	at SEA	W 27-3	W (+3)	U (45½)
10/25	at NYG	W 24-17	W (+7½)	U (47)
11/1	vs CAR	L 21-34	L (-9½)	O (43½)
11/8	at CHI	W 41-21	W (+2½)	O (44½)
11/15	vs SEA	W 31-20	W (-8)	O (46½)
11/22	at STL	W 21-13	L (-8½)	U (46½)
11/29	at TEN	L 17-20	P (+3)	U (44)
12/6	vs MIN	W 30-17	W (+3)	U (48)
12/14	at SFO	L 9-24	L (-4)	U (44½)
12/20	at DET	W 31-24	L (-14)	O (46½)
12/27	vs STL	W 31-10	W (-16½)	U (44)
1/3	vs GNB	L 7-33	L (-3)	U (42)

2010	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/12	at STL	W 17-13	W (-3)	U (39½)
9/19	at ATL	L 7-41	L (+6½)	O (43)
9/26	vs OAK	W 24-23	L (-5½)	O (39)
10/3	at SDG	L 10-41	L (+10)	O (47)
10/10	vs NOR	W 30-20	W (+6½)	O (45½)
10/24	at SEA	L 10-22	L (+6½)	U (40½)
10/31	vs TAM	L 35-38	L (-3)	O (38)
11/7	at MIN	L 24-27	W (+7)	O (42½)
11/14	vs SEA	L 18-36	L (-3)	O (41)
11/21	at KAN	L 13-31	L (+7)	U (45)
11/29	vs SFO	L 6-27	L (+1½)	U (41½)
12/5	vs STL	L 6-19	L (+3)	U (44)
12/12	vs DEN	W 43-13	W (+4)	O (44½)
12/19	at CAR	L 12-19	L (+2½)	U (37½)
12/25	vs DAL	W 27-26	W (+7)	O (44½)
1/2	at SFO	L 7-38	L (+5½)	O (39)

ST. LOUIS RAMS

Sportsbook.com odds to win SB XLVI: 60-to-1
Sportsbook.com odds to win NFC Title: 30-to-1
2011 Schedule Strength: 19.19 (22nd toughest)
2010 Record: 7-9 (-0.2 ML units), 10-6 ATS
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 6-10
2010 Schedule Strength: 16.50 (easiest)
StatFox.com Power Rating: 11 (#30 of 32)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -6.62 (#28 of 32)

2011 SCHEDULE / SERIES TRENDS / NOTES

Week 1	Philadelphia	PHILADELPHIA is 7-1 against the spread versus ST. LOUIS since 1992
Week 2	at N.Y. Giants	NY GIANTS are 5-0 against the spread and 4-1 straight-up against ST. LOUIS since 2001
Week 3	Baltimore	FAVORITE is 3-1 against the spread and 4-0 straight-up in BAL-STL series since 1992
Week 4	Washington	UNDERDOG is 9-3 against the spread and 8-4 straight-up in STL-WAS series since 1992
Week 5	BYE	
Week 6	at Green Bay	FAVORITE is 9-3 against the spread and 11-1 straight-up in GB-STL series since 1992
Week 7	at Dallas	UNDERDOG is 4-1 against the spread and straight-up in DAL-STL series since 1992
Week 8	New Orleans	Last 13 games at ST. LOUIS are 10-3 OVER the total in NO-STL series since 1992
Week 9	at Arizona	Last seven games at ARIZONA are 6-1 UNDER the total in ARI-STL series since 2004
Week 10	at Cleveland	FAVORITE is 4-0 against the spread and straight-up in CLE-STL series since 1992
Week 11	Seattle	SEATTLE is 7-1 against the spread and straight-up against ST. LOUIS since 2007
Week 12	Arizona	FAVORITE is 5-1 against the spread and 6-0 straight-up in ARI-STL series since 2008
Week 13	at San Francisco	SAN FRANCISCO is 24-12 against the spread versus ST. LOUIS since 1992
Week 14	at Seattle	SEATTLE is 4-0 against the spread and straight-up at home against ST. LOUIS since 2007
Week 15	Cincinnati	ST. LOUIS is 4-1 against the spread versus CINCINNATI since 1992
Week 16	at Pittsburgh	Last four games are 3-1 OVER the total in PIT-STL series since 1992
Week 17	San Francisco	Last five games at ST. LOUIS are 4-1 UNDER the total in STL-SF series since 2006

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	6-YR TOTAL	6-YR%
STRAIGHT UP	6–10	8–8	3–13	2–14	1–15	7–9	27–69	28.1%
OVERALL ATS	5–11	9–7	5–11	6–10	7–9	10–6	42–54	43.8%
PRESEASON ATS	3–1	0–4	2–2	2–2	3–1	3–1	13–11	54.2%
HOME ATS	2–6	4–4	2–6	3–5	3–5	5–3	19–29	39.6%
ROAD ATS	3–5	5–3	3–5	3–5	4–4	5–3	23–25	47.9%
VS DIVISION ATS	1–5	3–3	2–4	1–5	1–5	4–2	12–24	33.3%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	3–9	7–5	4–8	4–8	5–7	7–5	30–42	41.7%
AS FAVORITE ATS	2–6	4–4	2–2	0–0	0–0	3–2	11–14	44.0%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	3–5	5–3	3–9	6–10	7–9	7–4	31–40	43.7%
OVER-UNDER	9–7	8–7	6–8	7–8	7–9	6–10	43–49	46.7%

STATFOX.COM POWER TRENDS

- ST. LOUIS is 13-2 ATS when playing against a bad team (Win Pct. 25% to 40%) the last five seasons
- ST. LOUIS is 9-2 ATS after gaining 400 or more total yards in their previous game the last five seasons
- ST. LOUIS is 1-7 ATS after gaining 99 or less rushing yards in 2 straight games the last three seasons
- ST. LOUIS is 0-7 ATS vs. good defensive teams who give up 17 or less points/game the last five seasons

2010 STATS/RANK

DIFFERENTIALS	NO.	RANK
Scoring	-2.4	19
Yardage	-33.9	24
Yds Per Play	-0.69	29
Yds Per Point	0.34	17
Turnovers	+5	10

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	18.1	27
First Downs	18.3	22
Yards/Game	302.9	26
Yds Per Play	4.60	31
Yds Per Point	16.77	26
RushYds/Gm	98.6	25
Yards Per Rush	3.68	31
Pass Att/Game	36.9	6
Comp/Game	22.1	9
Completion %	60.0	20
Pass Yds/Gm	204.3	21
Pass Yds/Att	5.54	30
INTs Thrown	15	13
Sacks Allowed	34	15
Att/Sack	18.4	12
Sack Yards	244	21

DEFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Allowed	20.5	12
First Downs	19.0	16
Yards/Game	336.8	19
Yds Per Play	5.29	17
Yds Per Point	16.43	11
RushYds/Gm	113.1	17
Yards Per Rush	4.48	22
Pass Att/Game	35.7	24
Comp/Game	20.5	17
Comp %	57.4	7
Pass Yds/Gm	223.6	19
Pass Yds/Att	6.27	10
Interceptions	14	19
Sacks	43	7
Att/Sack	14.3	9
Sack Yards	290	6

2007-2010 GAME LOGS

2007	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/9	vs CAR	L 13-27	L (-1½)	U (43)
9/16	vs SFO	L 16-17	L (-3)	U (44)
9/23	at TAM	L 3-24	L (+3½)	U (39)
9/30	at DAL	L 7-35	L (+13)	U (47)
10/7	vs ARI	L 31-34	W (+3½)	O (40)
10/14	at BAL	L 3-22	L (+9)	U (36½)
10/21	at SEA	L 6-33	L (+7½)	P (39)
10/28	vs CLE	L 20-27	L (+3)	O (46½)
11/11	at NOR	W 37-29	W (+10)	O (47)
11/18	at SFO	W 13-9	W (-3)	U (39)
11/25	vs SEA	L 19-24	L (+3)	U (46)
12/2	vs ATL	W 28-16	W (-3)	O (40)
12/9	at CIN	L 10-19	W (+10)	U (45½)
12/16	vs GNB	L 14-33	L (+7)	P (47)
12/20	vs PIT	L 24-41	L (+8)	O (43)
12/30	at ARI	L 19-48	L (+6)	O (49½)

2008	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/7	at PHI	L 3-38	L (+9)	U (43½)
9/14	vs NYG	L 13-41	L (+7½)	O (43½)
9/21	at SEA	L 13-37	L (+8)	O (45)
9/28	vs BUF	L 14-31	L (+9)	O (44)
10/12	at WAS	W 19-17	W (+11½)	U (44)
10/19	vs DAL	W 34-14	W (+8)	O (45½)
10/26	at NWE	L 16-23	W (+9)	U (41)
11/2	vs ARI	L 13-34	L (+3)	U (49)
11/9	at NYJ	L 3-47	L (+9½)	O (43½)
11/16	at SFO	L 16-35	L (+7)	O (43½)
11/23	vs CHI	L 3-27	L (+7)	U (44½)
11/30	vs MIA	L 12-16	W (+7)	U (44½)
12/7	at ARI	L 10-34	L (+14½)	U (48)
12/14	vs SEA	L 20-23	L (+1½)	P (43)
12/21	vs SFO	L 16-17	W (+3)	U (42)
12/28	at ATL	L 27-31	W (+14)	O (44½)

2009	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/13	at SEA	L 0-28	L (+7)	U (41)
9/20	at WAS	L 7-9	W (+10)	U (37)
9/27	vs GNB	L 17-36	L (+6½)	O (42½)
10/4	at SFO	L 0-35	L (+9)	U (36)
10/11	vs MIN	L 10-38	L (+10)	O (40½)
10/18	at JAC	L 20-23	W (+9½)	O (42)
10/25	vs IND	L 6-42	L (+14)	O (45)
11/1	at DET	W 17-10	W (+3)	U (43½)
11/15	vs NOR	L 23-28	W (+14)	O (50½)
11/22	vs ARI	L 13-21	W (+8½)	U (46½)
11/29	vs SEA	L 17-27	L (+3)	O (42½)
12/6	at CHI	L 9-17	W (+9)	U (41)
12/13	at TEN	L 7-47	L (+14)	O (40)
12/20	vs HOU	L 13-16	W (+14)	U (43½)
12/27	at ARI	L 10-31	L (+16½)	U (44)
1/3	vs SFO	L 6-28	L (+7)	U (39)

2010	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/12	vs ARI	L 13-17	L (+3)	U (39½)
9/19	at OAK	L 14-16	W (+3)	U (37½)
9/26	vs WAS	W 30-16	W (+5)	O (39)
10/3	vs SEA	W 20-3	W (+2)	U (39½)
10/10	at DET	L 6-44	L (+3)	O (43½)
10/17	vs SDG	W 20-17	W (+8½)	U (45)
10/24	at TAM	L 17-18	W (+3)	U (39)
10/31	vs CAR	W 20-10	W (-3)	U (37½)
11/14	at SFO	L 20-23	W (+5)	O (38)
11/21	vs ATL	L 17-34	L (+3)	O (43)
11/28	at DEN	W 36-33	W (+3)	O (46½)
12/5	at ARI	W 19-6	W (-3)	U (44)
12/12	at NOR	L 13-31	L (+9½)	U (47½)
12/19	vs KAN	L 13-27	L (-3)	U (43)
12/26	vs SFO	W 25-17	W (-2½)	O (40)
1/2	at SEA	L 6-16	L (-3)	U (43)

> NFC WEST



SAN FRANCISCO 49ERS

Sportsbook.com odds to win SB XLVI: 40-to-1
Sportsbook.com odds to win NFC Title: 20-to-1
2011 Schedule Strength: 16.00 (easiest)
2010 Record: 6-10 (-6.4 ML units), 7-9 ATS
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 9-6-1
2010 Schedule Strength: 17.31 (30th toughest)
StatFox.com Power Rating: 17 (#22 of 32)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -1.81 (#19 of 32)

2011 SCHEDULE / SERIES TRENDS / NOTES

Week 1	Seattle	FAVORITE is 3-1 against the spread and straight-up at SAN FRANCISCO in SF-SEA series since 2007
Week 2	Dallas	Last 12 games are 9-3 OVER the total in DAL-SF series since 1992
Week 3	at Cincinnati	HOME TEAM is 5-0 straight-up in CIN-SF series since 1992
Week 4	at Philadelphia	PHILADELPHIA is 5-0 against the spread and straight-up against SAN FRANCISCO since 2004
Week 5	Tampa Bay	UNDERDOG is 5-1 against the spread and 4-2 straight-up at SAN FRANCISCO in SF-TB series since 1992
Week 6	at Detroit	SAN FRANCISCO is 7-2 against the spread and 10-1 straight-up against DETROIT since 1992
Week 7	BYE	
Week 8	Cleveland	CLEVELAND is 3-0 against the spread and straight-up against SAN FRANCISCO since 1992
Week 9	at Washington	FAVORITE is 9-0 straight-up in SF-WAS series since 1992
Week 10	N.Y. Giants	NY GIANTS are 5-0 against the spread versus SAN FRANCISCO since 2001
Week 11	Arizona	SAN FRANCISCO is 5-1 against the spread versus ARIZONA since 2008
Week 12	at Baltimore	HOME TEAM is 3-0 against the spread in BAL-SF series since 1992
Week 13	St. Louis	SAN FRANCISCO is 24-12 against the spread versus ST. LOUIS since 1992
Week 14	at Arizona	SAN FRANCISCO is 6-0 against the spread in games at ARIZONA since 2004
Week 15	Pittsburgh	FAVORITE is 4-1 against the spread and straight-up in PIT-SF series since 1992
Week 16	at Seattle	HOME TEAM is 4-0 against the spread and straight-up in SF-SEA series since 2009
Week 17	at St. Louis	Last five games at ST. LOUIS are 4-1 UNDER the total in STL-SF series since 2006

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	6-YR TOTAL	6-YR%
STRAIGHT UP	4–12	7–9	5–11	7–9	8–8	6–10	37–59	38.5%
OVERALL ATS	8–8	9–7	5–11	7–8	9–4	7–9	45–47	48.9%
PRESEASON ATS	4–0	2–2	2–2	2–2	1–3	3–0	14–9	60.9%
HOME ATS	5–3	4–4	3–5	3–4	5–2	4–3	24–21	53.3%
ROAD ATS	3–5	5–3	2–6	4–4	4–2	3–6	21–26	44.7%
VS DIVISION ATS	3–3	5–1	2–4	3–3	5–1	3–3	21–15	58.3%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	6–6	7–5	3–9	4–7	7–3	5–7	32–37	46.4%
AS FAVORITE ATS	1–0	1–2	0–1	2–2	5–1	5–6	14–12	53.8%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	7–8	8–5	5–10	5–6	4–3	2–3	31–35	47.0%
OVER-UNDER	10–6	9–7	6–10	8–7	5–11	9–6	47–47	50.0%

STATFOX.COM POWER TRENDS

- SAN FRANCISCO is 8-1 ATS vs. poor passing defenses - allowing 7 or more passing yards/att. the last two seasons
- SAN FRANCISCO is 10-2 ATS off a loss against a division rival the last five seasons
- SAN FRANCISCO is 1-8 ATS after a win by 14 or more points the last five seasons
- SAN FRANCISCO is 0-7 ATS against NFC East division opponents the last five seasons

2010 STATS/RANK

DIFFERENTIALS	NO.	RANK
Scoring	-2.5	20
Yardage	-14.4	21
Yds Per Play	0.22	11
Yds Per Point	1.28	24
Turnovers	-1	15

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	19.1	24
First Downs	15.7	30
Yards/Game	313.3	24
Yds Per Play	5.30	17
Yds Per Point	16.44	25
RushYds/Gm	103.6	19
Yards Per Rush	4.13	17
Pass Att/Game	31.3	22
Comp/Game	17.6	27
Completion %	56.4	29
Pass Yds/Gm	209.8	18
Pass Yds/Att	6.71	14
INTs Thrown	15	13
Sacks Allowed	44	26
Att/Sack	12.4	26
Sack Yards	257	22

DEFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Allowed	21.6	16
First Downs	18.7	15
Yards/Game	327.7	13
Yds Per Play	5.08	8
Yds Per Point	15.15	15
RushYds/Gm	96.7	6
Yards Per Rush	3.46	2
Pass Att/Game	34.4	22
Comp/Game	22.4	26
Comp %	65.1	30
Pass Yds/Gm	231.0	24
Pass Yds/Att	6.72	21
Interceptions	15	17
Sacks	36	14
Att/Sack	16.3	14
Sack Yards	244	15

2007-2010 GAME LOGS

2007	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/10	vs ARI	W 20-17	L (-3½)	U (47)
9/16	at STL	W 17-16	W (+3)	U (44)
9/23	at PIT	L 16-37	L (+10)	O (37½)
9/30	vs SEA	L 3-23	L (+1½)	U (40)
10/7	vs BAL	L 7-9	W (+4)	U (34½)
10/21	at NYG	L 15-33	L (+9½)	O (39)
10/28	vs NOR	L 10-31	L (+2)	O (39½)
11/4	at ATL	L 16-20	L (+3½)	U (37)
11/12	at SEA	L 0-24	L (+8½)	U (37½)
11/18	vs STL	L 9-13	L (+3)	U (39)
11/25	at ARI	W 37-31	W (+10)	O (37½)
12/2	at CAR	L 14-31	L (+3)	O (35)
12/9	vs MIN	L 7-27	L (+7½)	U (39)
12/15	vs CIN	W 20-13	W (+8)	U (43)
12/23	vs TAM	W 21-19	W (+6½)	O (36½)
12/30	at CLE	L 7-20	L (+11½)	U (41)

2008	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/7	vs ARI	L 13-23	L (+2½)	U (42½)
9/14	at SEA	W 33-30	W (+6)	O (38)
9/21	vs DET	W 31-13	W (-4½)	U (47)
9/28	at NOR	L 17-31	L (+4)	P (48)
10/5	vs NWE	L 21-30	L (+3)	O (41)
10/12	vs PHI	L 26-40	L (+5)	O (41)
10/19	at NYG	L 17-29	L (+10)	U (47)
10/26	vs SEA	L 13-34	L (-5½)	O (41½)
11/10	at ARI	L 24-29	W (+9½)	O (47½)
11/16	vs STL	W 35-16	W (-7)	O (43½)
11/23	at DAL	L 22-35	L (+9½)	O (45½)
11/30	at BUF	W 10-3	W (+6½)	U (43)
12/7	vs NYJ	W 24-14	W (+5)	U (45½)
12/14	at MIA	L 9-14	W (+5½)	U (41)
12/21	at STL	W 17-16	L (-3)	U (42)
12/28	vs WAS	W 27-24	P (-3)	O (37)

2009	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/13	at ARI	W 20-16	W (+4½)	U (45)
9/20	vs SEA	W 23-10	W (-1)	U (38½)
9/27	at MIN	L 24-27	W (+6½)	O (39)
10/4	vs STL	W 35-0	W (-9)	U (36)
10/11	vs ATL	L 10-45	L (pk)	O (39½)
10/25	at HOU	L 21-24	P (+3)	O (44½)
11/1	at IND	L 14-18	W (+12½)	U (45)
11/8	vs TEN	L 27-34	L (-4)	O (40½)
11/12	vs CHI	W 10-6	W (-3)	U (43)
11/22	at GNB	L 24-30	P (+6)	O (41½)
11/29	vs JAC	W 20-3	W (-3)	U (42)
12/6	at SEA	L 17-20	L (+1)	U (41)
12/14	vs ARI	W 24-9	W (+4)	U (44½)
12/20	at PHI	L 13-27	L (+7)	U (41½)
12/27	vs DET	W 20-6	P (-14)	U (41)
1/3	at STL	W 28-6	W (-7)	U (39)

2010	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/12	at SEA	L 6-31	L (-3)	P (37)
9/20	vs NOR	L 22-25	W (+4½)	O (44)
9/26	at KAN	L 10-31	L (-3)	O (38)
10/3	at ATL	L 14-16	W (+7)	U (42)
10/10	vs PHI	L 24-27	L (-3)	O (39½)
10/17	vs OAK	W 17-9	W (-7½)	U (41)
10/24	at CAR	L 20-23	L (-1½)	O (35½)
10/31	vs DEN	W 24-16	W (-2)	U (41)
11/14	vs STL	W 23-20	L (-5)	O (38)
11/21	vs TAM	L 0-21	L (-3½)	U (41)
11/29	at ARI	W 27-6	W (-1½)	U (41½)
12/5	at GNB	L 16-34	L (+8½)	O (41)
12/12	vs SEA	W 40-21	W (-4½)	O (42)
12/16	at SDG	L 7-34	L (+10)	U (44½)
12/26	at STL	L 17-25	L (+2½)	O (40)
1/2	vs ARI	W 38-7	W (-5½)	O (39)



SEATTLE SEAHAWKS

Sportsbook.com odds to win SB XLVI: 100-to-1
Sportsbook.com odds to win NFC Title: 50-to-1
2011 Schedule Strength: 16.94 (30th toughest)
2010 Record: 8-10 (+2.2 ML units), 8-10 ATS
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 13-4-1
2010 Schedule Strength: 17.78 (26th toughest)
StatFox.com Power Rating: 13 (#27 of 32)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -9.04 (#29 of 32)

2011 SCHEDULE / SERIES TRENDS / NOTES

Week 1	at San Francisco	FAVORITE is 3-1 against the spread and straight-up at SAN FRANCISCO in SF-SEA series since 2007
Week 2	at Pittsburgh	SEATTLE is 5-2 against the spread versus PITTSBURGH since 1992
Week 3	Arizona	HOME TEAM is 7-3 against the spread in the ARI-SEA series since 2006
Week 4	Atlanta	Last five games are 4-1 OVER the total in ATL-SEA series since 2001
Week 5	at N.Y. Giants	FAVORITE is 3-0 against the spread and straight-up in NYG-SEA series since 2006
Week 6	BYE	
Week 7	at Cleveland	FAVORITE is 4-0 against the spread and 5-0 straight-up in CLE-SEA series since 1992
Week 8	Cincinnati	CINCINNATI is 3-1 against the spread at SEATTLE since 1992
Week 9	at Dallas	DALLAS is 6-2 against the spread versus SEATTLE since 1992
Week 10	Baltimore	HOME TEAM is 2-0 against the spread and 3-0 straight-up in BAL-SEA series since 1992
Week 11	at St. Louis	SEATTLE is 7-1 against the spread and straight-up against ST. LOUIS since 2007
Week 12	Washington	FAVORITE is 4-1 against the spread and 5-1 straight-up at SEATTLE in SEA-WAS series since 1992
Week 13	Philadelphia	ROAD TEAM is 6-2 against the spread and 7-1 straight-up in PHI-SEA series since 1992
Week 14	St. Louis	SEATTLE is 4-0 against the spread and straight-up at home against ST. LOUIS since 2007
Week 15	at Chicago	Last seven games are 6-1 OVER the total in CHI-SEA series since 2001
Week 16	San Francisco	HOME TEAM is 4-0 against the spread and straight-up in SF-SEA series since 2009
Week 17	at Arizona	Last seven games at ARIZONA are 7-0 OVER the total in ARI-SEA series

SITUATIONAL RECORDS (INCLUDES POST-SEASON RESULTS)

SCENARIO	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	6-YR TOTAL	6-YR%
STRAIGHT UP	15–4	10–8	11–7	4–12	5–11	8–10	53–52	50.5%
OVERALL ATS	11–7	7–10	10–7	7–8	6–10	8–10	49–52	48.5%
PRESEASON ATS	2–2	2–2	3–1	4–0	4–0	0–3	15–8	65.2%
HOME ATS	8–2	4–4	7–1	3–4	5–3	6–3	33–17	66.0%
ROAD ATS	3–5	3–6	3–6	4–4	1–7	2–7	16–35	31.4%
VS DIVISION ATS	5–1	1–5	5–1	3–3	3–3	4–2	21–15	58.3%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	9–4	4–9	9–5	4–7	4–8	7–7	37–40	48.1%
AS FAVORITE ATS	10–4	3–8	9–4	2–1	5–2	2–1	31–20	60.8%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	1–3	4–2	1–3	5–7	1–8	6–9	18–32	36.0%
OVER-UNDER	11–8	10–8	9–8	7–7	7–9	13–4	57–44	56.4%

STATFOX.COM POWER TRENDS

- SEATTLE is 9-1 ATS after scoring 17 points or less in 2 straight games the last five seasons
- SEATTLE is 11-2 ATS versus poor offensive teams - averaging <=285 yards/game the last five seasons
- SEATTLE is 3-15 ATS vs. good offensive teams - scoring 24 or more points/game the last three seasons
- SEATTLE is 1-15 ATS vs. good passing teams averaging 7 or more passing yards/att. the last five seasons

2010 STATS/RANK

DIFFERENTIALS	NO.	RANK
Scoring	-6.0	28
Yardage	-70.9	30
Yds Per Play	-0.55	26
Yds Per Point	0.88	18
Turnovers	-9	27

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	19.4	23
First Downs	16.3	28
Yards/Game	297.7	28
Yds Per Play	4.94	29
Yds Per Point	15.36	17
RushYds/Gm	89.0	31
Yards Per Rush	3.70	30
Pass Att/Game	34.0	15
Comp/Game	20.3	17
Completion %	59.6	21
Pass Yds/Gm	208.7	19
Pass Yds/Att	6.14	26
INTs Thrown	20	23
Sacks Allowed	35	16
Att/Sack	16.5	15
Sack Yards	194	9

DEFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Allowed	25.4	25
First Downs	19.6	20
Yards/Game	368.6	27
Yds Per Play	5.49	24
Yds Per Point	14.49	18
RushYds/Gm	118.9	21
Yards Per Rush	4.21	15
Pass Att/Game	36.6	28
Comp/Game	21.2	21
Comp %	58.0	9
Pass Yds/Gm	249.6	27
Pass Yds/Att	6.83	25
Interceptions	12	25
Sacks	37	13
Att/Sack	16.8	16
Sack Yards	246	13

2007-2010 GAME LOGS

2007	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/9	vs TAM	W 20-6	W (-6)	U (40½)
9/16	at ARI	L 20-23	L (-2½)	O (42½)
9/23	vs CIN	W 24-21	P (-3)	U (49)
9/30	at SFO	W 23-3	W (-1½)	U (40)
10/7	at PIT	L 0-21	L (+5)	U (41)
10/14	vs NOR	L 17-28	L (-5½)	O (43)
10/21	vs STL	W 33-6	W (-7½)	P (39)
11/4	at CLE	L 30-33	L (+1½)	O (46½)
11/12	vs SFO	W 24-0	W (-8½)	U (37½)
11/18	vs CHI	W 30-23	W (-6)	O (37½)
11/25	at STL	W 24-19	W (-3)	U (46)
12/2	at PHI	W 28-24	W (+3)	O (40½)
12/9	vs ARI	W 42-21	W (-8)	O (44)
12/16	at CAR	L 10-13	L (-7)	U (37)
12/23	vs BAL	W 27-6	W (-13)	U (38½)
12/30	at ATL	L 41-44	L (-2)	O (38½)

2008	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/7	at BUF	L 10-34	L (+2½)	O (37½)
9/14	vs SFO	L 30-33	L (-6)	O (38)
9/21	vs STL	W 37-13	W (-8)	O (45)
10/5	at NYG	L 6-44	L (+6)	O (43½)
10/12	vs GNB	L 17-27	L (+1)	P (44)
10/19	at TAM	L 10-20	W (+11)	U (40)
10/26	at SFO	W 34-13	W (+5½)	O (41½)
11/2	vs PHI	L 7-26	L (+7)	U (44)
11/9	at MIA	L 19-21	W (+7½)	U (41½)
11/16	vs ARI	L 20-26	L (+3)	U (47½)
11/23	vs WAS	L 17-20	P (+3)	U (40)
11/27	at DAL	L 9-34	L (+11½)	U (46)
12/7	vs NWE	L 21-24	W (+7½)	O (43)
12/14	at STL	W 23-20	W (-1½)	P (43)
12/21	vs NYJ	W 13-3	W (+3½)	U (41½)
12/28	at ARI	L 21-34	L (+7)	O (47)

2009	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/13	vs STL	W 28-0	W (-7)	U (41)
9/20	at SFO	L 10-23	L (+1)	U (38½)
9/27	vs CHI	L 19-25	L (+3)	O (37½)
10/4	at IND	L 17-34	L (+10)	O (43)
10/11	vs JAC	W 41-0	W (-2)	U (44)
10/18	vs ARI	L 3-27	L (-3)	U (45½)
11/1	at DAL	L 17-38	L (+10)	O (46½)
11/8	vs DET	W 32-20	W (-11)	O (41½)
11/15	at ARI	L 20-31	L (+8)	O (46½)
11/22	at MIN	L 9-35	L (+10½)	U (47)
11/29	at STL	W 27-17	W (-3)	O (42½)
12/6	vs SFO	W 20-17	W (-1)	U (41)
12/13	at HOU	L 7-34	L (+7)	U (45)
12/20	vs TAM	L 7-24	L (-6½)	U (39)
12/27	at GNB	L 10-48	L (+13)	O (43½)
1/3	vs TEN	L 13-17	W (+5½)	U (46)

2010	OPP	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/12	vs SFO	W 31-6	W (+3)	P (37)
9/19	at DEN	L 14-31	L (+3)	O (41)
9/26	vs SDG	W 27-20	W (+3½)	O (44)
10/3	at STL	L 3-20	L (-2)	U (39½)
10/17	at CHI	W 23-20	W (+6)	O (37½)
10/24	vs ARI	W 22-10	W (-6½)	U (40½)
10/31	at OAK	L 3-33	L (+2)	U (40)
11/7	vs NYG	L 7-41	L (+7)	O (40½)
11/14	at ARI	W 36-18	W (+3)	O (41)
11/21	at NOR	L 19-34	L (+11)	O (44½)
11/28	vs KAN	L 24-42	L (+1½)	O (45½)
12/5	vs CAR	W 31-14	W (-5)	O (40½)
12/12	at SFO	L 21-40	L (+4½)	O (42)
12/19	vs ATL	L 18-34	L (+5½)	O (46½)
12/26	at TAM	L 15-38	L (+5½)	O (43½)
1/2	vs STL	W 16-6	W (+3)	U (43)

> 2010 NFL OFFENSIVE TEAM STATS

TOTAL YARDS PER GAME

1	San Diego Chargers	395.6
2	Philadelphia Eagles	389.4
3	Houston Texans	386.6
4	Indianapolis Colts	380.8
5	New York Giants	380.3
6	New Orleans Saints	372.5
7	Dallas Cowboys	364.3
8	New England Patriots	363.8
9	Green Bay Packers	358.1
10	Oakland Raiders	354.6
11	New York Jets	351.0
12	Kansas City Chiefs	349.7
13	Denver Broncos	348.8
14	Pittsburgh Steelers	345.3
15	Atlanta Falcons	341.1
16	Jacksonville Jaguars	340.8
17	Detroit Lions	338.9
18	Washington Redskins	335.9
19	Tampa Bay Buccaneers	335.1
20	Cincinati Bengals	330.6
21	Miami Dolphins	323.1
22	Baltimore Ravens	322.9
23	Minnesota Vikings	314.9
24	San Francisco 49ers	313.3
25	Buffalo Bills	304.5
26	St. Louis Rams	302.9
27	Tennessee Titans	302.1
28	Seattle Seahawks	297.7
29	Cleveland Browns	289.8
30	Chicago Bears	289.4
31	Arizona Cardinals	268.7
32	Carolina Panthers	258.4

POINTS SCORED PER GAME

1	New England Patriots	32.4
2	San Diego Chargers	27.6
3	Philadelphia Eagles	27.4
4	Indianapolis Colts	27.2
5	Atlanta Falcons	25.9
6	Oakland Raiders	25.6
7	Dallas Cowboys	24.6
	New York Giants	24.6
9	Houston Texans	24.4
10	Green Bay Packers	24.3
11	New Orleans Saints	24.0
12	Pittsburgh Steelers	23.4
13	New York Jets	22.9
14	Kansas City Chiefs	22.9
15	Detroit Lions	22.6
16	Baltimore Ravens	22.3
17	Tennessee Titans	22.3
18	Jacksonville Jaguars	22.1
19	Denver Broncos	21.5
20	Tampa Bay Buccaneers	21.3
21	Chicago Bears	20.9
22	Cincinati Bengals	20.1
23	Seattle Seahawks	19.4
24	San Francisco 49ers	19.1
25	Washington Redskins	18.9
26	Arizona Cardinals	18.1
	St. Louis Rams	18.1
28	Buffalo Bills	17.7
29	Minnesota Vikings	17.6
30	Miami Dolphins	17.1
31	Cleveland Browns	16.9
32	Carolina Panthers	12.3

TOTAL YARDS PER PLAY

1	San Diego Chargers	6.09
2	Houston Texans	6.01
3	Philadelphia Eagles	6.00
4	New England Patriots	5.90
5	New York Giants	5.88
6	Green Bay Packers	5.73
7	Dallas Cowboys	5.63
8	Tampa Bay Buccaneers	5.61
9	Indianapolis Colts	5.59
10	New Orleans Saints	5.59
11	Pittsburgh Steelers	5.56
12	Denver Broncos	5.48
13	Oakland Raiders	5.46
14	Washington Redskins	5.36
15	Jacksonville Jaguars	5.35
16	Tennessee Titans	5.33
17	San Francisco 49ers	5.30
18	Kansas City Chiefs	5.26
19	New York Jets	5.17
20	Minnesota Vikings	5.13
21	Buffalo Bills	5.11
22	Detroit Lions	5.10
23	Baltimore Ravens	5.07
24	Cincinati Bengals	5.06
25	Cleveland Browns	5.00
26	Atlanta Falcons	4.98
27	Miami Dolphins	4.97
28	Chicago Bears	4.95
29	Seattle Seahawks	4.94
30	Arizona Cardinals	4.62
31	St. Louis Rams	4.60
32	Carolina Panthers	4.30

SACKS ALLOWED

1	Indianapolis Colts	16
	New York Giants	16
3	Atlanta Falcons	23
4	New England Patriots	25
5	New Orleans Saints	26
6	Detroit Lions	27
	Tennessee Titans	27
8	Cincinati Bengals	28
	New York Jets	28
10	Tampa Bay Buccaneers	30
11	Dallas Cowboys	32
	Houston Texans	32
	Kansas City Chiefs	32
14	Buffalo Bills	33
15	St. Louis Rams	34
16	Cleveland Browns	35
	Minnesota Vikings	35
	Seattle Seahawks	35
19	Jacksonville Jaguars	37
20	Green Bay Packers	38
	Miami Dolphins	38
	San Diego Chargers	38
23	Baltimore Ravens	40
	Denver Broncos	40
25	Pittsburgh Steelers	43
26	Oakland Raiders	44
	San Francisco 49ers	44
28	Washington Redskins	46
29	Arizona Cardinals	50
	Carolina Panthers	50
	Philadelphia Eagles	50
32	Chicago Bears	55

AVG TIME OF POSSESSION

1	San Diego Chargers	33:02
2	Atlanta Falcons	32:47
3	New York Jets	32:37
4	New York Giants	32:32
5	Pittsburgh Steelers	32:24
6	New Orleans Saints	32:05
7	Green Bay Packers	32:01
8	Oakland Raiders	31:57
9	Jacksonville Jaguars	31:48
10	Kansas City Chiefs	31:38
11	Dallas Cowboys	31:24
12	Baltimore Ravens	31:20
13	Philadelphia Eagles	31:14
14	Cincinati Bengals	31:13
15	St. Louis Rams	31:01
16	Miami Dolphins	30:53
17	Tampa Bay Buccaneers	30:48
18	Minnesota Vikings	30:24
19	Indianapolis Colts	29:54
20	Detroit Lions	29:47
21	Houston Texans	29:32
22	Chicago Bears	29:30
23	New England Patriots	29:24
24	Washington Redskins	28:50
25	San Francisco 49ers	28:46
26	Buffalo Bills	28:35
27	Cleveland Browns	28:21
28	Denver Broncos	28:09
29	Seattle Seahawks	27:32
30	Carolina Panthers	27:24
31	Arizona Cardinals	26:22
32	Tennessee Titans	26:01

3RD DOWN CONVERSION %

1	New Orleans Saints	48.9%
2	New England Patriots	48.2%
3	Atlanta Falcons	46.7%
4	San Diego Chargers	44.9%
5	Indianapolis Colts	44.6%
6	Pittsburgh Steelers	43.1%
7	Tampa Bay Buccaneers	42.2%
8	Green Bay Packers	41.5%
9	Houston Texans	41.2%
10	Dallas Cowboys	40.8%
11	Detroit Lions	40.1%
12	Miami Dolphins	40.0%
13	Jacksonville Jaguars	39.9%
14	Philadelphia Eagles	39.7%
15	New York Jets	39.6%
16	Baltimore Ravens	39.1%
17	Cincinati Bengals	38.8%
18	Buffalo Bills	37.9%
19	Cleveland Browns	37.1%
20	Kansas City Chiefs	36.7%
21	New York Giants	35.9%
22	Seattle Seahawks	35.5%
23	Tennessee Titans	34.8%
24	Minnesota Vikings	34.6%
25	Oakland Raiders	34.1%
26	St. Louis Rams	33.2%
27	Chicago Bears	32.8%
28	Denver Broncos	32.4%
29	San Francisco 49ers	31.9%
30	Carolina Panthers	30.4%
31	Washington Redskins	29.3%
32	Arizona Cardinals	27.8%

> 2010 NFL DEFENSIVE TEAM STATS

YARDS ALLOWED PER GAME

1	San Diego Chargers	271.6
2	Pittsburgh Steelers	276.8
3	New York Jets	291.5
4	New Orleans Saints	306.2
5	Green Bay Packers	309.1
6	Miami Dolphins	309.3
7	New York Giants	310.8
8	Minnesota Vikings	312.6
9	Chicago Bears	314.3
10	Baltimore Ravens	318.9
11	Oakland Raiders	322.8
12	Philadelphia Eagles	327.2
13	San Francisco 49ers	327.8
14	Kansas City Chiefs	330.2
15	Cincinnati Bengals	332.0
16	Atlanta Falcons	332.4
17	Tampa Bay Buccaneers	332.7
18	Carolina Panthers	335.9
19	St. Louis Rams	336.8
20	Indianapolis Colts	341.6
21	Detroit Lions	343.6
22	Cleveland Browns	350.1
23	Dallas Cowboys	351.8
24	Buffalo Bills	361.6
25	New England Patriots	366.5
26	Tennessee Titans	367.7
27	Seattle Seahawks	368.6
28	Jacksonville Jaguars	371.8
29	Arizona Cardinals	373.6
30	Houston Texans	376.9
31	Washington Redskins	389.2
32	Denver Broncos	390.8

POINTS ALLOWED PER GAME

1	Pittsburgh Steelers	14.5
2	Green Bay Packers	15.0
3	Baltimore Ravens	16.9
4	Chicago Bears	17.9
5	Atlanta Falcons	18.0
6	New York Jets	19.0
7	New Orleans Saints	19.2
8	New England Patriots	19.6
9	Tampa Bay Buccaneers	19.9
10	San Diego Chargers	20.1
11	Kansas City Chiefs	20.4
12	St. Louis Rams	20.5
13	Cleveland Browns	20.8
14	Miami Dolphins	20.8
15	Tennessee Titans	21.2
16	San Francisco 49ers	21.6
17	New York Giants	21.7
18	Minnesota Vikings	21.8
19	Detroit Lions	23.1
20	Oakland Raiders	23.2
21	Philadelphia Eagles	23.6
	Washington Redskins	23.6
23	Indianapolis Colts	24.2
24	Cincinnati Bengals	24.7
25	Seattle Seahawks	25.4
26	Carolina Panthers	25.5
27	Jacksonville Jaguars	26.2
28	Buffalo Bills	26.6
29	Houston Texans	26.7
30	Arizona Cardinals	27.1
31	Dallas Cowboys	27.2
32	Denver Broncos	29.4

1ST DOWNS ALLOWED/GAME

1	San Diego Chargers	15.4
2	New York Giants	16.4
3	Miami Dolphins	16.5
4	Green Bay Packers	16.9
5	New York Jets	17.0
	Pittsburgh Steelers	17.0
7	New Orleans Saints	17.1
8	Minnesota Vikings	17.3
9	Chicago Bears	17.6
10	Atlanta Falcons	17.8
	Baltimore Ravens	17.8
12	Cincinnati Bengals	18.1
13	Oakland Raiders	18.3
14	Kansas City Chiefs	18.3
15	San Francisco 49ers	18.7
16	St. Louis Rams	19.0
17	Tampa Bay Buccaneers	19.2
18	Philadelphia Eagles	19.3
19	Dallas Cowboys	19.3
20	Seattle Seahawks	19.6
21	Indianapolis Colts	19.6
22	Jacksonville Jaguars	19.8
23	Cleveland Browns	19.8
24	Detroit Lions	20.0
25	Washington Redskins	20.4
26	Carolina Panthers	20.5
27	Denver Broncos	20.9
28	Buffalo Bills	20.9
29	Arizona Cardinals	21.2
30	New England Patriots	21.8
31	Houston Texans	22.0
32	Tennessee Titans	22.3

QUARTERBACK SACKS

1	Pittsburgh Steelers	48
2	Green Bay Packers	47
	Oakland Raiders	47
	San Diego Chargers	47
5	New York Giants	46
6	Detroit Lions	44
7	St. Louis Rams	43
8	New York Jets	40
	Tennessee Titans	40
10	Kansas City Chiefs	39
	Miami Dolphins	39
	Philadelphia Eagles	39
13	Seattle Seahawks	37
14	New England Patriots	36
	San Francisco 49ers	36
16	Dallas Cowboys	35
17	Chicago Bears	34
18	Arizona Cardinals	33
	New Orleans Saints	33
20	Atlanta Falcons	31
	Carolina Panthers	31
	Minnesota Vikings	31
23	Houston Texans	30
	Indianapolis Colts	30
25	Cleveland Browns	29
	Washington Redskins	29
27	Baltimore Ravens	27
	Buffalo Bills	27
	Cincinnati Bengals	27
30	Jacksonville Jaguars	26
	Tampa Bay Buccaneers	26
32	Denver Broncos	23

FUMBLE RECOVERIES

1	New York Giants	23
2	New York Jets	18
3	New Orleans Saints	16
4	Detroit Lions	15
5	Chicago Bears	14
	Pittsburgh Steelers	14
7	Arizona Cardinals	13
	New England Patriots	13
	Washington Redskins	13
10	Carolina Panthers	12
	Oakland Raiders	12
	St. Louis Rams	12
13	Buffalo Bills	11
	Indianapolis Colts	11
	Philadelphia Eagles	11
16	Cincinnati Bengals	10
	Dallas Cowboys	10
	Minnesota Vikings	10
	Seattle Seahawks	10
20	Atlanta Falcons	9
	Cleveland Browns	9
	Kansas City Chiefs	9
	Tampa Bay Buccaneers	9
24	Baltimore Ravens	8
	Denver Broncos	8
	Green Bay Packers	8
	Miami Dolphins	8
	Tennessee Titans	8
29	San Francisco 49ers	7
30	San Diego Chargers	6
31	Houston Texans	5
	Jacksonville Jaguars	5

INTERCEPTIONS

1	New England Patriots	25
2	Green Bay Packers	24
3	Philadelphia Eagles	23
4	Atlanta Falcons	22
5	Chicago Bears	21
	Pittsburgh Steelers	21
7	Dallas Cowboys	20
8	Baltimore Ravens	19
	Cleveland Browns	19
	Tampa Bay Buccaneers	19
11	Arizona Cardinals	17
	Carolina Panthers	17
	Tennessee Titans	17
14	Cincinnati Bengals	16
	New York Giants	16
	San Diego Chargers	16
17	Minnesota Vikings	15
	San Francisco 49ers	15
19	Detroit Lions	14
	Kansas City Chiefs	14
	St. Louis Rams	14
	Washington Redskins	14
23	Houston Texans	13
	Jacksonville Jaguars	13
25	New York Jets	12
	Oakland Raiders	12
	Seattle Seahawks	12
28	Buffalo Bills	11
	Miami Dolphins	11
30	Denver Broncos	10
	Indianapolis Colts	10
32	New Orleans Saints	9

> 2011 AFC TEAM-BY-TEAM SCHEDULES

BUFFALO BILLS

DATE	OPPONENT	TIME
Sept. 11	at Kansas City	1:00 p.m.
Sept. 18	Oakland	1:00 p.m.
Sept. 25	New England	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 2	at Cincinnati	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 9	Philadelphia	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 16	at N.Y. Giants	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 23	BYE	
Oct. 30	Washington at Toronto	4:05 p.m.
Nov. 6	N.Y. Jets	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 13	at Dallas	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 20	at Miami	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 27	at N.Y. Jets	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 4	Tennessee	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 11	at San Diego	4:15 p.m.
Dec. 18	Miami	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 24	Denver	1:00 p.m.
Jan. 1	at New England	1:00 p.m.

MIAMI DOLPHINS

DATE	OPPONENT	TIME
Sept. 12	New England	7:00 p.m.
Sept. 18	Houston	4:15 p.m.
Sept. 25	at Cleveland	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 2	at San Diego	4:15 p.m.
Oct. 9	BYE	
Oct. 17	at N.Y. Jets	8:30 p.m.
Oct. 23	Denver	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 30	at N.Y. Giants	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 6	at Kansas City	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 13	Washington	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 20	Buffalo	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 24	at Dallas	4:15 p.m.
Dec. 4	Oakland	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 11	Philadelphia	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 18	at Buffalo	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 24	at New England	1:00 p.m.
Jan. 1	N.Y. Jets	1:00 p.m.

NEW ENGLAND PATRIOTS

DATE	OPPONENT	TIME
Sept. 12	at Miami	7:00 p.m.
Sept. 18	San Diego	4:15 p.m.
Sept. 25	at Buffalo	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 2	at Oakland	4:15 p.m.
Oct. 9	N.Y. Jets	4:15 p.m.
Oct. 16	Dallas	4:15 p.m.
Oct. 23	BYE	
Oct. 30	at Pittsburgh	4:15 p.m.
Nov. 6	N.Y. Giants	4:15 p.m.
Nov. 13	at N.Y. Jets	8:20 p.m.
Nov. 21	Kansas City	8:30 p.m.
Nov. 27	at Philadelphia	4:15 p.m.
Dec. 4	Indianapolis	8:20 p.m.
Dec. 11	at Washington	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 18	at Denver	4:15 p.m.
Dec. 24	Miami	1:00 p.m.
Jan. 1	Buffalo	1:00 p.m.

NEW YORK JETS

DATE	OPPONENT	TIME
Sept. 11	Dallas	8:20 p.m.
Sept. 18	Jacksonville	1:00 p.m.
Sept. 25	at Oakland	4:05 p.m.
Oct. 2	at Baltimore	8:20 p.m.
Oct. 9	at New England	4:15 p.m.
Oct. 17	Miami	8:30 p.m.
Oct. 23	San Diego	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 30	BYE	
Nov. 6	at Buffalo	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 13	New England	8:20 p.m.
Nov. 17	at Denver	8:20 p.m.
Nov. 27	Buffalo	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 4	at Washington	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 11	Kansas City	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 18	at Philadelphia	4:15 p.m.
Dec. 24	Giants	1:00 p.m.
Jan. 1	at Miami	1:00 p.m.

BALTIMORE RAVENS

DATE	OPPONENT	TIME
Sept. 11	Pittsburgh	1:00 p.m.
Sept. 18	at Tennessee	1:00 p.m.
Sept. 25	at St. Louis	4:05 p.m.
Oct. 2	N.Y. Jets	8:20 p.m.
Oct. 9	BYE	
Oct. 16	Houston	4:05 p.m.
Oct. 24	at Jacksonville	8:30 p.m.
Oct. 30	Arizona	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 6	at Pittsburgh	8:20 p.m.
Nov. 13	at Seattle	4:05 p.m.
Nov. 20	Cincinnati	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 24	San Francisco	8:20 p.m.
Dec. 4	at Cleveland	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 11	Indianapolis	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 18	at San Diego	8:20 p.m.
Dec. 24	Cleveland	1:00 p.m.
Jan. 1	at Cincinnati	1:00 p.m.

CINCINNATI BENGALS

DATE	OPPONENT	TIME
Sept. 11	at Cleveland	1:00 p.m.
Sept. 18	at Denver	4:15 p.m.
Sept. 25	San Francisco	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 2	Buffalo	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 9	at Jacksonville	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 16	Indianapolis	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 23	BYE	
Oct. 30	at Seattle	4:15 p.m.
Nov. 6	at Tennessee	4:05 p.m.
Nov. 13	Pittsburgh	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 20	at Baltimore	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 27	Cleveland	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 4	at Pittsburgh	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 11	Houston	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 18	at St. Louis	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 24	Arizona	1:00 p.m.
Jan. 1	Baltimore	1:00 p.m.

CLEVELAND BROWNS

DATE	OPPONENT	TIME
Sept. 11	Cincinnati	1:00 p.m.
Sept. 18	at Indianapolis	1:00 p.m.
Sept. 25	Miami	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 2	Tennessee	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 9	BYE	
Oct. 16	at Oakland	4:05 p.m.
Oct. 23	Seattle	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 30	at San Francisco	4:15 p.m.
Nov. 6	at Houston	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 13	St. Louis	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 20	Jacksonville	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 27	at Cincinnati	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 4	Baltimore	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 8	at Pittsburgh	8:20 p.m.
Dec. 18	at Arizona	4:15 p.m.
Dec. 24	at Baltimore	1:00 p.m.
Jan. 1	Pittsburgh	1:00 p.m.

PITTSBURGH STEELERS

DATE	OPPONENT	TIME
Sept. 11	at Baltimore	1:00 p.m.
Sept. 18	Seattle	1:00 p.m.
Sept. 25	at Indianapolis	8:20 p.m.
Oct. 2	at Houston	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 9	Tennessee	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 16	Jacksonville	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 23	at Arizona	4:05 p.m.
Oct. 30	New England	4:15 p.m.
Nov. 6	Baltimore	8:20 p.m.
Nov. 13	at Cincinnati	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 20	BYE	
Nov. 27	at Kansas City	8:20 p.m.
Dec. 4	Cincinnati	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 8	Cleveland	8:20 p.m.
Dec. 19	at San Francisco	8:30 p.m.
Dec. 24	St. Louis	1:00 p.m.
Jan. 1	at Cleveland	1:00 p.m.

HOUSTON TEXANS

DATE	OPPONENT	TIME
Sept. 11	Indianapolis	1:00 p.m.
Sept. 18	at Miami	4:15 p.m.
Sept. 25	at New Orleans	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 2	Pittsburgh	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 9	Oakland	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 16	at Baltimore	4:05 p.m.
Oct. 23	at Tennessee	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 30	Jacksonville	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 6	Cleveland	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 13	at Tampa Bay	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 20	BYE	
Nov. 27	at Jacksonville	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 4	Atlanta	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 11	at Cincinnati	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 18	Carolina	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 22	at Indianapolis	8:20 p.m.
Jan. 1	Tennessee	1:00 p.m.

INDIANAPOLIS COLTS

DATE	OPPONENT	TIME
Sept. 11	at Houston	1:00 p.m.
Sept. 18	Cleveland	1:00 p.m.
Sept. 25	Pittsburgh	8:20 p.m.
Oct. 3	at Tampa Bay	8:30 p.m.
Oct. 9	Kansas City	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 16	at Cincinnati	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 23	at New Orleans	8:20 p.m.
Oct. 30	at Tennessee	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 6	Atlanta	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 13	Jacksonville	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 20	BYE	
Nov. 27	Carolina	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 4	at New England	8:20 p.m.
Dec. 11	at Baltimore	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 18	Tennessee	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 22	Houston	8:20 p.m.
Jan. 1	at Jacksonville	1:00 p.m.

JACKSONVILLE JAGUARS

DATE	OPPONENT	TIME
Sept. 11	Tennessee	1:00 p.m.
Sept. 18	at N.Y. Jets	1:00 p.m.
Sept. 25	at Carolina	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 2	New Orleans	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 9	Cincinnati	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 16	at Pittsburgh	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 24	Baltimore	8:30 p.m.
Oct. 30	at Houston	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 6	BYE	
Nov. 13	at Indianapolis	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 20	at Cleveland	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 27	Houston	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 5	San Diego	8:30 p.m.
Dec. 11	Tampa Bay	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 15	at Atlanta	8:20 p.m.
Dec. 24	at Tennessee	1:00 p.m.
Jan. 1	Indianapolis	1:00 p.m.

TENNESSEE TITANS

DATE	OPPONENT	TIME
Sept. 11	at Jacksonville	1:00 p.m.
Sept. 18	Baltimore	1:00 p.m.
Sept. 25	Denver	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 2	at Cleveland	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 9	at Pittsburgh	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 16	BYE	
Oct. 23	Houston	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 30	Indianapolis	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 6	Cincinnati	4:05 p.m.
Nov. 13	at Carolina	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 20	at Atlanta	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 27	Tampa Bay	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 4	at Buffalo	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 11	New Orleans	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 18	at Indianapolis	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 24	Jacksonville	1:00 p.m.
Jan. 1	at Houston	1:00 p.m.

DENVER BRONCOS

DATE	OPPONENT	TIME
Sept. 12	Oakland	10:15 p.m.
Sept. 18	Cincinnati	4:15 p.m.
Sept. 25	at Tennessee	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 2	at Green Bay	4:15 p.m.
Oct. 9	San Diego	4:15 p.m.
Oct. 16	BYE	
Oct. 23	at Miami	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 30	Detroit	4:05 p.m.
Nov. 6	at Oakland	4:05 p.m.
Nov. 13	at Kansas City	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 17	N.Y. Jets	8:20 p.m.
Nov. 27	at San Diego	4:15 p.m.
Dec. 4	at Minnesota	4:05 p.m.
Dec. 11	Chicago	4:05 p.m.
Dec. 18	New England	4:15 p.m.
Dec. 24	at Buffalo	1:00 p.m.
Jan. 1	Kansas City	4:15 p.m.

KANSAS CITY CHIEFS

DATE	OPPONENT	TIME
Sept. 11	Buffalo	1:00 p.m.
Sept. 18	at Detroit	1:00 p.m.
Sept. 25	at San Diego	4:05 p.m.
Oct. 2	Minnesota	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 9	at Indianapolis	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 16	BYE	
Oct. 23	at Oakland	4:05 p.m.
Oct. 31	San Diego	8:30 p.m.
Nov. 6	Miami	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 13	Denver	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 21	at New England	8:30 p.m.
Nov. 27	Pittsburgh	8:20 p.m.
Dec. 4	at Chicago	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 11	at N.Y. Jets	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 18	Green Bay	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 24	Oakland	1:00 p.m.
Jan. 1	at Denver	4:15 p.m.

OAKLAND RAIDERS

DATE	OPPONENT	TIME
Sept. 12	at Denver	10:15 p.m.
Sept. 18	at Buffalo	1:00 p.m.
Sept. 25	N.Y. Jets	4:05 p.m.
Oct. 2	New England	4:15 p.m.
Oct. 9	at Houston	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 16	Cleveland	4:05 p.m.
Oct. 23	Kansas City	4:05 p.m.
Oct. 30	BYE	
Nov. 6	Denver	4:05 p.m.
Nov. 10	at San Diego	8:20 p.m.
Nov. 20	at Minnesota	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 27	Chicago	4:05 p.m.
Dec. 4	at Miami	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 11	at Green Bay	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 18	Detroit	4:05 p.m.
Dec. 24	at Kansas City	1:00 p.m.
Jan. 1	San Diego	4:15 p.m.

SAN DIEGO CHARGERS

DATE	OPPONENT	TIME
Sept. 11	Minnesota	4:15 p.m.
Sept. 18	at New England	4:15 p.m.
Sept. 25	Kansas City	4:05 p.m.
Oct. 2	Miami	4:15 p.m.
Oct. 9	at Denver	4:15 p.m.
Oct. 16	BYE	
Oct. 23	at N.Y. Jets	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 31	at Kansas City	8:30 p.m.
Nov. 6	Green Bay	4:15 p.m.
Nov. 10	Oakland	8:20 p.m.
Nov. 20	at Chicago	4:15 p.m.
Nov. 27	Denver	4:15 p.m.
Dec. 5	at Jacksonville	8:30 p.m.
Dec. 11	Buffalo	4:15 p.m.
Dec. 18	Baltimore	8:20 p.m.
Dec. 24	at Detroit	4:05 p.m.
Jan. 1	at Oakland	4:15 p.m.

> 2011 NFC TEAM-BY-TEAM SCHEDULES

DALLAS COWBOYS

DATE	OPPONENT	TIME
Sept. 11	at N.Y. Jets	8:20 p.m.
Sept. 18	at San Francisco	4:05 p.m.
Sept. 26	Washington	8:30 p.m.
Oct. 2	Detroit	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 9	BYE	
Oct. 16	at New England	4:15 p.m.
Oct. 23	St. Louis	4:15 p.m.
Oct. 30	at Philadelphia	8:20 p.m.
Nov. 6	Seattle	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 13	Buffalo	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 20	at Washington	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 24	Miami	4:15 p.m.
Dec. 4	at Arizona	4:15 p.m.
Dec. 11	N.Y. Giants	8:20 p.m.
Dec. 17	at Tampa Bay	8:20 p.m.
Dec. 24	Philadelphia	4:15 p.m.
Jan. 1	at N.Y. Giants	1:00 p.m.

CHICAGO BEARS

DATE	OPPONENT	TIME
Sept. 11	Atlanta	1:00 p.m.
Sept. 18	at New Orleans	1:00 p.m.
Sept. 25	Green Bay	4:15 p.m.
Oct. 2	Carolina	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 10	at Detroit	8:30 p.m.
Oct. 16	Minnesota	8:20 p.m.
Oct. 23	Tampa Bay at London	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 30	BYE	
Nov. 7	at Philadelphia	8:30 p.m.
Nov. 13	Detroit	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 20	San Diego	4:15 p.m.
Nov. 27	at Oakland	4:05 p.m.
Dec. 4	Kansas City	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 11	at Denver	4:05 p.m.
Dec. 18	Seattle	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 25	at Green Bay	8:20 p.m.
Jan. 1	at Minnesota	1:00 p.m.

ATLANTA FALCONS

DATE	OPPONENT	TIME
Sept. 11	at Chicago	1:00 p.m.
Sept. 18	Philadelphia	8:20 p.m.
Sept. 25	at Tampa Bay	4:15 p.m.
Oct. 2	at Seattle	4:05 p.m.
Oct. 9	Green Bay	8:20 p.m.
Oct. 16	Carolina	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 23	at Detroit	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 30	BYE	
Nov. 6	at Indianapolis	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 13	New Orleans	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 20	Tennessee	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 27	Minnesota	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 4	at Houston	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 11	at Carolina	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 15	Jacksonville	8:20 p.m.
Dec. 26	at New Orleans	8:30 p.m.
Jan. 1	Tampa Bay	1:00 p.m.

ARIZONA CARDINALS

DATE	OPPONENT	TIME
Sept. 11	Carolina	4:15 p.m.
Sept. 18	at Washington	1:00 p.m.
Sept. 25	at Seattle	4:15 p.m.
Oct. 2	N.Y. Giants	4:05 p.m.
Oct. 9	at Minnesota	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 16	BYE	
Oct. 23	Pittsburgh	4:05 p.m.
Oct. 30	at Baltimore	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 6	St. Louis	4:15 p.m.
Nov. 13	at Philadelphia	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 20	at San Francisco	4:05 p.m.
Nov. 27	at St. Louis	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 4	Dallas	4:15 p.m.
Dec. 11	San Francisco	4:05 p.m.
Dec. 18	Cleveland	4:15 p.m.
Dec. 24	at Cincinnati	1:00 p.m.
Jan. 1	Seattle	4:15 p.m.

NEW YORK GIANTS

DATE	OPPONENT	TIME
Sept. 11	at Washington	4:15 p.m.
Sept. 19	St. Louis	8:30 p.m.
Sept. 25	at Philadelphia	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 2	at Arizona	4:05 p.m.
Oct. 9	Seattle	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 16	Buffalo	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 23	BYE	
Oct. 30	Miami	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 6	at New England	4:15 p.m.
Nov. 13	at San Francisco	4:15 p.m.
Nov. 20	Philadelphia	8:20 p.m.
Nov. 28	at New Orleans	8:30 p.m.
Dec. 4	Green Bay	4:15 p.m.
Dec. 11	at Dallas	8:20 p.m.
Dec. 18	Washington	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 24	at N.Y. Jets	1:00 p.m.
Jan. 1	Dallas	1:00 p.m.

DETROIT LIONS

DATE	OPPONENT	TIME
Sept. 11	at Tampa Bay	1:00 p.m.
Sept. 18	Kansas City	1:00 p.m.
Sept. 25	at Minnesota	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 2	at Dallas	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 10	Chicago	8:30 p.m.
Oct. 16	San Francisco	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 23	Atlanta	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 30	at Denver	4:05 p.m.
Nov. 6	BYE	
Nov. 13	at Chicago	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 20	Carolina	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 24	Green Bay	12:30 p.m.
Dec. 4	at New Orleans	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 11	Minnesota	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 18	at Oakland	4:05 p.m.
Dec. 24	San Diego	4:05 p.m.
Jan. 1	at Green Bay	1:00 p.m.

CAROLINA PANTHERS

DATE	OPPONENT	TIME
Sept. 11	at Arizona	4:15 p.m.
Sept. 18	Green Bay	1:00 p.m.
Sept. 25	Jacksonville	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 2	at Chicago	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 9	New Orleans	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 16	at Atlanta	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 23	Washington	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 30	Minnesota	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 6	BYE	
Nov. 13	Tennessee	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 20	at Detroit	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 27	at Indianapolis	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 4	at Tampa Bay	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 11	Atlanta	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 18	at Houston	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 24	Tampa Bay	1:00 p.m.
Jan. 1	at New Orleans	1:00 p.m.

SAINT LOUIS RAMS

DATE	OPPONENT	TIME
Sept. 11	Philadelphia	1:00 p.m.
Sept. 19	at N.Y. Giants	8:30 p.m.
Sept. 25	Baltimore	4:05 p.m.
Oct. 2	Washington	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 9	BYE	
Oct. 16	at Green Bay	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 23	at Dallas	4:15 p.m.
Oct. 30	New Orleans	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 6	at Arizona	4:15 p.m.
Nov. 13	at Cleveland	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 20	Seattle	4:05 p.m.
Nov. 27	Arizona	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 4	at San Francisco	4:15 p.m.
Dec. 12	at Seattle	8:30 p.m.
Dec. 18	Cincinnati	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 24	at Pittsburgh	1:00 p.m.
Jan. 1	San Francisco	1:00 p.m.

PHILADELPHIA EAGLES

DATE	OPPONENT	TIME
Sept. 11	at St. Louis	1:00 p.m.
Sept. 18	at Atlanta	8:20 p.m.
Sept. 25	N.Y. Giants	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 2	San Francisco	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 9	at Buffalo	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 16	at Washington	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 23	BYE	
Oct. 30	Dallas	8:20 p.m.
Nov. 7	Chicago	8:30 p.m.
Nov. 13	Arizona	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 20	at N.Y. Giants	8:20 p.m.
Nov. 27	New England	4:15 p.m.
Dec. 1	at Seattle	8:20 p.m.
Dec. 11	at Miami	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 18	N.Y. Jets	4:15 p.m.
Dec. 24	at Dallas	4:15 p.m.
Jan. 1	Washington	1:00 p.m.

GREEN BAY PACKERS

DATE	OPPONENT	TIME
Sept. 8	New Orleans	8:30 p.m.
Sept. 18	at Carolina	1:00 p.m.
Sept. 25	at Chicago	4:15 p.m.
Oct. 2	Denver	4:15 p.m.
Oct. 9	at Atlanta	8:20 p.m.
Oct. 16	St. Louis	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 23	at Minnesota	4:15 p.m.
Oct. 30	BYE	
Nov. 6	at San Diego	4:15 p.m.
Nov. 14	Minnesota	8:30 p.m.
Nov. 20	Tampa Bay	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 24	at Detroit	12:30 p.m.
Dec. 4	at N.Y. Giants	4:15 p.m.
Dec. 11	Oakland	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 18	at Kansas City	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 25	Chicago	8:20 p.m.
Jan. 1	Detroit	1:00 p.m.

NEW ORLEANS SAINTS

DATE	OPPONENT	TIME
Sept. 8	at Green Bay	8:30 p.m.
Sept. 18	Chicago	1:00 p.m.
Sept. 25	Houston	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 2	at Jacksonville	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 9	at Carolina	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 16	at Tampa Bay	4:15 p.m.
Oct. 23	Indianapolis	8:20 p.m.
Oct. 30	at St. Louis	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 6	Tampa Bay	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 13	at Atlanta	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 20	BYE	
Nov. 28	N.Y. Giants	8:30 p.m.
Dec. 4	Detroit	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 11	at Tennessee	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 18	at Minnesota	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 26	Atlanta	8:30 p.m.
Jan. 1	Carolina	1:00 p.m.

SAN FRANCISCO 49ERS

DATE	OPPONENT	TIME
Sept. 11	Seattle	4:15 p.m.
Sept. 18	Dallas	4:05 p.m.
Sept. 25	at Cincinnati	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 2	at Philadelphia	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 9	Tampa Bay	4:05 p.m.
Oct. 16	at Detroit	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 23	BYE	
Oct. 30	Cleveland	4:15 p.m.
Nov. 6	at Washington	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 13	N.Y. Giants	4:15 p.m.
Nov. 20	Arizona	4:05 p.m.
Nov. 24	at Baltimore	8:20 p.m.
Dec. 4	St. Louis	4:15 p.m.
Dec. 11	at Arizona	4:05 p.m.
Dec. 19	Pittsburgh	8:30 p.m.
Dec. 24	at Seattle	4:15 p.m.
Jan. 1	at St. Louis	1:00 p.m.

WASHINGTON REDSKINS

DATE	OPPONENT	TIME
Sept. 11	N.Y. Giants	4:15 p.m.
Sept. 18	Arizona	1:00 p.m.
Sept. 26	at Dallas	8:30 p.m.
Oct. 2	at St. Louis	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 9	BYE	
Oct. 16	Philadelphia	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 23	at Carolina	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 30	Buffalo at Toronto	4:05 p.m.
Nov. 6	San Francisco	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 13	at Miami	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 20	Dallas	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 27	at Seattle	4:05 p.m.
Dec. 4	N.Y. Jets	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 11	New England	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 18	at N.Y. Giants	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 24	Minnesota	1:00 p.m.
Jan. 1	at Philadelphia	1:00 p.m.

MINNESOTA VIKINGS

DATE	OPPONENT	TIME
Sept. 11	at San Diego	4:15 p.m.
Sept. 18	Tampa Bay	1:00 p.m.
Sept. 25	Detroit	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 2	at Kansas City	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 9	Arizona	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 16	at Chicago	8:20 p.m.
Oct. 23	Green Bay	4:15 p.m.
Oct. 30	at Carolina	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 6	BYE	
Nov. 14	at Green Bay	8:30 p.m.
Nov. 20	Oakland	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 27	at Atlanta	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 4	Denver	4:05 p.m.
Dec. 11	at Detroit	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 18	New Orleans	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 24	at Washington	1:00 p.m.
Jan. 1	Chicago	1:00 p.m.

TAMPA BAY BUCCANEERS

DATE	OPPONENT	TIME
Sept. 11	Detroit	1:00 p.m.
Sept. 18	at Minnesota	1:00 p.m.
Sept. 25	Atlanta	4:15 p.m.
Oct. 3	Indianapolis	8:30 p.m.
Oct. 9	at San Francisco	4:05 p.m.
Oct. 16	New Orleans	4:15 p.m.
Oct. 23	Chicago at London	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 30	BYE	
Nov. 6	at New Orleans	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 13	Houston	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 20	at Green Bay	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 27	at Tennessee	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 4	Carolina	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 11	at Jacksonville	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 17	Dallas	8:20 p.m.
Dec. 24	at Carolina	1:00 p.m.
Jan. 1	at Atlanta	1:00 p.m.

SEATTLE SEAHAWKS

DATE	OPPONENT	TIME
Sept. 11	at San Francisco	4:15 p.m.
Sept. 18	at Pittsburgh	1:00 p.m.
Sept. 25	Arizona	4:15 p.m.
Oct. 2	Atlanta	4:05 p.m.
Oct. 9	at N.Y. Giants	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 16	BYE	
Oct. 23	at Cleveland	1:00 p.m.
Oct. 30	Cincinnati	4:15 p.m.
Nov. 6	at Dallas	1:00 p.m.
Nov. 13	Baltimore	4:05 p.m.
Nov. 20	at St. Louis	4:05 p.m.
Nov. 27	Washington	4:05 p.m.
Dec. 1	Philadelphia	8:20 p.m.
Dec. 12	St. Louis	8:30 p.m.
Dec. 18	at Chicago	1:00 p.m.
Dec. 24	San Francisco	4:15 p.m.
Jan. 1	at Arizona	4:15 p.m.

1-877-2Bet-DSI **Introducing Diamond's New Phone Site** www.BetDSI.eu

Why mobile? Because sometimes life and the game go off the board at the same time.



Simply access our site using your phone browser - no download! Place bets with the tap of a finger from anywhere, track open bets, account history and redeem BetPoints.



Get down on your favorite game.



Redeem your BetPoints for cash, gift cards, and rewards.



Check your Open Bets and Account History.

BetDSI
It's Only A Game. Until You Bet It

Go to http://m.2betdsi.com using your phone

Start Placing Your Winning Bets Today!

Go online or call toll free and open your account today. **1-877-223-8374**

MONTHLY BREAKDOWN

An analysis of how college teams have recently fared at different points in the season

Tracking monthly records has proven to be a valuable tool for us at StatFox.com. On the following four pages, we provide results from our game-log compilation of all 120 FBS schools dating back to 2007. This gives us a healthy four-year span of SU and ATS records broken down by month. Although we did add a handful of August season openers to our September statistics, we did not think it was justified to lump in the small sample of December regular-season games to the November statistics.

AUGUST/SEPTEMBER


The best ATS teams in the first month of the season were somewhat surprising as Central Michigan, Temple and Vanderbilt—not exactly your traditional powerhouses—all check in at 75% ATS. Central Michigan is 7-1 ATS as a favorite in this stretch, but the Chippewas will only be favored once this September (vs. S. Carolina St) with road games at Kentucky, Western Michigan and Michigan State. Temple is 9-2 ATS as an underdog despite a 1-10 SU mark in these contests. Vanderbilt is 9-3 ATS in September, but 10-23 ATS (30%) in October and November. The Commodores are a perfect 5-0 ATS in September non-conference games.

The bottom ATS schools in September were not shocking. Rutgers is actually 9-6 SU in the season's first month, but the Scarlet Knights are 0-9 ATS as a favorite in their past nine September tilts. New Mexico State and New Mexico are a combined 4-20 ATS (17%) when you don't count head-to-head meetings (UNM is 2-1 ATS vs. NMSU). Washington State is just 4-13 ATS in September, but all those big losses have led to over-inflated spreads in October/November where the Cougars are 18-12 ATS (60%).

OCTOBER

The O is for October as Oregon State, Oregon and Oklahoma State top the list of best October ATS records. The Beavers are an incredible 13-1 ATS, going 7-0 ATS as an underdog. Since their lone SU October defeat on 10/4/08 at USC, the Ducks have scored 46.8 PPG in their eight games in the month (all vs. conference opponents), making the Over 6-2 in these contests. The Cowboys are a perfect 8-0 ATS on the road in October, winning six of those games outright. Pittsburgh has an 11-4 SU, 11-3 ATS mark and also matches Oklahoma State with a perfect road ATS (6-0) in October.

Only five FBS schools carry an October ATS record below 30 percent. FBS-worst Washington has allowed 38.2 PPG in October, prompting the Over to occur in 10 of these 15 contests. Western Kentucky (3-11 SU) and Akron (3-12 SU) both have terrible W-L records, but BYU has a strong 10-4 SU mark for the month. As bad as Michigan has been this month, wait until you see November.



As bad as Denard Robinson's Michigan Wolverines have been in recent Octobers, they've been even worse in recent Novembers.

NOVEMBER

November is traditionally where the elite shine both SU and ATS, as the top nine on this list are a combined 112-22 SU (84%) and 100-30 ATS (77%) in November. Three schools are above 80% ATS. Ohio State has benefitted from four games versus Michigan in November, outscoring its rival 114 to 27 in these four contests. Arkansas has played LSU, Mississippi State and South Carolina in all four Novembers of our study, and will do so again in 2011. The Razorbacks are 9-3 SU and 10-2 ATS in these dozen meetings. Virginia Tech only has one SU defeat in the 11th month, by not allowing more than 21 points to any November opponent (12.2 PPG allowed). The Under is 12-4 in these contests.

The betting public is sure sad to see Rich Rodriguez get fired at Michigan due to all the easy money he provided astute bettors. The Wolverines were a comical 5-20 SU and 3-22 ATS (12%) in post-September games under Rodriguez. Three schools clock in at 25% ATS in November, including LSU who is 2-9-1 ATS against their three November SEC foes (Alabama, Arkansas and Ole Miss). Arkansas State is 1-8 ATS in their past nine during the month and Idaho is 1-12 SU in November, allowing 45+ points in seven of these defeats.

ATS RECORDS IN AUGUST/SEPTEMBER GAMES: 2007-2010

1	Central Michigan	12–4 (10–8 SU) 75%
	Temple	12–4 (5–12 SU) 75%
	Vanderbilt	9–3 (10–5 SU) 75%
4	Arizona St	10–4 (11–5 SU) 71%
	Central Florida	10–4 (8–8 SU) 71%
	Kentucky	10–4 (14–2 SU) 71%
	Missouri	10–4 (16–0 SU) 71%
8	California	12–5 (13–4 SU) 71%
9	Indiana	9–4 (12–4 SU) 69%
	Kansas	9–4 (13–3 SU) 69%
11	Connecticut	11–5 (15–3 SU) 69%
	UCLA	11–5 (10–6 SU) 69%
13	Boise St	8–4 (13–1 SU) 67%
	Bowling Green	10–5 (7–9 SU) 67%
	Oklahoma	10–5 (14–2 SU) 67%
	Oklahoma St	10–5 (14–3 SU) 67%
	Oregon	12–6 (15–3 SU) 67%
	South Carolina	10–5 (13–5 SU) 67%
19	Alabama	11–6 (16–2 SU) 65%
20	Ball St	10–6 (9–9 SU) 63%
	Iowa	10–6 (12–6 SU) 63%
	Ohio Univ.	10–6 (6–12 SU) 63%
23	Air Force	8–5 (12–5 SU) 62%
24	Cincinnati	9–6 (13–4 SU) 60%
	Duke	9–6 (7–10 SU) 60%
	Florida	9–6 (15–2 SU) 60%
	Florida International	9–6 (1–14 SU) 60%
	Northern Illinois	9–6 (7–10 SU) 60%
	Tulsa	9–6 (12–4 SU) 60%
30	Stanford	10–7 (11–6 SU) 59%
31	Baylor	8–6 (10–6 SU) 57%
	Colorado	8–6 (9–6 SU) 57%
	Houston	8–6 (10–6 SU) 57%
	Iowa St	8–6 (8–9 SU) 57%
	Miami-FL	8–6 (10–5 SU) 57%
	San Diego St	8–6 (6–10 SU) 57%
37	Idaho	9–7 (7–11 SU) 56%
	Michigan	9–7 (13–4 SU) 56%
	NC State	9–7 (10–8 SU) 56%
	Ohio St	9–7 (16–2 SU) 56%
	TCU	9–7 (14–3 SU) 56%
	Troy	9–7 (9–8 SU) 56%
43	Akron	10–8 (5–13 SU) 56%
44	Texas Tech	6–5 (12–4 SU) 55%
45	Boston College	7–6 (13–3 SU) 54%
	Hawaii	7–6 (10–7 SU) 54%
	Louisiana Tech	7–6 (6–9 SU) 54%
	North Carolina	7–6 (8–8 SU) 54%
	South Florida	7–6 (15–1 SU) 54%
	Virginia	7–6 (7–8 SU) 54%
51	Mississippi St	8–7 (8–10 SU) 53%
52	Michigan St	9–8 (13–5 SU) 53%
	UNLV	9–8 (8–10 SU) 53%
54	Arizona	8–8 (12–5 SU) 50%
	Arkansas St	7–7 (7–9 SU) 50%
	BYU	8–8 (11–6 SU) 50%
	Florida Atlantic	8–8 (5–11 SU) 50%
	Florida St	7–7 (11–5 SU) 50%
	Georgia Tech	7–7 (11–6 SU) 50%
	LA Lafayette	7–7 (4–12 SU) 50%
	San Jose St	8–8 (7–11 SU) 50%
	West Virginia	7–7 (11–5 SU) 50%
63	Middle Tennessee St	8–9 (8–10 SU) 47%
	Nebraska	8–9 (14–3 SU) 47%
	Syracuse	8–9 (7–11 SU) 47%
	Texas	8–9 (15–2 SU) 47%
	Toledo	8–9 (7–10 SU) 47%
68	Army	7–8 (7–10 SU) 47%
	Georgia	7–8 (12–6 SU) 47%
	LSU	7–8 (17–0 SU) 47%
	Memphis	7–8 (5–12 SU) 47%
	Minnesota	7–8 (9–9 SU) 47%
	Ole Miss	7–8 (8–9 SU) 47%
	Texas A&M	7–8 (12–4 SU) 47%
	UAB	7–8 (4–13 SU) 47%
76	Fresno St	6–7 (8–7 SU) 46%
	Illinois	6–7 (9–6 SU) 46%
	Kansas St	6–7 (12–4 SU) 46%
	Nevada	6–7 (8–7 SU) 46%
	Purdue	6–7 (10–7 SU) 46%
	Tulane	6–7 (5–9 SU) 46%
82	Auburn	8–10 (15–3 SU) 44%
	Buffalo	8–10 (5–13 SU) 44%
84	Maryland	7–9 (11–7 SU) 44%
	Utah	7–9 (14–4 SU) 44%
	Utah St	7–9 (3–13 SU) 44%
	Wake Forest	7–9 (9–7 SU) 44%
	Washington	7–9 (5–11 SU) 44%
89	Clemson	6–8 (11–6 SU) 43%
	LA Monroe	6–8 (4–11 SU) 43%
	SMU	6–8 (6–11 SU) 43%
	Southern Miss	6–8 (10–6 SU) 43%
	USC	6–8 (13–2 SU) 43%
94	Colorado St	6–9 (6–10 SU) 40%
	Wyoming	6–9 (8–9 SU) 40%
96	Kent St	5–8 (7–10 SU) 38%
97	East Carolina	6–10 (9–8 SU) 38%
	Miami Ohio	6–10 (5–12 SU) 38%
	Navy	6–10 (10–7 SU) 38%
	North Texas	6–10 (2–14 SU) 38%
	Rice	6–10 (4–13 SU) 38%
	Tennessee	6–10 (7–9 SU) 38%
	UTEP	6–10 (8–9 SU) 38%
	Virginia Tech	6–10 (13–5 SU) 38%
	Wisconsin	6–10 (16–1 SU) 38%
106	Western Kentucky	4–7 (5–13 SU) 36%
107	Marshall	5–9 (7–10 SU) 36%
	Oregon St	5–9 (7–9 SU) 36%
109	Penn St	6–11 (14–4 SU) 35%
110	Louisville	4–8 (7–8 SU) 33%
	Northwestern	5–10 (13–5 SU) 33%
112	Notre Dame	5–11 (7–10 SU) 31%
113	Arkansas	4–9 (8–7 SU) 31%
	Pittsburgh	4–9 (9–7 SU) 31%
115	Eastern Michigan	4–11 (3–14 SU) 27%
	Western Michigan	4–11 (9–8 SU) 27%
117	New Mexico	4–13 (5–13 SU) 24%
	Washington St	4–13 (5–13 SU) 24%
119	New Mexico St	3–10 (6–9 SU) 23%
	Rutgers	3–10 (9–6 SU) 23%

> ATS RECORDS IN OCTOBER GAMES: 2007-2010

1	Oregon St	13–1 (11–3 SU) 93%
2	Oklahoma St	11–3 (11–4 SU) 79%
	Oregon	11–3 (13–1 SU) 79%
	Pittsburgh	11–3 (11–4 SU) 79%
5	Penn St	12–4 (14–3 SU) 75%
6	Temple	11–4 (14–2 SU) 73%
7	Central Florida	10–4 (9–5 SU) 71%
	Connecticut	10–4 (6–8 SU) 71%
	Georgia Tech	10–4 (13–3 SU) 71%
10	Troy	9–4 (12–1 SU) 69%
11	Arkansas	11–5 (10–7 SU) 69%
	Iowa	11–5 (12–4 SU) 69%
13	East Carolina	10–5 (10–5 SU) 67%
	Hawaii	10–5 (11–5 SU) 67%
	Miami Ohio	12–6 (7–11 SU) 67%
	Ohio St	12–6 (15–3 SU) 67%
	Utah	10–5 (15–0 SU) 67%
18	Kansas St	11–6 (7–10 SU) 65%
19	NC State	9–5 (4–10 SU) 64%
20	Boise St	10–6 (16–0 SU) 63%
	Utah St	10–6 (2–14 SU) 63%
22	Duke	8–5 (5–9 SU) 62%
23	Washington St	9–6 (1–14 SU) 60%
24	Air Force	10–7 (10–8 SU) 59%
	LA Monroe	10–7 (9–8 SU) 59%
	Ohio Univ.	10–7 (12–6 SU) 59%
27	Arizona	8–6 (8–7 SU) 57%
	Florida	8–6 (9–5 SU) 57%
	Middle Tennessee St	8–6 (6–8 SU) 57%
	Navy	8–6 (10–6 SU) 57%
	Tennessee	8–6 (7–9 SU) 57%
	UAB	8–6 (6–9 SU) 57%
33	Boston College	9–7 (9–7 SU) 56%
	Houston	9–7 (11–5 SU) 56%
	Missouri	9–7 (9–7 SU) 56%
	North Carolina	9–7 (10–6 SU) 56%
	Northern Illinois	9–7 (11–6 SU) 56%
	Ole Miss	9–7 (6–10 SU) 56%
	TCU	9–7 (15–2 SU) 56%
40	Michigan St	10–8 (11–7 SU) 56%
	Virginia	10–8 (12–6 SU) 56%
42	Notre Dame	7–6 (9–6 SU) 54%
43	Buffalo	8–7 (8–7 SU) 53%
	Cincinnati	8–7 (10–5 SU) 53%
	LA Lafayette	8–7 (7–8 SU) 53%
	Louisiana Tech	8–7 (6–10 SU) 53%
	New Mexico	8–7 (5–10 SU) 53%
	Texas	8–7 (12–4 SU) 53%
	Tulsa	8–7 (10–6 SU) 53%
50	Auburn	9–8 (10–7 SU) 53%
	Louisville	9–8 (10–7 SU) 53%
	Mississippi St	9–8 (11–6 SU) 53%
53	Army	7–7 (7–8 SU) 50%
	Ball St	8–8 (7–10 SU) 50%
	Kent St	8–8 (7–10 SU) 50%
	Minnesota	8–8 (5–12 SU) 50%
	Rutgers	8–8 (9–7 SU) 50%
	Virginia Tech	7–7 (9–5 SU) 50%
	Wake Forest	8–8 (7–9 SU) 50%
60	Central Michigan	8–9 (10–7 SU) 47%
	Illinois	8–9 (8–10 SU) 47%
	New Mexico St	8–9 (6–12 SU) 47%
	SMU	8–9 (5–12 SU) 47%
	Texas Tech	8–9 (12–6 SU) 47%
	Toledo	8–9 (9–9 SU) 47%
66	Marshall	7–8 (5–10 SU) 47%
	Stanford	7–8 (8–7 SU) 47%
	UTEP	7–8 (7–8 SU) 47%
69	Clemson	6–7 (7–7 SU) 46%
	Maryland	6–7 (7–7 SU) 46%
	Syracuse	6–7 (5–8 SU) 46%
72	Baylor	8–10 (6–12 SU) 44%
	Colorado St	8–10 (5–13 SU) 44%
	Iowa St	8–10 (5–13 SU) 44%
75	Kansas	7–9 (7–9 SU) 44%
	Nebraska	7–9 (7–9 SU) 44%
	Texas A&M	7–9 (7–10 SU) 44%
	USC	7–9 (10–6 SU) 44%
	Wisconsin	7–9 (8–8 SU) 44%
80	Alabama	6–8 (13–1 SU) 43%
	Arkansas St	6–8 (5–9 SU) 43%
	Florida Atlantic	6–8 (6–8 SU) 43%
	Fresno St	6–8 (12–3 SU) 43%
	Georgia	6–8 (9–6 SU) 43%
	Rice	6–8 (4–11 SU) 43%
	San Diego St	6–8 (7–8 SU) 43%
	San Jose St	6–8 (3–11 SU) 43%
	Wyoming	6–8 (5–11 SU) 43%
89	Idaho	7–10 (7–10 SU) 41%
	Miami-FL	7–10 (11–6 SU) 41%
91	Arizona St	6–9 (7–8 SU) 40%
	Florida International	6–9 (6–9 SU) 40%
	Florida St	6–9 (10–5 SU) 40%
	Oklahoma	6–9 (12–4 SU) 40%
	Purdue	6–9 (6–11 SU) 40%
	South Carolina	6–9 (10–6 SU) 40%
	West Virginia	6–9 (12–3 SU) 40%
98	Eastern Michigan	7–11 (3–15 SU) 39%
	Indiana	7–11 (4–14 SU) 39%
	Kentucky	7–11 (5–13 SU) 39%
101	North Texas	6–10 (3–13 SU) 38%
	South Florida	6–10 (7–9 SU) 38%
	UNLV	6–10 (1–15 SU) 38%
104	California	5–9 (7–7 SU) 36%
	LSU	5–9 (9–5 SU) 36%
106	Bowling Green	6–11 (5–12 SU) 35%
	Colorado	6–11 (5–13 SU) 35%
	Nevada	6–11 (12–5 SU) 35%
	Southern Miss	6–11 (6–11 SU) 35%
	Tulane	6–11 (4–13 SU) 35%
111	Memphis	5–10 (6–10 SU) 33%
	UCLA	5–10 (4–12 SU) 33%
	Vanderbilt	6–12 (4–14 SU) 33%
	Western Michigan	5–10 (7–10 SU) 33%
115	Northwestern	5–11 (9–7 SU) 31%
116	Michigan	5–12 (6–11 SU) 29%
117	Akron	4–10 (3–12 SU) 29%
118	Western Kentucky	3–8 (3–11 SU) 27%
119	BYU	3–10 (10–4 SU) 23%
120	Washington	3–12 (3–12 SU) 20%

ATS RECORDS IN NOVEMBER GAMES: 2007-2010

1	Ohio St	9–2 (11–1 SU) 82%
2	Arkansas	13–3 (12–4 SU) 81%
	Virginia Tech	13–3 (15–1 SU) 81%
4	Southern Miss	12–3 (12–3 SU) 80%
5	Florida	12–4 (15–2 SU) 75%
	Ohio Univ.	9–3 (9–3 SU) 75%
7	Boise St	11–4 (15–2 SU) 73%
	Bowling Green	11–4 (11–4 SU) 73%
9	TCU	10–4 (12–2 SU) 71%
10	Western Kentucky	7–3 (4–10 SU) 70%
11	Connecticut	9–4 (8–5 SU) 69%
12	NC State	11–5 (10–6 SU) 69%
	Oklahoma	11–5 (12–4 SU) 69%
	Rice	11–5 (10–6 SU) 69%
	Tennessee	11–5 (13–3 SU) 69%
16	Nevada	10–5 (11–4 SU) 67%
	West Virginia	8–4 (10–3 SU) 67%
	Utah St	9–5 (8–7 SU) 64%
19	Air Force	8–5 (10–3 SU) 62%
	Illinois	8–5 (6–7 SU) 62%
	Northern Illinois	8–5 (7–7 SU) 62%
	Purdue	8–5 (4–10 SU) 62%
	Wisconsin	8–5 (11–3 SU) 62%
24	Central Florida	11–7 (13–5 SU) 61%
25	Oregon St	9–6 (10–5 SU) 60%
	Texas A&M	9–6 (7–8 SU) 60%
	Utah	9–6 (10–5 SU) 60%
	Washington St	9–6 (4–11 SU) 60%
29	Clemson	10–7 (12–5 SU) 59%
30	Alabama	8–6 (10–6 SU) 57%
	Arizona St	8–6 (5–9 SU) 57%
	Hawaii	8–6 (12–2 SU) 57%
	Louisiana Tech	8–6 (8–6 SU) 57%
	Middle Tennessee St	8–6 (10–4 SU) 57%
	North Texas	8–6 (3–12 SU) 57%
	Northwestern	8–6 (8–6 SU) 57%
	Ole Miss	8–6 (9–6 SU) 57%
	South Carolina	8–6 (6–8 SU) 57%
	Texas Tech	8–6 (10–4 SU) 57%
40	BYU	9–7 (14–2 SU) 56%
41	Central Michigan	6–5 (8–5 SU) 55%
42	Ball St	7–6 (9–4 SU) 54%
	Cincinnati	7–6 (11–3 SU) 54%
	Colorado St	7–6 (4–10 SU) 54%
	Iowa St	7–6 (3–10 SU) 54%
	Minnesota	7–6 (3–10 SU) 54%
47	Boston College	8–7 (12–4 SU) 53%
	Colorado	8–7 (5–10 SU) 53%
	LA Monroe	8–7 (8–8 SU) 53%
	Memphis	8–7 (5–10 SU) 53%
	Stanford	8–7 (8–7 SU) 53%
52	Akron	7–7 (5–10 SU) 50%
	Baylor	7–7 (2–12 SU) 50%
	Georgia Tech	7–7 (8–7 SU) 50%
	Indiana	7–7 (3–11 SU) 50%
	Kansas	8–8 (6–10 SU) 50%
	LA Lafayette	8–8 (6–10 SU) 50%
	Maryland	8–8 (5–11 SU) 50%
	North Carolina	8–8 (9–7 SU) 50%
	Pittsburgh	6–6 (7–6 SU) 50%
	Rutgers	7–7 (7–7 SU) 50%
	San Diego St	8–8 (5–11 SU) 50%
	Troy	7–7 (9–6 SU) 50%
	USC	7–7 (11–3 SU) 50%
	UTEP	8–8 (4–12 SU) 50%
	Wake Forest	8–8 (7–9 SU) 50%
	Washington	7–7 (5–9 SU) 50%
68	New Mexico	7–8 (4–11 SU) 47%
	Notre Dame	7–8 (6–10 SU) 47%
	Temple	7–8 (7–8 SU) 47%
	Texas	7–8 (10–5 SU) 47%
72	Eastern Michigan	6–7 (3–10 SU) 46%
	South Florida	6–7 (8–5 SU) 46%
	Toledo	6–7 (5–8 SU) 46%
	UCLA	6–7 (6–7 SU) 46%
	Western Michigan	6–7 (9–5 SU) 46%
77	Auburn	5–6 (7–6 SU) 45%
	Michigan St	5–6 (9–3 SU) 45%
79	Marshall	7–9 (6–10 SU) 44%
	Missouri	7–9 (13–3 SU) 44%
	New Mexico St	7–9 (0–16 SU) 44%
	Oklahoma St	7–9 (9–7 SU) 44%
	Tulsa	7–9 (11–5 SU) 44%
	UAB	7–9 (5–11 SU) 44%
85	California	6–8 (6–8 SU) 43%
	Florida International	6–8 (6–8 SU) 43%
	Kentucky	6–8 (7–7 SU) 43%
88	Arizona	5–7 (5–7 SU) 42%
	Navy	5–7 (10–2 SU) 42%
90	Florida St	7–10 (9–8 SU) 41%
	Syracuse	7–10 (4–13 SU) 41%
92	Buffalo	6–9 (6–9 SU) 40%
	Georgia	6–9 (11–4 SU) 40%
	Louisville	6–9 (4–11 SU) 40%
	Nebraska	6–9 (11–4 SU) 40%
96	Penn St	5–8 (8–5 SU) 38%
97	Duke	6–10 (1–16 SU) 38%
	East Carolina	6–10 (10–6 SU) 38%
	Fresno St	6–10 (9–7 SU) 38%
100	SMU	5–9 (5–9 SU) 36%
	UNLV	5–9 (5–9 SU) 36%
102	Tulane	6–11 (4–13 SU) 35%
103	Army	4–8 (3–9 SU) 33%
	Florida Atlantic	5–10 (9–6 SU) 33%
	Kansas St	5–10 (4–11 SU) 33%
	Miami Ohio	4–8 (5–8 SU) 33%
	Virginia	5–10 (2–13 SU) 33%
	Wyoming	5–10 (5–10 SU) 33%
109	Iowa	4–9 (7–7 SU) 31%
	Mississippi St	4–9 (5–8 SU) 31%
	Oregon	4–9 (9–4 SU) 31%
112	Kent St	4–10 (3–11 SU) 29%
113	Houston	4–11 (9–7 SU) 27%
	Miami-FL	4–11 (7–9 SU) 27%
	San Jose St	4–11 (4–11 SU) 27%
	Vanderbilt	4–11 (1–14 SU) 27%
117	Arkansas St	4–12 (6–10 SU) 25%
	Idaho	3–9 (1–12 SU) 25%
	LSU	4–12 (10–7 SU) 25%
120	Michigan	2–12 (4–10 SU) 14%

COLLEGE FOOTBALL STABILITY HANDICAPPING CHART

TEAM	2010 SU	2010 ATS	NEW COACH	2010 OFFENSE TYPE	IS THE OFFENSE NEW FOR 2011?	NEW QB?	NEW OC?	2010 DEFENSE TYPE	IS THE DEFENSE NEW FOR 2011?	NEW DC?	RET. STARTERS OFF.	RET. STARTERS DEF.
Air Force	9-4	5-7-1	-	Multiple Set Triple Option	Yes, Multiple	-	-	Base 34	-	-	6	7
Akron	1-11	5-7	-	Pro Style	-	-	-	Base 43	-	-	6	7
Alabama	10-3	8-5	-	Pro Style	Yes, Multiple	Yes	-	Base 43	Yes, 34	-	7	**9**
Arizona	7-6	5-7-1	-	Spread	Yes, Multiple Spread	-	-	Base 43	-	-	5	5
Arizona St	6-6	9-3	-	Single Back	Yes, Multiple	Yes	-	Base 43	Yes, Multiple	-	**9**	8
Arkansas	10-3	9-4	-	Multiple	-	Yes	-	Base 43	-	-	6	7
Arkansas St	4-8	7-5	Yes	Multiple	-	-	Yes	Base 43	-	Yes	6	7
Army	7-6	6-6	-	Spread Triple Option	Yes, Triple Option	-	-	Double-Eagle Flex	-	-	6	5
Auburn	14-0	10-4	-	Spread	-	Yes	-	Base 43	Yes, Multiple	-	**3**	**3**
Ball St	4-8	4-8	Yes	Multiple	-	-	Yes	Base 43	-	Yes	8	7
Baylor	7-6	5-8	-	Spread	-	-	-	Base 43	-	Yes	8	5
Boise St	12-1	9-4	-	Multiple	-	-	Yes	Base 43	-	-	7	7
Boston College	7-6	6-7	-	Pro Style	-	-	Yes	Base 43	-	-	7	7
Bowling Green	2-10	5-7	-	Multiple	-	-	-	Base 42	Yes, Multiple	-	6	7
Buffalo	2-10	2-10	-	Multiple	-	-	-	Base 34	Yes, 43	-	8	**3**
BYU	7-6	8-5	-	Multiple	Yes, Pro	-	-	Base 34	-	-	**10**	7
Central Michigan	3-9	6-6	-	Multiple	-	-	-	Base 43	Yes, Multiple	-	8	6
California	5-7	6-6	-	Multiple	-	Yes	Yes	Base 34	Yes, 43	-	7	5
Cincinnati	4-8	4-8	-	Spread	-	-	-	Base 43	Yes, Multiple	-	5	**11**
Clemson	6-7	5-8	-	Spread	Yes, Multiple	Yes	Yes	Base 43	-	-	8	5
Colorado	5-7	5-6-1	Yes	Pro Style	Yes, Multiple	-	Yes	Base 43	-	Yes	8	5
Colorado St	3-9	6-6	-	Multiple	Yes, Pro	-	-	Base 43	-	-	7	6
Connecticut	8-5	8-5	Yes	Multiple	-	Yes	Yes	Base 43	-	Yes	6	**9**
Duke	3-9	6-5-1	-	Multiple	Yes, Pro	-	-	4-2-5	Yes, 43	-	8	6
East Carolina	6-7	6-7	-	Spread	-	-	-	Base 43	-	-	5	7
Eastern Michigan	2-10	5-7	-	Multiple	-	-	-	Base 43	Yes, Multiple	-	7	7
Florida Atlantic	4-8	3-9	-	Multiple	Yes, Pro	Yes	-	Base 43	-	-	7	5
Florida International	7-6	6-6-1	-	Multiple Spread	Yes, Multiple	-	-	Base 43	Yes, Multiple	Yes	7	7
Florida	8-5	7-6	Yes	Multiple	Yes, Spread	-	Yes	Multiple	Yes, Multiple 43	Yes	7	**4**
Florida St	10-4	8-6	-	Multiple	-	Yes	-	Base 43	-	-	8	8
Fresno St	8-5	5-7	-	Multiple	Yes, Pro	Yes	-	Base 43	-	-	7	5
Georgia	6-7	5-8	-	Multiple Pro	Yes, Pro	-	-	Base 34	Yes, Multiple 34	-	6	7
Georgia Tech	6-7	5-7-1	-	Spread Triple Option	-	Yes	-	Base 34	-	-	6	5
Hawaii	10-4	11-3	-	Run-and-Shoot	Yes, Spread	-	-	Base 43	-	-	**3**	6
Houston	5-7	5-7	-	Spread	Yes, Multiple	-	-	Base 34	-	-	6	6
Idaho	6-7	6-7	-	Multiple	-	Yes	-	Base 43	Yes, 34	-	5	8
Illinois	7-6	9-4	-	Multiple	Yes, Pro	-	-	Base 43	Yes, Multiple	-	7	6
Indiana	5-7	6-6	Yes	Spread	Yes, Multiple	Yes	Yes	Base 43	Yes, 34	Yes	7	6
Iowa	8-5	6-6-1	-	Pro Style	Yes, Multiple	Yes	-	Base 43	-	-	5	5
Iowa St	5-7	5-7	-	Spread	-	Yes	-	Base 43	-	-	5	7
Kansas	3-9	5-7	-	Spread	-	-	-	Base 43	Yes, 4-2-5	-	8	6
Kansas St	7-6	6-7	-	Multiple	Yes, Pro	Yes	-	Base 42	Yes, Multiple	-	5	6
Kent St	5-7	5-7	Yes	Multiple	Yes, Spread	-	Yes	Base 43	Yes, 34	Yes	**9**	5
Kentucky	6-7	6-7	-	Multiple	-	Yes	-	Base 43	-	Yes	6	**9**
LA Lafayette	3-9	7-5	Yes	Multiple Spread	Yes, Multiple	-	Yes	Base 43	Yes, Multiple	Yes	5	5
LA Monroe	5-7	6-6	-	Spread	Yes, Multiple	-	-	3-3-5	-	-	**10**	8
Louisiana Tech	5-7	5-7	-	Spread	-	Yes	-	Base 43	Yes, Multiple	-	6	7
Louisville	7-6	7-6	-	Multiple	-	Yes	-	Base 34	Yes, 43	-	**4**	7
LSU	11-2	6-6	-	Multiple	-	-	Yes	Base 43	-	-	8	7
Marshall	5-7	4-8	-	Multiple	-	Yes	-	Base 43	-	-	5	**9**
Maryland	9-4	9-4	Yes	Multiple	-	-	Yes	Base 43	-	Yes	7	7
Memphis	1-11	5-7	-	Spread	Yes, Multiple	-	-	Base 43	Yes, Multiple	-	7	7
Miami-FL	7-6	5-8	Yes	Pro Style	-	Yes	Yes	Multiple	Yes, 34 Multiple	Yes	6	7
Miami Ohio	10-4	9-5	Yes	Multiple	-	-	Yes	Base 43	-	Yes	8	**9**
Michigan	7-6	3-10	Yes	Multiple	Yes, Spread Option	-	Yes	Base 43	Yes, 3-3-5	Yes	**9**	8
Michigan St	11-2	7-6	-	Multiple	-	-	Yes	Base 43	Yes, Multiple	-	6	6
Middle Tennessee St	6-7	4-9	-	Multiple	-	Yes	Yes	Base 43	Yes, 43 Multiple	Yes	7	**3**
Minnesota	3-9	6-5-1	Yes	Multiple	-	Yes	Yes	Base 43	Yes, Multiple 43	Yes	6	8
Mississippi St	9-4	7-5	-	Multiple Spread Option	Yes, Spread	-	-	Base 43	-	-	8	7
Missouri	10-3	7-6	-	Multiple Spread	Yes, Pro	Yes	-	Base 43	Yes, Multiple	-	**9**	6

One of the ways to assess a team's status before the start of the season is to look not only at how many starters return, but what changes may have been made to coaches and schemes on both the offensive and defensive sides of the ball. This chart details all 120 FBS college football programs and any changes they may have made since the end of last season to those key areas. Any team with more than eight or fewer than five returning starters on a particular side of the ball is noted with that number in bold text.

TEAM	2010 SU	2010 ATS	NEW COACH	2010 OFFENSE TYPE	IS THE OFFENSE NEW FOR 2011?	NEW QB?	NEW OC?	2010 DEFENSE TYPE	IS THE DEFENSE NEW FOR 2011?	NEW DC?	RET. STARTERS OFF.	RET. STARTERS DEF.
North Carolina	8-5	7-5	-	Pro Style	Yes, Multiple	Yes	-	Base 43	-	-	6	6
Northern Illinois	11-3	10-3-1	Yes	Multiple	-	-	Yes	Base 43	-	Yes	**9**	**4**
Navy	9-4	7-6	-	Triple Option	Yes, Spread	Yes	-	Base 34	Yes, Multiple	-	8	**3**
NC State	9-4	10-3	-	Pro Style	Yes, Multiple	Yes	-	Base 43	-	-	6	8
Nebraska	10-4	6-8	-	Multiple Spread Option	Yes, Multiple	-	-	Base 42	Yes, Multiple	-	6	7
Nevada	13-1	7-7	-	Pistol	-	Yes	-	Base 43	-	-	6	7
New Mexico	1-11	4-8	-	Spread	-	-	-	Base 43	-	-	7	**9**
New Mexico St	2-10	4-8	-	Spread	Yes, Pro	-	-	Base 43	-	-	7	7
North Texas	3-9	6-6	Yes	Spread	-	Yes	Yes	Base 43	-	Yes	6	6
Northwestern	7-6	4-9	-	Multiple Spread	Yes, Spread	-	-	Base 43	-	-	**9**	7
Notre Dame	8-5	6-4-3	-	Spread	-	-	-	Base 34	-	-	8	8
Ohio St	12-1	10-2-1	Yes	Multiple	-	Yes	-	Base 43	-	-	7	**4**
Ohio Univ.	8-5	8-5	-	Multiple	-	Yes	-	Base 43	-	-	8	**4**
Oklahoma	12-2	8-6	-	Multiple	-	-	Yes	Base 43	Yes, Multiple	-	8	6
Oklahoma St	11-2	10-3	-	Multiple Spread	Yes, Spread	-	Yes	Base 43	-	-	**9**	5
Ole Miss	4-8	4-7	-	Multiple	Yes, Pro	Yes	-	Base 43	-	-	**9**	5
Oregon	12-1	7-6	-	Spread Option	Yes, Multiple	-	-	Base 43	-	-	6	5
Oregon St	5-7	7-5	-	Multiple	-	-	-	Base 43	Yes, Multiple	-	7	5
Penn St	7-6	5-8	-	Multiple	-	-	-	Base 43	-	-	7	7
Pittsburgh	8-5	7-4-2	Yes	Multiple	Yes, Pro	-	Yes	Base 34	Yes, 43	Yes	6	8
Purdue	4-8	4-7-1	-	Spread	-	-	-	Base 43	Yes, Multiple 43	-	8	**9**
Rice	4-8	6-6	-	Multiple Spread	Yes, Spread	-	-	4-2-5	-	-	7	7
Rutgers	4-8	3-9	-	Pro Style	-	-	-	Base 43	-	-	**10**	5
South Carolina	9-5	7-7	-	Multiple	-	-	-	Base 43	Yes, 4-2-5	-	7	6
South Florida	8-5	6-7	-	Spread	-	-	-	Base 43	-	-	**4**	6
San Diego St	9-4	8-4-1	Yes	Multiple	-	-	Yes	3-3-5	-	Yes	7	5
San Jose St	1-12	5-7	-	Pistol	Yes, Multiple	Yes	-	Base 43	-	-	7	**9**
SMU	7-7	6-8	-	Run-and-Shoot	-	-	-	Base 34	-	-	6	7
Southern Miss	8-5	6-7	-	Spread	-	-	-	Base 43	-	-	6	7
Stanford	12-1	8-5	Yes	Pro Style	Yes, Multiple	-	Yes	Base 34	Yes, 4-3-4	Yes	5	6
Syracuse	8-5	8-5	-	Multiple Pro	Yes, Multiple	-	-	Base 43	Yes, Multiple	-	8	5
TCU	13-0	7-6	-	Multiple	-	Yes	-	4-2-5	-	-	5	6
Temple	8-4	7-5	Yes	Multiple	Yes, East Coast	-	Yes	Base 43	-	Yes	8	5
Tennessee	6-7	7-6	-	Multiple	-	-	-	Base 43	Yes, 34	-	7	6
Texas	5-7	3-9	-	Spread	-	-	Yes	Base 43	-	Yes	8	6
Texas A&M	9-4	8-5	-	Multiple Pro	Yes, Multiple	-	-	Base 34	-	-	**9**	8
Texas Tech	8-5	5-7-1	-	Single Back Spread	Yes, Spread	Yes	-	Base 43 or 4-2-5	Yes, 34	Yes	5	8
Toledo	8-5	7-5-1	-	Spread	-	-	-	Base 43	Yes, Multiple	-	**9**	8
Troy	8-5	5-8	-	Multiple Spread	Yes, Spread	-	-	Base 43	Yes, 4-2-5	-	**4**	8
Tulane	4-8	4-8	-	Multiple	-	-	-	Base 43	Yes, Multiple	-	7	7
Tulsa	10-3	9-4	Yes	Spread	Yes, Spread no-huddle	-	Yes	3-3-5	-	Yes	8	8
UAB	4-8	5-7	-	Spread	Yes, Multiple	-	-	Base 43	-	-	7	8
Central Florida	11-3	11-3	-	Multiple	-	-	-	Base 43	-	-	7	**4**
UCLA	4-8	3-8-1	-	Multiple	-	-	Yes	Base 43	-	Yes	7	8
UNLV	2-11	5-8	-	Multiple Spread	Yes, Multiple	Yes	-	Base 43	-	-	7	5
USC	8-5	5-7-1	-	Multiple	-	-	-	Base 43	-	-	6	8
Utah	10-3	7-5-1	-	Multiple	Yes, Spread	-	Yes	Base 43	-	-	6	5
Utah St	4-8	5-7	-	Multiple	Yes, Spread	Yes	-	Base 43	-	-	8	5
UTEP	6-7	5-8	-	Spread	Yes, One-Back	Yes	-	Base 43	-	-	**2**	**9**
Vanderbilt	2-10	3-9	Yes	Multiple	Yes, Spread	-	Yes	Base 43	-	Yes	**11**	8
Virginia	4-8	6-6	-	Pro Style	-	Yes	-	Base 43	-	-	8	**9**
Virginia Tech	11-3	10-4	-	Multiple	-	Yes	-	Base 43	-	-	6	6
Western Kentucky	2-10	7-5	-	Pro Style	Yes, West Coast	-	Yes	Base 43	-	Yes	7	8
Western Michigan	6-6	5-5-2	-	Multiple	-	-	-	Base 43	-	-	6	8
West Virginia	9-4	7-6	Yes	Spread	Yes, Spread Option	-	Yes	3-3-5	-	-	8	**4**
Wake Forest	3-9	4-8	-	Multiple	Yes, Pro	-	-	Base 34	Yes, Multiple	Yes	7	**9**
Washington	7-6	7-6	-	Multiple	-	Yes	-	Base 43	-	-	6	8
Washington St	2-10	7-5	-	Multiple Spread	Yes, Spread	-	-	Base 43	Yes, 4-3-4	-	8	7
Wisconsin	11-2	8-5	-	Pro Style	Yes, Multiple	Yes	-	Base 43	-	Yes	5	6
Wyoming	3-9	5-6-1	-	Spread	-	Yes	-	Base 43	-	-	8	**9**

THE TOP 25

1. OKLAHOMA

The Sooners should be able to run the table in the Big 12's first round-robin format with 10 teams. The tragic death of starting MLB Austin Box in the offseason provides extra motivation for this team. OU has two Heisman candidates in QB Landry Jones and WR Ryan Broyles. Jones threw for 4,718 yards and 38 TD (2nd in nation for both), while Broyles led the nation with 131 receptions and finished third in FBS with 1,622 receiving yards, catching 14 TD passes from Jones. DeMarco Murray and his 15 rushing TD depart, but Roy Finch and incoming freshman Brandon Williams will keep the ground game moving. Despite the loss of Box, the big-play defense (32 turnovers, 37 sacks) should still be great. The Sooners have an excellent secondary featuring CBs with great ball skills – Jamell Fleming and Demontre Hurst.

2. ALABAMA

Sure the Tide lost QB Greg McElroy, RB Mark Ingram and WR Julio Jones, but they are still capable of getting back to the BCS title game. They play their two toughest opponents at home (Arkansas and LSU). TB Trent Richardson (6.3 YPC, 11 total TD) is the strongest and fastest player on the team and he'll run behind an O-Line returning four starters. Six-foot-four sophomore A.J. McCarron will take over at QB after playing very well as a backup last year (30-48, 389 yds, 3 TD, 0 INT). He has good senior wideouts to throw to in Marquis Maze and Darius Hanks. Nine starters return to the nation's 3rd-ranked scoring (13.5 PPG) and 5th-ranked total defense (286 YPG), including OLB Courtney Upshaw (14.5 TFL, 4 FF) and FS Robert Lester (8 INT).

3. OREGON

The high-flying Ducks certainly have the offense to get back to the FBS title game with both QB Darron Thomas and RB LaMichael James returning. Thomas threw 30 TD with only 9 INT, while James led the nation in both rushing yards (1,731) and total touchdowns (24). The duo was a big reason Oregon led all FBS schools in points (47.0 PPG) and yards (531 YPG). The Ducks open the season versus LSU, but won't be tested again until Nov. 12 at Stanford. The defense only returns five starters and the front seven took the brunt of the graduating losses. But the secondary is loaded, featuring CB Cliff Harris (6 INT, 17 PD) and FS John Boyett (5 INT, 9 PD).

4. STANFORD

OC David Shaw replaces head coach Jim Harbaugh for the Orange Bowl champs, but Heisman hopeful Andrew Luck (3,338 pass yds, 32 TD, 8 INT; 453 rush yds, 8.2 YPC) returns to school to play for a national championship. The Cardinal have a tough early test at Arizona, then close out October at USC before hosting Oregon Nov. 12 in what should be the game of the year. Luck lost his top two receivers, but RB Stepfan Taylor (15 rush TD) provides a strong running game. The Stanford defense allowed only 9.3 PPG in the final six games last year and two stud LBs return as leaders – All-American candidate ILB Shayne Skov (84 tackles) and OLB Chase Thomas.

5. LSU

The Tigers fate in 2011 likely rests with QB Jordan Jefferson (7 TD, 10 INT). The senior looked great in the spring with new OC Steve Kragthorpe's offense, and will benefit from ultra-talented WR duo Reuben Randle and Russell Shepard. Sophomore TB Spencer Ware (10 rush, 102 yds in Cotton Bowl) will be the top RB, and get plenty of holes from a great O-Line. CB Patrick Peterson is gone, but seven starters remain from a stellar defense.

6. BOISE STATE

The Broncos move from the WAC to the MWC, but their dominance should continue. OC Bryan Harsin left for Texas, but the offense is in good hands with QB Kellen Moore (99 pass TD, 19 INT in career) and TB Doug Martin (1,260 rush yds, 12 TD in 2010). The defense is also primed for a big year with DEs Shea McClellin and Tyrone Crawford (combined 16.5 sacks).

7. TEXAS A&M

Texas A&M finished the regular season with six straight wins. QB Ryan Tannehill orchestrated the win streak with 235 pass YPG, 11 TD and just 3 INT in the six games. Christine Michael and Cyrus Gray return to form a potent rushing attack. The defense only lost three starters, but two of them will be difficult to replace in All-American OLB Von Miller and leading tackler LB Michael Hodges. The secondary remains intact after a subpar year.

8. FLORIDA STATE

FSU's conference schedule is easy, but the 'Noles play Oklahoma and visit Florida. QB E.J. Manuel (70% completion rate) looks capable of filling Christian Ponder's shoes and he has plenty of weapons with the top four receivers and top three RBs all coming back. DE Brandon Jenkins (13.5 sacks) and WLB Nigel Bradham (97 tackles) are the best defenders among eight returning starters on a defense that allowed only 4.9 yards per play.

9. OKLAHOMA STATE

OC Dana Holgorsen left Stillwater, but the multiple spread offense that put up 44.2 PPG (3rd in nation) will look similar under new OC Todd Monken. Senior QB Brandon Weeden (4,277 pass yds, 34 TD, 13 INT) and junior WR Justin Blackmon (111 rec, 1,782 yds, 20 TD) form one of the best tandems in the country, and the O-Line returns all five starters. The defense did improve (16.5 PPG allowed in last six wins), but lost some big-time players.

10. WISCONSIN

The Badgers had three 70-point games and an FBS-low nine offensive turnovers last year. Stud RBs James White (1,052 rush yds, 14 TD) and Montee Ball (996 rush yds, 18 TD) returns to wreak havoc on the Big Ten. NC State transfer Russell Wilson (9,628 total yds, 76 pass TD in career) is the new QB. But the receivers are subpar and the O-Line lost three starters. Wisconsin returns a solid nucleus to the nation's 20th-ranked defense (322 YPG).

11. TCU

The Horned Frogs are 36-3 in the past three seasons, and they should be very good again in 2011. Junior QB Casey Pachall has the tall task of replacing Andy Dalton, but has loads of potential and is a good leader. RB Ed Wesley (1,078 rush yds, 11 TD) and WR Josh Boyce (646 rec yds, 6 TD) are already great players. The defense may not lead the nation again in both points (12.0 PPG) and yards (228 YPG), but six starters come back this year, including monster LBs Tank Carder (9.5 TFL) and Tanner Brock (106 tackles).

12. VIRGINIA TECH

The Hokies, who led the nation with a +19 turnover margin and had a scoring margin of +13.3 PPG, still have the talent to win the ACC again. Sophomore Logan Thomas takes over for Tyrod Taylor, and will benefit from four senior offensive linemen in addition to a strong skill players in RB David Wilson (11 total TD) and WRs Jarrett Boykin (847 rec yds) and Danny Coale (732 rec yds, 18.8 avg). LB Bruce Taylor (15.5 TFL) is the standout of a strong defense.

13. GEORGIA

Even without star wide receiver A.J. Green, the Dawgs are primed for a bounce-back season. Aaron Murray (3,049 pass yds, 24 TD, 8 INT) could be the SEC's best quarterback, and has weapons to use in WR Tavarres King, TE Orson Charles, RB Caleb King and freshman RB Isaiah Crowell. An improved pass rush (24 sacks in 2010), will enable the athletic back seven to make all kinds of plays.

14. NOTRE DAME

A 7-2 finish last year gives the Irish momentum for 2011. QB Dayne Crist (15 TD, 7 INT) should be back from his ruptured patella tendon, and it appears suspended WR Michael Floyd (79 rec, 1,025 yds, 12 TD) will be reinstated by August. The D-Line needs work, but the LBs are excellent, especially ILB Manti Te'o (133 tackles, 9.5 TFL) and OLB Darius Fleming (5.5 sacks).

15. ARKANSAS

Many pieces remain for Arkansas to be very good, but QB Ryan Mallett is now in the NFL, leaving signal-calling duties to junior Tyler Wilson (34-51, 453 yds, 4 TD, 3 INT). Wilson's best option is to hand off to RB Knile Davis who was the SEC's top rusher with 1,322 yards (6.5 YPC, 13 TD). Three solid receivers also return in Joe Adams, Jarius Wright and Greg Childs (combined 2,260 rec yds, 17 TD). Seven starters return to a quality defense.

16. NEBRASKA

Nebraska's inaugural Big Ten season should be a good one. When healthy, QB Taylor Martinez (965 rush yds, 6.0 YPC, 12 TD; 10 pass TD, 7 INT) runs this spread option to perfection. Other skill-position stars include RB Rex Burkhead (951 rush yds, 5.5 YPC, 7 TD), and receivers WR Brandon Kinnie and TE Kyler Reed (889 rec yds, 13 TD combined). Nebraska always has elite defensive fronts and this stacked unit should be no different.

17. SOUTH CAROLINA

The Gamecocks are loaded for a repeat trip to the SEC title game. The three best offensive players all return in RB Marcus Lattimore (1,609 total yards, 19 TD), WR Alshon Jeffery (88 rec, 1,517 yds, 9 TD) and QB Stephen Garcia (3,059 pass yds, 20 TD, 14 INT). Plenty of defensive starters come back too, including DEs Melvin Ingram (9 sacks) and Devin Taylor (7.5 sacks). Four DBs who started last year also return, led by CB Stephon Gilmore (79 tackles).

18. TEXAS

Once the Longhorns trim their turnovers (30 last year) and improve the QB play from Garrett Gilbert (6 TD, 16 INT in Big 12), they will be back to a major bowl. Co-offensive coordinators Major Applewhite and Bryan Harsin (Boise State) should infuse new life into the offense. The defensive unit is led by MLB Emmanuel Acho (79 tackles) and converted DE Alex Okafor.

19. MICHIGAN STATE

After a share of the Big Ten title, QB Kirk Cousins (217 pass YPG, 20 TD, 10 INT) will start for the third straight year. He has playmakers, most notably RB Edwin Baker (1,201 rush yds, 13 TD) and WR B.J. Cunningham (9 rec TD). The defense needs an improved pass rush after ranking 90th in sacks per game (1.5) and tied for 91st in TFL per game (5.1).

20. MISSOURI

The Tigers return nine offensive starters, but not QB Blaine Gabbert. Dual-threat QB James Franklin will enter the fall as the starter with a loaded supporting cast including WR T.J. Moe (92 rec, 1,045 yds), TE Michael Egnew (90 rec, 762 yds) and RB De'Vion Moore (517 rush yds, 8 TD). The Tigers had 37 sacks and 18 INT last year, and allowed just 16.1 PPG (sixth in nation).

21. FLORIDA

Will Muschamp left his DC/coach-in-waiting position at Texas to try to get this Florida program back to respectability. His first move was hiring OC Charlie Weis to help QB John Brantley (9 TD, 10 INT) mature quickly. The defense lost seven starters, and the secondary is in disarray with two starting safeties gone and All-SEC CB Janoris Jenkins kicked off the team.

22. OHIO STATE

The program is in turmoil with the spring departures of Jim Tressel and Terrelle Pryor, but OSU will likely be favored in all but one game this year (at Nebraska) as it plays MSU and Wisconsin at home. Senior QB Joe Bauserman (16-22, 174 yds, 2 TD, 1 INT) is expected to replace Pryor, and will miss WR DeVier Posey and RB Dan Herron who are suspended five games. The defense lost seven starters, but will still be very good.

23. MISSISSIPPI STATE

After an 8-2 finish last year, the Bulldogs hope to make some noise in the powerful SEC West. QB Chris Relf has always been able to run (713 rush yds), and his passing ability improved greatly last year (569 pass yds, 6 TD in final two games). The downside is a defense that was weakened with the loss of its top three players from last season.

24. MARYLAND

Randy Edsall takes over a Maryland program that improved by seven wins (2 to 9) in 2010, scoring 38.2 PPG in its last six games. Sophomore QB Danny O'Brien (2,438 pass yards, 22 TD, 8 INT) had a great spring in new OC Gary Crowton's up-tempo system. New DC Todd Bradford inherits an underrated defense that allowed just 4.7 yards per play (3.4 YPC) last year.

25. AUBURN

Only three offensive starters return for Auburn this year, and Cam Newton isn't one of them. Junior Barrett Trotter will likely win the No. 1 QB job, but he's no Newton. The strength of these Tigers is the ground game with the duo of Michael Dyer (1,093 rush yds, 5 TD, 6.0 YPC) and Onterio McCalebb (810 rush yds, 9 TD, 8.5 YPC). The defense also returns just three starters, and the secondary must improve immensely (259 YPG, 108th in FBS).

ACC

PREDICTED FINISH

ATLANTIC DIVISION	COASTAL DIVISION
1. Florida State	1. Virginia Tech
2. Maryland	2. Miami
3. N.C. State	3. North Carolina
4. Clemson	4. Georgia Tech
5. Boston College	5. Duke
6. Wake Forest	6. Virginia

BOSTON COLLEGE

Offense: Pro Style - Starters Returning: 7
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 7

B.C. has plenty of momentum heading into 2011 having won its final five games before losing to Nevada in the Kraft Fight Hunger Bowl. They Eagles return their top offensive players in RB Montel Harris (ACC-best 103.6 rush YPG) and WR Bobby Swigert (504 rec yds). QB Chase Rettig also returns, but must improve greatly on his numbers during nine starts as a true freshman (6 TD, 9 INT, 138 pass YPG). The Eagles ranked 109th in both scoring (18.5 PPG) and yardage (299 YPG) and have three starting O-Linemen to replace, including All-ACC LT Anthony Castonzo. New offensive coordinator Kevin Rogers is not expected to dramatically change the pro style offense. Most of the nation's top-ranked rushing defense (83 rush YPG) comes back, most notably the leading tackler in FBS, MLB Luke Kuechly (110 solo, 183 total, 10.5 TFL). The secondary is also solid with CB Donnie Fletcher (5 INT) and SS Jim Noel (4 INT). The D-Line lost three major contributors, but DE Max Holloway (team-high 4 sacks) is poised for a huge year.

CLEMSON

Offense: Spread - Starters Returning: 8
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 5

The Tigers are coming off a 6-7 season, going 1-5 away from home. The offense averaged just 16.7 PPG in the final six games of the year, and ranked 88th in the country in yardage (335 YPG). To help fix these woes, Chad Morris was hired from Tulsa as the new offensive coordinator and will bring an up-tempo style of offense. QB Tajh Boyd (33-63, 329 yds, 4 TD, 3 INT) takes over for Kyle Parker, who left to pursue a baseball career. Top RB Jamie Harper bolted early for the NFL, but explosive tailback Andre Ellington is healthy and ready to build on his 5.8 YPC and 12 total TD. Leading receiver DeAndre Hopkins (52 rec, 637 yds, 4 TD) is expected to have a huge sophomore season. The defense ranked 13th in the nation in points (18.8 PPG) and 19th in yardage (320 YPG), but they will sorely miss DE Da'Quan Bowers (nation-best 15.5 sacks) and FS DeAndre McDaniel (team-high 76 tackles). DE Andre Branch (5 sacks, 8.5 TFL) will try to fill the void, and the linebackers remain strong with Corico Hawkins leading the way.

DUKE

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 8
Defense: 4-2-5 - Starters Returning: 6

Head coach David Cutcliffe loves junior QB Sean Renfree (3,131 pass yds, 14 TD, 17 INT), consistently praising him throughout the spring. Renfree should benefit from most of the offense returning, including top WRs Conner Vernon (73 rec, 973 yds, 4 TD) and Donovan Varner (60 rec, 736 yds). Top RB Desmond Scott (530 rush yds, 3 TD) rushed for 122 yards in Week 2 versus Wake Forest, but only reached 50 rushing yards once in his final 10 games. Much of that was due to a young O-Line, which looked strong in the spring. If the Blue Devils are going to make any noise in the ACC, the defense has to improve dramatically. Duke allowed 35.4 PPG (109th in nation) and 450 YPG (108th in nation), while its 12 total sacks were better than just five FBS teams. The secondary is the strength of the unit, led by CB Ross Cockrell (7 PD, 3 INT) and SS Matt Daniels (93 tackles, 6 TFL, 3 FF). DT Charlie Hatcher (7.5 TFL) and LB Kelby Brown (63 tackles) are the best players in the front seven.

FLORIDA STATE

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 8
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 8

Jimbo Fisher's first year in Tallahassee was a rousing success (10-4, 6-3 in ACC), and this year could be even better, assuming junior E.J. Manuel is ready to take over the QB spot for departed star Christian Ponder. Manuel (70% completion rate, 4 TD, 4 INT) has shown his ability while filling in for Ponder, but he needs to cut down on his mistakes. Manuel also benefits from the school's top four receivers returning. Bert Reed led the team in catches (58) and yards (614) while Willie Haulstead had a team-best six receiving TD. Three quality running backs remain in Chris Thompson, Ty Jones and Jermaine Thomas who combined for 1,862 rushing yards and 17 TD in 2010. Defensive coordinator Mark Stoops did a masterful job with his unit in his first year at FSU, allowing just 4.9 yards per play as compared to 6.7 in 2009. Eight starters return this year including DE Brandon Jenkins (13.5 sacks, 21.5 TFL), WLB Nigel Bradham (97 tackles) and all four starters in the secondary.

GEORGIA TECH

Offense: Spread Triple Option - Starters Returning: 6
Defense: Base 34 - Starters Returning: 5

The Yellow Jackets ended a disappointing 2010 campaign with a losing record (6-7) and a sixth straight bowl defeat. Tech has holes to fill this year with QB Josh Nesbitt and top RB Anthony Allen all done with eligibility. QB Tevin Washington started the final four games last year when Nesbitt was hurt, and rushed for 420 yards (4.5 YPC). He appears capable of keeping the Ramblin' Wreck atop the nation in rushing again (323 rush YPG), but his brutal passing numbers (41% completion rate, 2 TD, 3 INT) don't inspire confidence. Senior RB Roddy Jones (7.5 YPC for career) and junior RB Orwin Smith (9.3 YPC for career) should thrive behind a strong offensive line. Defensively, the Jackets are desperate for a stud pass rusher after a 17-sack season (101st in nation). They lose their top LB in Brad Jefferson, but OLB Steven Sylvester (10.5 TFL, 3 FF) leads a solid linebacker group. The secondary has to replace all four starters, but CB Rod Sweeting (7 PD) and safety Isaiah Johnson (46 tackles) are quality returning players.

MARYLAND

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 7
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 7

Randy Edsall takes over a Maryland program that improved by seven wins (2 to 9) in 2010. QB Danny O'Brien (2,438 pass yards, 22 TD, 8 INT) played very well as freshman and had a great spring in new OC Gary Crowton's up-tempo system. O'Brien loses WR Torrey Smith, but senior Ronnie Tyler (61 rec, 720 yds in career) and juniors Kerry Boykins and Kevin Dorsey form an adequate WR trio. Leading rusher Davin Meggett (720 rush yds, 5.6 YPC) will be the key to this offense, which racked up 38.2 PPG in its last six games. New DC Todd Bradford is excited about his unit that allowed just 4.7 yards per play (3.4 YPC) last year. The D-Line returns three starters, but lacks a monster pass-rushing DE. Despite the loss of leading tackler MLB Alex Wujciak, junior WLB Demetrius Hartsfield (88 tackles) returns. The secondary is excellent, led by senior CBs Cameron Chism and Trenton Hughes.

MIAMI-FLORIDA

Offense: Pro Style - Starters Returning: 6
Defense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 7

Former Temple head coach Al Golden takes over the top spot for a talented Miami team. The biggest question for the Hurricanes is who the starting QB will be, Jacory Harris (14 TD, 15 INT) or Stephen Morris (7 TD, 9 INT)? Golden and new OC Jedd Fisch are expected to wait until mid-August to name a starter. Speedy RB Lamar Miller (6.0 YPC) should remain No. 1 on the depth chart, but slasher Mike James (5.7 YPC) and hard-nosed Storm Johnson will also figure prominently in the rushing attack. Leonard Hankerson departs, but senior WR Travis Benjamin (17.3 yds per catch) is a true playmaker. New DC Mark D'Onofrio left Temple with Golden, and is thrilled with his defense. Miami led the nation with 115 TFL and was third in pass defense (151 YPG). MLB Colin McCarthy (120 tackles) and OLB Sean Spence (16 TFL) lead a strong front seven, but both starting corners must be replaced.

NORTH CAROLINA

Offense: Pro Style - Starters Returning: 6
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 6

Uncertainty surrounds the 2011 Tar Heels. Sophomore QB Bryn Renner only has two career pass attempts, but will try to fill the shoes of T.J. Yates. The 6-foot-3 Renner also has dynamic senior WR Dwight Jones (62 rec, 946 yds, 4 TD) as his favorite target. But the two other players with 30+ catches last year, TEs Zack Pianalto and Ryan Taylor have both graduated. UNC ranked 94th in rushing offense (126 YPG) and lost three RB that totaled 1,653 rushing yards last year. Senior RB Ryan Houston led the Heels with 713 rushing yards and 9 TD in 2009 before redshirting in 2010. He broke his shoulder blade in the spring game, but is expected back on the field in August. The D-Line remains intact and very good with Quinton Coples (10 sacks) and Donte Paige-Moss (7 sacks), but MLB Kevin Reddick (74 tackles) is one of two returning starters in the back seven.

NORTH CAROLINA STATE

Offense: Pro Style - Starters Returning: 6
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 8

The Wolfpack lose QB Russell Wilson, the ACC's total offense leader, but head coach Tom O'Brien loves 6-foot-6 junior Mike Glennon, even comparing him to former BC great Matt Ryan with his throwing motion and intangibles. Glennon will need to be a leader as NC State loses its top three receivers from last year. Senior WRs T.J. Graham (25 rec) and Steven Howard (12 rec) will likely be the starters. The rushing attack should improve its No. 95 rank (123 YPG) with a healthy Mustafa Greene (869 total yds, 6 TD). The opportunistic defense, which tied for fourth in the nation in sacks (41) and forced 36 fumbles last year, returns eight starters. OLBs Audie Cole (86 tackles, 10 TFL) and Terrell Manning (75 tackles, 10.5 TFL) lead this unit, which lost two starting linemen, but returns all four starters in the secondary.

VIRGINIA

Offense: Pro Style - Starters Returning: 8
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 9

Mike London's first year as head coach didn't turn out so well (4-8, 1-7 in ACC), and now he loses graduated leaders in QB Marc Verica, RB Keith Payne and WR Dontrelle Inman. Four QBs (two freshmen, two sophomores) will all compete to start. TB Perry Jones (870 total yds, 4.7 YPC) and WR Kris Burd (58 rec, 799 yds, 5 TD) will be the keys on offense. The defense had problems adjusting to the 4-3 last year, but most of the unit returns to hopefully improve on 204 rushing YPG allowed and just five fumble turnovers. The strength of the defense will be its three leading tacklers – SLB LaRoy Reynolds (66 tackles), MLB Steve Greer (59 tackles) and WLB Ausar Walcott (56 tackles). Senior DE Cam Johnson (6.5 sacks, 14.5 TFL) needs a few of his linemates to help out, and take pressure off an improving secondary.

VIRGINIA TECH

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 6
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 6

Although many players are gone from this team that won 11 straight games (after losing to James Madison), the Hokies still have the talent to win the ACC. They led the nation with a +19 turnover margin and had a scoring margin of +13.3 PPG. Sophomore Logan Thomas takes over for Tyrod Taylor, the winningest QB in school history. Thomas had a superb spring, and will benefit from four senior offensive linemen protecting him. He also has a strong supporting cast with RB David Wilson (11 total TD, 5.5 YPC) and senior WRs Jarrett Boykin (53 rec, 847 yds, 6 TD) and Danny Coale (732 rec yds, 18.8 avg). The defensive line will miss DE Steven Friday, but DEs J.R. Collins (5 sacks) and James Gayle (4 sacks) are capable of bigger things. Bruce Taylor (91 tackles, 6 sacks, 15.5 TFL) leads a solid linebacker corps, and the secondary is excellent with CB Jayron Hosley (FBS-best 9 INT).

WAKE FOREST

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 7
Defense: Base 34 - Starters Returning: 9

The cupboard is pretty bare for Jim Grobe's Wake Forest team coming off a 3-9 season. The offense ranked 108th in yards (302 YPG) and 91st in points (22.8 PPG), while the defense was 101st in yardage (431 YPG) and 110th in scoring (35.8 PPG). QB Tanner Price (7 TD, 8 INT) finished his freshman year with no TD tosses in his final four games, a span of 85 pass attempts. It doesn't help Price that his receiving corps is paper-thin behind Chris Givens (35 rec, 514 yds, 4 TD). But TB Josh Harris (720 rush yds, 5.7 YPC, 7 TD) should be able to help sustain a decent ground game. The D-Line is still suspect, but undersized DT Nikita Whitlock is highly skilled, and Grobe thinks DE Zach Thompson can play at an All-ACC level. Wake lost its two ILBs, and will depend on OLB Kyle Wilber (6 sacks, 14.5 TFL) even more. The secondary, which started three freshmen to close the season, is still subpar.

> ACC



BOSTON COLLEGE

StatFox.com Power Rating: 38 (#58 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +0.6 (#68 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 37.23 (42nd toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 39.33 (29th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -1.1 (#72 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 7–6
2010 ATS Record: 6–7
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 2–10

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	NORTHWESTERN
9/10	at UCF
9/17	DUKE
9/24	MASSACHUSETTS
10/1	WAKE FOREST
10/8	at Clemson
10/22	at Virginia Tech
10/29	at Maryland
11/3	FLORIDA ST
11/12	NC STATE
11/19	at Notre Dame
11/25	at Miami

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	11–3	9–5	8–5	7–6	35–19	64.8%
OVERALL ATS	6–6	7–6	6–6	6–7	25–25	50.0%
HOME ATS	3–2	4–2	5–1	1–6	13–11	54.2%
ROAD ATS	3–4	3–4	1–5	5–1	12–14	46.2%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	5–3	4–5	3–5	4–4	16–17	48.5%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	1–3	3–1	3–1	2–3	9–8	52.9%
AS FAVORITE ATS	3–5	6–5	4–3	2–3	15–16	48.4%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	3–1	1–1	2–3	4–3	10–8	55.6%
OVER-UNDER	6–7	8–5	5–7	2–10	21–29	42.0%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	18.5	109
First Downs	15.0	116
Yards/Game	299.1	109
RushYds/Gm	127.9	90
Pass Yds/Gm	171.15	97
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	19.5	19
Yards/Game	309.7	13
RushYds/Gm	82.8	1
Pass Yds/Gm	226.9	76
Takeaways	33	7

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	at Kent St	W 21-0	W (-11)	U (47½)
9/6	GEORGIA TECH	L 16-19	L (-6½)	U (38)
9/20	UCF	W 34-7	W (-10)	O (40)
9/27	RHODE ISLAND	W 42-0		
10/4	at NC State	W 38-31	L (-7½)	O (42)
10/18	VIRGINIA TECH	W 28-23	W (-3)	O (39½)
10/25	at N Carolina	L 24-45	L (+3)	O (41½)
11/1	CLEMSON	L 21-27	L (-2½)	O (43½)
11/8	NOTRE DAME	W 17-0	W (-3)	U (46)
11/15	at Florida St	W 27-17	W (+6½)	U (45)
11/22	at Wake Forest	W 24-21	W (-1½)	O (40½)
11/29	MARYLAND	W 28-21	W (-6½)	O (42½)
12/6	vs Virginia Tech	L 12-30	L (-1)	O (38)
12/31	vs Vanderbilt	L 14-16	L (-5)	U (40)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	NORTHEASTERN	W 54-0		
9/12	KENT ST	W 34-7	W (-21)	U (45)
9/19	at Clemson	L 7-25	L (+9)	U (44½)
9/26	WAKE FOREST	W 27-24	W (-1)	O (41)
10/3	FLORIDA ST	W 28-21	W (+3½)	O (45)
10/10	at Virginia Tech	L 14-48	L (+13½)	O (44½)
10/17	NC STATE	W 52-20	W (-2½)	O (48½)
10/24	at Notre Dame	L 16-20	W (+8)	U (53½)
10/31	C MICHIGAN	W 31-10	W (-5½)	U (48)
11/14	at Virginia	W 14-10	L (-4½)	U (44)
11/21	N CAROLINA	L 13-31	L (-3)	O (39½)
11/28	at Maryland	W 19-17	L (-5)	U (45½)
12/26	vs USC	L 13-24	L (+7½)	U (45)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	WEBER ST	W 38-20	L (-30½)	
9/11	KENT ST	W 26-13	L (-17)	U (47)
9/25	VIRGINIA TECH	L 0-19	L (+3½)	U (45½)
10/2	NOTRE DAME	L 13-31	L (+3)	U (46½)
10/9	at NC State	L 17-44	L (+9)	O (48)
10/16	at Florida St	L 19-24	W (+22½)	U (48½)
10/23	MARYLAND	L 21-24	L (-4½)	O (41)
10/30	CLEMSON	W 16-10	W (+7)	U (42)
11/6	at Wake Forest	W 23-13	W (-4)	U (48)
11/13	at Duke	W 21-16	W (-3)	U (51)
11/20	VIRGINIA	W 17-13	L (-7)	U (44½)
11/27	at Syracuse	W 16-7	W (+3½)	U (38)
1/9	vs Nevada	L 13-20	W (+7½)	U (54)

> ACC



CLEMSON

StatFox.com Power Rating: 43 (#40 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +7.2 (#41 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 39.31 (23rd toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 41.42 (9th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +5.2 (#47 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 6–7
2010 ATS Record: 5–8
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 2–10

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	TROY
9/10	WOFFORD
9/17	AUBURN
9/24	FLORIDA ST
10/1	at Virginia Tech
10/8	BOSTON COLLEGE
10/15	at Maryland
10/22	N CAROLINA
10/29	at Georgia Tech
11/12	WAKE FOREST
11/19	at NC State
11/26	at S Carolina

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	9–4	7–6	9–5	6–7	31–22	58.5%
OVERALL ATS	6–6	4–7	8–5	5–8	23–26	46.9%
HOME ATS	3–3	2–3	4–2	2–5	11–13	45.8%
ROAD ATS	3–3	2–4	4–3	3–3	12–13	48.0%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	5–3	3–5	6–3	4–4	18–15	54.5%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	1–3	1–2	2–2	1–4	5–11	31.3%
AS FAVORITE ATS	5–6	2–4	6–4	3–5	16–19	45.7%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	1–0	2–3	2–1	2–3	7–7	50.0%
OVER-UNDER	6–6	3–8	8–5	2–10	19–29	39.6%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	24.0	86
First Downs	18.0	88
Yards/Game	334.6	88
RushYds/Gm	139.0	79
Pass Yds/Gm	195.62	78
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	18.8	13
Yards/Game	320.3	19
RushYds/Gm	128.5	28
Pass Yds/Gm	191.9	22
Takeaways	20	72

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	vs Alabama	L 10-34	L (-4½)	U (46)
9/6	THE CITADEL	W 45-17		
9/13	NC STATE	W 27-9	L (-21½)	U (46)
9/20	S CAROLINA ST	W 54-0		
9/27	MARYLAND	L 17-20	L (-12)	U (49½)
10/9	at Wake Forest	L 7-12	L (-1)	U (42)
10/18	GEORGIA TECH	L 17-21	L (+2)	U (41)
11/1	at Boston College	W 27-21	W (+2½)	O (43½)
11/8	at Florida St	L 27-41	L (+3)	O (44½)
11/15	DUKE	W 31-7	W (-10)	U (46½)
11/22	at Virginia	W 13-3	W (-2½)	U (43)
11/29	S CAROLINA	W 31-14	W (pk)	O (40)
1/1	vs Nebraska	L 21-26	L (pk)	U (54½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	MIDDLE TENN ST	W 37-14	W (-18½)	O (47½)
9/10	at Georgia Tech	L 27-30	W (+5)	O (43)
9/19	BOSTON COLLEGE	W 25-7	W (-9)	U (44½)
9/26	TCU	L 10-14	L (-2)	U (41)
10/3	at Maryland	L 21-24	L (-12½)	U (48)
10/17	WAKE FOREST	W 38-3	W (-8)	U (47½)
10/24	at Miami	W 40-37	W (+4)	O (42)
10/31	COASTAL CAROLINA	W 49-3		
11/7	FLORIDA ST	W 40-24	W (-8½)	O (57)
11/14	at NC State	W 43-23	W (-8)	O (55)
11/21	VIRGINIA	W 34-21	L (-20½)	O (45)
11/28	at S Carolina	L 17-34	L (-3)	O (44)
12/5	vs Georgia Tech	L 34-39	L (+1)	O (56½)
12/27	vs Kentucky	W 21-13	W (-6½)	U (52½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	NORTH TEXAS	W 35-10	L (-28)	U (54½)
9/11	PRESBYTERIAN	W 58-21	L (-48)	
9/18	at Auburn	L 24-27	W (+8)	U (54½)
10/2	MIAMI	L 21-30	L (+4)	O (48½)
10/9	at N Carolina	L 16-21	L (+2)	U (51)
10/16	MARYLAND	W 31-7	W (-14½)	U (48)
10/23	GEORGIA TECH	W 27-13	W (-4)	U (51)
10/30	at Boston College	L 10-16	L (-7)	U (42)
11/6	NC STATE	W 14-13	L (-4)	U (54)
11/13	at Florida St	L 13-16	W (+6)	U (46)
11/20	at Wake Forest	W 30-10	W (-14)	U (48½)
11/27	S CAROLINA	L 7-29	L (+2½)	U (45)
12/31	vs S Florida	L 26-31	L (-5)	O (40½)

> ACC



DUKE

StatFox.com Power Rating: 30 (#79 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -6.9 (#93 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 37.67 (40th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 37.42 (44th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -10.2 (#98 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 3–9
2010 ATS Record: 6–5–1
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 5–6

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	RICHMOND
9/10	STANFORD
9/17	at Boston College
9/24	TULANE
10/1	at FLA International
10/15	FLORIDA ST
10/22	WAKE FOREST
10/29	VIRGINIA TECH
11/5	at Miami
11/12	at Virginia
11/19	GEORGIA TECH
11/26	at N Carolina

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	1–11	4–8	5–7	3–9	13–35	27.1%
OVERALL ATS	6–6	6–5	5–5	6–5	23–21	52.3%
HOME ATS	1–4	3–3	1–3	4–3	9–13	40.9%
ROAD ATS	5–2	3–2	4–2	2–2	14–8	63.6%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	4–4	3–5	4–3	4–3	15–15	50.0%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	2–2	3–0	1–2	2–2	8–6	57.1%
AS FAVORITE ATS	0–0	2–1	1–0	0–1	3–2	60.0%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	6–6	4–4	4–4	5–4	19–18	51.4%
OVER-UNDER	6–6	4–6	5–4	5–6	20–22	47.6%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	25.3	77
First Downs	19.9	58
Yards/Game	381.3	59
RushYds/Gm	110.0	104
Pass Yds/Gm	271.33	22
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	35.4	109
Yards/Game	450.1	108
RushYds/Gm	208.3	113
Pass Yds/Gm	241.8	96
Takeaways	17	94

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	JAMES MADISON	W 31-7		
9/6	NORTHWESTERN	L 20-24	W (+5½)	P (44)
9/13	NAVY	W 41-31	W (-2½)	O (57½)
9/27	VIRGINIA	W 31-3	W (-7)	U (45)
10/4	at Georgia Tech	L 0-27	L (+13)	U (49)
10/18	MIAMI	L 31-49	L (+3)	O (40½)
10/25	at Vanderbilt	W 10-7	W (+9½)	U (42)
11/1	at Wake Forest	L 30-33	W (+8)	O (41½)
11/8	NC STATE	L 17-27	L (-4)	U (48½)
11/15	at Clemson	L 7-31	L (+10)	U (46½)
11/22	at Virginia Tech	L 3-14	W (+14½)	U (43)
11/29	N CAROLINA	L 20-28	L (+7)	O (44)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	RICHMOND	W 16-24		
9/12	at Army	W 35-19	W (-2)	O (44)
9/19	at Kansas	L 16-44	L (+23½)	O (51½)
9/26	NC CENTRAL	W 49-14	L (-41)	
10/3	VIRGINIA TECH	L 26-34	W (+17)	O (48½)
10/10	at NC State	W 49-28	W (+15½)	O (54)
10/24	MARYLAND	W 17-13	P (-4)	U (54½)
10/31	at Virginia	W 28-17	W (+7½)	U (48)
11/7	at N Carolina	L 6-19	L (+9½)	U (45)
11/14	GEORGIA TECH	L 10-49	L (+13½)	P (59)
11/21	at Miami	L 16-34	W (+19)	U (56½)
11/28	WAKE FOREST	L 34-45	L (+3½)	O (53)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	ELON	W 41-27	W (-7)	
9/11	at Wake Forest	L 48-54	L (+5½)	O (52½)
9/18	ALABAMA	L 13-62	L (+23½)	O (57)
9/25	ARMY	L 21-35	L (-6)	O (54½)
10/2	at Maryland	L 16-21	W (+9)	U (61)
10/16	MIAMI	L 13-28	W (+15½)	U (57)
10/23	at Virginia Tech	L 7-44	L (+27)	U (64)
10/30	at Navy	W 34-31	W (+12½)	O (56½)
11/6	VIRGINIA	W 55-48	W (pk)	O (55½)
11/13	BOSTON COLLEGE	L 16-21	L (+3)	U (51)
11/20	at Georgia Tech	L 20-30	P (+10)	U (61)
11/27	N CAROLINA	L 19-24	W (+8½)	U (59)

> ACC



FLORIDA STATE

StatFox.com Power Rating: 53 (#12 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +14.5 (#18 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 41.29 (10th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 36.83 (46th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +11.7 (#22 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 10–4
2010 ATS Record: 8–6
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 4–9

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	LA MONROE
9/10	CHARLESTON SOUT
9/17	OKLAHOMA
9/24	at Clemson
10/8	at Wake Forest
10/15	at Duke
10/22	MARYLAND
10/29	NC STATE
11/3	at Boston College
11/12	MIAMI
11/19	VIRGINIA
11/26	at Florida

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	7–6	9–4	7–6	10–4	33–20	62.3%
OVERALL ATS	5–7	6–5	4–9	8–6	23–27	46.0%
HOME ATS	2–3	3–3	0–6	4–3	9–15	37.5%
ROAD ATS	3–4	3–2	4–3	4–3	14–12	53.8%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	3–5	4–4	2–6	4–5	13–20	39.4%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	2–2	2–1	2–3	4–1	10–7	58.8%
AS FAVORITE ATS	4–5	5–3	0–6	5–4	14–18	43.8%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	1–2	1–2	4–2	2–2	8–8	50.0%
OVER-UNDER	6–7	7–4	8–4	4–9	25–24	51.0%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	31.4	33
First Downs	19.6	62
Yards/Game	381.3	60
RushYds/Gm	171.4	39
Pass Yds/Gm	209.86	68
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	19.6	20
Yards/Game	353.7	42
RushYds/Gm	128.7	29
Pass Yds/Gm	225.0	71
Takeaways	27	26

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/6	W CAROLINA	W 69-0		
9/13	UT-CHATTANOOGA	W 46-7		
9/20	WAKE FOREST	L 3-12	L (-4)	U (45)
9/27	COLORADO	W 39-21	W (-6½)	O (42)
10/4	at Miami	W 41-39	W (pk)	O (40½)
10/16	at NC State	W 26-17	L (-11)	U (48)
10/25	VIRGINIA TECH	W 30-20	W (-7)	O (44½)
11/1	at Georgia Tech	L 28-31	L (+2½)	O (41½)
11/8	CLEMSON	W 41-27	W (-3)	O (44½)
11/15	BOSTON COLLEGE	L 17-27	L (-6½)	U (45)
11/22	at Maryland	W 37-3	W (-1½)	U (48½)
11/29	FLORIDA	L 15-45	L (+16½)	O (53)
12/27	vs Wisconsin	W 42-13	W (-7)	O (50½))

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/7	MIAMI	L 34-38	L (-6½)	O (46½)
9/12	JACKSONVILLE ST	W 19-9	L (-34)	
9/19	at BYU	W 54-28	W (+8½)	O (54)
9/26	S FLORIDA	L 7-17	L (-13½)	U (51)
10/3	at Boston College	L 21-28	L (-3½)	O (45)
10/10	GEORGIA TECH	L 44-49	L (-3)	O (56)
10/22	at N Carolina	W 30-27	W (+1½)	O (47½)
10/31	NC STATE	W 45-42	L (-10½)	O (66)
11/7	at Clemson	L 24-40	L (+8½)	O (57)
11/14	at Wake Forest	W 41-28	W (+4½)	O (57)
11/21	MARYLAND	W 29-26	L (-16½)	U (58)
11/28	at Florida	L 10-37	L (+26)	U (57)
1/1	vs W Virginia	W 33-21	W (+3)	U (56)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	SAMFORD	W 59-6	W (-36½)	
9/11	at Oklahoma	L 17-47	L (+6½)	O (58)
9/18	BYU	W 34-10	W (-10½)	U (59½)
9/25	WAKE FOREST	W 31-0	W (-20)	U (61½)
10/2	at Virginia	W 34-14	W (-6½)	U (49½)
10/9	at Miami	W 45-17	W (+5½)	O (49½)
10/16	BOSTON COLLEGE	W 24-19	L (-22½)	U (48½)
10/28	at NC State	L 24-28	L (-4)	U (58½)
11/6	N CAROLINA	L 35-37	L (-11)	O (51)
11/13	CLEMSON	W 16-13	L (-6)	U (46)
11/20	at Maryland	W 30-16	W (-4)	U (53)
11/27	FLORIDA	W 31-7	W (-2½)	U (51)
12/4	vs Virginia Tech	L 33-44	L (+4½)	O (51)
12/31	vs S Carolina	W 26-17	W (+2)	U (53½)

> ACC

GEORGIA TECH

StatFox.com Power Rating: 41 (#47 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -1.5 (#74 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 35.77 (56th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 37.17 (45th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +0.8 (#64 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 6-7
2010 ATS Record: 5-7-1
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 5-7

2011 SCHEDULE

9/1	W CAROLINA
9/10	at Middle Tenn st
9/17	KANSAS
9/24	N CAROLINA
10/1	at NC State
10/8	MARYLAND
10/15	at Virginia
10/22	at Miami
10/29	CLEMSON
11/10	VIRGINIA TECH
11/19	at Duke
11/26	GEORGIA

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	7-6	9-4	11-3	6-7	33-20	62.3%
OVERALL ATS	4-7	8-3	8-5	5-7	25-22	53.2%
HOME ATS	1-4	4-1	2-3	2-3	9-11	45.0%
ROAD ATS	3-3	4-2	6-2	3-4	16-11	59.3%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	3-5	6-2	6-3	3-4	18-14	56.3%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	1-2	2-1	2-2	2-3	7-8	46.7%
AS FAVORITE ATS	2-6	5-2	6-4	2-3	15-15	50.0%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	2-1	3-1	2-1	3-3	10-6	62.5%
OVER-UNDER	5-6	4-7	5-7	5-7	19-27	41.3%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	26.0	71
First Downs	20.4	50
Yards/Game	407.2	36
RushYds/Gm	323.3	1
Pass Yds/Gm	83.92	119
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	25.2	57
Yards/Game	371.6	64
RushYds/Gm	169.7	78
Pass Yds/Gm	201.9	34
Takeaways	21	69

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/28	JACKSONVILLE ST	W 41-14		
9/6	at Boston College	W 19-16	W (+6½)	U (38)
9/13	at Virginia Tech	L 17-20	W (+6½)	U (40½)
9/20	MISSISSIPPI ST	W 38-7	W (-7)	O (38)
10/4	DUKE	W 27-0	W (-13)	U (49)
10/11	GARDNER WEBB	W 10-0		
10/18	at Clemson	W 21-17	W (-2)	U (41)
10/25	VIRGINIA	L 17-24	L (-14)	U (42½)
11/1	FLORIDA ST	W 31-28	W (-2½)	O (41½)
11/8	at N Carolina	L 7-28	L (+5)	U (43)
11/20	MIAMI	W 41-23	W (-3)	O (42½)
11/29	at Georgia	W 45-42	W (+8)	O (50)
12/31	vs LSU	L 3-38	L (-4)	U (53)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	JACKSONVILLE ST	W 37-17		
9/10	CLEMSON	W 30-27	L (-5)	O (43)
9/17	at Miami	L 17-33	L (+4)	U (54)
9/26	N CAROLINA	W 24-7	W (-3)	U (46)
10/3	at Mississippi St	W 42-31	W (-5½)	O (48)
10/10	at Florida St	W 49-44	W (+3)	O (56)
10/17	VIRGINIA TECH	W 28-23	W (+3½)	U (54)
10/24	at Virginia	W 34-9	W (-5½)	U (48)
10/31	at Vanderbilt	W 56-31	W (-13½)	O (48)
11/7	WAKE FOREST	W 30-27	L (-13½)	U (60)
11/14	at Duke	W 49-10	W (-13½)	P (59)
11/28	GEORGIA	L 24-30	L (-9½)	U (57)
12/5	vs Clemson	W 39-34	W (-1)	O (56½)
1/5	vs Iowa	L 14-24	L (-6)	U (51)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	S CAROLINA ST	W 41-10	L (-34)	
9/11	at Kansas	L 25-28	L (-14)	O (51)
9/18	at N Carolina	W 30-24	W (+3)	O (52)
9/25	NC STATE	L 28-45	L (-8½)	O (60)
10/2	at Wake Forest	W 24-20	L (-9)	U (61½)
10/9	VIRGINIA	W 33-21	W (-10)	O (50)
10/16	MIDDLE TENN ST	W 42-14	W (-18½)	U (58½)
10/23	at Clemson	L 13-27	L (+4)	U (51)
11/4	at Virginia Tech	L 21-28	W (+13)	U (56)
11/13	MIAMI	L 10-35	L (+2½)	U (50)
11/20	DUKE	W 30-20	P (-10)	U (61)
11/27	at Georgia	L 34-42	W (+14)	O (58)
12/27	vs Air Force	L 7-14	L (+3)	U (55)

> ACC

MARYLAND

StatFox.com Power Rating: 44 (#35 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +11.0 (#31 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 34.92 (61st toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 38.25 (39th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +10.1 (#27 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 9-4
2010 ATS Record: 9-4
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 7-4-1

2011 SCHEDULE

9/5	MIAMI
9/17	W VIRGINIA
9/24	TEMPLE
10/1	TOWSON
10/8	at Georgia Tech
10/15	CLEMSON
10/22	at Florida St
10/29	BOSTON COLLEGE
11/5	VIRGINIA
11/12	at Notre Dame
11/19	at Wake Forest
11/26	at NC State

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	6-7	8-5	2-10	9-4	25-26	49.0%
OVERALL ATS	4-8	6-6	4-7	9-4	23-25	47.9%
HOME ATS	2-3	4-2	2-5	4-2	12-12	50.0%
ROAD ATS	2-5	2-4	2-2	5-2	11-13	45.8%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	3-5	3-5	4-3	5-3	15-16	48.4%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	1-3	3-1	0-4	4-1	8-9	47.1%
AS FAVORITE ATS	0-2	1-3	0-2	5-1	6-8	42.9%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	4-6	5-3	4-4	4-3	17-16	51.5%
OVER-UNDER	4-8	5-7	4-7	7-4	20-26	43.5%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	32.2	29
First Downs	17.4	97
Yards/Game	352.9	80
RushYds/Gm	138.2	82
Pass Yds/Gm	214.69	65
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	22.2	38
Yards/Game	352.3	39
RushYds/Gm	124.5	21
Pass Yds/Gm	227.9	78
Takeaways	29	18

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	DELAWARE	W 14-7		
9/6	at Middle Tenn st	L 14-24	L (-12½)	U (44½)
9/13	CALIFORNIA	W 35-27	W (+13½)	O (54)
9/20	E MICHIGAN	W 51-24	W (-21½)	O (52)
9/27	at Clemson	W 20-17	W (+12)	U (49½)
10/4	at Virginia	L 0-31	L (-12½)	U (45)
10/18	WAKE FOREST	W 26-0	W (+1½)	U (44½)
10/25	NC STATE	W 27-24	L (-12)	O (47)
11/6	at Virginia Tech	L 13-23	L (+3)	U (41½)
11/15	N CAROLINA	W 17-15	W (+3)	U (44½)
11/22	FLORIDA ST	L 3-37	L (+1½)	U (48½)
11/29	at Boston College	L 21-28	L (+6½)	O (42½)
12/30	vs Nevada	W 42-35	W (+2)	O (61)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	at California	L 13-52	L (+21)	O (50½)
9/12	JAMES MADISON	W 38-35	L (-10)	
9/19	MIDDLE TENN ST	L 31-32	L (-7½)	O (52½)
9/26	RUTGERS	L 13-34	L (-1)	U (49)
10/3	CLEMSON	W 24-21	W (+12½)	U (48)
10/10	at Wake Forest	L 32-42	W (+13½)	O (52½)
10/17	VIRGINIA	L 9-20	L (+3½)	U (45)
10/24	at Duke	L 13-17	P (+4)	U (54½)
11/7	at NC State	L 31-38	L (+6½)	O (55½)
11/14	VIRGINIA TECH	L 9-36	L (+20)	U (47)
11/21	at Florida St	L 26-29	W (+16½)	U (58)
11/28	BOSTON COLLEGE	L 17-19	W (+5)	U (45½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/6	vs Navy	W 17-14	W (+6)	U (49)
9/11	MORGAN ST	W 62-3	W (-36)	
9/18	at W Virginia	L 17-31	L (+10)	O (45)
9/25	FLA INTERNATIONAL	W 42-28	W (-10)	O (44)
10/2	DUKE	W 21-16	L (-9)	U (61)
10/16	at Clemson	L 7-31	L (+14½)	U (48)
10/23	at Boston College	W 24-21	W (+4½)	O (41)
10/30	WAKE FOREST	W 62-14	W (-5)	O (51½)
11/6	at Miami	L 20-26	W (+10)	P (46)
11/13	at Virginia	W 42-23	W (-3)	O (52½)
11/20	FLORIDA ST	L 16-30	L (+4)	U (53)
11/27	NC STATE	W 38-31	W (+1½)	O (54)
12/29	vs E Carolina	W 51-20	W (-8)	O (70½)

> ACC



MIAMI-FLORIDA

StatFox.com Power Rating: 45 (#32 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +7.8 (#37 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 40.77 (14th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 40.08 (22nd toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +5.6 (#44 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 7–6
2010 ATS Record: 5–8
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 4–7–1

2011 SCHEDULE

9/5	at Maryland
9/17	OHIO ST
9/24	KANSAS ST
10/1	BETHUNE-COOKMAN
10/8	at Virginia Tech
10/15	at N Carolina
10/22	GEORGIA TECH
10/27	VIRGINIA
11/5	DUKE
11/12	at Florida St
11/19	at S Florida
11/25	BOSTON COLLEGE

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	5–7	7–6	9–4	7–6	28–23	54.9%
OVERALL ATS	3–8	6–6	6–7	5–8	20–29	40.8%
HOME ATS	2–5	1–4	3–3	2–4	8–16	33.3%
ROAD ATS	1–3	5–2	3–4	3–4	12–13	48.0%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	1–6	3–5	3–5	3–5	10–21	32.3%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	2–2	3–1	3–2	2–3	10–8	55.6%
AS FAVORITE ATS	2–6	3–4	4–6	5–6	14–22	38.9%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	1–2	3–2	2–0	0–2	6–6	50.0%
OVER-UNDER	6–6	6–6	5–7	4–7	21–26	44.7%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	26.3	67
First Downs	22.2	26
Yards/Game	421.3	31
RushYds/Gm	182.5	30
Pass Yds/Gm	238.85	43
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	20.7	27
Yards/Game	323.3	22
RushYds/Gm	172.8	84
Pass Yds/Gm	150.5	3
Takeaways	28	23

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/28	CHARLESTON SOUT	W 52-7		
9/6	at Florida	L 3-26	W (+24)	U (53)
9/20	at Texas A&M	W 41-23	W (-2½)	O (40)
9/27	N CAROLINA	L 24-28	L (-7)	O (41½)
10/4	FLORIDA ST	L 39-41	L (pk)	O (40½)
10/11	UCF	W 20-14	L (-17)	U (46½)
10/18	at Duke	W 49-31	W (-3)	O (40½)
10/25	WAKE FOREST	W 16-10	W (-3)	U (41)
11/1	at Virginia	W 24-17	W (pk)	U (42½)
11/13	VIRGINIA TECH	W 16-14	L (-4)	U (41)
11/20	at Georgia Tech	L 23-41	L (+3)	O (42½)
11/29	at NC State	L 28-38	L (-2)	O (49)
12/27	vs California	L 17-24	W (+10)	U (51)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/7	at Florida St	W 38-34	W (+6½)	O (46½)
9/17	GEORGIA TECH	W 33-17	W (-4)	U (54)
9/26	at Virginia Tech	L 7-31	L (-2)	U (45½)
10/3	OKLAHOMA	W 21-20	W (+7½)	U (51)
10/10	FLORIDA AM	W 48-16	L (-36½)	
10/17	at UCF	W 27-7	W (-12½)	U (46½)
10/24	CLEMSON	L 37-40	L (-4)	O (42)
10/31	at Wake Forest	W 28-27	L (-6½)	O (52½)
11/7	VIRGINIA	W 52-17	W (-14½)	O (44)
11/14	at N Carolina	L 24-33	L (-3)	O (43)
11/21	DUKE	W 34-16	L (-19)	U (56½)
11/28	at S Florida	W 31-10	W (-3½)	U (53)
12/29	vs Wisconsin	L 14-20	L (-3½)	U (55½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/2	FLORIDA AM	W 45-0	W (-40)	
9/11	at Ohio St	L 24-36	L (+9)	O (47)
9/23	at Pittsburgh	W 31-3	W (-3½)	U (48½)
10/2	at Clemson	W 30-21	W (-4)	O (48½)
10/9	FLORIDA ST	L 17-45	L (-5½)	O (49½)
10/16	at Duke	W 28-13	L (-15½)	U (57)
10/23	N CAROLINA	W 33-10	W (-6)	U (48)
10/30	at Virginia	L 19-24	L (-14½)	U (51½)
11/6	MARYLAND	W 26-20	L (-10)	P (46)
11/13	at Georgia Tech	W 35-10	W (-2½)	U (50)
11/20	VIRGINIA TECH	L 17-31	L (+2)	U (50)
11/27	S FLORIDA	L 20-23	L (-13)	U (46½)
12/31	vs Notre Dame	L 17-33	L (-3)	O (48)

> ACC



NORTH CAROLINA

StatFox.com Power Rating: 44 (#35 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +3.8 (#54 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 38.08 (37th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 35.58 (56th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +2.1 (#60 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 8–5
2010 ATS Record: 7–6
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 5–7

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	JAMES MADISON
9/10	RUTGERS
9/17	VIRGINIA
9/24	at Georgia Tech
10/1	at E Carolina
10/8	LOUISVILLE
10/15	MIAMI
10/22	at Clemson
10/29	WAKE FOREST
11/5	at NC State
11/17	at Virginia Tech
11/26	DUKE

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	4–8	8–5	8–5	8–5	28–23	54.9%
OVERALL ATS	6–5	7–5	6–6	7–5	26–21	55.3%
HOME ATS	3–2	3–3	4–2	2–3	12–10	54.5%
ROAD ATS	3–3	4–2	2–4	5–2	14–11	56.0%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	4–4	4–4	4–4	3–5	15–17	46.9%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	2–1	3–1	2–2	4–0	11–4	73.3%
AS FAVORITE ATS	1–2	4–5	2–4	4–3	11–14	44.0%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	5–3	3–0	3–2	3–2	14–7	66.7%
OVER-UNDER	4–6	8–4	5–6	5–7	22–23	48.9%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	25.3	76
First Downs	18.9	71
Yards/Game	389.7	51
RushYds/Gm	125.7	94
Pass Yds/Gm	264	26
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	23.2	45
Yards/Game	338.5	30
RushYds/Gm	125.6	22
Pass Yds/Gm	212.9	50
Takeaways	24	45

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	MCNEESE ST	W 35-27		
9/11	at Rutgers	W 44-12	W (+6)	O (49)
9/20	VIRGINIA TECH	L 17-20	L (-3½)	U (43½)
9/27	at Miami	W 28-24	W (+7)	O (41½)
10/4	CONNECTICUT	W 38-12	W (-8)	O (45½)
10/11	NOTRE DAME	W 29-24	L (-7½)	O (47)
10/18	at Virginia	L 13-16	L (-3½)	U (44½)
10/25	BOSTON COLLEGE	W 45-24	W (-3)	O (41½)
11/8	GEORGIA TECH	W 28-7	W (-5)	U (43)
11/15	at Maryland	L 15-17	L (-3)	U (44½)
11/22	NC STATE	L 10-41	L (-13)	O (48)
11/29	at Duke	W 28-20	W (-7)	O (44)
12/27	vs W Virginia	L 30-31	W (+2½)	O (47½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	THE CITADEL	W 40-6		
9/12	at Connecticut	W 12-10	L (-5½)	U (41½)
9/19	E CAROLINA	W 31-17	W (-8)	O (43½)
9/26	at Georgia Tech	L 7-24	L (+3)	U (46)
10/3	VIRGINIA	L 3-16	L (-12)	U (43)
10/10	GA SOUTHERN	W 42-12	W (-25½)	
10/22	FLORIDA ST	L 27-30	L (-1½)	O (47½)
10/29	at Virginia Tech	W 20-17	W (+14½)	U (43)
11/7	DUKE	W 19-6	W (-9½)	U (45)
11/14	MIAMI	W 33-24	W (+3)	O (43)
11/21	at Boston College	W 31-13	W (+3)	O (39½)
11/28	at NC State	L 27-28	L (-6)	O (49)
12/26	vs Pittsburgh	L 17-19	L (+1)	U (46)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	vs LSU	L 24-30	W (+7½)	O (43)
9/18	GEORGIA TECH	L 24-30	L (-3)	O (52)
9/25	at Rutgers	W 17-13	W (-3)	U (44)
10/2	E CAROLINA	W 42-17	W (-14½)	U (61)
10/9	CLEMSON	W 21-16	W (-2)	U (51)
10/16	at Virginia	W 44-10	W (-6)	O (46)
10/23	at Miami	L 10-33	L (+6)	U (48)
10/30	WILLIAM & MARY	W 21-17	L (-20)	
11/6	at Florida St	W 37-35	W (+11)	O (51)
11/13	VIRGINIA TECH	L 10-26	L (+3)	U (51½)
11/20	NC STATE	L 25-29	L (-2)	U (55½)
11/27	at Duke	W 24-19	L (-8½)	U (59)
12/30	vs Tennessee	W 30-27	W (+1)	O (50)

> ACC



NORTH CAROLINA STATE

StatFox.com Power Rating: 48 (#22 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +11.8 (#22 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 38.08 (37th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 33.42 (71st toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +10.5 (#26 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 9–4
2010 ATS Record: 10–3
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 4–8

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	LIBERTY
9/10	at Wake Forest
9/17	S ALABAMA
9/22	at Cincinnati
10/1	GEORGIA TECH
10/8	C MICHIGAN
10/22	at Virginia
10/29	at Florida St
11/5	N CAROLINA
11/12	at Boston College
11/19	CLEMSON
11/26	MARYLAND

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	5–7	6–7	5–7	9–4	25–25	50.0%
OVERALL ATS	5–6	9–2	6–6	10–3	30–17	63.8%
HOME ATS	2–4	5–1	5–3	5–1	17–9	65.4%
ROAD ATS	3–2	4–1	1–3	5–2	13–8	61.9%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	4–4	8–0	3–5	6–2	21–11	65.6%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	1–2	1–2	3–1	4–1	9–6	60.0%
AS FAVORITE ATS	1–2	0–0	1–2	3–2	5–6	45.5%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	4–4	9–2	3–4	6–1	22–11	66.7%
OVER-UNDER	5–5	6–6	8–2	4–8	23–21	52.3%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	31.9	32
First Downs	23.3	19
Yards/Game	404.5	39
RushYds/Gm	123.3	95
Pass Yds/Gm	281.15	18
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	21.3	29
Yards/Game	339.4	31
RushYds/Gm	114.3	14
Pass Yds/Gm	225.1	72
Takeaways	29	18

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/28	at S Carolina	L 0-34	L (+14)	U (43½)
9/6	WILLIAM & MARY	W 34-24		
9/13	at Clemson	L 9-27	W (+21½)	U (46)
9/20	E CAROLINA	W 30-24	W (+7)	O (43½)
9/27	S FLORIDA	L 10-41	L (+10)	O (45½)
10/4	BOSTON COLLEGE	L 31-38	W (+7½)	O (42)
10/16	FLORIDA ST	L 17-26	W (+11)	U (48)
10/25	at Maryland	L 24-27	W (+12)	O (47)
11/8	at Duke	W 27-17	W (+4)	U (48½)
11/15	WAKE FOREST	W 21-17	W (+3½)	U (43½)
11/22	at N Carolina	W 41-10	W (+13)	O (48)
11/29	MIAMI	W 38-28	W (+2)	O (49)
12/29	vs Rutgers	L 23-29	P (+6)	U (57½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/3	S CAROLINA	L 3-7	L (-5½)	U (48)
9/12	MURRAY ST	W 65-7	W (-41)	
9/19	GARDNER WEBB	W 45-7	W (-31)	
9/26	PITTSBURGH	W 38-31	W (+1)	O (48)
10/3	at Wake Forest	L 24-30	L (+3)	O (50)
10/10	DUKE	L 28-49	L (-15½)	O (54)
10/17	at Boston College	L 20-52	L (+2½)	O (48½)
10/31	at Florida St	L 42-45	W (+10½)	O (66)
11/7	MARYLAND	W 38-31	W (-6½)	O (55½)
11/14	CLEMSON	L 23-43	L (+8)	O (55)
11/21	at Virginia Tech	L 10-38	L (+21)	U (58½)
11/28	N CAROLINA	W 28-27	W (+6)	O (49)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	W CAROLINA	W 48-7	W (-33)	
9/11	at UCF	W 28-21	W (+3)	U (52)
9/16	CINCINNATI	W 30-19	W (-2)	U (54)
9/25	at Georgia Tech	W 45-28	W (+8½)	O (60)
10/2	VIRGINIA TECH	L 30-41	L (+4)	O (53½)
10/9	BOSTON COLLEGE	W 44-17	W (-9)	O (48)
10/16	at E Carolina	L 27-33	L (-7½)	U (69½)
10/28	FLORIDA ST	W 28-24	W (+4)	U (58½)
11/6	at Clemson	L 13-14	W (+4)	U (54)
11/13	WAKE FOREST	W 38-3	W (-20)	U (61)
11/20	at N Carolina	W 29-25	W (+2)	U (55½)
11/27	at Maryland	L 31-38	L (-1½)	O (54)
12/28	vs W Virginia	W 23-7	W (+3)	U (49½)

> ACC



VIRGINIA

StatFox.com Power Rating: 31 (#76 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -1.8 (#75 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 32.67 (76th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 38.00 (40th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -2.9 (#82 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 4–8
2010 ATS Record: 6–6
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 5–5

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	WILLIAM & MARY
9/10	at Indiana
9/17	at N Carolina
9/24	SOUTHERN MISS
10/1	IDAHO
10/15	GEORGIA TECH
10/22	NC STATE
10/27	at Miami
11/5	at Maryland
11/12	DUKE
11/19	at Florida St
11/26	VIRGINIA TECH

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	9–4	5–7	3–9	4–8	21–28	42.9%
OVERALL ATS	6–7	5–6	6–5	6–6	23–24	48.9%
HOME ATS	2–4	3–3	2–4	4–3	11–14	44.0%
ROAD ATS	4–3	2–3	4–1	2–3	12–10	54.5%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	4–4	4–4	4–4	2–6	14–18	43.8%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	2–3	1–2	2–1	4–0	9–6	60.0%
AS FAVORITE ATS	1–6	0–0	2–1	2–0	5–7	41.7%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	5–1	5–6	4–4	3–6	17–17	50.0%
OVER-UNDER	6–5	4–7	6–5	5–5	21–22	48.8%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	25.3	75
First Downs	21.3	39
Yards/Game	404.8	37
RushYds/Gm	139.3	77
Pass Yds/Gm	265.5	25
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	28.3	70
Yards/Game	396.1	78
RushYds/Gm	203.7	106
Pass Yds/Gm	192.4	25
Takeaways	16	102

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	USC	L 7-52	L (+20½)	O (43)
9/6	RICHMOND	W 16-0		
9/13	at Connecticut	L 10-45	L (+10½)	O (40½)
9/27	at Duke	L 3-31	L (+7)	U (45)
10/4	MARYLAND	W 31-0	W (+12½)	U (45)
10/11	E CAROLINA	W 35-20	W (+5½)	O (44)
10/18	N CAROLINA	W 16-13	W (+3½)	U (44½)
10/25	at Georgia Tech	W 24-17	W (+14)	U (42½)
11/1	MIAMI	L 17-24	L (pk)	U (42½)
11/8	at Wake Forest	L 17-28	L (+5½)	O (40)
11/22	CLEMSON	L 3-13	L (+2½)	U (43)
11/29	at Virginia Tech	L 14-17	W (+7)	U (39)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	WILLIAM & MARY	W 14-26		
9/12	TCU	L 14-30	L (+11)	O (42)
9/19	at Southern Miss	L 34-37	W (+13½)	O (46½)
10/3	at N Carolina	W 16-3	W (+12)	U (43)
10/10	INDIANA	W 47-7	W (-7)	O (47)
10/17	at Maryland	W 20-9	W (-3½)	U (45)
10/24	GEORGIA TECH	L 9-34	L (+5½)	U (48)
10/31	DUKE	L 17-28	L (-7½)	U (48)
11/7	at Miami	L 17-52	L (+14½)	O (44)
11/14	BOSTON COLLEGE	L 10-14	W (+4½)	U (44)
11/21	at Clemson	L 21-34	W (+20½)	O (45)
11/28	VIRGINIA TECH	L 13-42	L (+14)	O (44)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	RICHMOND	W 34-13	W (-7)	
9/11	at USC	L 14-17	W (+20½)	U (53)
9/25	VMI	W 48-7	W (-40½)	
10/2	FLORIDA ST	L 14-34	L (+6½)	U (49½)
10/9	at Georgia Tech	L 21-33	L (+10)	O (50)
10/16	N CAROLINA	L 10-44	L (+6)	O (46)
10/23	E MICHIGAN	W 48-21	W (-24)	O (56)
10/30	MIAMI	W 24-19	W (+14½)	U (51½)
11/6	at Duke	L 48-55	L (pk)	O (55½)
11/13	MARYLAND	L 23-42	L (+3)	O (52½)
11/20	at Boston College	L 13-17	W (+7)	U (44½)
11/27	at Virginia Tech	L 7-37	L (+21½)	U (57)

> ACC



VIRGINIA TECH

StatFox.com Power Rating: 53 (#12 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +16.1 (#13 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 38.64 (30th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 32.25 (75th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +13.3 (#17 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 11–3
2010 ATS Record: 10–4
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 6–7

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	APPALACHIAN ST
9/10	at E Carolina
9/17	ARKANSAS ST
9/24	at Marshall
10/1	CLEMSON
10/8	MIAMI
10/15	at Wake Forest
10/22	BOSTON COLLEGE
10/29	at Duke
11/10	at Georgia Tech
11/17	N CAROLINA
11/26	at Virginia

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	11–3	10–4	10–3	11–3	42–13	76.4%
OVERALL ATS	7–6	6–7	8–5	10–4	31–22	58.5%
HOME ATS	2–4	1–4	4–2	5–2	12–12	50.0%
ROAD ATS	5–2	5–3	4–3	5–2	19–10	65.5%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	7–2	4–5	5–3	8–1	24–11	68.6%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	0–4	2–2	3–2	2–3	7–11	38.9%
AS FAVORITE ATS	5–5	1–5	7–4	10–3	23–17	57.5%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	2–1	5–2	1–1	0–1	8–5	61.5%
OVER-UNDER	6–7	5–8	6–7	6–7	23–29	44.2%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	33.9	21
First Downs	20.6	45
Yards/Game	402.3	41
RushYds/Gm	198.7	23
Pass Yds/Gm	203.57	72
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	20.6	26
Yards/Game	361.5	52
RushYds/Gm	155.9	64
Pass Yds/Gm	205.6	40
Takeaways	32	8

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	vs E Carolina	L 22-27	L (-8½)	O (44)
9/6	FURMAN	W 24-7		
9/13	GEORGIA TECH	W 20-17	L (-6½)	U (40½)
9/20	at N Carolina	W 20-17	W (+3½)	U (43½)
9/27	at Nebraska	W 35-30	W (+7)	O (48)
10/4	W KENTUCKY	W 27-13	L (-26½)	U (47)
10/18	at Boston College	L 23-28	L (+3)	O (39½)
10/25	at Florida St	L 20-30	L (+7)	O (44½)
11/6	MARYLAND	W 23-13	W (-3)	U (41½)
11/13	at Miami	L 14-16	W (+4)	U (41)
11/22	DUKE	W 14-3	L (-14½)	U (43)
11/29	VIRGINIA	W 17-14	L (-7)	U (39)
12/6	vs Boston College	W 30-12	W (+1)	O (38)
1/1	vs Cincinnati	W 20-7	W (+2½)	U (41)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	vs Alabama	L 24-34	L (+6½)	O (37)
9/12	MARSHALL	W 52-10	W (-20)	O (44)
9/19	NEBRASKA	W 16-15	L (-5½)	U (51)
9/26	MIAMI	W 31-7	W (+2)	U (45½)
10/3	at Duke	W 34-26	L (-17)	O (48½)
10/10	BOSTON COLLEGE	W 48-14	W (-13½)	O (44½)
10/17	at Georgia Tech	L 23-28	L (-3½)	U (54)
10/29	N CAROLINA	L 17-20	L (-14½)	U (43)
11/5	at E Carolina	W 16-3	W (-12½)	U (51½)
11/14	at Maryland	W 36-9	W (-20)	U (47)
11/21	NC STATE	W 38-10	W (-21)	U (58½)
11/28	at Virginia	W 42-13	W (-14)	O (44)
12/31	vs Tennessee	W 37-14	W (-5)	O (48)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/6	vs Boise St	L 30-33	L (-1½)	O (53)
9/11	JAMES MADISON	L 16-21	L (-33)	
9/18	E CAROLINA	W 49-27	W (-19½)	O (59½)
9/25	at Boston College	W 19-0	W (-3½)	U (45½)
10/2	at NC State	W 41-30	W (-4)	O (53½)
10/9	C MICHIGAN	W 45-21	W (-21½)	O (55)
10/16	WAKE FOREST	W 52-21	W (-22½)	O (54)
10/23	DUKE	W 44-7	W (-27)	U (64)
11/4	GEORGIA TECH	W 28-21	L (-13)	U (56)
11/13	at N Carolina	W 26-10	W (-3)	U (51½)
11/20	at Miami	W 31-17	W (-2)	U (50)
11/27	VIRGINIA	W 37-7	W (-21½)	U (57)
12/4	vs Florida St	W 44-33	W (-4½)	O (51)
1/3	vs Stanford	L 12-40	L (+3½)	U (58½)

> ACC



WAKE FOREST

StatFox.com Power Rating: 29 (#83 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -8.5 (#97 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 39.58 (22nd toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 38.83 (33rd toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -13.1 (#107 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 3–9
2010 ATS Record: 4–8
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 5–6

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	at Syracuse
9/10	NC STATE
9/17	GARDNER WEBB
10/1	at Boston College
10/8	FLORIDA ST
10/15	VIRGINIA TECH
10/22	at Duke
10/29	at N Carolina
11/5	NOTRE DAME
11/12	at Clemson
11/19	MARYLAND
11/26	VANDERBILT

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	9–4	8–5	5–7	3–9	25–25	50.0%
OVERALL ATS	9–4	6–7	6–6	4–8	25–25	50.0%
HOME ATS	5–1	3–4	4–3	3–3	15–11	57.7%
ROAD ATS	4–3	3–3	2–3	1–5	10–14	41.7%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	5–3	3–5	4–4	2–6	14–18	43.8%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	4–1	3–2	2–2	2–2	11–7	61.1%
AS FAVORITE ATS	5–2	4–5	3–4	1–1	13–12	52.0%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	4–2	2–2	2–2	3–7	11–13	45.8%
OVER-UNDER	8–5	6–7	6–5	5–6	25–23	52.1%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	22.8	91
First Downs	15.8	109
Yards/Game	302.3	108
RushYds/Gm	158.5	54
Pass Yds/Gm	143.83	114
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	35.8	110
Yards/Game	430.7	101
RushYds/Gm	192.5	99
Pass Yds/Gm	238.2	92
Takeaways	17	94

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/28	at Baylor	W 41-13	W (-11½)	O (50½)
9/6	OLE MISS	W 30-28	L (-7)	O (45)
9/20	at Florida St	W 12-3	W (+4)	U (45)
9/27	NAVY	L 17-24	L (-17)	U (53)
10/9	CLEMSON	W 12-7	W (+1)	U (42)
10/18	at Maryland	L 0-26	L (-1½)	U (44½)
10/25	at Miami	L 10-16	L (+3)	U (41)
11/1	DUKE	W 33-30	L (-8)	O (41½)
11/8	VIRGINIA	W 28-17	W (-5½)	O (40)
11/15	at NC State	L 17-21	L (-3½)	U (43½)
11/22	BOSTON COLLEGE	L 21-24	L (+1½)	O (40½)
11/29	VANDERBILT	W 23-10	W (-4)	U (37½)
12/20	vs Navy	W 29-19	W (-3)	O (44½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	BAYLOR	L 21-24	L (-2½)	U (53½)
9/12	STANFORD	W 24-17	W (-3)	U (46½)
9/19	ELON	W 35-7	W (-20)	
9/26	at Boston College	L 24-27	L (+1)	O (41)
10/3	NC STATE	W 30-24	W (-3)	O (50)
10/10	MARYLAND	W 42-32	L (-13½)	O (52½)
10/17	at Clemson	L 3-38	L (+8)	U (47½)
10/24	at Navy	L 10-13	L (-2½)	U (47½)
10/31	MIAMI	L 27-28	W (+6½)	O (52½)
11/7	at Georgia Tech	L 27-30	W (+13½)	U (60)
11/14	FLORIDA ST	L 28-41	L (-4½)	O (57)
11/28	at Duke	W 45-34	W (-3½)	O (53)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/2	PRESBYTERIAN	W 53-13	L (-44½)	
9/11	DUKE	W 54-48	W (-5½)	O (52½)
9/18	at Stanford	L 24-68	L (+17½)	O (56)
9/25	at Florida St	L 0-31	L (+20)	U (61½)
10/2	GEORGIA TECH	L 20-24	W (+9)	U (61½)
10/9	NAVY	L 27-28	W (+3)	O (47½)
10/16	at Virginia Tech	L 21-52	L (+22½)	O (54)
10/30	at Maryland	L 14-62	L (+5)	O (51½)
11/6	BOSTON COLLEGE	L 13-23	L (+4)	U (48)
11/13	at NC State	L 3-38	L (+20)	U (61)
11/20	CLEMSON	L 10-30	L (+14)	U (48½)
11/27	at Vanderbilt	W 34-13	W (+4½)	U (48)

BIG 12

PREDICTED FINISH

1. Oklahoma	6. Baylor
2. Texas A&M	7. Kansas State
3. Oklahoma State	8. Texas Tech
4. Texas	9. Iowa State
5. Missouri	10. Kansas

BAYLOR

Offense: Spread - Starters Returning: 8
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 5

Baylor reached its first bowl game since 1994, but the Bears are still far behind the Big 12 elite. In four games versus Top 25 final poll participants (TCU, Okla. St, Texas A&M, Okla.) they had zero sacks and were outscored 195 to 92. The offense is in great shape with ultra-talented QB Robert Griffin III (3,501 pass yds, 22 TD, 8 INT; 635 rush yds, 8 TD). Griffin's top two wide-outs also return in Kendall Wright (78 rec, 952 yds, 7 TD) and Josh Gordon (714 rec yds, 7 TD, 17.0 avg). TB Jay Finley is gone, but Terrance Ganaway (6.4 YPC) and Jarred Salubi (8.3 YPC) are both capable runners behind a strong offensive line. Baylor lost six players from a defense that ranked 104th in yardage (435 YPG). Three of the four starters in the secondary graduated, but new DC Phil Bennett has a couple of budding stars in sophomore DE Tevin Elliott (team-high 5 sacks and 9 TFL) and MLB Chris McAllister.

IOWA STATE

Offense: Spread - Starters Returning: 5
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 7

The ISU program appeared headed in right direction last year with wins at Texas and versus Texas Tech, and an overtime loss to Nebraska. But 2011 could be a rebuilding season with many key skill-position starters to replace, including QB Austin Arnaud and RB Alexander Robinson. Junior Jerome Tiller (47.6% completion rate) and JUCO transfer Steele Jantz will compete for signal-calling duty. Tiller has more experience, but Steele has more athleticism and speed. RB Shontrelle Johnson (6.2 YPC) will likely receive the most carries, but he may not be able to handle a complete workload at 5-foot-9 and 182 pounds. Darius Reynolds (25 rec, 283 yds, 2 TD) is the best WR remaining on the roster, but JUCO transfer Aaron Horne had a great spring. The Cyclones defense needs to generate a pass rush after a pitiful 11 sacks last year, but the linebacking corps is strong with returnees Jake Knott (130 tackles, 4 INT) and A.J. Klein (111 tackles, 8 TFL). The secondary remains the weak link of the team with its lack of playmakers.

KANSAS

Offense: Spread - Starters Returning: 8
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 6

Turner Gill's first season at Kansas was a nightmare as the Jayhawks went 1-7 in Big 12 play, with the seven defeats by an average score of 41 to 9. They ranked 113th in offense (296 YPG), mostly due to poor quarterback play (11 TD, 14 INT, 5.5 YPA). Sophomore Jordan Webb (1,195 pass yds, 7 TD, 8 INT) is the front-runner to start under center, while RB James Sims is a lock to start. The sophomore led the team with 742 rushing yards (4.4 YPC) and 10 total TD. Sims has a decent O-Line blocking for him with three starters returning. Daymond Patterson (60 rec, 487 yds) is the only returning WR with 250 receiving yards, as this unit lacks big-play receivers. The defense ranked 98th in yardage (428 YPG) and only forced 12 turnovers (tied for last in nation). KU lost its top two defensive players in DE Jake Laptad and LB Justin Springer, as senior WLB Steven Johnson (95 tackles, 4.5 TFL) will be tasked with leading this motley crew. The D-Line lacks a consistent pass rusher and the secondary is void of ball skills.

KANSAS STATE

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 5
Defense: Base 42 - Starters Returning: 6

Bill Snyder's Wildcats lost a ton of firepower on offense, namely RB Daniel Thomas and QB Carson Coffman. Junior Collin Klein (11-18, 138 yds, 1 TD), former Boston College player/JUCO transfer Justin Tuggle and senior Sammuel Lamur are all competing to start under center. Transfer Bryce Brown gained 460 yards on 4.6 YPC as a true freshman at Tennessee and will likely be the main ball carrier for KSU this year. Other replacements needed are three starting offensive linemen and a go-to receiver to replace Aubrey Quarles. No other player gained more than 330 receiving yards. The defense was atrocious last year, especially against the rush, where they surrendered 5.9 YPC, and a nation's-worst 3,008 rushing yards. This included 200+ rushing yards in eight of their final 10 games. Other than senior nickel back David Garrett (92 tackles, 15 TFL, 9 PD) and sophomore SS Ty Zimmerman, there are no other defenders enrolled at KSU that are huge playmakers. Junior DE Brandon Harold (7.5 TFL) and senior LB Alex Hrebec (77 tackles, 10 PD) are both solid, but unspectacular.

MISSOURI

Offense: Multiple Spread - Starters Returning: 9
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 6

The Tigers look to build on their 10-win season by returning nine offensive starters. The two players not coming back are QB Blaine Gabbert and All-Big 12 center Tim Barnes. Sophomore James Franklin will enter the fall as the starting QB. His numbers in limited action show he's a dual-threat quarterback: 11-14, 106 yds, 1 TD, 1 INT; 116 rush yds, 5.0 YPC. Franklin's supporting cast is excellent with all of the team's receivers returning. The best two are WR T.J. Moe (92 rec, 1,045 yds, 6 TD) and TE Michael Egnew (90 rec, 762 yds, 5 TD). Senior RB De'Vion Moore (517 rush yds, 8 TD, 5.2 YPC) will be expected to be the featured back again this year. Defensively, Missouri loses some key players (DE Aldon Smith and both starting corners), but the unit is still stacked. The Tigers had 37 sacks and 18 INT last year, while placing sixth in the nation in scoring defense (16.1 PPG). The secondary has big shoes to fill, but DEs Brad Madison (7.5 sacks, 11 TFL) and Jacquies Smith (5.5 sacks), and WLB Zaviar Gooden (84 tackles, 7.5 TFL) will help take pressure off the back four, led by senior SS Kenji Jackson (66 tackles).

OKLAHOMA

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 8
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 6

Many pundits expect the Sooners to win the BCS National Championship this year, but after the tragic death of starting MLB Austin Box in May, they'll be playing with heavy hearts this season. The offense is stacked with QB Landry Jones (4,718 pass yds, 38 TD, 12 INT) and WR Ryan Broyles (FBS-best 131 catches; 1,622 yds, 14 TD), who are both legitimate Heisman candidates. WR Kenny Stills improved greatly his freshman season, finishing with 61 grabs for 786 yards and five scores. The ground game will certainly miss DeMarco Murray and his 15 rushing TD last year. Roy Finch (398 rush yds, 4.7 YPC), Brennan Clay (127 rush yds, 3.5 YPC) and incoming freshman Brandon Williams are all expected to carry the football this season. Including Box, the ball-hungry defense lost five starters from a unit whose 106 TFL was the third-most in the nation. OU also forced 32 turnovers (13 fumbles, 19 INT) and had 37 sacks last year. The pass rush has weakened a bit with DE Jeremy Beal and DT Pryce Macon leaving, but senior DE Frank Alexander (7 sacks, 13 TFL) remains. Senior LB Travis Lewis (team-high 109 tackles) will try to pick up the slack for Box and lead an improving linebacker unit. The Sooners secondary is excellent with four of five starters returning, including CBs Jamell Fleming (5 INT, 14 PD) and Demontre Hurst (3 FF, 11 PD), and nickel back Tony Jefferson (7 TFL, 7 PD).

OKLAHOMA STATE

Offense: Multiple Spread - Starters Returning: 9
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 5

Offensive genius Dana Holgorsen left the school, but the multiple spread offense will look pretty much the same under new OC Todd Monken. Senior QB Brandon Weeden (4,277 yds, 34 TD, 13 INT) and junior WR Justin Blackmon (111 rec, 1,782 yds, 20 TD) form one of the best tandems in the country, even if they're not the best in the state (OU's Landry Jones and Ryan Broyles). This dynamic duo was the biggest reason the Cowboys ranked second in the nation in passing offense (346 YPG) and third in points (44.2 PPG). They also did nice job rushing the football to protect their many leads, averaging 174 rush YPG on 5.0 yards per carry. All five starters on the offensive line are back, but gone is TB Kendall Hunter and his 1,548 rushing yards and 16 TD. Sophomore Joseph Randle is the top rusher enrolled at the school, as he gained 452 yards on 5.5 YPC as a freshman last year. The defense allowed just 16.5 PPG in their final six victories, but the secondary was lit up for 276 passing YPG on the year, ranking sixth-worst in the nation. Six defensive starters depart including CB Andrew McGee, whose 5 INT led the Big 12 last season, and the team's leading tackler MLB Orie Lemon. That leaves LB Shaun Lewis (8 TFL, 3 INT, 3 FF) and SS Markelle Martin (3 INT, 10 PD) as the biggest playmakers on defense.

TEXAS

Offense: Spread - Starters Returning: 8
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 6

A disastrous 2-7 finish to the 2010 season was followed by the departure of DC/coach-in-waiting Will Muschamp and ousting of OC Greg Davis. Most of the offense returns, but it's a unit that didn't score more than 22 points in any Big 12 game last year. The Longhorns turned the ball over 30 times and were one of five FBS teams with a minus-1 TO margin per game. Junior QB Garrett Gilbert should be able to hold off Case McCoy and keep his starting job, but Gilbert ranked 95th in the nation in passing efficiency. In Big 12 play, he only threw 6 TD with 16 INT. But QB isn't the only problem area, as leading WR James Kirkendoll and three O-Line starters need to be replaced. The RB unit is led by seniors Cody Johnson (592 rush yds, 6 TD) and Fozzy Whittaker (351 rush yds, 2 TD), but neither has big-play potential like freshman Malcolm Brown. Co-offensive coordinators Major Applewhite and Bryan Harsin (Boise State) should infuse new life into the offense. New DC Manny Diaz left Mississippi State to fill the big void left by Muschamp. Diaz will bring an attacking mentality to a defensive unit that is led by MLB Emmanuel Acho (79 tackles, 8.5 TFL) and converted DE Alex Okafor who had five sacks in the spring game. DT Kheeston Randall and WLB Keenan Robinson (105 tackles) are also quality players. Texas only had eight interceptions last year, and both starting corners have to be replaced.

TEXAS A&M

Offense: Multiple Pro - Starters Returning: 9
Defense: Base 34 - Starters Returning: 8

Expectations are high in College Station this year as Texas A&M returns 17 starters to a team that finished the regular season with six straight wins. QB Ryan Tannehill orchestrated the win streak after replacing Preseason Big 12 Player of the Year Jerrod Johnson. Tannehill threw for 1,409 yards (235 YPG) with 11 TD and just 3 INT in the six games. His top two receivers, Jeff Fuller (72 rec, 1,066 yds, 12 TD) and Ryan Swope (72 rec, 825 yds, 4 TD) will help Tannehill continue to improve. But the heart of the offense is the two-headed rushing attack of Christine Michael (631 rush yds, 5.0 YPC, 4 TD), who suffered a broken leg in October, and Cyrus Gray, who filled in for Michael with seven straight 100-yard games and 10 TD to finish the season. With four starters returning to the offensive line, this will be quite an exciting duo to watch in 2011. The defense only lost three starters, but two of them will be difficult to replace in All-American OLB Von Miller and leading tackler LB Michael Hodges. Demontre Moore backed up Miller as a freshman last year and posted 5.5 sacks and 3 forced fumbles. He'll be joined by two other strong LBs in senior ILB Garrick Williams (112 tackles, 5 TFL) and junior OLB Sean Porter (7 TFL, 6 PD). The secondary returns all four starters, and is expected to improve on its No. 89 ranking in passing defense (234 YPG). CBs Coryell Judie and Dustin Harris both had 4 INT last year.

TEXAS TECH

Offense: Single Back Spread - Starters Returning: 5
Defense: Base 43 or 4-2-5 - Starters Returning: 8

It was an up-and-down season for the Red Raiders, who started 4-4, but finished strong with four victories in the final five games. The high-octane offense ran pretty smoothly in Tommy Tuberville's first season as head coach, ranking seventh in the nation in passing yards (319 YPG) and 23rd in scoring (33.1 PPG). TTU scored 48.0 PPG in the final three games (all wins). QB Taylor Potts has departed, and junior Seth Doege (41-65, 427 yds, 2 TD, 0 INT in career) will likely replace Potts. Unfortunately for Doege, the team's top two receivers, Lyle Leong and Detron Lewis do not return with their combined 161 catches, 1,778 yards and 25 touchdowns. Alex Torres (39 rec, 481 yds, 3 TD) will see many more balls thrown his way this year. The young defense hopes to reap the rewards of its growing pains last year, when they allowed 294 passing YPG (third-worst in nation), and 30.9 PPG (93rd in nation). New DC Chad Glasgow comes over from TCU and will implement his 4-2-5 defense, which is a big change from last year's 3-4 look. DE Scott Smith (3 sacks, 4 TFL in five games) will be tasked with replacing the team sack leader Brian Duncan, while sophomore Terrance Bullitt will occupy the third safety spot (nickel back) in the 4-2-5 set.

> BIG 12



BAYLOR

StatFox.com Power Rating: 37 (#60 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +0.9 (#67 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 37.46 (41st toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 40.58 (18th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +0.7 (#65 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 7–6
2010 ATS Record: 5–8
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 7–5

2011 SCHEDULE

9/2	TCU
9/17	SF AUSTIN
9/24	RICE
10/1	at Kansas St
10/8	IOWA ST
10/15	at Texas A&M
10/29	at Oklahoma St
11/5	MISSOURI
11/12	at Kansas
11/19	OKLAHOMA
11/26	TEXAS TECH
12/3	TEXAS

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	3–9	4–8	4–8	7–6	18–31	36.7%
OVERALL ATS	4–7	8–3	6–6	5–8	23–24	48.9%
HOME ATS	2–3	4–2	2–4	2–4	10–13	43.5%
ROAD ATS	2–4	4–1	4–2	3–4	13–11	54.2%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	2–6	6–2	4–4	3–5	15–17	46.9%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	2–1	2–1	2–2	2–3	8–7	53.3%
AS FAVORITE ATS	2–0	3–0	0–2	4–1	9–3	75.0%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	2–7	5–3	5–4	1–6	13–20	39.4%
OVER-UNDER	6–5	6–5	3–8	7–5	22–23	48.9%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	31.2	36
First Downs	23.8	15
Yards/Game	475.3	13
RushYds/Gm	194.6	24
Pass Yds/Gm	280.69	19
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	30.5	89
Yards/Game	435.4	104
RushYds/Gm	170.3	79
Pass Yds/Gm	265.1	114
Takeaways	20	72

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/28	WAKE FOREST	L 13-41	L (+11½)	O (50½)
9/6	NORTHWESTERN ST	W 51-6		
9/12	WASHINGTON ST	W 45-17	W (-6½)	O (51½)
9/19	at Connecticut	L 28-31	W (+13)	O (52)
10/4	OKLAHOMA	L 17-49	L (+24)	O (61)
10/11	IOWA ST	W 38-10	W (-4½)	U (55½)
10/18	at Oklahoma St	L 6-34	L (+17)	U (64½)
10/25	at Nebraska	L 20-32	W (+14)	U (56½)
11/1	MISSOURI	L 28-31	W (+21)	U (65)
11/8	at Texas	L 21-45	W (+25½)	O (62)
11/15	TEXAS A&M	W 41-21	W (-8)	O (59½)
11/29	at Texas Tech	L 28-35	W (+22)	U (70)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	at Wake Forest	W 24-21	W (+2½)	U (53½)
9/19	CONNECTICUT	L 22-30	L (-10½)	O (44½)
9/26	NORTHWESTERN ST	W 68-13	W (-37½)	
10/3	KENT ST	W 31-15	L (-22½)	U (48½)
10/10	at Oklahoma	L 7-33	W (+28)	U (52)
10/17	at Iowa St	L 10-24	L (+2½)	U (53)
10/24	OKLAHOMA ST	L 7-34	L (+7½)	U (52)
10/31	NEBRASKA	L 10-20	W (+13½)	U (46)
11/7	at Missouri	W 40-32	W (+15)	O (45½)
11/14	TEXAS	L 14-47	L (+22½)	O (51½)
11/21	at Texas A&M	L 3-38	L (+6)	U (61)
11/28	vs Texas Tech	L 13-20	W (+20½)	U (59)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	SAM HOUSTON ST	W 34-3	L (-39½)	
9/11	BUFFALO	W 34-6	W (-14½)	U (52½)
9/18	at TCU	L 10-45	L (+21½)	U (55½)
9/25	at Rice	W 30-13	W (-8)	U (56)
10/2	KANSAS	W 55-7	W (-9)	O (51)
10/9	vs Texas Tech	L 38-45	L (+2)	O (62)
10/16	at Colorado	W 31-25	W (-1)	O (53½)
10/23	KANSAS ST	W 47-42	L (-6)	O (56½)
10/30	at Texas	W 30-22	W (+7½)	U (55)
11/6	at Oklahoma St	L 28-55	L (+10)	O (72½)
11/13	TEXAS A&M	L 30-42	L (+3)	O (64½)
11/20	OKLAHOMA	L 24-53	L (+8)	O (64)
12/29	vs Illinois	L 14-38	L (+2)	U (64½)

> BIG 12



IOWA STATE

StatFox.com Power Rating: 35 (#67 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -1.9 (#78 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 40.08 (20th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 41.42 (9th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -7.2 (#90 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 5–7
2010 ATS Record: 5–7
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 3–8

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	N IOWA
9/10	IOWA
9/16	at Connecticut
10/1	TEXAS
10/8	at Baylor
10/15	at Missouri
10/22	TEXAS A&M
10/29	at Texas Tech
11/5	KANSAS
11/18	OKLAHOMA ST
11/26	at Oklahoma
12/3	at Kansas St

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	3–9	2–10	7–6	5–7	17–32	34.7%
OVERALL ATS	6–5	5–6	8–4	5–7	24–22	52.2%
HOME ATS	4–2	2–3	3–2	4–3	13–10	56.5%
ROAD ATS	2–3	3–3	5–2	1–4	11–12	47.8%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	5–3	3–5	5–3	3–5	16–16	50.0%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	1–2	2–1	3–1	2–2	8–6	57.1%
AS FAVORITE ATS	0–2	1–1	4–1	2–2	7–6	53.8%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	6–3	4–5	4–3	3–5	17–16	51.5%
OVER-UNDER	4–7	8–3	3–9	3–8	18–27	40.0%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	21.7	97
First Downs	18.3	84
Yards/Game	317.4	99
RushYds/Gm	143.2	72
Pass Yds/Gm	174.25	95
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	28.8	76
Yards/Game	408.3	87
RushYds/Gm	186.3	93
Pass Yds/Gm	221.9	64
Takeaways	23	49

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	S DAKOTA ST	W 44-17		
9/6	KENT ST	W 48-28	W (-10)	O (45)
9/13	at Iowa	L 5-17	W (+13)	U (47)
9/20	at UNLV	L 31-34	L (+2)	O (50)
10/4	KANSAS	L 33-35	W (+11½)	O (49½)
10/11	at Baylor	L 10-38	L (+4½)	U (55½)
10/18	NEBRASKA	L 7-35	L (+7)	U (54)
10/25	TEXAS A&M	L 35-49	L (-2½)	O (52)
11/1	at Oklahoma St	L 17-59	L (+29½)	O (61)
11/8	at Colorado	L 24-28	W (+10½)	O (46½)
11/15	MISSOURI	L 20-52	L (+27)	O (63½)
11/22	at Kansas St	L 30-38	W (+10½)	O (67)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/3	N DAKOTA ST	W 34-17		
9/12	IOWA	L 3-35	L (+6½)	U (47)
9/19	at Kent St	W 34-14	W (-3)	O (47)
9/26	ARMY	W 31-10	W (-10½)	U (46½)
10/3	vs Kansas St	L 23-24	L (-3)	U (49)
10/10	at Kansas	L 36-41	W (+19½)	O (58½)
10/17	BAYLOR	W 24-10	W (-2½)	U (53)
10/24	at Nebraska	W 9-7	W (+20)	U (52)
10/31	at Texas A&M	L 10-35	L (+6½)	U (61)
11/7	OKLAHOMA ST	L 8-34	L (+7½)	U (54)
11/14	COLORADO	W 17-10	W (-4½)	U (48½)
11/21	at Missouri	L 24-34	W (+15½)	O (51½)
12/31	vs Minnesota	W 14-13	W (+1½)	U (47)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/2	N ILLINOIS	W 27-10	W (-4)	U (51)
9/11	at Iowa	L 7-35	L (+13)	U (45½)
9/18	vs Kansas St	L 20-27	L (+3½)	U (54)
9/25	N IOWA	W 27-0	W (-8½)	
10/2	TEXAS TECH	W 52-38	W (+6½)	O (54½)
10/9	UTAH	L 27-68	L (+5½)	O (54)
10/16	at Oklahoma	L 0-52	L (+23)	U (60)
10/23	at Texas	W 28-21	W (+21)	U (50½)
10/30	KANSAS	W 28-16	L (-17½)	U (54½)
11/6	NEBRASKA	L 30-31	W (+15½)	O (54½)
11/13	at Colorado	L 14-34	L (-1)	U (55)
11/20	MISSOURI	L 0-14	L (+11½)	U (53½)

> BIG 12



KANSAS

StatFox.com Power Rating: 26 (#93 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -16.2 (#113 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 36.33 (48th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 41.83 (7th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -17.3 (#112 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 3–9
2010 ATS Record: 5–7
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 6–5

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	MCNEESE ST
9/10	N ILLINOIS
9/17	at Georgia Tech
10/1	TEXAS TECH
10/8	at Oklahoma St
10/15	OKLAHOMA
10/22	KANSAS ST
10/29	at Texas
11/5	at Iowa St
11/12	BAYLOR
11/19	at Texas A&M
11/26	MISSOURI

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	12–1	8–5	5–7	3–9	28–22	56.0%
OVERALL ATS	11–1	7–5	3–8	5–7	26–21	55.3%
HOME ATS	6–0	3–3	1–4	3–4	13–11	54.2%
ROAD ATS	5–1	4–2	2–4	2–3	13–10	56.5%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	7–1	4–4	1–7	3–5	15–17	46.9%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	4–0	3–1	2–1	2–1	11–3	78.6%
AS FAVORITE ATS	9–1	4–2	2–4	1–0	16–7	69.6%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	2–0	3–3	1–4	4–6	10–13	43.5%
OVER-UNDER	5–7	8–4	7–4	6–5	26–20	56.5%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	17.1	111
First Downs	18.3	85
Yards/Game	296.4	113
RushYds/Gm	134.6	87
Pass Yds/Gm	161.83	103
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	34.4	103
Yards/Game	427.7	98
RushYds/Gm	205.2	107
Pass Yds/Gm	222.5	65
Takeaways	12	119

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	FLA INTERNATIONAL	W 40-10	L (-36)	U (56½)
9/6	LOUISIANA TECH	W 29-0	W (-22)	U (52½)
9/12	at S Florida	L 34-37	W (+4½)	O (51½)
9/20	SAM HOUSTON ST	W 38-14		
10/4	at Iowa St	W 35-33	L (-11½)	O (49½)
10/11	COLORADO	W 30-14	W (-13½)	U (51)
10/18	at Oklahoma	L 31-45	W (+19½)	O (60½)
10/25	TEXAS TECH	L 21-63	L (+2)	O (69)
11/1	KANSAS ST	W 52-21	W (-7½)	O (68½)
11/8	at Nebraska	L 35-45	L (+2)	O (62)
11/15	TEXAS	L 7-35	L (+13½)	U (64½)
11/29	vs Missouri	W 40-37	W (+16½)	O (69½)
12/31	vs Minnesota	W 42-21	W (-9)	O (58½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	N COLORADO	W 49-3		
9/12	at UTEP	W 34-7	W (-13)	U (62½)
9/19	DUKE	W 44-16	W (-23½)	O (51½)
9/26	SOUTHERN MISS	W 35-28	L (-11½)	O (58)
10/10	IOWA ST	W 41-36	L (-19½)	O (58½)
10/17	at Colorado	L 30-34	L (-7½)	O (54½)
10/24	OKLAHOMA	L 13-35	L (+8½)	U (55)
10/31	at Texas Tech	L 21-42	L (+6½)	U (66)
11/7	at Kansas St	L 10-17	L (-1½)	U (54½)
11/14	NEBRASKA	L 17-31	L (+4)	O (45½)
11/21	at Texas	L 20-51	L (+28)	O (57½)
11/28	vs Missouri	L 39-41	W (+3)	O (57½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	N DAKOTA ST	L 3-6	L (-27½)	
9/11	GEORGIA TECH	W 28-25	W (+14)	O (51)
9/17	at Southern Miss	L 16-31	L (+5)	U (52)
9/25	NEW MEXICO ST	W 42-16	W (-23½)	O (50½)
10/2	at Baylor	L 7-55	L (+9)	O (51)
10/14	KANSAS ST	L 7-59	L (+3)	O (50)
10/23	TEXAS A&M	L 10-45	L (+14)	O (51½)
10/30	at Iowa St	L 16-28	W (+17½)	U (54½)
11/6	COLORADO	W 52-45	W (+8½)	O (47)
11/13	at Nebraska	L 3-20	W (+33½)	U (60½)
11/20	OKLAHOMA ST	L 14-48	L (+24½)	U (65)
11/27	vs Missouri	L 7-35	L (+24)	U (54)

> BIG 12



KANSAS STATE

StatFox.com Power Rating: 39 (#55 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +5.9 (#48 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 35.46 (59th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 39.33 (29th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +4.5 (#48 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 7–6
2010 ATS Record: 6–7
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 9–3

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	E KENTUCKY
9/17	KENT ST
9/24	at Miami
10/1	BAYLOR
10/8	MISSOURI
10/15	at Texas Tech
10/22	at Kansas
10/29	OKLAHOMA
11/5	at Oklahoma St
11/12	TEXAS A&M
11/19	at Texas
12/3	IOWA ST

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	5–7	5–7	6–6	7–6	23–26	46.9%
OVERALL ATS	5–6	4–7	7–4	6–7	22–24	47.8%
HOME ATS	2–3	1–5	4–1	2–4	9–13	40.9%
ROAD ATS	3–3	3–2	3–3	4–3	13–11	54.2%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	4–4	3–5	6–2	5–3	18–14	56.3%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	1–2	1–2	1–2	1–4	4–10	28.6%
AS FAVORITE ATS	2–5	2–3	1–1	3–4	8–13	38.1%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	3–1	2–4	5–3	3–3	13–11	54.2%
OVER-UNDER	8–3	8–3	3–7	9–3	28–16	63.6%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	33.6	22
First Downs	19.2	65
Yards/Game	378.8	62
RushYds/Gm	199.5	22
Pass Yds/Gm	179.31	92
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	29.1	78
Yards/Game	445.7	106
RushYds/Gm	231.4	119
Pass Yds/Gm	214.3	52
Takeaways	22	59

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	NORTH TEXAS	W 45-6	W (-29)	U (65)
9/6	MONTANA ST	W 69-10		
9/17	at Louisville	L 29-38	L (-6)	O (56)
9/27	LA LAFAYETTE	W 45-37	L (-21½)	O (63½)
10/4	TEXAS TECH	L 28-58	L (+7)	O (66)
10/11	at Texas A&M	W 44-30	W (-3½)	O (58½)
10/18	at Colorado	L 13-14	W (+3)	U (57½)
10/25	OKLAHOMA	L 35-58	L (+17½)	O (71)
11/1	at Kansas	L 21-52	L (+7½)	O (68½)
11/8	at Missouri	L 24-41	W (+26)	U (72)
11/15	NEBRASKA	L 28-56	L (+6)	O (65½)
11/22	IOWA ST	W 38-30	L (-10½)	O (67)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	MASSACHUSETTS	W 21-17		
9/12	at LA Lafayette	L 15-17	L (-6½)	U (54)
9/19	at UCLA	L 9-23	L (+11)	U (44½)
9/26	TENNESSEE TECH	W 49-7	W (-35½)	
10/3	vs Iowa St	W 24-23	W (+3)	U (49)
10/10	at Texas Tech	L 14-66	L (+16)	O (54½)
10/17	TEXAS A&M	W 62-14	W (+5½)	O (59)
10/24	COLORADO	W 20-6	W (-4½)	U (49½)
10/31	at Oklahoma	L 30-42	W (+28)	O (47½)
11/7	KANSAS	W 17-10	W (+1½)	U (54½)
11/14	MISSOURI	L 12-38	L (+2)	U (51)
11/21	at Nebraska	L 3-17	W (+15½)	U (44)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	UCLA	W 31-22	W (-1)	O (44½)
9/11	MISSOURI ST	W 48-24	L (-27)	
9/18	vs Iowa St	W 27-20	W (-3½)	U (54)
9/25	UCF	W 17-13	L (-6½)	U (46)
10/7	NEBRASKA	L 13-48	L (+10½)	O (47)
10/14	at Kansas	W 59-7	W (-3)	O (50)
10/23	at Baylor	L 42-47	W (+6)	O (56½)
10/30	OKLAHOMA ST	L 14-24	L (+4)	U (66)
11/6	TEXAS	W 39-14	W (+3½)	O (46½)
11/13	at Missouri	L 28-38	W (+13)	O (55)
11/20	at Colorado	L 36-44	L (-2)	O (54½)
11/27	at North Texas	W 49-41	L (-14)	O (59)
12/30	vs Syracuse	L 34-36	L (pk)	O (48½)

> BIG 12



MISSOURI

StatFox.com Power Rating: 49 (#19 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +16.1 (#12 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 38.15 (35th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 39.08 (32nd toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +13.8 (#15 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 10–3
2010 ATS Record: 7–6
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 4–8

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	MIAMI OHIO
9/9	at Arizona St
9/17	W ILLINOIS
9/24	at Oklahoma
10/8	at Kansas St
10/15	IOWA ST
10/22	OKLAHOMA ST
10/29	at Texas A&M
11/5	at Baylor
11/12	TEXAS
11/19	TEXAS TECH
11/26	at Kansas

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	12–2	10–4	8–5	10–3	40–14	74.1%
OVERALL ATS	10–3	5–8	5–8	7–6	27–25	51.9%
HOME ATS	3–2	2–3	1–5	4–2	10–12	45.5%
ROAD ATS	7–1	3–5	4–3	3–4	17–13	56.7%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	6–3	3–6	2–6	5–3	16–18	47.1%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	4–0	2–2	3–2	2–3	11–7	61.1%
AS FAVORITE ATS	8–2	5–6	3–5	5–5	21–18	53.8%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	2–1	0–2	1–3	2–1	5–7	41.7%
OVER-UNDER	8–5	7–6	4–8	4–8	23–27	46.0%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	29.9	43
First Downs	22.1	27
Yards/Game	409.6	35
RushYds/Gm	156.4	57
Pass Yds/Gm	253.23	33
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	16.1	6
Yards/Game	356.4	47
RushYds/Gm	152.9	62
Pass Yds/Gm	203.5	37
Takeaways	29	18

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	vs Illinois	W 52-42	W (-9½)	O (59½)
9/6	SE MISSOURI ST	W 52-3		
9/13	NEVADA	W 69-17	W (-26)	O (69)
9/20	BUFFALO	W 42-21	L (-31½)	U (68)
10/4	at Nebraska	W 52-17	W (-10½)	O (66)
10/11	OKLAHOMA ST	L 23-28	L (-13½)	U (76½)
10/18	at Texas	L 31-56	L (+4)	O (65)
10/25	COLORADO	W 58-0	W (-24)	U (59½)
11/1	at Baylor	W 31-28	L (-21)	U (65)
11/8	KANSAS ST	W 41-24	L (-26)	U (72)
11/15	at Iowa St	W 52-20	W (-27)	O (63½)
11/29	vs Kansas	L 37-40	L (-16½)	O (69½)
12/6	vs Oklahoma	L 21-62	L (+16½)	O (78½)
12/29	vs Northwestern	W 30-23	L (-14)	U (66½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	vs Illinois	W 37-9	W (+6½)	U (60½)
9/12	BOWLING GREEN	W 27-20	L (-20)	U (56½)
9/19	FURMAN	W 52-12	W (-33½)	
9/25	at Nevada	W 31-21	W (-7)	U (61)
10/8	NEBRASKA	L 12-27	L (+3½)	U (49½)
10/17	at Oklahoma St	L 17-33	L (+6½)	U (55)
10/24	TEXAS	L 7-41	L (+13)	U (51½)
10/31	at Colorado	W 36-17	W (-3)	O (46)
11/7	BAYLOR	L 32-40	L (-15)	O (45½)
11/14	at Kansas St	W 38-12	W (-2)	U (51)
11/21	IOWA ST	W 34-24	L (-15½)	O (51½)
11/28	vs Kansas	W 41-39	L (-3)	O (57½)
12/31	vs Navy	L 13-35	L (-6½)	U (54½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	vs Illinois	W 23-13	L (-13½)	U (53)
9/11	MCNEESE ST	W 50-6	W (-39)	
9/18	SAN DIEGO ST	W 27-24	L (-14)	U (59)
9/25	MIAMI OHIO	W 51-13	W (-20)	O (50½)
10/9	COLORADO	W 26-0	W (-12)	U (53)
10/16	at Texas A&M	W 30-9	W (+4)	U (56½)
10/23	OKLAHOMA	W 36-27	W (+3)	O (53)
10/30	at Nebraska	L 17-31	L (+8)	U (54½)
11/6	at Texas Tech	L 17-24	L (-5½)	U (59½)
11/13	KANSAS ST	W 38-28	L (-13)	O (55)
11/20	at Iowa St	W 14-0	W (-11½)	U (53½)
11/27	vs Kansas	W 35-7	W (-24)	U (54)
12/28	vs Iowa	L 24-27	L (-3)	O (46)

> BIG 12



OKLAHOMA

StatFox.com Power Rating: 60 (#6 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +18.7 (#10 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 42.14 (7th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 40.58 (18th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +15.4 (#12 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 12–2
2010 ATS Record: 8–6
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 7–7

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	TULSA
9/17	at Florida St
9/24	MISSOURI
10/1	BALL ST
10/8	at Texas
10/15	at Kansas
10/22	TEXAS TECH
10/29	at Kansas St
11/5	TEXAS A&M
11/19	at Baylor
11/26	IOWA ST
12/3	at Oklahoma St

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	11–3	12–2	8–5	12–2	43–12	78.2%
OVERALL ATS	7–7	10–3	5–7	8–6	30–23	56.6%
HOME ATS	5–2	4–1	4–2	4–2	17–7	70.8%
ROAD ATS	2–5	6–2	1–5	4–4	13–16	44.8%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	3–6	7–2	3–4	6–3	19–15	55.9%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	4–1	3–1	2–3	2–3	11–8	57.9%
AS FAVORITE ATS	7–7	10–2	4–7	7–6	28–22	56.0%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	0–0	0–1	0–0	1–0	1–1	50.0%
OVER-UNDER	6–8	11–2	3–9	7–7	27–26	50.9%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	37.2	14
First Downs	26.5	2
Yards/Game	481.4	10
RushYds/Gm	138.0	83
Pass Yds/Gm	343.36	3
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	21.8	33
Yards/Game	361.9	53
RushYds/Gm	148.9	58
Pass Yds/Gm	212.9	51
Takeaways	32	8

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	UT-CHATTANOOGA	W 57-2		
9/6	CINCINNATI	W 52-26	W (-22)	O (53½)
9/13	at Washington	W 55-14	W (-20½)	O (61½)
9/27	TCU	W 35-10	W (-18)	U (53½)
10/4	at Baylor	W 49-17	W (-24)	O (61)
10/11	vs Texas	L 35-45	L (-7)	O (56½)
10/18	KANSAS	W 45-31	L (-19½)	O (60½)
10/25	at Kansas St	W 58-35	W (-17½)	O (71)
11/1	NEBRASKA	W 62-28	W (-21)	O (71)
11/8	at Texas A&M	W 66-28	W (-27½)	O (73)
11/22	TEXAS TECH	W 65-21	W (-7)	O (76½)
11/29	at Oklahoma St	W 61-41	W (-10)	O (75)
12/6	vs Missouri	W 62-21	W (-16½)	O (78½)
1/8	vs Florida	L 14-24	L (+4)	U (69)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	vs BYU	L 13-14	L (-22)	U (65)
9/12	IDAHO ST	W 64-0	W (-52)	
9/19	TULSA	W 45-0	W (-18)	U (57)
10/3	at Miami	L 20-21	L (-7½)	U (51)
10/10	BAYLOR	W 33-7	L (-28)	U (52)
10/17	vs Texas	L 13-16	P (+3)	U (52)
10/24	at Kansas	W 35-13	W (-8½)	U (55)
10/31	KANSAS ST	W 42-30	L (-28)	O (47½)
11/7	at Nebraska	L 3-10	L (-4½)	U (41)
11/14	TEXAS A&M	W 65-10	W (-19½)	O (57½)
11/21	at Texas Tech	L 13-41	L (-6½)	U (54½)
11/28	OKLAHOMA ST	W 27-0	W (-8)	U (48)
12/31	vs Stanford	W 31-27	L (-10½)	O (54½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	UTAH ST	W 31-24	L (-35)	U (57)
9/11	FLORIDA ST	W 47-17	W (-6½)	O (58)
9/18	AIR FORCE	W 27-24	L (-16½)	U (53½)
9/25	at Cincinnati	W 31-29	L (-14)	O (52½)
10/2	vs Texas	W 28-20	W (-3)	O (46½)
10/16	IOWA ST	W 52-0	W (-23)	U (60)
10/23	at Missouri	L 27-36	L (-3)	O (53)
10/30	COLORADO	W 43-10	W (-25)	U (56)
11/6	at Texas A&M	L 19-33	L (-4½)	U (63)
11/13	TEXAS TECH	W 45-7	W (-16½)	U (65)
11/20	at Baylor	W 53-24	W (-8)	O (64)
11/27	at Oklahoma St	W 47-41	W (+2½)	O (68)
12/4	vs Nebraska	W 23-20	L (-4½)	U (52)
1/1	vs Connecticut	W 48-20	W (-16)	O (53½)

> BIG 12



OKLAHOMA STATE

StatFox.com Power Rating: 56 (#10 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +16.8 (#11 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 38.77 (28th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 40.00 (24th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +17.8 (#10 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 11–2
2010 ATS Record: 10–3
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 8–5

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	LA LAFAYETTE
9/10	ARIZONA
9/17	at Tulsa
9/24	at Texas A&M
10/8	KANSAS
10/15	at Texas
10/22	at Missouri
10/29	BAYLOR
11/5	KANSAS ST
11/12	at Texas Tech
11/18	at Iowa St
12/3	OKLAHOMA

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	7–6	9–4	9–4	11–2	36–16	69.2%
OVERALL ATS	6–6	8–4	6–6	10–3	30–19	61.2%
HOME ATS	2–3	5–1	4–4	4–3	15–11	57.7%
ROAD ATS	4–3	3–3	2–2	6–0	15–8	65.2%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	4–4	5–3	4–3	6–2	19–12	61.3%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	2–2	3–1	2–3	4–1	11–7	61.1%
AS FAVORITE ATS	3–2	6–1	5–3	9–2	23–8	74.2%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	3–4	2–3	0–3	1–1	6–11	35.3%
OVER-UNDER	6–6	6–6	4–8	8–5	24–25	49.0%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	44.2	3
First Downs	25.9	4
Yards/Game	520.2	3
RushYds/Gm	174.4	36
Pass Yds/Gm	345.85	2
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	26.4	61
Yards/Game	409.5	88
RushYds/Gm	133.7	37
Pass Yds/Gm	275.9	115
Takeaways	34	5

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	at Washington St	W 39-13	W (-8)	U (64)
9/6	HOUSTON	W 56-37	W (-14½)	O (61½)
9/13	MISSOURI ST	W 57-13		
9/27	TROY	W 55-24	W (-17½)	O (61½)
10/4	TEXAS A&M	W 56-28	W (-24½)	O (62)
10/11	at Missouri	W 28-23	W (+13½)	U (76½)
10/18	BAYLOR	W 34-6	W (-17)	U (64½)
10/25	at Texas	L 24-28	W (+12)	U (66½)
11/1	IOWA ST	W 59-17	W (-29½)	O (61)
11/8	at Texas Tech	L 20-56	L (+4)	O (71½)
11/15	at Colorado	W 30-17	L (-17)	U (55½)
11/29	OKLAHOMA	L 41-61	L (+10)	O (75)
12/30	vs Oregon	L 31-42	L (+1)	U (75½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	GEORGIA	W 24-10	W (-5)	U (60½)
9/12	HOUSTON	L 35-45	L (-16½)	O (71)
9/19	RICE	W 41-24	L (-32½)	U (66½)
9/26	GRAMBLING	W 56-6	W (-40½)	
10/10	at Texas A&M	W 36-31	P (-5)	O (60½)
10/17	MISSOURI	W 33-17	W (-6½)	U (55)
10/24	at Baylor	W 34-7	W (-7½)	U (52)
10/31	TEXAS	L 14-41	L (+9)	O (53)
11/7	at Iowa St	W 34-8	W (-7½)	U (54)
11/14	TEXAS TECH	W 24-17	W (-4½)	U (60½)
11/19	COLORADO	W 31-28	L (-17)	O (47)
11/28	at Oklahoma	L 0-27	L (+8)	U (48)
1/2	vs Ole Miss	L 7-21	L (+3½)	U (51)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	WASHINGTON ST	W 65-17	W (-16½)	O (51)
9/11	TROY	W 41-38	L (-13½)	O (63½)
9/18	TULSA	W 65-28	W (-7)	O (69)
9/30	TEXAS A&M	W 38-35	W (-2½)	O (65½)
10/8	at LA Lafayette	W 54-28	W (-24)	O (61)
10/16	at Texas Tech	W 34-17	W (+3)	U (68)
10/23	NEBRASKA	L 41-51	L (+6)	O (57½)
10/30	at Kansas St	W 24-14	W (-4)	U (66)
11/6	BAYLOR	W 55-28	W (-10)	O (72½)
11/13	at Texas	W 33-16	W (-4½)	U (56)
11/20	at Kansas	W 48-14	W (-24½)	U (65)
11/27	OKLAHOMA	L 41-47	L (-2½)	O (68)
12/29	vs Arizona	W 36-10	W (-4½)	U (68½)

> BIG 12



TEXAS

StatFox.com Power Rating: 44 (#35 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -0.9 (#73 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 38.33 (33rd toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 41.00 (14th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +0.1 (#66 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 5–7
2010 ATS Record: 3–9
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 4–8

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	RICE
9/10	BYU
9/17	at UCLA
10/1	at Iowa St
10/8	OKLAHOMA
10/15	OKLAHOMA ST
10/29	KANSAS
11/5	TEXAS TECH
11/12	at Missouri
11/19	KANSAS ST
11/24	at Texas A&M
12/3	at Baylor

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	10–3	12–1	13–1	5–7	40–12	76.9%
OVERALL ATS	7–6	9–4	5–8	3–9	24–27	47.1%
HOME ATS	3–3	5–2	2–4	1–6	11–15	42.3%
ROAD ATS	4–3	4–2	3–4	2–3	13–12	52.0%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	4–4	5–3	4–4	2–6	15–17	46.9%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	3–2	4–1	1–4	1–3	9–10	47.4%
AS FAVORITE ATS	6–6	8–4	5–7	2–6	21–23	47.7%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	1–0	1–0	0–1	1–3	3–4	42.9%
OVER-UNDER	9–4	6–7	7–7	4–8	26–26	50.0%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	23.8	88
First Downs	20.2	53
Yards/Game	382.5	58
RushYds/Gm	150.5	66
Pass Yds/Gm	232	50
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	23.7	49
Yards/Game	300.2	6
RushYds/Gm	138.6	44
Pass Yds/Gm	161.6	6
Takeaways	18	86

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	FLA ATLANTIC	W 52-10	W (-23½)	U (62½)
9/6	at UTEP	W 42-13	W (-26)	U (61)
9/20	RICE	W 52-10	W (-29)	U (68)
9/27	ARKANSAS	W 52-10	W (-27)	O (60½)
10/4	at Colorado	W 38-14	W (-11)	O (51½)
10/11	vs Oklahoma	W 45-35	W (+7)	O (56½)
10/18	MISSOURI	W 56-31	W (-4)	O (65)
10/25	OKLAHOMA ST	W 28-24	L (-12)	U (66½)
11/1	at Texas Tech	L 33-39	L (-3½)	O (71)
11/8	BAYLOR	W 45-21	L (-25½)	O (62)
11/15	at Kansas	W 35-7	W (-13½)	U (64½)
11/27	TEXAS A&M	W 49-9	W (-35)	U (66)
1/5	vs Ohio St	W 24-21	L (-9)	U (51½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	LA MONROE	W 59-20	L (-42½)	O (61)
9/12	at Wyoming	W 41-10	L (-32)	U (56)
9/19	TEXAS TECH	W 34-24	L (-19½)	U (66)
9/26	UTEP	W 64-7	W (-37)	O (64½)
10/10	COLORADO	W 38-14	L (-33½)	U (59)
10/17	vs Oklahoma	W 16-13	P (-3)	U (52)
10/24	at Missouri	W 41-7	W (-13)	U (51½)
10/31	at Oklahoma St	W 41-14	W (-9)	O (53)
11/7	UCF	W 35-3	L (-35½)	U (47)
11/14	at Baylor	W 47-14	W (-22½)	O (51½)
11/21	KANSAS	W 51-20	W (-28)	O (57½)
11/26	at Texas A&M	W 49-39	L (-20½)	O (63½)
12/5	vs Nebraska	W 13-12	L (-14)	U (46½)
1/7	vs Alabama	L 21-37	L (+3½)	O (44½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	at Rice	W 34-17	L (-31½)	U (55½)
9/11	WYOMING	W 34-7	L (-27½)	U (51)
9/18	at Texas Tech	W 24-14	W (-3)	U (51)
9/25	UCLA	L 12-34	L (-15½)	O (43½)
10/2	vs Oklahoma	L 20-28	L (+3)	O (46½)
10/16	at Nebraska	W 20-13	W (+10)	U (45½)
10/23	IOWA ST	L 21-28	L (-21)	U (50½)
10/30	BAYLOR	L 22-30	L (-7½)	U (55)
11/6	at Kansas St	L 14-39	L (-3½)	O (46½)
11/13	OKLAHOMA ST	L 16-33	L (+4½)	U (56)
11/20	FLA ATLANTIC	W 51-17	W (-22)	O (44)
11/25	TEXAS A&M	L 17-24	L (+3)	U (48)

> BIG 12



TEXAS A&M

StatFox.com Power Rating: 48 (#22 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +10.7 (#34 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 40.31 (18th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 41.42 (9th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +9.3 (#30 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 9–4
2010 ATS Record: 8–5
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 6–6

2011 SCHEDULE

9/4	SMU
9/17	IDAHO
9/24	OKLAHOMA ST
10/1	ARKANSAS
10/8	at Texas Tech
10/15	BAYLOR
10/22	at Iowa St
10/29	MISSOURI
11/5	at Oklahoma
11/12	at Kansas St
11/19	KANSAS
11/24	TEXAS

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	7–6	4–8	6–7	9–4	26–25	51.0%
OVERALL ATS	5–7	4–8	6–6	8–5	23–26	46.9%
HOME ATS	3–3	2–5	5–1	5–2	15–11	57.7%
ROAD ATS	2–4	2–3	1–5	3–3	8–15	34.8%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	4–4	3–5	4–3	6–2	17–14	54.8%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	1–3	1–3	2–3	1–3	5–12	29.4%
AS FAVORITE ATS	2–2	2–2	4–3	5–2	13–9	59.1%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	3–5	2–6	2–3	2–3	9–17	34.6%
OVER-UNDER	5–7	8–4	8–5	6–6	27–22	55.1%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	31.2	34
First Downs	23.8	15
Yards/Game	441.9	23
RushYds/Gm	165.0	46
Pass Yds/Gm	276.85	20
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	21.9	34
Yards/Game	364.3	55
RushYds/Gm	130.2	30
Pass Yds/Gm	234.2	89
Takeaways	25	36

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	ARKANSAS ST	L 14-18	L (-18½)	U (50½)
9/6	at New Mexico	W 28-22	W (-1½)	O (39½)
9/20	MIAMI	L 23-41	L (+2½)	O (40)
9/27	ARMY	W 21-17	L (-27)	U (44½)
10/4	at Oklahoma St	L 28-56	L (+24½)	O (62)
10/11	KANSAS ST	L 30-44	L (+3½)	O (58½)
10/18	TEXAS TECH	L 25-43	W (+20½)	O (61½)
10/25	at Iowa St	W 49-35	W (+2½)	O (52)
11/1	COLORADO	W 24-17	W (-4)	U (51)
11/8	OKLAHOMA	L 28-66	L (+27½)	O (73)
11/15	at Baylor	L 21-41	L (+8)	O (59½)
11/27	at Texas	L 9-49	L (+35)	U (66)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	NEW MEXICO	W 41-6	W (-14½)	U (55)
9/19	UTAH ST	W 38-30	L (-21)	O (58½)
9/26	UAB	W 56-19	W (-15)	O (64½)
10/3	vs Arkansas	L 19-47	L (+2)	U (67)
10/10	OKLAHOMA ST	L 31-36	P (+5)	O (60½)
10/17	at Kansas St	L 14-62	L (-5½)	O (59)
10/24	at Texas Tech	W 52-30	W (+22½)	O (65½)
10/31	IOWA ST	W 35-10	W (-6½)	U (61)
11/7	at Colorado	L 34-35	L (-3)	O (56)
11/14	at Oklahoma	L 10-65	L (+19½)	O (57½)
11/21	BAYLOR	W 38-3	W (-6)	U (61)
11/26	TEXAS	L 39-49	W (+20½)	O (63½)
12/28	vs Georgia	L 20-44	L (+6)	U (65½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	SF AUSTIN	W 48-7	W (-33½)	
9/11	LOUISIANA TECH	W 48-16	W (-17½)	O (59)
9/18	FLA INTERNATIONAL	W 27-20	L (-29)	U (61½)
9/30	at Oklahoma St	L 35-38	L (+2½)	O (65½)
10/9	vs Arkansas	L 17-24	L (+5½)	U (62)
10/16	MISSOURI	L 9-30	L (-4)	U (56½)
10/23	at Kansas	W 45-10	W (-14)	O (51½)
10/30	TEXAS TECH	W 45-27	W (-7)	O (63)
11/6	OKLAHOMA	W 33-19	W (+4½)	U (63)
11/13	at Baylor	W 42-30	W (-3)	O (64½)
11/20	NEBRASKA	W 9-6	W (+2)	U (55½)
11/25	at Texas	W 24-17	W (-3)	U (48)
1/7	vs LSU	L 24-41	L (+1½)	O (48½)

> BIG 12



TEXAS TECH

StatFox.com Power Rating: 41 (#47 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +2.8 (#58 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 36.31 (50th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 38.50 (37th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +2.2 (#59 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 8–5
2010 ATS Record: 5–7–1
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 6–6

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	TEXAS ST UNIV
9/17	at New Mexico
9/24	NEVADA
10/1	at Kansas
10/8	TEXAS A&M
10/15	KANSAS ST
10/22	at Oklahoma
10/29	IOWA ST
11/5	at Texas
11/12	OKLAHOMA ST
11/19	at Missouri
11/26	at Baylor

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	9–4	11–2	9–4	8–5	37–15	71.2%
OVERALL ATS	6–6	5–5	7–5	5–7	23–23	50.0%
HOME ATS	3–2	2–2	4–2	3–3	12–9	57.1%
ROAD ATS	3–4	3–3	3–3	2–4	11–14	44.0%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	4–4	4–4	5–3	2–5	15–16	48.4%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	2–2	1–1	2–2	3–2	8–7	53.3%
AS FAVORITE ATS	5–4	4–4	4–4	4–4	17–16	51.5%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	1–2	1–1	3–1	1–3	6–7	46.2%
OVER-UNDER	5–7	8–3	5–7	6–6	24–23	51.1%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	33.1	23
First Downs	24.9	9
Yards/Game	460.2	15
RushYds/Gm	141.3	75
Pass Yds/Gm	318.92	7
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	30.9	93
Yards/Game	456.3	114
RushYds/Gm	162.5	69
Pass Yds/Gm	293.8	118
Takeaways	23	49

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	E WASHINGTON	W 49-24		
9/6	at Nevada	W 35-19	W (-10.5)	U (71)
9/13	SMU	W 43-7	P (-36)	U (68.5)
9/20	MASSACHUSETTS	W 56-14		
10/4	at Kansas St	W 58-28	W (-7)	O (66)
10/11	NEBRASKA	W 37-31	L (-19)	O (67)
10/18	at Texas A&M	W 43-25	L (-20.5)	O (61.5)
10/25	at Kansas	W 63-21	W (-2)	O (69)
11/1	TEXAS	W 39-33	W (+3.5)	O (71)
11/8	OKLAHOMA ST	W 56-20	W (-4)	O (71.5)
11/22	at Oklahoma	L 21-65	L (+7)	O (76.5)
11/29	BAYLOR	W 35-28	L (-22)	U (70)
1/2	vs Ole Miss	L 34-47	L (-4)	O (66)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	N DAKOTA	W 38-13		
9/12	RICE	W 55-10	W (-28)	O (64)
9/19	at Texas	L 24-34	W (+19.5)	U (66)
9/26	at Houston	L 28-29	L (-2)	U (75.5)
10/3	NEW MEXICO	W 48-28	L (-34.5)	O (56)
10/10	KANSAS ST	W 66-14	W (-16)	O (54.5)
10/17	at Nebraska	W 31-10	W (+10.5)	U (58.5)
10/24	TEXAS A&M	L 30-52	L (-22.5)	O (65.5)
10/31	KANSAS	W 42-21	W (-6.5)	U (66)
11/14	at Oklahoma St	L 17-24	L (+4.5)	U (60.5)
11/21	OKLAHOMA	W 41-13	W (+6.5)	U (54.5)
11/28	vs Baylor	W 20-13	L (-20.5)	U (59)
1/2	vs Michigan St	W 41-31	W (-9.5)	O (60)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	SMU	W 35-27	L (-12.5)	O (57.5)
9/11	at New Mexico	W 52-17	W (-24.5)	O (55.5)
9/18	TEXAS	L 14-24	L (+3)	U (51)
10/2	at Iowa St	L 38-52	L (-6.5)	O (54.5)
10/9	vs Baylor	W 45-38	W (-2)	O (62)
10/16	OKLAHOMA ST	L 17-34	L (-3)	U (68)
10/23	at Colorado	W 27-24	P (-3)	U (57)
10/30	at Texas A&M	L 27-45	L (+7)	O (63)
11/6	MISSOURI	W 24-17	W (+5.5)	U (59.5)
11/13	at Oklahoma	L 7-45	L (+16.5)	U (65)
11/20	WEBER ST	W 64-21	W (-25)	
11/27	HOUSTON	W 35-20	W (-10)	U (70.5)
1/1	vs Northwestern	W 45-38	L (-8)	O (63)

BIG EAST

PREDICTED FINISH

1. West Virginia
2. Pittsburgh
3. Cincinnati
4. South Florida
5. Connecticut
6. Louisville
7. Syracuse
8. Rutgers

CINCINNATI

Offense: Spread - Starters Returning: 5
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 11

The Bearcats return three key offensive weapons last year in QB Zach Collaros (2,902 pass yds, 26 TD), RB Isaiah Pead (1,029 rush yds) and WR D.J. Woods (898 rec yds, 8 TD). The offense is explosive, but also inconsistent, as Cincy failed to score 20 points six times last year. They also return all 11 starters on defense, the same crew that surrendered 27+ points in every Big East game in 2010. All this experience should help Cincy improve on its minus-15 turnover ratio, which was second-to-last in the nation.

CONNECTICUT

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 6
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 9

New head coach Paul Pasqualoni returns to the Big East where he coached Syracuse from 1991-2004. The Huskies have some big holes to fill on offense, most notably QB Zach Frazer and RB Jordan Todman. Four signal callers will vie for starting duty, and USC transfer D.J. Shoemate was the top RB in spring ball. The defense will have to replace two senior LBs, but the D-Line is excellent with Jesse Joseph (8.5 sacks) returning, and the secondary is also top-notch with stud CB Blidi Wreh-Wilson and safety Jerome Junior.

LOUISVILLE

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 4
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 7

The Cardinals lost five games by eight points or less last year. Junior Will Stein (two career starts) will likely beat out true freshman Teddy Bridgewater for the starting QB job. RB Victor Anderson (5.4 YPC, 14 TD in career) finally looks healthy after two injury-riddled seasons and will replace Bilal Powell as the featured back. Louisville's biggest weakness is a secondary that lost two starting cornerbacks. But most of the defense, which ranked in the nation's top 20 in yardage, points and sacks, returns.

PITTSBURGH

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 6
Defense: Base 34 - Starters Returning: 8

Todd Graham takes over head coaching duties for a team that won six of its final eight games in 2010. He'll install his no-huddle, spread attack that worked very well at Tulsa and arm returning QB Tino Sunseri (16 TD) with three and four-receiver sets out of the shotgun. RB Ray Graham (922 rush yds, 10 total TD) will be the focal point of the offense and WR Mike Shanahan (43 rec, 589 yds) will see more targets with Jonathan Baldwin gone. D-I's eighth-best yardage defense (305 YPG) should be solid again.

RUTGERS

Offense: Pro Style - Starters Returning: 10
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 5

The Scarlet Knights ranked 114th in total offense (295 YPG), but are strong in the skill positions. QB Chas Dodd has an exciting WR trio with Mark Harrison (9 rec. TD), Mohamed Sanu and redshirt frosh Brandon Coleman. Jeremy Deering and stud recruit Savon Huggins can both run the football. But they still have major worries about an offensive line that allowed the most sacks in the nation last year (61). The defense, which gave up 37.7 PPG during its season-ending six-game losing skid, is most thin on the D-Line.

SOUTH FLORIDA

Offense: Spread - Starters Returning: 4
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 6

The Bulls are coming off an 8-5 season, but they were very fortunate with the final four wins by a total of 12 points. B.J. Daniels returns at QB, and needs to improve big time (11 TD, 13 INT) for Skip Holtz to throw the football more. USF will remain a run-first offense with RBs Demetris Murray (6 total TD) and transfers Darrell Scott (Colorado) and Dontae Aycock (Auburn). The defense, which ranked 17th in Division I in yardage (318 YPG), has great depth in the secondary with a serviceable group of LBs and D-Linemen.

SYRACUSE

Offense: Multiple Pro - Starters Returning: 8
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 5

Most of the Orange offense returns with QB Ryan Nassib (19 TD, 8 INT) and WR Van Chew (611 yds) trying to improve on Syracuse's 11.5 PPG in its last four regular-season games. RB Antwon Bailey (5.1 YPC career) must make the jump from a third-down back to the featured rusher replacing Delone Carter. The defense ranked seventh in the nation in yardage (301 YPG), but has significant question marks with six lost starters including both defensive tackles, both cornerbacks and leading tackler Derrell Smith.

WEST VIRGINIA

Offense: Spread - Starters Returning: 8
Defense: 3-3-5 - Starters Returning: 4

Offensive guru Dana Holgorsen replaces Bill Stewart as head coach. In the past five seasons as an OC at Texas Tech, Houston and Oklahoma State, Holgorsen's teams racked up 2,617 points. QB Geno Smith (24 TD, 7 INT) and WR Tavon Austin (787 rec. yds) should benefit from this pass-happy attack. Starting RB duties are up for grabs with Noel Devine having departed. The nation's No. 3 defense in points (13.5 PPG) and yards (261 YPG) lost a lot, but still has DE Bruce Irvin (14 sacks) and CB Keith Tandy (6 INT).

> BIG EAST



CINCINNATI

StatFox.com Power Rating: 36 (#63 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +3.0 (#57 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 38.08 (36th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 35.75 (55th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -0.9 (#70 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 4–8
2010 ATS Record: 4–8
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 4–7

2011 SCHEDULE

9/1	AUSTIN PEAY
9/10	at Tennessee
9/17	AKRON
9/22	NC STATE
10/1	at Miami Ohio
10/15	LOUISVILLE
10/22	at S Florida
11/5	at Pittsburgh
11/12	W VIRGINIA
11/19	at Rutgers
11/26	at Syracuse
12/3	CONNECTICUT

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	10–3	11–3	12–1	4–8	37–15	71.2%
OVERALL ATS	8–3	6–7	7–6	4–8	25–24	51.0%
HOME ATS	3–1	3–2	2–4	3–4	11–11	50.0%
ROAD ATS	5–2	3–5	5–2	1–4	14–13	51.9%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	4–2	4–3	5–2	2–5	15–12	55.6%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	4–1	2–4	2–4	2–3	10–12	45.5%
AS FAVORITE ATS	5–3	4–6	4–5	3–3	16–17	48.5%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	3–0	2–1	2–1	1–5	8–7	53.3%
OVER-UNDER	5–6	7–6	6–6	4–7	22–25	46.8%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	27.1	57
First Downs	21.9	30
Yards/Game	417.3	32
RushYds/Gm	156.7	55
Pass Yds/Gm	260.67	28
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	28.0	68
Yards/Game	369.4	63
RushYds/Gm	135.4	39
Pass Yds/Gm	234.0	88
Takeaways	14	112

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/28	E KENTUCKY	W 40-7		
9/6	at Oklahoma	L 26-52	L (+22)	O (53½)
9/20	MIAMI OHIO	W 45-20	W (-12½)	O (48½)
9/27	at Akron	W 17-15	L (-11½)	U (56½)
10/3	at Marshall	W 33-10	W (-3)	U (47½)
10/11	RUTGERS	W 13-10	L (-6½)	U (48½)
10/25	at Connecticut	L 16-40	L (-2½)	O (44)
10/30	S FLORIDA	W 24-10	W (+2)	U (48½)
11/8	at W Virginia	W 26-23	W (+7)	O (48)
11/14	at Louisville	W 28-20	W (-4)	O (47)
11/22	PITTSBURGH	W 28-21	W (-6)	O (48)
11/29	SYRACUSE	W 30-10	L (-21½)	U (51)
12/6	at Hawaii	W 29-24	L (-9)	O (48½)
1/1	vs Virginia Tech	L 7-20	L (-2½)	U (41)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/7	at Rutgers	W 47-15	W (+5)	O (47)
9/12	SE MISSOURI ST	W 70-3	W (-47)	
9/19	at Oregon St	W 28-18	W (-1)	U (57½)
9/26	FRESNO ST	W 28-20	L (-17)	U (63½)
10/3	at Miami Ohio	W 37-13	L (-29½)	U (59)
10/15	at S Florida	W 34-17	W (-1)	O (48½)
10/24	LOUISVILLE	W 41-10	W (-16½)	U (54½)
10/31	at Syracuse	W 28-7	W (-15½)	U (52)
11/7	CONNECTICUT	W 47-45	L (-16)	O (51)
11/13	W VIRGINIA	W 24-21	L (-9½)	U (56½)
11/27	ILLINOIS	W 49-36	L (-19)	O (59)
12/5	at Pittsburgh	W 45-44	W (+1)	O (56)
1/1	vs Florida	L 24-51	L (+12)	O (59)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	at Fresno St	L 14-28	L (+2½)	U (57)
9/11	INDIANA ST	W 40-7	L (-45)	
9/16	at NC State	L 19-30	L (+2)	U (54)
9/25	OKLAHOMA	L 29-31	W (+14)	O (52½)
10/9	MIAMI OHIO	W 45-3	W (-17½)	U (53½)
10/15	at Louisville	W 35-27	W (-2½)	O (55½)
10/22	S FLORIDA	L 30-38	L (-9½)	O (47½)
10/30	SYRACUSE	L 7-31	L (-5½)	U (46½)
11/13	at W Virginia	L 10-37	L (+5)	U (50)
11/20	RUTGERS	W 69-38	W (-10½)	O (49)
11/27	at Connecticut	L 17-38	L (+1½)	U (55½)
12/4	PITTSBURGH	L 10-28	L (+1½)	U (55)

> BIG EAST



CONNECTICUT

StatFox.com Power Rating: 43 (#40 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +7.7 (#39 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 34.00 (68th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 33.17 (72nd toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +4.4 (#50 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 8–5
2010 ATS Record: 8–5
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 5–7

2011 SCHEDULE

9/1	FORDHAM
9/10	at Vanderbilt
9/16	IOWA ST
9/24	at Buffalo
10/1	W MICHIGAN
10/8	at W Virginia
10/15	S FLORIDA
10/26	at Pittsburgh
11/5	SYRACUSE
11/19	LOUISVILLE
11/26	RUTGERS
12/3	at Cincinnati

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	9–4	8–5	8–5	8–5	33–19	63.5%
OVERALL ATS	8–4	6–6	11–2	8–5	33–17	66.0%
HOME ATS	5–1	2–3	4–2	6–0	17–6	73.9%
ROAD ATS	3–3	4–3	7–0	2–5	16–11	59.3%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	5–2	4–3	5–2	5–2	19–9	67.9%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	3–2	2–3	6–0	3–3	14–8	63.6%
AS FAVORITE ATS	3–1	3–3	3–2	4–2	13–8	61.9%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	5–3	3–3	7–0	4–3	19–9	67.9%
OVER-UNDER	5–7	7–5	8–4	5–7	25–23	52.1%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	26.4	63
First Downs	16.2	108
Yards/Game	325.8	96
RushYds/Gm	174.7	35
Pass Yds/Gm	151.08	110
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	22.0	35
Yards/Game	366.8	58
RushYds/Gm	143.2	51
Pass Yds/Gm	223.5	67
Takeaways	31	11

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/28	HOFSTRA	W 35-3		
9/6	at Temple	W 12-9	L (-6½)	U (39½)
9/13	VIRGINIA	W 45-10	W (-10½)	O (40½)
9/19	BAYLOR	W 31-28	L (-13)	O (52)
9/26	at Louisville	W 26-21	W (+3½)	U (51)
10/4	at N Carolina	L 12-38	L (+8)	O (45½)
10/18	at Rutgers	L 10-12	W (+3)	U (44)
10/25	CINCINNATI	W 40-16	W (+2½)	O (44)
11/1	W VIRGINIA	L 13-35	L (+3)	O (46½)
11/15	at Syracuse	W 39-14	W (-9½)	O (47)
11/23	at S Florida	L 13-17	L (+3)	U (48½)
12/6	PITTSBURGH	L 10-34	L (-2)	U (44½)
1/3	vs Buffalo	W 38-20	W (-7)	O (51)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	at Ohio U	W 23-16	W (-3½)	U (44½)
9/12	N CAROLINA	L 10-12	W (+5½)	U (41½)
9/19	at Baylor	W 30-22	W (+10½)	O (44½)
9/26	RHODE ISLAND	W 52-10	W (-34½)	
10/10	at Pittsburgh	L 21-24	W (+6½)	U (47½)
10/17	LOUISVILLE	W 38-25	W (-12½)	O (47)
10/24	at W Virginia	L 24-28	W (+8)	O (47)
10/31	RUTGERS	L 24-28	L (-7½)	O (44)
11/7	at Cincinnati	L 45-47	W (+16)	O (51)
11/21	at Notre Dame	W 33-30	W (+6)	O (58)
11/28	SYRACUSE	W 56-31	W (-12½)	O (44½)
12/5	S FLORIDA	W 29-27	L (-7)	O (47½)
1/2	vs S Carolina	W 20-7	W (+4)	U (50½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	at Michigan	L 10-30	L (+3)	U (51½)
9/11	TEXAS SOUTHERN	W 62-3	W (-43½)	
9/18	at Temple	L 16-30	L (-5½)	U (47½)
9/25	BUFFALO	W 45-21	W (-20½)	O (48½)
10/2	VANDERBILT	W 40-21	W (-9)	O (45)
10/8	at Rutgers	L 24-27	L (-5)	O (42½)
10/23	at Louisville	L 0-26	L (+3)	U (53)
10/29	W VIRGINIA	W 16-13	W (+4½)	U (44)
11/11	PITTSBURGH	W 30-28	W (+6)	O (48)
11/20	at Syracuse	W 23-6	W (+4)	U (44½)
11/27	CINCINNATI	W 38-17	W (-1½)	U (55½)
12/4	at S Florida	W 19-16	W (+2)	U (43)
1/1	vs Oklahoma	L 20-48	L (+16)	O (53½)

> BIG EAST



LOUISVILLE

StatFox.com Power Rating: 43 (#40 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +8.4 (#36 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 34.08 (67th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 35.50 (57th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +7.0 (#40 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 7–6
2010 ATS Record: 7–6
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 6–6

2011 SCHEDULE

9/1	MURRAY ST
9/9	FLA INTERNATIONAL
9/17	at Kentucky
10/1	MARSHALL
10/8	at N Carolina
10/15	at Cincinnati
10/21	RUTGERS
10/29	SYRACUSE
11/5	at W Virginia
11/12	PITTSBURGH
11/19	at Connecticut
11/25	at S Florida

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	6–6	5–7	4–8	7–6	22–27	44.9%
OVERALL ATS	4–7	4–7	5–6	7–6	20–26	43.5%
HOME ATS	1–4	3–4	2–3	2–5	8–16	33.3%
ROAD ATS	3–3	1–3	3–3	5–1	12–10	54.5%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	3–4	1–6	2–5	3–4	9–19	32.1%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	1–3	3–1	3–1	4–2	11–7	61.1%
AS FAVORITE ATS	2–6	2–3	1–1	5–2	10–12	45.5%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	2–1	2–4	4–5	2–4	10–14	41.7%
OVER-UNDER	6–5	6–5	4–7	6–6	22–23	48.9%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	26.4	63
First Downs	18.8	73
Yards/Game	369.0	71
RushYds/Gm	175.0	34
Pass Yds/Gm	194	79
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	19.4	18
Yards/Game	311.7	14
RushYds/Gm	144.3	52
Pass Yds/Gm	167.4	9
Takeaways	19	77

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/31	KENTUCKY	L 2-27	L (-3½)	U (55½)
9/6	TENNESSEE TECH	W 51-10		
9/17	KANSAS ST	W 38-29	W (+6)	O (56)
9/26	CONNECTICUT	L 21-26	L (-3½)	U (51)
10/10	at Memphis	W 35-28	W (-6)	O (56½)
10/18	MIDDLE TENN ST	W 42-23	W (-14½)	O (48½)
10/25	S FLORIDA	W 24-20	W (+6)	U (52)
11/1	at Syracuse	L 21-28	L (-11)	U (51½)
11/8	at Pittsburgh	L 7-41	L (+6½)	U (53½)
11/14	CINCINNATI	L 20-28	L (+4)	O (47)
11/22	W VIRGINIA	L 21-35	L (+7½)	O (49)
12/4	at Rutgers	L 14-63	L (+11½)	O (54)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	INDIANA ST	W 30-10		
9/19	at Kentucky	L 27-31	W (+12½)	O (49)
9/26	at Utah	L 14-30	L (+14)	U (52½)
10/2	PITTSBURGH	L 10-35	L (+7)	U (52½)
10/10	SOUTHERN MISS	W 25-23	W (+2)	U (50)
10/17	at Connecticut	L 25-38	L (+12½)	O (47)
10/24	at Cincinnati	L 10-41	L (+16½)	U (54½)
10/31	ARKANSAS ST	W 21-13	W (-1½)	U (50)
11/7	at W Virginia	L 9-17	W (+17½)	U (55)
11/14	SYRACUSE	W 10-9	L (-7½)	U (46½)
11/21	at S Florida	L 22-34	W (+12½)	O (45)
11/27	RUTGERS	L 14-34	L (+3)	O (42½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	KENTUCKY	L 16-23	L (+3)	U (47½)
9/11	E KENTUCKY	W 23-13	L (-30)	
9/18	at Oregon St	L 28-35	W (+20½)	O (55)
10/2	at Arkansas St	W 34-24	W (-6½)	U (60)
10/9	MEMPHIS	W 56-0	W (-16½)	O (51)
10/15	CINCINNATI	L 27-35	L (+2½)	O (55½)
10/23	CONNECTICUT	W 26-0	W (-3)	U (53)
10/30	at Pittsburgh	L 3-20	L (+9½)	U (56)
11/6	at Syracuse	W 28-20	W (+7)	O (44)
11/13	S FLORIDA	L 21-24	L (-2)	U (46½)
11/20	W VIRGINIA	L 10-17	L (+3½)	U (47)
11/26	at Rutgers	W 40-13	W (-3)	O (45½)
12/21	vs Southern Miss	W 31-28	W (-2)	O (58½)

> BIG EAST



PITTSBURGH

StatFox.com Power Rating: 46 (#26 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +10.9 (#33 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 37.00 (44th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 36.58 (49th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +7.3 (#36 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 8–5
2010 ATS Record: 7–4–2
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 6–6

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	BUFFALO
9/10	MAINE
9/17	at Iowa
9/24	NOTRE DAME
9/29	S FLORIDA
10/8	at Rutgers
10/15	UTAH
10/26	CONNECTICUT
11/5	CINCINNATI
11/12	at Louisville
11/25	at W Virginia
12/3	SYRACUSE

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	5–7	9–4	10–3	8–5	32–19	62.7%
OVERALL ATS	6–5	6–7	7–4	7–4	26–20	56.5%
HOME ATS	2–4	2–4	3–2	3–3	10–13	43.5%
ROAD ATS	4–1	4–3	4–2	4–1	16–7	69.6%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	4–3	4–3	4–3	5–2	17–11	60.7%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	2–2	2–4	3–1	2–2	9–9	50.0%
AS FAVORITE ATS	1–3	2–5	7–3	7–3	17–14	54.8%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	5–2	4–2	0–1	0–1	9–6	60.0%
OVER-UNDER	4–7	5–8	4–8	6–6	19–29	39.6%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	26.3	67
First Downs	18.4	82
Yards/Game	366.9	72
RushYds/Gm	165.7	45
Pass Yds/Gm	201.23	73
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	19.0	15
Yards/Game	305.1	8
RushYds/Gm	120.0	17
Pass Yds/Gm	185.1	19
Takeaways	22	59

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	BOWLING GREEN	L 17-27	L (-14)	U (54)
9/6	BUFFALO	W 27-16	L (-13½)	U (46½)
9/20	IOWA	W 21-20	L (-2)	U (41½)
9/27	at Syracuse	W 34-24	L (-14)	O (48)
10/2	at S Florida	W 26-21	W (+13½)	U (50)
10/18	at Navy	W 42-21	W (-3)	O (51)
10/25	RUTGERS	L 34-54	L (-9½)	O (41)
11/1	at Notre Dame	W 36-33	W (+4)	O (48)
11/8	LOUISVILLE	W 41-7	W (-6½)	U (53½)
11/22	at Cincinnati	L 21-28	L (+6)	O (48)
11/28	W VIRGINIA	W 19-15	W (+2½)	U (48½)
12/6	at Connecticut	W 34-10	W (+2)	U (44½)
12/31	vs Oregon St	L 0-3	L (+1½)	U (51)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	YOUNGSTOWN ST	W 38-3		
9/12	at Buffalo	W 54-27	W (-11½)	O (42½)
9/19	NAVY	W 27-14	W (-8)	U (49½)
9/26	at NC State	L 31-38	L (-1)	O (48)
10/2	at Louisville	W 35-10	W (-7)	U (52½)
10/10	CONNECTICUT	W 24-21	L (-6½)	U (47½)
10/16	at Rutgers	W 24-17	W (-6)	U (46)
10/24	S FLORIDA	W 41-14	W (-6½)	O (48)
11/7	SYRACUSE	W 37-10	W (-21)	U (49½)
11/14	NOTRE DAME	W 27-22	P (-5)	U (59)
11/27	at W Virginia	L 16-19	L (pk)	U (48)
12/5	CINCINNATI	L 44-45	L (-1)	O (56)
12/26	vs N Carolina	W 19-17	W (-1)	U (46)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/2	at Utah	L 24-27	P (+3)	O (48½)
9/11	NEW HAMPSHIRE	W 38-16	L (-29½)	
9/23	MIAMI	L 3-31	L (+3½)	U (48½)
10/2	FLA INTERNATIONAL	W 44-17	W (-17)	O (51)
10/9	at Notre Dame	L 17-23	P (+6)	U (50)
10/16	at Syracuse	W 45-14	W (-2½)	O (45½)
10/23	RUTGERS	W 41-21	W (-13)	O (46½)
10/30	LOUISVILLE	W 20-3	W (-9½)	U (56)
11/11	at Connecticut	L 28-30	L (-6)	O (48)
11/20	at S Florida	W 17-10	W (-3)	U (47½)
11/26	W VIRGINIA	L 10-35	L (-3)	O (42)
12/4	at Cincinnati	W 28-10	W (-1½)	U (55)
1/8	vs Kentucky	W 27-10	W (-4½)	U (52)

> BIG EAST



RUTGERS

StatFox.com Power Rating: 31 (#76 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -3.3 (#83 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 34.00 (68th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 36.42 (51st toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -5.7 (#86 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 4–8
2010 ATS Record: 3–9
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 7–4

2011 SCHEDULE

9/1	NC CENTRAL
9/10	at N Carolina
9/24	OHIO U
10/1	at Syracuse
10/8	PITTSBURGH
10/15	NAVY
10/21	at Louisville
10/29	W VIRGINIA
11/5	S FLORIDA
11/12	at Army
11/19	CINCINNATI
11/26	at Connecticut

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	8–5	8–5	9–4	4–8	29–22	56.9%
OVERALL ATS	6–6	7–4	6–7	3–9	22–26	45.8%
HOME ATS	3–4	3–3	1–6	1–5	8–18	30.8%
ROAD ATS	3–2	4–1	5–1	2–4	14–8	63.6%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	2–5	6–1	3–4	3–4	14–14	50.0%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	4–1	1–3	3–2	0–5	8–11	42.1%
AS FAVORITE ATS	5–4	3–4	4–5	0–4	12–17	41.4%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	1–2	4–0	2–1	3–5	10–8	55.6%
OVER-UNDER	6–5	5–7	5–6	7–4	23–22	51.1%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	20.8	101
First Downs	15.5	115
Yards/Game	294.9	114
RushYds/Gm	100.9	110
Pass Yds/Gm	194	79
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	26.5	62
Yards/Game	374.2	65
RushYds/Gm	156.4	65
Pass Yds/Gm	217.8	55
Takeaways	22	59

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/1	FRESNO ST	L 7-24	L (-3½)	U (57)
9/11	N CAROLINA	L 12-44	L (-6)	O (49)
9/20	at Navy	L 21-23	L (-5½)	U (59)
9/27	MORGAN ST	W 38-0		
10/4	at W Virginia	L 17-24	W (+14)	U (49½)
10/11	at Cincinnati	L 10-13	W (+6½)	U (48½)
10/18	CONNECTICUT	W 12-10	L (-3)	U (44)
10/25	at Pittsburgh	W 54-34	W (+9½)	O (41)
11/8	SYRACUSE	W 35-17	W (-14)	O (49)
11/15	at S Florida	W 49-16	W (+8½)	O (49½)
11/22	ARMY	W 30-3	W (-17½)	U (43½)
12/4	LOUISVILLE	W 63-14	W (-11½)	O (54)
12/29	vs NC State	W 29-23	P (-6)	U (57½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/7	CINCINNATI	L 15-47	L (-5)	O (47)
9/12	HOWARD	W 45-7	L (-46)	
9/19	FLA INTERNATIONAL	W 23-15	L (-16)	U (51½)
9/26	at Maryland	W 34-13	W (+1)	U (49)
10/10	TEXAS SOUTHERN	W 42-0	L (-52)	
10/16	PITTSBURGH	L 17-24	L (+6)	U (46)
10/23	at Army	W 27-10	W (-10½)	U (38½)
10/31	at Connecticut	W 28-24	W (+7½)	O (44)
11/12	S FLORIDA	W 31-0	W (-1½)	U (43)
11/21	at Syracuse	L 13-31	L (-10)	U (45)
11/27	at Louisville	W 34-14	W (-3)	O (42½)
12/5	W VIRGINIA	L 21-24	L (-1)	O (43½)
12/19	vs UCF	W 45-24	W (-2½)	O (45)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/2	NORFOLK ST	W 31-0	L (-38½)	
9/11	at FLA International	W 19-14	L (-14½)	U (47½)
9/25	N CAROLINA	L 13-17	L (+3)	U (44)
10/2	TULANE	L 14-17	L (-17)	U (41½)
10/8	CONNECTICUT	W 27-24	W (+5)	O (42½)
10/16	vs Army	W 23-20	L (-6)	O (42½)
10/23	at Pittsburgh	L 21-41	L (+13)	O (46½)
11/3	at S Florida	L 27-28	W (+10½)	O (43½)
11/13	SYRACUSE	L 10-13	L (+2½)	U (42)
11/20	at Cincinnati	L 38-69	L (+10½)	O (49)
11/26	LOUISVILLE	L 13-40	L (+3)	O (45½)
12/4	at W Virginia	L 14-35	W (+21½)	O (46½)

> BIG EAST



SOUTH FLORIDA

StatFox.com Power Rating: 43 (#40 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +1.7 (#63 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 34.38 (65th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 35.33 (60th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +4.1 (#52 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 8–5
2010 ATS Record: 6–7
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 4–8

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	at Notre Dame
9/10	BALL ST
9/17	FLORIDA AM
9/24	UTEP
9/29	at Pittsburgh
10/15	at Connecticut
10/22	CINCINNATI
11/5	at Rutgers
11/11	at Syracuse
11/19	MIAMI
11/25	LOUISVILLE
12/1	W VIRGINIA

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	9–4	8–5	8–5	8–5	33–19	63.5%
OVERALL ATS	7–5	5–7	6–6	6–7	24–25	49.0%
HOME ATS	4–1	2–3	2–3	2–5	10–12	45.5%
ROAD ATS	3–4	3–4	4–3	4–2	14–13	51.9%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	4–3	3–4	3–4	2–5	12–16	42.9%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	3–2	2–3	3–2	4–2	12–9	57.1%
AS FAVORITE ATS	5–5	4–7	2–2	1–4	12–18	40.0%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	2–0	1–0	3–4	4–3	10–7	58.8%
OVER-UNDER	8–3	5–7	6–5	4–8	23–23	50.0%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	24.1	85
First Downs	16.5	105
Yards/Game	309.4	105
RushYds/Gm	144.9	71
Pass Yds/Gm	164.54	101
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	20.0	22
Yards/Game	317.9	17
RushYds/Gm	125.6	22
Pass Yds/Gm	192.3	24
Takeaways	20	72

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	TENN-MARTIN	W 56-7		
9/6	at UCF	W 31-24	L (-14)	O (47½)
9/12	KANSAS	W 37-34	L (-4½)	O (51½)
9/20	at FLA International	W 17-9	L (-29½)	U (55½)
9/27	at NC State	W 41-10	W (-10)	O (45½)
10/2	PITTSBURGH	L 21-26	L (-13½)	U (50)
10/18	SYRACUSE	W 45-13	W (-24)	O (51½)
10/25	at Louisville	L 20-24	L (-6)	U (52)
10/30	at Cincinnati	L 10-24	L (-2)	U (48½)
11/15	RUTGERS	L 16-49	L (-8½)	O (49½)
11/23	CONNECTICUT	W 17-13	W (-3)	U (48½)
12/6	at W Virginia	L 7-13	W (+7)	U (46½)
12/20	vs Memphis	W 41-14	W (-10½)	U (56½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	WOFFORD	W 40-7		
9/12	at W Kentucky	W 35-13	L (-26)	U (52)
9/19	CHARLESTON SOUT	W 59-0	W (-38)	
9/26	at Florida St	W 17-7	W (+13½)	U (51)
10/3	at Syracuse	W 34-20	W (-7)	O (47)
10/15	CINCINNATI	L 17-34	L (+1)	O (48½)
10/24	at Pittsburgh	L 14-41	L (+6½)	O (48)
10/30	W VIRGINIA	W 30-19	W (+2½)	O (47½)
11/12	at Rutgers	L 0-31	L (+1½)	U (43)
11/21	LOUISVILLE	W 34-22	L (-12½)	O (45)
11/28	MIAMI	L 10-31	L (+3½)	U (53)
12/5	at Connecticut	L 27-29	W (+7)	O (47½)
1/2	vs N Illinois	W 27-3	W (-6½)	U (50)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	STONY BROOK	W 59-14	W (-36)	
9/11	at Florida	L 14-38	L (+14½)	O (50)
9/25	W KENTUCKY	W 24-12	L (-27½)	U (56)
10/2	FLA ATLANTIC	W 31-3	W (-21½)	U (51½)
10/9	SYRACUSE	L 9-13	L (-8)	U (45½)
10/14	at W Virginia	L 6-20	L (+10)	U (44)
10/22	at Cincinnati	W 38-30	W (+9½)	O (47½)
11/3	RUTGERS	W 28-27	L (-10½)	O (43½)
11/13	at Louisville	W 24-21	W (+2)	U (46½)
11/20	PITTSBURGH	L 10-17	L (+3)	U (47½)
11/27	at Miami	W 23-20	W (+13)	U (46½)
12/4	CONNECTICUT	L 16-19	L (-2)	U (43)
12/31	vs Clemson	W 31-26	W (+5)	O (40½)

> BIG EAST



SYRACUSE

StatFox.com Power Rating: 36 (#63 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +3.3 (#55 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 31.62 (81st toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 34.25 (67th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +2.8 (#56 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 8–5
2010 ATS Record: 8–5
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 4–7

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	WAKE FOREST
9/10	RHODE ISLAND
9/17	at USC
9/24	TOLEDO
10/1	RUTGERS
10/8	at Tulane
10/21	W VIRGINIA
10/29	at Louisville
11/5	at Connecticut
11/11	S FLORIDA
11/26	CINCINNATI
12/3	at Pittsburgh

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	2–10	3–9	4–8	8–5	17–32	34.7%
OVERALL ATS	3–9	5–6	6–6	8–5	22–26	45.8%
HOME ATS	1–6	2–3	4–4	2–4	9–17	34.6%
ROAD ATS	2–3	3–3	2–2	6–1	13–9	59.1%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	2–5	4–3	2–5	4–3	12–16	42.9%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	1–4	1–3	4–1	4–2	10–10	50.0%
AS FAVORITE ATS	1–0	0–1	1–0	4–3	6–4	60.0%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	2–9	5–5	5–5	4–2	16–21	43.2%
OVER-UNDER	5–6	6–5	3–8	4–7	18–26	40.9%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	22.2	93
First Downs	17.3	98
Yards/Game	322.9	97
RushYds/Gm	140.2	76
Pass Yds/Gm	182.69	91
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	19.3	17
Yards/Game	301.5	7
RushYds/Gm	136.2	41
Pass Yds/Gm	165.3	7
Takeaways	17	94

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	at Northwestern	L 10-30	L (+12½)	U (54½)
9/6	AKRON	L 28-42	L (-4½)	O (43½)
9/13	PENN ST	L 13-55	L (+27½)	O (50½)
9/20	NORTHEASTERN	W 30-21		
9/27	PITTSBURGH	L 24-34	W (+14)	O (48)
10/11	at W Virginia	L 6-17	W (+23)	U (47)
10/18	at S Florida	L 13-45	L (+24)	O (51½)
11/1	LOUISVILLE	W 28-21	W (+11)	U (51½)
11/8	at Rutgers	L 17-35	L (+14)	O (49)
11/15	CONNECTICUT	L 14-39	L (+9½)	O (47)
11/22	at Notre Dame	W 24-23	W (+20)	U (47½)
11/29	at Cincinnati	L 10-30	W (+21½)	U (51)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	MINNESOTA	L 20-23	W (+7)	U (47)
9/12	at Penn St	L 7-28	W (+29½)	U (51)
9/19	NORTHWESTERN	W 37-34	W (+1½)	O (45½)
9/26	MAINE	W 41-24	L (-21)	
10/3	S FLORIDA	L 20-34	L (+7)	O (47)
10/10	W VIRGINIA	L 13-34	L (+10½)	U (54½)
10/24	AKRON	W 28-14	W (-11)	U (47½)
10/31	CINCINNATI	L 7-28	L (+15½)	U (52)
11/7	at Pittsburgh	L 10-37	L (+21)	U (49½)
11/14	at Louisville	L 9-10	W (+7½)	U (46½)
11/21	RUTGERS	W 31-13	W (+10)	U (45)
11/28	at Connecticut	L 31-56	L (+12½)	O (44½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	at Akron	W 29-3	W (-9)	U (42)
9/11	at Washington	L 20-41	L (+13)	O (51½)
9/18	MAINE	W 38-14	W (-20½)	
9/25	COLGATE	W 42-7	W (-29½)	
10/9	at S Florida	W 13-9	W (+8)	U (45½)
10/16	PITTSBURGH	L 14-45	L (+2½)	O (45½)
10/23	at W Virginia	W 19-14	W (+13½)	U (44)
10/30	at Cincinnati	W 31-7	W (+5½)	U (46½)
11/6	LOUISVILLE	L 20-28	L (-7)	O (44)
11/13	at Rutgers	W 13-10	W (-2½)	U (42)
11/20	CONNECTICUT	L 6-23	L (-4)	U (44½)
11/27	BOSTON COLLEGE	L 7-16	L (-3½)	U (38)
12/30	vs Kansas St	W 36-34	W (pk)	O (48½)

> BIG EAST



WEST VIRGINIA

StatFox.com Power Rating: 47 (#25 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +11.1 (#29 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 35.62 (58th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 35.42 (59th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +11.6 (#23 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 9–4
2010 ATS Record: 7–6
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 4–8

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	MARSHALL
9/10	NORFOLK ST
9/17	at Maryland
9/24	LSU
10/1	BOWLING GREEN
10/8	CONNECTICUT
10/21	at Syracuse
10/29	at Rutgers
11/5	LOUISVILLE
11/12	at Cincinnati
11/25	PITTSBURGH
12/1	at S Florida

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	11–2	9–4	9–4	9–4	38–14	73.1%
OVERALL ATS	7–5	4–8	5–7	7–6	23–26	46.9%
HOME ATS	3–3	2–4	2–4	4–3	11–14	44.0%
ROAD ATS	4–2	2–4	3–3	3–3	12–12	50.0%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	3–3	2–5	4–3	4–3	13–14	48.1%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	4–2	2–3	1–4	3–3	10–12	45.5%
AS FAVORITE ATS	6–5	4–8	2–6	5–5	17–24	41.5%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	1–0	0–0	3–1	2–0	6–1	85.7%
OVER-UNDER	6–7	5–7	6–6	4–8	21–28	42.9%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	25.2	78
First Downs	20.0	56
Yards/Game	372.7	67
RushYds/Gm	159.7	50
Pass Yds/Gm	213	67
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	13.5	3
Yards/Game	261.1	3
RushYds/Gm	86.5	2
Pass Yds/Gm	174.6	11
Takeaways	23	49

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	VILLANOVA	W 48-21		
9/6	at E Carolina	L 3-24	L (-7½)	U (48½)
9/18	at Colorado	L 14-17	L (-3)	U (57)
9/27	MARSHALL	W 27-3	W (-16)	U (53½)
10/4	RUTGERS	W 24-17	L (-14)	U (49½)
10/11	SYRACUSE	W 17-6	L (-23)	U (47)
10/23	AUBURN	W 34-17	W (-3½)	O (40)
11/1	at Connecticut	W 35-13	W (-3)	O (46½)
11/8	CINCINNATI	L 23-26	L (-7)	O (48)
11/22	at Louisville	W 35-21	W (-7½)	O (49)
11/28	at Pittsburgh	L 15-19	L (-2½)	U (48½)
12/6	S FLORIDA	W 13-7	L (-7)	U (46½)
12/27	vs N Carolina	W 31-30	L (-2½)	O (47½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	LIBERTY	W 33-20		
9/12	E CAROLINA	W 35-20	W (-6)	O (44)
9/19	at Auburn	L 30-41	L (+7)	O (54½)
10/1	COLORADO	W 35-24	L (-17)	O (55½)
10/10	at Syracuse	W 34-13	W (-10½)	U (54½)
10/17	MARSHALL	W 24-7	L (-20)	U (51½)
10/24	CONNECTICUT	W 28-24	L (-8)	O (47)
10/30	at S Florida	L 19-30	L (-2½)	O (47½)
11/7	LOUISVILLE	W 17-9	L (-17½)	U (55)
11/13	at Cincinnati	L 21-24	W (+9½)	U (56½)
11/27	PITTSBURGH	W 19-16	W (pk)	U (48)
12/5	at Rutgers	W 24-21	W (+1)	O (43½)
1/1	vs Florida St	L 21-33	L (-3)	U (56)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	COASTAL CAROLINA	W 31-0	L (-41½)	
9/10	at Marshall	W 24-21	L (-12)	U (46½)
9/18	MARYLAND	W 31-17	W (-10)	O (45)
9/25	at LSU	L 14-20	W (+9½)	U (44½)
10/9	UNLV	W 49-10	W (-26½)	O (47½)
10/14	S FLORIDA	W 20-6	W (-10)	U (44)
10/23	SYRACUSE	L 14-19	L (-13½)	U (44)
10/29	at Connecticut	L 13-16	L (-4½)	U (44)
11/13	CINCINNATI	W 37-10	W (-5)	U (50)
11/20	at Louisville	W 17-10	W (-3½)	U (47)
11/26	at Pittsburgh	W 35-10	W (+3)	O (42)
12/4	RUTGERS	W 35-14	L (-21½)	O (46½)
12/28	vs NC State	L 7-23	L (-3)	U (49½)

BIG TEN

PREDICTED FINISH

LEGENDS DIVISION	LEADERS DIVISION
1. Nebraska	1. Wisconsin
2. Michigan State	2. Ohio State
3. Iowa	3. Penn State
4. Michigan	4. Illinois
5. Northwestern	5. Purdue
6. Minnesota	6. Indiana

ILLINOIS

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 7
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 6

The Illini offense was a bit one-dimensional last year, ranking 11th in the nation in rushing (246 YPG) and 111th in the country in passing (151 YPG). With monster TB Mikel Leshoure leaving for the NFL, they should be more balanced in 2011. Senior TB Jason Ford (480 rush yds, 7 TD) will replace Leshoure and has the luxury of three returning O-Linemen blocking for him. Sophomore QB Nathan Scheelhaase is still developing as a passer (58.7% completion rate), but he is a legitimate dual-threat QB (868 rush yds). No. 1 WR, senior A.J. Jenkins (56 rec, 746 yds, 7 TD), returns, but the other wideouts need work. The defense has big holes to fill with the losses of DLs Corey Liuget and Clay Nurse, and LBs Martez Wilson and Nate Bussey who combined for 13.5 sacks last year. Despite the lack of pass rushers up front, the back seven is still be pretty decent with junior LBs Ian Thomas (67 tackles, 6.5 TFL) and Justin Staples (4.5 TFL), and an improving secondary with two heady seniors – FS Trulon Henry (3 INT) and SS Tavon Wilson (8 PD).

INDIANA

Offense: Spread - Starters Returning: 7
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 6

Former Oklahoma OC Kevin Wilson takes over an Indiana team that went 1-7 in Big Ten play and loses QB Ben Chappell, who accounted for 276 YPG of total offense. Sophomores Dusty Kiel and Edward Wright-Baker will compete for the starting QB job and are a combined 9-of-29 for 151 yds, 1 TD and 3 INT. The 112th-ranked running game (100 YPG) is also in sad shape as Darius Willis (4.3 YPC), Antonio Banks (3.5 YPC) and Xavier Whitaker are all coming off season-ending knee injuries. Wide receiver is the team's one position of strength with Damarlo Belcher (78 rec, 832 yds) and Tandon Doss (63 rec, 706 yds). Indiana's defense will remain terrible. The Hoosiers, who only had 15 sacks and 13 turnovers (T3rd-fewest in FBS), will switch from a 3-4 to a 4-3 defense. Senior DE Darius Johnson (4.5 sacks) is the only DL left with more than two sacks last year. Senior LBs Jeff Thomas (82 tackles, 7.5 TFL) and Leon Beckum (69 tackles) will have to lead this defense, which lost its best player and secondary leader, SS Mitchell Evans.

IOWA

Offense: Pro Style - Starters Returning: 5
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 5

The Hawkeyes encountered a huge roster turnover with only 10 total starters remaining. Productive QB Ricky Stanzi is gone, but the coaching staff is very high on his replacement, junior James Vandenberg (47-95, 515 yds, 3 TD, 5 INT in career). With RB Adam Robinson transferring, the rushing workload falls on the capable shoulders of sophomore TB Marcus Coker (622 rush yds, 5.5 YPC). Coker rumbled for 219 yards and two scores in last year's Insight Bowl against Missouri. The WR corps is a little thin, but at least Iowa still has one great wideout in senior Marvin McNutt (53 rec, 861 yds, 8 TD). This defense was excellent last season, ranking among the nation's top seven teams in rushing (102 YPG), scoring (17.0 PPG) and TO margin (+1.0 per game). But the D-Line loses two all-conference performers in DE Adrian Clayborn and DT Karl Klug. Senior DT Mike Daniels (4 sacks, 11 TFL) is the only returning lineman with more than 1 TFL last year. MLB James Morris (70 tackles) is left to control the middle of the field, while the secondary welcomes back two excellent corners in Micah Hyde (82 tackles, 4 INT, 7 PD) and Shaun Prater (68 tackles, 4 INT, 6 PD).

MICHIGAN

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 9
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 8

Brady Hoke, a former Michigan defensive coach, takes over for Rich Rodriguez after successful stints with Ball State and SDSU. Hoke inherits electric QB Denard Robinson (329 YPG of offense, 2nd in FBS; 131 rush YPG, 4th in FBS). But he'll have to adjust to a multiple-set offense after thriving in the spread. Robinson benefits from four starters returning to an excellent O-Line that allowed just 11 sacks last year. He also has skill-position weapons in WR Roy Roundtree (72 rec, 935 yds, 7 TD) and TB Vincent Smith (601 rush yds, 4.4 YPC). The defense was brutal last year in all facets, ranking 110th in yardage (451 YPG), 108th in scoring (35.2 PPG), and amassing just 18 sacks. New DC Greg Mattison is switching from a 3-3-5 to a 4-3 defense. DE Ryan Van Bergen (4 sacks) is the team's best pass rusher, but the linebacker unit is extremely weak without graduated WLB Jonas Mouton. The secondary remains intact, but this group allowed 262 passing YPG in '10.

MICHIGAN STATE

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 6
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 6

On the heels of a shared Big Ten title and 11 wins, expectations are running high for Spartans fans. QB Kirk Cousins (217 pass YPG, 20 TD, 10 INT) will start for the third straight season. He has plenty of playmakers remaining on offense, most notably RBs Edwin Baker (1,201 rush yds, 13 TD) and Le'Veon Bell (605 rush yds, 8 TD), and WR B.J. Cunningham (611 rec yds, 9 TD). There is legitimate concern about blocking, as the revamped offensive line lost three starters. The defense needs to figure out how to put more pressure on opposing quarterbacks after ranking 90th in sacks per game (1.5) and T-91st in TFL per game (5.1). The unit is also seeking replacements for graduated stud LBs Greg Jones and Eric Gordon, who combined for 198 tackles, 16.5 TFL and four forced fumbles. The best defenders left in East Lansing are junior DT Jerel Worthy (4 sacks, 8 TFL), senior FS Trenton Robinson (4 INT, 8 PD) and junior CB Johnny Adams (3 INT, 7 PD).

MINNESOTA

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 6
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 8

Jerry Kill is the new head coach at Minnesota after three straight bowl seasons at Northern Illinois. QB Adam Weber, has graduated, but Kill likes what he sees from dual-threat QB MarQueis Gray who played receiver with Weber under center. WR Da'Jon McKnight (750 rec yds, 10 TD) and TE Eric Lair (39 rec, 526 yds) provide reliable senior targets. Kill will use multiple RBs, but seniors DeLeon Eskridge (698 rush yds, 7 TD) and Duane Bennett (529 rush yds, 4.3 YPC) should get the most touches. The offensive line is suspect with four starters gone. Minnesota had the fewest sacks in the nation last year (nine), but will incorporate a more aggressive scheme under new DC Tracy Claeys. Senior MLB Gary Tinsley (90 tackles, 9.5 TFL) leads a serviceable LB unit. The secondary loses both safeties, but CB Kim Royston (76 tackles, 6 PD in 2009) returns from a broken leg that cost him the 2010 season.

NEBRASKA

Offense: Multiple Spread Option - Starters Returning: 6
Defense: Base 42 - Starters Returning: 7

Nebraska's inaugural Big Ten season should be a good one with talent all over the field. The offensive line lost three starters, but when healthy, QB Taylor Martinez (965 rush yds, 6.0 YPC, 12 TD; 10 pass TD, 7 INT) runs this spread option to perfection. The Huskers also have a strong I-back in Rex Burkhead (951 rush yds, 5.5 YPC, 7 TD) and two great receivers in WR Brandon Kinnie (494 rec yds, 5 TD) and TE Kyler Reed (395 rec yds, 8 TD). Nebraska always has elite defensive fronts and this year's front seven is also stacked. All-Big 12 first teamers DT Jared Crick (9.5 sacks, 14.5 TFL) and LB Lavonte David (152 tackles (85 solo), 12.5 TFL, 6 sacks) are simply outstanding, and DE Cameron Meredith (5.5 TFL) is also top notch. The Huskers say goodbye to stud CBs Prince Amukamara and Eric Hagg, as well as FS DeJon Gomes, but senior CB Alfonzo Dennard (4 INT, 7 PD) remains.

NORTHWESTERN

Offense: Multiple Spread - Starters Returning: 9
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 7

The Wildcats are eager to get back on the field after ending the 2010 season allowing 163 points over three straight losses. But Northwestern could be a sleeper team in the Big Ten with QB Dan Persa under center. Persa ranked among the nation's top 10 players in total offense (310 YPG) and passing efficiency (159.04). He'll be even better with a more experienced offensive line that will surely improve upon 40 sacks and 91 TFL allowed. Persa also has a sound supporting cast led by senior WR Jeremy Ebert (62 rec, 953 yds, 8 TD) and sophomore TB Mike Trumpy (530 rush yds, 4.6 YPC). Most of the problems on defense stemmed from a front four that failed to pressure the QB, amassing a paltry 16 sacks for the season. DE Vince Browne was the line's lone bright spot (7 sacks, 15.5 TFL). LB Bryce McNaul (62 tackles) and CB Jordan Mabin (63 tackles, 14 PD) will lead their respective units.

OHIO STATE

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 7
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 4

With the Memorial Day resignation of Jim Tressel, assistant Luke Fickell takes over as the Buckeyes head coach. He's not expected to change much with the schemes, but he no longer has Terrelle Pryor (31-4 in career) as his QB. Three star offensive players (WR DeVier Posey, RB Dan Herron and LT Mike Adams) are suspended for the first five games. Senior QB Joe Bauserman (16-22, 174 yds, 2 TD, 1 INT) is expected to start for an offense that should remain dominant, after ranking 11th among FBS schools last year with 38.8 PPG. The defense ranked in the nation's top five in yardage (262 YPG) and points (14.3 PPG), but lost seven starters. DE Nathan Williams (4.5 sacks, 8.5 TFL) and DT John Simon (8.5 TFL) are strong returnees, but OSU's top two LBs and corners have departed. LB Andrew Sweat (41 tackles) and SS Orhian Johnson (50 tackles) are the other two starters remaining.

PENN STATE

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 7
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 7

The QB battle will be one to watch this summer with junior Matt McGloin and sophomore Rob Bolden, who combined for a 53% completion rate, 13 INT and just six TD in PSU's six defeats. Whoever wins the job will have a stout offensive line, which allowed only 11 sacks (T10th in FBS). TB Evan Royster is gone, but sophomore Silas Redd is a strong replacement (437 rush yds, 5.7 YPC). WR Derek Moye (53 rec, 885 yds, 8 TD) is the team's best offensive player. The defense had its weaknesses last year, mainly its lack of a pass rush (17 sacks, 71 TFL) and poor run defense (166 YPG, 74th in nation). DT Devon Still (10 TFL) has star potential, and Michael Mauti (66 tackles) and Nate Stupar (73 tackles) lead a talented linebacker corps. The secondary returns all four starters, including two with top-notch ball skills, FS Nick Sukay (3 INT in 6 games) and CB D'Anton Lynn (3 INT).

PURDUE

Offense: Spread - Starters Returning: 8
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 9

RB Ralph Bolden (935 rush yds, 11 total TD in 2009) has recovered from tearing his ACL last year and will be a key to improving the 104th ranked offense (312 YPG). QB Robert Marve also tore his ACL, but probably will not regain his starting role over Rob Henry (1,543 total yds, 8 pass TD, 7 INT). WR Keith Smith, who had 1,100 receiving yards in '09, also suffered a season-ending knee injury, but was a denied a sixth year of eligibility by the NCAA. The good news is four starters return on the O-Line. The defense only lost two starters, but one of those was All-American DE Ryan Kerrigan. The front seven will remain very good with DT Kawann Short (6 sacks, 12.5 TFL) and MLB Dwayne Beckford (84 tackles) up the middle. The secondary remains intact with CB Ricardo Allen (2 TD ret.) and S Logan Link (91 tackles).

WISCONSIN

Offense: Pro Style - Starters Returning: 5
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 6

Wisconsin had three 70-point games last year and returns the powerful two-headed rushing monster of James White (1,052 rush yds, 14 TD) and Montee Ball (996 rush yds, 18 TD). But the O-Line lost three starters. Former NC State QB Russell Wilson (76 pass TD, 267 total YPG in career) is a huge addition, but he'll need some receivers to step up in a big way, namely senior WR Nick Toon (36 rec, 459 yds, 3 TD). DE J.J. Watt is now in the NFL, but the team expects bigger things from senior Louis Nzegwu (3 sacks, 7.5 TFL). OLB Chris Borland (10.5 TFL, 5 FF in '09) missed most of last season with shoulder problems, but is poised for a huge year with fellow OLB Mike Taylor (58 tackles, 8 TFL). The top two pass defenders also return, in CB Antonio Fenelus (4 INT, 7 PD) and FS Aaron Henry (7 PD, 3 total TD).

> BIG TEN



ILLINOIS

StatFox.com Power Rating: 44 (#35 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +11.5 (#27 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 34.54 (64th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 35.17 (63rd toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +9.1 (#32 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 7–6
2010 ATS Record: 9–4
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 7–5

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	ARKANSAS ST
9/10	S DAKOTA ST
9/17	ARIZONA ST
9/24	W MICHIGAN
10/1	NORTHWESTERN
10/8	at Indiana
10/15	OHIO ST
10/22	at Purdue
10/29	at Penn St
11/12	MICHIGAN
11/19	WISCONSIN
11/26	at Minnesota

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	9–4	5–7	3–9	7–6	24–26	48.0%
OVERALL ATS	7–5	4–7	3–8	9–4	23–24	48.9%
HOME ATS	3–2	2–3	1–5	4–2	10–12	45.5%
ROAD ATS	4–3	2–4	2–3	5–2	13–12	52.0%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	6–2	4–4	2–5	6–2	18–13	58.1%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	1–3	0–3	1–3	3–2	5–11	31.3%
AS FAVORITE ATS	5–2	2–5	0–4	5–3	12–14	46.2%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	2–3	2–2	3–3	4–1	11–9	55.0%
OVER-UNDER	8–4	6–5	4–7	7–5	25–21	54.3%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	32.5	26
First Downs	20.6	46
Yards/Game	397.1	45
RushYds/Gm	246.1	11
Pass Yds/Gm	151	111
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	23.5	48
Yards/Game	351.3	38
RushYds/Gm	130.8	32
Pass Yds/Gm	220.5	61
Takeaways	27	26

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	vs Missouri	L 42-52	L (+9½)	O (59½)
9/6	E ILLINOIS	W 47-21		
9/13	LA LAFAYETTE	W 20-17	L (-25¼)	U (62)
9/27	at Penn St	L 24-38	W (+15½)	O (54)
10/4	at Michigan	W 45-20	W (+1)	O (49)
10/11	MINNESOTA	L 20-27	L (-12)	U (58½)
10/18	INDIANA	W 55-13	W (-17)	O (54½)
10/25	at Wisconsin	L 17-27	L (-2)	U (52)
11/1	IOWA	W 27-24	W (-2½)	O (50½)
11/8	vs W Michigan	L 17-23	L (-8)	U (64)
11/15	OHIO ST	L 20-30	L (+8)	O (43)
11/22	at Northwestern	L 10-27	L (-3)	U (54½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	vs Missouri	L 9-37	L (-6½)	U (60½)
9/12	ILLINOIS ST	W 45-17	L (-33½)	
9/26	at Ohio St	L 0-30	L (+14)	U (50)
10/3	PENN ST	L 17-35	L (+8)	O (48)
10/10	MICHIGAN ST	L 14-24	L (+4)	U (55)
10/17	at Indiana	L 14-27	L (-3½)	U (53½)
10/24	at Purdue	L 14-24	P (+10)	U (55)
10/31	MICHIGAN	W 38-13	W (+7)	U (53½)
11/7	at Minnesota	W 35-32	W (+6)	O (54)
11/14	NORTHWESTERN	L 16-21	L (-6½)	U (50½)
11/27	at Cincinnati	L 36-49	W (+19)	O (59)
12/5	FRESNO ST	L 52-53	L (-2)	O (58½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	vs Missouri	L 13-23	W (+13½)	U (53)
9/11	S ILLINOIS	W 35-3	W (-11)	
9/18	N ILLINOIS	W 28-22	L (-7)	O (45)
10/2	OHIO ST	L 13-24	W (+15½)	U (48½)
10/9	at Penn St	W 33-13	W (+9)	O (42)
10/16	at Michigan St	L 6-26	L (+6½)	U (48½)
10/23	INDIANA	W 43-13	W (-13)	O (53½)
10/30	PURDUE	W 44-10	W (-16½)	O (42)
11/6	at Michigan	L 65-67	W (+2½)	O (58)
11/13	MINNESOTA	L 34-38	L (-21)	O (52½)
11/20	vs Northwestern	W 48-27	W (-8)	O (49)
12/3	at Fresno St	L 23-25	L (-6½)	U (60)
12/29	vs Baylor	W 38-14	W (-2)	U (64½)

> BIG TEN



INDIANA

StatFox.com Power Rating: 26 (#93 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -5.4 (#87 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 32.67 (76th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 35.25 (61st toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -6.8 (#89 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 5–7
2010 ATS Record: 6–6
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 6–5

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	at Ball St
9/10	VIRGINIA
9/17	S CAROLINA ST
9/24	at North Texas
10/1	PENN ST
10/8	ILLINOIS
10/15	at Wisconsin
10/22	at Iowa
10/29	NORTHWESTERN
11/5	at Ohio St
11/19	at Michigan St
11/26	PURDUE

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	7–6	3–9	4–8	5–7	19–30	38.8%
OVERALL ATS	7–5	3–8	7–4	6–6	23–23	50.0%
HOME ATS	5–1	2–5	3–2	4–2	14–10	58.3%
ROAD ATS	2–4	1–3	4–2	2–4	9–13	40.9%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	4–4	2–6	5–3	4–4	15–17	46.9%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	3–1	1–2	2–1	2–2	8–6	57.1%
AS FAVORITE ATS	3–1	1–2	1–0	2–2	7–5	58.3%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	4–4	2–6	6–4	4–4	16–18	47.1%
OVER-UNDER	6–6	7–4	6–3	6–5	25–18	58.1%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	27.2	56
First Downs	21.7	35
Yards/Game	387.5	54
RushYds/Gm	100.3	112
Pass Yds/Gm	287.17	14
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	34.0	102
Yards/Game	410.2	90
RushYds/Gm	171.8	80
Pass Yds/Gm	238.3	93
Takeaways	13	115

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	W KENTUCKY	W 31-13	W (-17)	U (53)
9/6	MURRAY ST	W 45-3		
9/20	BALL ST	L 20-42	L (-1½)	O (61)
9/27	MICHIGAN ST	L 29-42	L (+7½)	O (53)
10/4	at Minnesota	L 7-16	L (+6½)	U (62)
10/11	IOWA	L 9-45	L (+6)	O (46)
10/18	at Illinois	L 13-55	L (+17)	O (54½)
10/25	NORTHWESTERN	W 21-19	W (+7)	U (54)
11/1	C MICHIGAN	L 34-37	L (-1½)	O (60½)
11/8	WISCONSIN	L 20-41	L (+10)	O (51)
11/15	at Penn St	L 7-34	W (+35)	U (57½)
11/22	at Purdue	L 10-62	L (+11)	O (54½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/3	E KENTUCKY	W 19-13		
9/12	W MICHIGAN	W 23-19	W (-1)	U (54)
9/19	at Akron	W 38-21	W (+3)	O (45)
9/26	at Michigan	L 33-36	W (+18½)	O (53½)
10/3	OHIO ST	L 14-33	L (+17½)	P (47)
10/10	at Virginia	L 7-47	L (+7)	O (47)
10/17	ILLINOIS	W 27-14	W (+3½)	U (53½)
10/24	at Northwestern	L 28-29	W (+4½)	O (53)
10/31	at Iowa	L 24-42	L (+17)	O (47)
11/7	WISCONSIN	L 28-31	W (+13½)	O (54)
11/14	at Penn St	L 20-31	W (+24)	U (55½)
11/21	PURDUE	L 21-38	L (+3)	P (59)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/2	TOWSON	W 51-17	W (-29)	
9/18	at W Kentucky	W 38-21	W (-13½)	U (60½)
9/25	AKRON	W 35-20	L (-23½)	U (56)
10/2	MICHIGAN	L 35-42	W (+10)	O (65)
10/9	at Ohio St	L 10-38	L (+24½)	U (59½)
10/16	ARKANSAS ST	W 36-34	L (-9½)	O (64)
10/23	at Illinois	L 13-43	L (+13)	O (53½)
10/30	NORTHWESTERN	L 17-20	W (+3½)	U (58½)
11/6	IOWA	L 13-18	W (+18½)	U (53½)
11/13	at Wisconsin	L 20-83	L (+22½)	O (57)
11/20	vs Penn St	L 24-41	L (+10)	O (58)
11/27	at Purdue	W 34-31	W (+4)	O (54)

> BIG TEN



IOWA

StatFox.com Power Rating: 48 (#22 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +11.7 (#24 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 35.31 (60th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 32.08 (77th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +11.9 (#21 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 8–5
2010 ATS Record: 6–6–1
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 4–8

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	TENNESSEE TECH
9/10	at Iowa St
9/17	PITTSBURGH
9/24	LA MONROE
10/8	at Penn St
10/15	NORTHWESTERN
10/22	INDIANA
10/29	at Minnesota
11/5	MICHIGAN
11/12	MICHIGAN ST
11/19	at Purdue
11/25	at Nebraska

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	6–6	9–4	11–2	8–5	34–17	66.7%
OVERALL ATS	6–6	8–4	8–4	6–6	28–20	58.3%
HOME ATS	3–3	3–3	2–4	4–2	12–12	50.0%
ROAD ATS	3–3	5–1	6–0	2–4	16–8	66.7%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	4–4	5–3	5–3	3–4	17–14	54.8%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	2–2	3–1	3–1	3–2	11–6	64.7%
AS FAVORITE ATS	2–4	5–3	4–4	5–4	16–15	51.6%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	4–2	3–1	5–0	1–1	13–4	76.5%
OVER-UNDER	3–9	5–7	4–8	4–8	16–32	33.3%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	28.9	50
First Downs	20.1	54
Yards/Game	382.9	57
RushYds/Gm	148.4	70
Pass Yds/Gm	234.54	49
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	17.0	7
Yards/Game	332.1	25
RushYds/Gm	101.5	6
Pass Yds/Gm	230.5	84
Takeaways	24	45

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	MAINE	W 46-3		
9/6	FLA INTERNATIONAL	W 42-0	W (-27)	U (44)
9/13	IOWA ST	W 17-5	L (-13)	U (47)
9/20	at Pittsburgh	L 20-21	W (+2)	U (41½)
9/27	NORTHWESTERN	L 17-22	L (-9)	U (43)
10/4	at Michigan St	L 13-16	W (+6)	U (48)
10/11	at Indiana	W 45-9	W (-6)	O (46)
10/18	WISCONSIN	W 38-16	W (-5)	O (41)
11/1	at Illinois	L 24-27	L (+2½)	O (50½)
11/8	PENN ST	W 24-23	W (+7½)	O (42½)
11/15	PURDUE	W 22-17	L (-17)	U (43)
11/22	at Minnesota	W 55-0	W (-7)	O (45)
1/1	vs S Carolina	W 31-10	W (-3½)	U (42)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	N IOWA	W 17-16		
9/12	at Iowa St	W 35-3	W (-6½)	U (47)
9/19	ARIZONA	W 27-17	W (-4½)	O (41)
9/26	at Penn St	W 21-10	W (+9½)	U (40½)
10/3	ARKANSAS ST	W 24-21	L (-21)	U (45½)
10/10	MICHIGAN	W 30-28	L (-9½)	O (47)
10/17	at Wisconsin	W 20-10	W (+1½)	U (46½)
10/24	at Michigan St	W 15-13	W (+2)	U (43)
10/31	INDIANA	W 42-24	W (-17)	O (47)
11/7	NORTHWESTERN	L 10-17	L (-15)	U (45)
11/14	at Ohio St	L 24-27	W (+16½)	O (38)
11/21	MINNESOTA	W 12-0	L (-12½)	U (43)
1/5	vs Georgia Tech	W 24-14	W (+6)	U (51)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	E ILLINOIS	W 37-7	L (-40)	
9/11	IOWA ST	W 35-7	W (-13)	U (45½)
9/18	at Arizona	L 27-34	L (+2½)	O (45)
9/25	BALL ST	W 45-0	W (-28)	U (45½)
10/2	PENN ST	W 24-3	W (-7½)	U (40)
10/16	at Michigan	W 38-28	W (-3½)	O (53½)
10/23	WISCONSIN	L 30-31	L (-6)	O (46)
10/30	MICHIGAN ST	W 37-6	W (-6½)	U (49½)
11/6	at Indiana	W 18-13	L (-18½)	U (53½)
11/13	at Northwestern	L 17-21	L (-10)	U (49½)
11/20	OHIO ST	L 17-20	P (+3)	U (47)
11/27	at Minnesota	L 24-27	L (-14)	U (51½)
12/28	vs Missouri	W 27-24	W (+3)	O (46)

> BIG TEN



MICHIGAN

StatFox.com Power Rating: 32 (#70 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +0.0 (#71 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 38.23 (34th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 38.33 (38th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -2.5 (#80 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 7–6
2010 ATS Record: 3–10
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 7–5

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	W MICHIGAN
9/10	NOTRE DAME
9/17	E MICHIGAN
9/24	SAN DIEGO ST
10/1	MINNESOTA
10/8	at Northwestern
10/15	at Michigan St
10/29	PURDUE
11/5	at Iowa
11/12	at Illinois
11/19	NEBRASKA
11/26	OHIO ST

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	9–4	3–9	5–7	7–6	24–26	48.0%
OVERALL ATS	7–5	2–10	4–7	3–10	16–32	33.3%
HOME ATS	4–3	1–6	3–4	2–5	10–18	35.7%
ROAD ATS	3–2	1–4	1–3	1–5	6–14	30.0%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	5–3	2–6	1–7	0–8	8–24	25.0%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	2–2	0–4	3–0	3–2	8–8	50.0%
AS FAVORITE ATS	5–4	0–6	2–3	2–6	9–19	32.1%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	2–1	2–4	2–4	1–4	7–13	35.0%
OVER-UNDER	5–7	8–4	6–5	7–5	26–21	55.3%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	32.8	25
First Downs	23.0	22
Yards/Game	488.7	8
RushYds/Gm	238.5	13
Pass Yds/Gm	250.15	36
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	35.2	108
Yards/Game	450.8	110
RushYds/Gm	188.9	95
Pass Yds/Gm	261.9	112
Takeaways	19	77

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	UTAH	L 23-25	L (-3)	O (43)
9/6	MIAMI OHIO	W 16-6	L (-14½)	U (40)
9/13	at Notre Dame	L 17-35	L (-1½)	O (37)
9/27	WISCONSIN	W 27-25	W (+4½)	O (42)
10/4	ILLINOIS	L 20-45	L (-1)	O (49)
10/11	TOLEDO	L 10-13	L (-15)	U (49)
10/18	at Penn St	L 17-46	L (+24)	O (47½)
10/25	MICHIGAN ST	L 21-35	L (+3)	O (44)
11/1	at Purdue	L 42-48	L (+3)	O (47½)
11/8	at Minnesota	W 29-6	W (+7)	U (49½)
11/15	NORTHWESTERN	L 14-21	L (-3)	U (45½)
11/22	at Ohio St	L 7-42	L (+20)	O (45)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	W MICHIGAN	W 31-7	W (-13)	U (53½)
9/12	NOTRE DAME	W 38-34	W (+3)	O (46½)
9/19	E MICHIGAN	W 45-17	W (-24)	O (54½)
9/26	INDIANA	W 36-33	L (-18½)	O (53½)
10/3	at Michigan St	L 20-26	L (+4)	U (54)
10/10	at Iowa	L 28-30	W (+9½)	O (47)
10/17	DELAWARE ST	W 63-6	W (-39½)	
10/24	PENN ST	L 10-35	L (+3½)	U (48)
10/31	at Illinois	L 13-38	L (-7)	U (53½)
11/7	PURDUE	L 36-38	L (-7)	O (51½)
11/14	at Wisconsin	L 24-45	L (+8)	O (54½)
11/21	OHIO ST	L 10-21	L (+10½)	U (48)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	CONNECTICUT	W 30-10	W (-3)	U (51½)
9/11	at Notre Dame	W 28-24	W (+3½)	U (53½)
9/18	MASSACHUSETTS	W 42-37	L (-29)	
9/25	BOWLING GREEN	W 65-21	W (-25½)	O (56)
10/2	at Indiana	W 42-35	L (-10)	O (65)
10/9	MICHIGAN ST	L 17-34	L (-5)	U (65½)
10/16	IOWA	L 28-38	L (+3½)	O (53½)
10/30	at Penn St	L 31-41	L (-3)	O (54)
11/6	ILLINOIS	W 67-65	L (-2½)	O (58)
11/13	at Purdue	W 27-16	L (-12½)	U (60)
11/20	WISCONSIN	L 28-48	L (+3½)	O (69)
11/27	at Ohio St	L 7-37	L (+17)	U (65½)
1/1	vs Mississippi St	L 14-52	L (+3)	O (61½)

> BIG TEN

MICHIGAN STATE

StatFox.com Power Rating: 38 (#58 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +7.7 (#38 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 36.23 (52nd toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 36.00 (54th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +7.2 (#39 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 11–2
2010 ATS Record: 7–6
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 6–6

2011 SCHEDULE

9/2	YOUNGSTOWN ST
9/10	FLA ATLANTIC
9/17	at Notre Dame
9/24	C MICHIGAN
10/1	at Ohio St
10/15	MICHIGAN
10/22	WISCONSIN
10/29	at Nebraska
11/5	MINNESOTA
11/12	at Iowa
11/19	INDIANA
11/26	at Northwestern

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	7–6	9–4	6–7	11–2	33–19	63.5%
OVERALL ATS	8–5	6–7	4–7	7–6	25–25	50.0%
HOME ATS	3–4	3–4	2–4	4–3	12–15	44.4%
ROAD ATS	5–1	3–3	2–3	3–3	13–10	56.5%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	5–3	4–4	2–5	5–3	16–15	51.6%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	3–2	2–3	2–2	2–3	9–10	47.4%
AS FAVORITE ATS	3–4	6–3	3–4	5–4	17–15	53.1%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	5–1	0–4	1–3	2–2	8–10	44.4%
OVER-UNDER	9–4	6–6	8–4	6–6	29–20	59.2%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	29.5	47
First Downs	19.4	63
Yards/Game	388.5	53
RushYds/Gm	152.2	64
Pass Yds/Gm	236.38	46
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	22.3	39
Yards/Game	353.8	43
RushYds/Gm	133.7	37
Pass Yds/Gm	220.1	60
Takeaways	24	45

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	at California	L 31-38	L (+6)	O (54)
9/6	E MICHIGAN	W 42-10	W (-21)	U (56)
9/13	FLA ATLANTIC	W 17-0	L (-18½)	U (61)
9/20	NOTRE DAME	W 23-7	W (-9)	U (48)
9/27	at Indiana	W 42-29	W (-7½)	O (53)
10/4	IOWA	W 16-13	L (-6)	U (48)
10/11	at Northwestern	W 37-20	W (-2½)	O (49)
10/18	OHIO ST	L 7-45	L (+3½)	O (42)
10/25	at Michigan	W 35-21	W (-3)	O (44)
11/1	WISCONSIN	W 25-24	L (-5½)	P (49)
11/8	PURDUE	W 21-7	W (-10)	U (54)
11/22	at Penn St	L 18-49	L (+16½)	O (47)
1/1	vs Georgia	L 12-24	L (+8½)	U (57½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	MONTANA ST	W 44-3		
9/12	C MICHIGAN	L 27-29	L (-15)	O (50)
9/19	at Notre Dame	L 30-33	W (+10½)	O (54)
9/26	at Wisconsin	L 30-38	L (+1½)	O (52½)
10/3	MICHIGAN	W 26-20	W (-4)	U (54)
10/10	at Illinois	W 24-14	W (-4)	U (55)
10/17	NORTHWESTERN	W 24-14	L (-14)	U (48½)
10/24	IOWA	L 13-15	L (-2)	U (43)
10/31	at Minnesota	L 34-42	L (-3½)	O (45½)
11/7	W MICHIGAN	W 49-14	W (-20½)	O (55½)
11/14	at Purdue	W 40-37	P (-3)	O (53)
11/21	PENN ST	L 14-42	L (+3)	O (50½)
1/2	vs Texas Tech	L 31-41	L (+9½)	O (60)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	W MICHIGAN	W 38-14	W (-22½)	O (51)
9/11	vs FLA Atlantic	W 30-17	L (-26½)	U (56)
9/18	NOTRE DAME	W 34-31	L (-3½)	O (53½)
9/25	N COLORADO	W 45-7	W (-34)	
10/2	WISCONSIN	W 34-24	W (+2½)	O (54½)
10/9	at Michigan	W 34-17	W (+5)	U (65½)
10/16	ILLINOIS	W 26-6	W (-6½)	U (48½)
10/23	at Northwestern	W 35-27	W (-4½)	O (51)
10/30	at Iowa	L 6-37	L (+6½)	U (49½)
11/6	MINNESOTA	W 31-8	L (-24)	U (59)
11/20	PURDUE	W 35-31	L (-20½)	O (51)
11/27	at Penn St	W 28-22	W (-1)	U (51)
1/1	vs Alabama	L 7-49	L (+7½)	O (50½)

> BIG TEN

MINNESOTA

StatFox.com Power Rating: 32 (#70 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -3.2 (#82 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 38.58 (31st toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 35.17 (63rd toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -9.8 (#96 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 3–9
2010 ATS Record: 6–5–1
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 6–5

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	at USC
9/10	NEW MEXICO ST
9/17	MIAMI OHIO
9/24	N DAKOTA ST
10/1	at Michigan
10/8	at Purdue
10/22	NEBRASKA
10/29	IOWA
11/5	at Michigan St
11/12	WISCONSIN
11/19	at Northwestern
11/26	ILLINOIS

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	1–11	7–6	6–7	3–9	17–33	34.0%
OVERALL ATS	4–6	7–5	5–7	6–5	22–23	48.9%
HOME ATS	2–3	2–4	3–3	2–4	9–14	39.1%
ROAD ATS	2–3	5–1	2–4	4–1	13–9	59.1%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	4–3	5–3	4–4	5–3	18–13	58.1%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	0–3	2–2	1–3	1–2	4–10	28.6%
AS FAVORITE ATS	0–3	2–3	3–4	1–2	6–12	33.3%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	4–3	5–2	2–3	5–3	16–11	59.3%
OVER-UNDER	7–4	5–7	7–5	6–5	25–21	54.3%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	23.2	89
First Downs	19.9	58
Yards/Game	361.3	77
RushYds/Gm	135.3	86
Pass Yds/Gm	226.08	57
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	33.0	98
Yards/Game	392.2	76
RushYds/Gm	191.4	98
Pass Yds/Gm	200.8	33
Takeaways	19	77

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	N ILLINOIS	W 31-27	L (-9½)	O (56½)
9/6	at Bowling Green	W 42-17	W (+3½)	U (59½)
9/13	MONTANA ST	W 35-23		
9/20	FLA ATLANTIC	W 37-3	W (-5½)	U (62)
9/27	at Ohio St	L 21-34	W (+20)	O (49½)
10/4	INDIANA	W 16-7	W (-6½)	U (62)
10/11	at Illinois	W 27-20	W (+12)	U (58½)
10/25	at Purdue	W 17-6	W (pk)	U (52½)
11/1	NORTHWESTERN	L 17-24	L (-7½)	U (48)
11/8	MICHIGAN	L 6-29	L (-7)	U (49½)
11/15	at Wisconsin	L 32-35	W (+13½)	O (47½)
11/22	IOWA	L 0-55	L (+7)	O (45)
12/31	vs Kansas	L 21-42	L (+9)	O (58½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	at Syracuse	W 23-20	L (-7)	U (47)
9/12	AIR FORCE	W 20-13	W (-3)	U (49½)
9/19	CALIFORNIA	L 21-35	L (+13½)	O (52½)
9/26	at Northwestern	W 35-24	W (-1)	O (49½)
10/3	WISCONSIN	L 28-31	L (-2½)	O (51½)
10/10	PURDUE	W 35-20	W (-3)	O (51½)
10/17	at Penn St	L 0-20	L (+17)	U (44)
10/24	at Ohio St	L 7-38	L (+17)	O (41½)
10/31	MICHIGAN ST	W 42-34	W (+3½)	O (45½)
11/7	ILLINOIS	L 32-35	L (-6)	O (54)
11/14	S DAKOTA ST	W 16-13	L (-17)	
11/21	at Iowa	L 0-12	W (+12½)	U (43)
12/31	vs Iowa St	L 13-14	L (-1½)	U (47)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/2	at Middle Tenn st	W 24-17	W (-1)	U (49)
9/11	S DAKOTA	L 38-41	L (-29½)	
9/18	USC	L 21-32	P (+11)	U (55)
9/25	N ILLINOIS	L 23-34	L (-4)	O (49½)
10/2	NORTHWESTERN	L 28-29	W (+4½)	O (54)
10/9	at Wisconsin	L 23-41	W (+21½)	O (58)
10/16	at Purdue	L 17-28	L (+6)	U (50)
10/23	PENN ST	L 21-33	L (+8½)	O (48½)
10/30	OHIO ST	L 10-52	L (+25)	O (55)
11/6	at Michigan St	L 8-31	W (+24)	U (59)
11/13	at Illinois	W 38-34	W (+21)	O (52½)
11/27	IOWA	W 27-24	W (+14)	U (51½)

> BIG TEN



NEBRASKA

StatFox.com Power Rating: 50 (#15 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +11.7 (#25 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 36.64 (46th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 36.83 (46th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +13.5 (#16 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 10–4
2010 ATS Record: 6–8
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 7–6

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	CHATTANOOGA
9/10	FRESNO ST
9/17	WASHINGTON
9/24	at Wyoming
10/1	at Wisconsin
10/8	OHIO ST
10/22	at Minnesota
10/29	MICHIGAN ST
11/5	NORTHWESTERN
11/12	at Penn St
11/19	at Michigan
11/25	IOWA

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	5–7	9–4	10–4	10–4	34–19	64.2%
OVERALL ATS	3–9	7–6	9–5	6–8	25–28	47.2%
HOME ATS	2–5	3–5	4–3	2–5	11–18	37.9%
ROAD ATS	1–4	4–1	5–2	4–3	14–10	58.3%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	2–6	4–4	4–5	5–4	15–19	44.1%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	1–3	3–2	5–0	1–4	10–9	52.6%
AS FAVORITE ATS	1–5	5–4	6–5	5–8	17–22	43.6%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	2–4	2–2	3–0	1–0	8–6	57.1%
OVER-UNDER	6–6	8–5	3–11	7–6	24–28	46.2%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	30.9	39
First Downs	18.1	86
Yards/Game	398.1	44
RushYds/Gm	247.6	9
Pass Yds/Gm	150.57	113
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	17.4	9
Yards/Game	306.8	11
RushYds/Gm	153.1	63
Pass Yds/Gm	153.6	5
Takeaways	23	49

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	W MICHIGAN	W 47-24	W (-13½)	O (55)
9/6	SAN JOSE ST	W 35-12	L (-26½)	U (55½)
9/13	NEW MEXICO ST	W 38-7	W (-26½)	U (66)
9/27	VIRGINIA TECH	L 30-35	L (-7)	O (48)
10/4	MISSOURI	L 17-52	L (+10½)	O (66)
10/11	at Texas Tech	L 31-37	W (+19)	O (67)
10/18	at Iowa St	W 35-7	W (-7)	U (54)
10/25	BAYLOR	W 32-20	L (-14)	U (56½)
11/1	at Oklahoma	L 28-62	L (+21)	O (71)
11/8	KANSAS	W 45-35	W (-2)	O (62)
11/15	at Kansas St	W 56-28	W (-6)	O (65½)
11/28	COLORADO	W 40-31	L (-18½)	O (56½)
1/1	vs Clemson	W 26-21	W (pk)	U (54½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	FLA ATLANTIC	W 49-3	W (-23)	U (60½)
9/12	ARKANSAS ST	W 38-9	W (-21½)	U (52)
9/19	at Virginia Tech	L 15-16	W (+5½)	U (51)
9/26	LA LAFAYETTE	W 55-0	W (-30½)	O (54)
10/8	at Missouri	W 27-12	W (-3½)	U (49½)
10/17	TEXAS TECH	L 10-31	L (-10½)	U (58½)
10/24	IOWA ST	L 7-9	L (-20)	U (52)
10/31	at Baylor	W 20-10	L (-13½)	U (46)
11/7	OKLAHOMA	W 10-3	W (+4½)	U (41)
11/14	at Kansas	W 31-17	W (-4)	O (45½)
11/21	KANSAS ST	W 17-3	L (-15½)	U (44)
11/27	at Colorado	W 28-20	L (-10)	O (41)
12/5	vs Texas	L 12-13	W (+14)	U (46½)
12/30	vs Arizona	W 33-0	W (-2)	U (42)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	W KENTUCKY	W 49-10	L (-39½)	O (52)
9/11	IDAHO	W 38-17	L (-27½)	O (53½)
9/18	at Washington	W 56-21	W (-2½)	O (51)
9/25	S DAKOTA ST	W 17-3	L (-42½)	
10/7	at Kansas St	W 48-13	W (-10½)	O (47)
10/16	TEXAS	L 13-20	L (-10)	U (45½)
10/23	at Oklahoma St	W 51-41	W (-6)	O (57½)
10/30	MISSOURI	W 31-17	W (-8)	U (54½)
11/6	at Iowa St	W 31-30	L (-15½)	O (54½)
11/13	KANSAS	W 20-3	L (-33½)	U (60½)
11/20	at Texas A&M	L 6-9	L (-2)	U (55½)
11/26	COLORADO	W 45-17	W (-18)	O (48½)
12/4	vs Oklahoma	L 20-23	W (+4½)	U (52)
12/30	vs Washington	L 7-19	L (-12)	U (55)

> BIG TEN



NORTHWESTERN

StatFox.com Power Rating: 32 (#70 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -1.9 (#77 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 33.08 (73rd toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 33.92 (69th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -2.6 (#81 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 7–6
2010 ATS Record: 4–9
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 8–4

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	at Boston College
9/10	E ILLINOIS
9/17	at Army
10/1	at Illinois
10/8	MICHIGAN
10/15	at Iowa
10/22	PENN ST
10/29	at Indiana
11/5	at Nebraska
11/12	RICE
11/19	MINNESOTA
11/26	MICHIGAN ST

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	6–6	9–4	8–5	7–6	30–21	58.8%
OVERALL ATS	4–7	7–5	6–6	4–9	21–27	43.8%
HOME ATS	2–4	3–3	1–5	2–3	8–15	34.8%
ROAD ATS	2–3	4–2	5–1	2–6	13–12	52.0%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	3–5	5–3	5–3	1–7	14–18	43.8%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	1–2	2–2	1–3	3–2	7–9	43.8%
AS FAVORITE ATS	1–4	2–3	0–4	2–5	5–16	23.8%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	3–3	5–2	6–2	2–4	16–11	59.3%
OVER-UNDER	5–6	3–8	5–6	8–4	21–24	46.7%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	26.4	63
First Downs	21.9	31
Yards/Game	391.1	48
RushYds/Gm	155.9	58
Pass Yds/Gm	235.15	48
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	29.0	77
Yards/Game	426.2	97
RushYds/Gm	185.0	92
Pass Yds/Gm	241.2	95
Takeaways	22	59

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	SYRACUSE	W 30-10	W (-12½)	U (54½)
9/6	at Duke	W 24-20	L (-5½)	P (44)
9/13	S ILLINOIS	W 33-7		
9/20	OHIO U	W 16-8	L (-10½)	U (52½)
9/27	at Iowa	W 22-17	W (+9)	U (43)
10/11	MICHIGAN ST	L 20-37	L (+2½)	O (49)
10/18	PURDUE	W 48-26	W (-3½)	O (50)
10/25	at Indiana	L 19-21	L (-7)	U (54)
11/1	at Minnesota	W 24-17	W (+7½)	U (48)
11/8	OHIO ST	L 10-45	L (+12½)	O (39)
11/15	at Michigan	W 21-14	W (+3)	U (45½)
11/22	ILLINOIS	W 27-10	W (+3)	U (54½)
12/29	vs Missouri	L 23-30	W (+14)	U (66½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	TOWSON	W 47-14		
9/12	E MICHIGAN	W 27-24	L (-22)	U (51½)
9/19	at Syracuse	L 34-37	L (-1½)	O (45½)
9/26	MINNESOTA	L 24-35	L (+1)	O (49½)
10/3	at Purdue	W 27-21	W (+7)	U (56½)
10/10	MIAMI OHIO	W 16-6	L (-17)	U (55½)
10/17	at Michigan St	L 14-24	W (+14)	U (48½)
10/24	INDIANA	W 29-28	L (-4½)	O (53)
10/31	PENN ST	L 13-34	L (+17)	P (47)
11/7	at Iowa	W 17-10	W (+15)	U (45)
11/14	at Illinois	W 21-16	W (+6½)	U (50½)
11/21	WISCONSIN	W 33-31	W (+7)	O (49)
1/1	vs Auburn	L 35-38	W (+9)	O (54½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	at Vanderbilt	W 23-21	L (-3)	O (41)
9/11	ILLINOIS ST	W 37-3	W (-24½)	
9/18	at Rice	W 30-13	W (-6½)	U (54½)
9/25	C MICHIGAN	W 30-25	L (-6½)	O (51)
10/2	at Minnesota	W 29-28	L (-4½)	O (54)
10/9	PURDUE	L 17-20	L (-8)	U (48½)
10/23	MICHIGAN ST	L 27-35	L (+4½)	O (51)
10/30	at Indiana	W 20-17	L (-3½)	U (58½)
11/6	at Penn St	L 21-35	L (+6½)	O (50)
11/13	IOWA	W 21-17	W (+10)	U (49½)
11/20	vs Illinois	L 27-48	L (+8)	O (49)
11/27	at Wisconsin	L 23-70	L (+23½)	O (57½)
1/1	vs Texas Tech	L 38-45	W (+8)	O (63)

> BIG TEN



OHIO STATE

StatFox.com Power Rating: 59 (#7 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +22.2 (#8 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 35.77 (56th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 36.25 (52nd toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +24.5 (#4 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 12–1
2010 ATS Record: 10–2–1
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 8–5

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	AKRON
9/10	TOLEDO
9/17	at Miami
9/24	COLORADO
10/1	MICHIGAN ST
10/8	at Nebraska
10/15	at Illinois
10/29	WISCONSIN
11/5	INDIANA
11/12	at Purdue
11/19	PENN ST
11/26	at Michigan

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	11–2	10–3	11–2	12–1	44–8	84.6%
OVERALL ATS	7–5	6–6	10–3	10–2	33–16	67.3%
HOME ATS	3–3	1–5	5–2	8–0	17–10	63.0%
ROAD ATS	4–2	5–1	5–1	2–2	16–6	72.7%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	5–3	5–3	6–2	5–2	21–10	67.7%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	2–2	1–3	4–1	5–0	12–6	66.7%
AS FAVORITE ATS	7–4	5–4	7–3	10–2	29–13	69.0%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	0–1	1–2	3–0	0–0	4–3	57.1%
OVER-UNDER	7–5	5–7	3–8	8–5	23–25	47.9%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	38.8	11
First Downs	22.2	24
Yards/Game	448.6	20
RushYds/Gm	220.1	14
Pass Yds/Gm	228.54	54
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	14.3	5
Yards/Game	262.2	4
RushYds/Gm	96.7	3
Pass Yds/Gm	165.5	8
Takeaways	30	12

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	YOUNGSTOWN ST	W 43-0		
9/6	OHIO U	W 26-14	L (-34½)	U (47)
9/13	at USC	L 3-35	L (+11½)	U (44½)
9/20	TROY	W 28-10	L (-20½)	U (47½)
9/27	MINNESOTA	W 34-21	L (-20)	O (49½)
10/4	at Wisconsin	W 20-17	W (-1½)	U (43½)
10/11	PURDUE	W 16-3	L (-20½)	U (49½)
10/18	at Michigan St	W 45-7	W (-3½)	O (42)
10/25	PENN ST	L 6-13	L (+2)	U (44)
11/8	at Northwestern	W 45-10	W (-12½)	O (39)
11/15	at Illinois	W 30-20	W (-8)	O (43)
11/22	MICHIGAN	W 42-7	W (-20)	O (45)
1/5	vs Texas	L 21-24	W (+9)	U (51½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	NAVY	W 31-27	L (-22)	O (46½)
9/12	USC	L 15-18	W (+7)	U (45½)
9/19	vs Toledo	W 38-0	W (-22½)	U (58½)
9/26	ILLINOIS	W 30-0	W (-14)	U (50)
10/3	at Indiana	W 33-14	W (-17½)	P (47)
10/10	WISCONSIN	W 31-13	W (-14)	U (46)
10/17	at Purdue	L 18-26	L (-13)	P (44)
10/24	MINNESOTA	W 38-7	W (-17)	O (41½)
10/31	NEW MEXICO ST	W 45-0	W (-44)	U (48½)
11/7	at Penn St	W 24-7	W (+5)	U (41½)
11/14	IOWA	W 27-24	L (-16½)	O (38)
11/21	at Michigan	W 21-10	W (-10½)	U (48)
1/1	vs Oregon	W 26-17	W (+4½)	U (51)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/2	MARSHALL	W 45-7	W (-28)	O (47)
9/11	MIAMI	W 36-24	W (-9)	O (47)
9/18	OHIO U	W 43-7	W (-29½)	O (45)
9/25	E MICHIGAN	W 73-20	W (-43½)	O (58)
10/2	at Illinois	W 24-13	L (-15½)	U (48½)
10/9	INDIANA	W 38-10	W (-24½)	U (59½)
10/16	at Wisconsin	L 18-31	L (-4)	O (48½)
10/23	PURDUE	W 49-0	W (-23)	O (47)
10/30	at Minnesota	W 52-10	W (-25)	O (55)
11/13	PENN ST	W 38-14	W (-20)	O (49½)
11/20	at Iowa	W 20-17	P (-3)	U (47)
11/27	MICHIGAN	W 37-7	W (-17)	U (65½)
1/4	vs Arkansas	W 31-26	W (-3)	U (57½)

> BIG TEN



PENN STATE

StatFox.com Power Rating: 41 (#47 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +2.3 (#60 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 36.77 (45th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 37.58 (43rd toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +0.8 (#63 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 7–6
2010 ATS Record: 5–8
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 7–5

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	INDIANA ST
9/10	ALABAMA
9/17	at Temple
9/24	E MICHIGAN
10/1	at Indiana
10/8	IOWA
10/15	PURDUE
10/22	at Northwestern
10/29	ILLINOIS
11/12	NEBRASKA
11/19	at Ohio St
11/26	at Wisconsin

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	9–4	11–2	11–2	7–6	38–14	73.1%
OVERALL ATS	6–7	7–4	7–6	5–8	25–25	50.0%
HOME ATS	4–3	4–2	2–6	3–4	13–15	46.4%
ROAD ATS	2–4	3–2	5–0	2–4	12–10	54.5%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	2–6	4–3	5–3	4–4	15–16	48.4%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	4–1	3–1	2–3	1–4	10–9	52.6%
AS FAVORITE ATS	6–6	7–3	5–6	4–2	22–17	56.4%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	0–1	0–1	1–0	1–5	2–7	22.2%
OVER-UNDER	6–7	8–4	2–9	7–5	23–25	47.9%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	24.5	81
First Downs	19.2	65
Yards/Game	372.2	68
RushYds/Gm	142.5	74
Pass Yds/Gm	229.69	52
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	23.7	50
Yards/Game	346.9	35
RushYds/Gm	165.6	74
Pass Yds/Gm	181.2	16
Takeaways	17	94

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	COASTAL CAROLINA	W 66-10		
9/6	OREGON ST	W 45-14	W (-15)	O (49)
9/13	at Syracuse	W 55-13	W (-27½)	O (50½)
9/20	TEMPLE	W 45-3	W (-28½)	U (54)
9/27	ILLINOIS	W 38-24	L (-15½)	O (54)
10/4	at Purdue	W 20-6	P (-14)	U (58)
10/11	at Wisconsin	W 48-7	W (-5)	O (47)
10/18	MICHIGAN	W 46-17	W (-24)	O (47½)
10/25	at Ohio St	W 13-6	W (-2)	U (44)
11/8	at Iowa	L 23-24	L (-7½)	O (42½)
11/15	INDIANA	W 34-7	L (-35)	U (57½)
11/22	MICHIGAN ST	W 49-18	W (-16½)	O (47)
1/1	vs USC	L 24-38	L (+10)	O (44½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	AKRON	W 31-7	L (-29½)	U (59)
9/12	SYRACUSE	W 28-7	L (-29½)	U (51)
9/19	TEMPLE	W 31-6	L (-29½)	U (48)
9/26	IOWA	L 10-21	L (-9½)	U (40½)
10/3	at Illinois	W 35-17	W (-8)	O (48)
10/10	E ILLINOIS	W 52-3	W (-39½)	
10/17	MINNESOTA	W 20-0	W (-17)	U (44)
10/24	at Michigan	W 35-10	W (-3½)	U (48)
10/31	at Northwestern	W 34-13	W (-17)	P (47)
11/7	OHIO ST	L 7-24	L (-5)	U (41½)
11/14	INDIANA	W 31-20	L (-24)	U (55½)
11/21	at Michigan St	W 42-14	W (-3)	O (50½)
1/1	vs LSU	W 19-17	W (+2)	U (40)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	YOUNGSTOWN ST	W 44-14	L (-38½)	
9/11	at Alabama	L 3-24	L (+14)	U (43)
9/18	KENT ST	W 24-0	W (-21)	U (45)
9/25	TEMPLE	W 22-13	L (-13½)	U (43½)
10/2	at Iowa	L 3-24	L (+7½)	U (40)
10/9	ILLINOIS	L 13-33	L (-9)	O (42)
10/23	at Minnesota	W 33-21	W (-8½)	O (48½)
10/30	MICHIGAN	W 41-31	W (+3)	O (54)
11/6	NORTHWESTERN	W 35-21	W (-6½)	O (50)
11/13	at Ohio St	L 14-38	L (+20)	O (49½)
11/20	vs Indiana	W 41-24	W (-10)	O (58)
11/27	MICHIGAN ST	L 22-28	L (+1)	U (51)
1/1	vs Florida	L 24-37	L (+7)	O (47½)

> BIG TEN



PURDUE

StatFox.com Power Rating: 29 (#83 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -6.4 (#91 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 34.08 (66th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 36.67 (48th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -9.1 (#95 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 4–8
2010 ATS Record: 4–7–1
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 5–6

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	MIDDLE TENN ST
9/10	at Rice
9/17	SE MISSOURI ST
10/1	NOTRE DAME
10/8	MINNESOTA
10/15	at Penn St
10/22	ILLINOIS
10/29	at Michigan
11/5	at Wisconsin
11/12	OHIO ST
11/19	IOWA
11/26	at Indiana

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	8–5	4–8	5–7	4–8	21–28	42.9%
OVERALL ATS	5–6	5–5	6–4	4–7	20–22	47.6%
HOME ATS	3–3	3–2	3–2	2–5	11–12	47.8%
ROAD ATS	2–3	2–3	3–2	2–2	9–10	47.4%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	3–4	4–3	3–3	4–4	14–14	50.0%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	2–2	1–2	3–1	0–3	6–8	42.9%
AS FAVORITE ATS	4–4	2–1	2–2	1–4	9–11	45.0%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	1–2	3–4	4–2	3–3	11–11	50.0%
OVER-UNDER	5–7	4–7	5–5	5–6	19–25	43.2%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	19.7	105
First Downs	15.8	109
Yards/Game	311.6	104
RushYds/Gm	160.8	49
Pass Yds/Gm	150.75	112
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	28.8	74
Yards/Game	369.0	62
RushYds/Gm	137.9	43
Pass Yds/Gm	231.1	85
Takeaways	21	69

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/6	N COLORADO	W 42-10		
9/13	OREGON	L 26-32	W (+7)	U (58½)
9/20	C MICHIGAN	W 32-25	L (-10½)	U (68½)
9/27	at Notre Dame	L 21-38	L (+2)	O (48)
10/4	PENN ST	L 6-20	P (+14)	U (58)
10/11	at Ohio St	L 3-16	W (+20½)	U (49½)
10/18	at Northwestern	L 26-48	L (+3½)	O (50)
10/25	MINNESOTA	L 6-17	L (pk)	U (52½)
11/1	MICHIGAN	W 48-42	W (-3)	O (47½)
11/8	at Michigan St	L 7-21	L (+10)	U (54)
11/15	at Iowa	L 17-22	W (+17)	U (43)
11/22	INDIANA	W 62-10	W (-11)	O (54½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	TOLEDO	W 52-31	W (-10)	O (47½)
9/12	at Oregon	L 36-38	W (+13)	O (57½)
9/19	N ILLINOIS	L 21-28	L (-12)	U (52½)
9/26	NOTRE DAME	L 21-24	W (+6)	U (59)
10/3	NORTHWESTERN	L 21-27	L (-7)	U (56½)
10/10	at Minnesota	L 20-35	L (+3)	O (51½)
10/17	OHIO ST	W 26-18	W (+13)	P (44)
10/24	ILLINOIS	W 24-14	P (-10)	U (55)
10/31	at Wisconsin	L 0-37	L (+7)	U (53)
11/7	at Michigan	W 38-36	W (+7)	O (51½)
11/14	MICHIGAN ST	L 37-40	P (+3)	O (53)
11/21	at Indiana	W 38-21	W (-3)	P (59)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	at Notre Dame	L 12-23	P (+11)	U (54)
9/11	W ILLINOIS	W 31-21	L (-28½)	
9/18	BALL ST	W 24-13	L (-16)	U (47½)
9/25	TOLEDO	L 20-31	L (-11)	O (49)
10/9	at Northwestern	W 20-17	W (+8)	U (48½)
10/16	MINNESOTA	W 28-17	W (-6)	U (50)
10/23	at Ohio St	L 0-49	L (+23)	O (47)
10/30	at Illinois	L 10-44	L (+16½)	O (42)
11/6	WISCONSIN	L 13-34	L (+20)	U (49)
11/13	MICHIGAN	L 16-27	W (+12½)	U (60)
11/20	at Michigan St	L 31-35	W (+20½)	O (51)
11/27	INDIANA	L 31-34	L (-4)	O (54)

> BIG TEN



WISCONSIN

StatFox.com Power Rating: 57 (#9 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +22.7 (#7 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 33.38 (71st toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 36.25 (52nd toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +20.9 (#7 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 11–2
2010 ATS Record: 8–5
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 8–4

2011 SCHEDULE

9/1	UNLV
9/10	OREGON ST
9/17	at N Illinois
9/24	S DAKOTA
10/1	NEBRASKA
10/15	INDIANA
10/22	at Michigan St
10/29	at Ohio St
11/5	PURDUE
11/12	at Minnesota
11/19	at Illinois
11/26	PENN ST

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	9–4	7–6	10–3	11–2	37–15	71.2%
OVERALL ATS	4–8	5–7	7–6	8–5	24–26	48.0%
HOME ATS	4–2	2–4	4–3	4–3	14–12	53.8%
ROAD ATS	0–6	3–3	3–3	4–2	10–14	41.7%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	2–6	3–5	4–4	6–2	15–17	46.9%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	2–2	2–2	3–2	2–3	9–9	50.0%
AS FAVORITE ATS	3–4	3–3	4–5	5–5	15–17	46.9%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	1–4	2–4	2–1	3–0	8–9	47.1%
OVER-UNDER	6–6	8–3	7–5	8–4	29–18	61.7%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	41.5	5
First Downs	23.9	13
Yards/Game	445.2	21
RushYds/Gm	245.7	12
Pass Yds/Gm	199.46	75
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	20.5	25
Yards/Game	321.8	20
RushYds/Gm	127.9	26
Pass Yds/Gm	193.9	26
Takeaways	23	49

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	AKRON	W 38-17	L (-26½)	O (52)
9/6	MARSHALL	W 51-14	W (-20½)	O (50½)
9/13	at Fresno St	W 13-10	W (-2)	U (51)
9/27	at Michigan	L 25-27	L (-4½)	O (42)
10/4	OHIO ST	L 17-20	L (+1½)	U (43½)
10/11	PENN ST	L 7-48	L (+5)	O (47)
10/18	at Iowa	L 16-38	L (+5)	O (41)
10/25	ILLINOIS	W 27-17	W (+2)	U (52)
11/1	at Michigan St	L 24-25	W (+5½)	P (49)
11/8	at Indiana	W 41-20	W (-10)	O (51)
11/15	MINNESOTA	W 35-32	L (-13½)	O (47½)
11/22	CAL POLY SLO	W 36-35		
12/27	vs Florida St	L 13-42	L (+7)	O (50½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	N ILLINOIS	W 28-20	L (-16½)	U (49½)
9/12	FRESNO ST	W 34-31	L (-7½)	O (51½)
9/19	WOFFORD	W 44-14	W (-21½)	
9/26	MICHIGAN ST	W 38-30	W (-1½)	O (52½)
10/3	at Minnesota	W 31-28	W (+2½)	O (51½)
10/10	at Ohio St	L 13-31	L (+14)	U (46)
10/17	IOWA	L 10-20	L (-1½)	U (46½)
10/31	PURDUE	W 37-0	W (-7)	U (53)
11/7	at Indiana	W 31-28	L (-13½)	O (54)
11/14	MICHIGAN	W 45-24	W (-8)	O (54½)
11/21	at Northwestern	L 31-33	L (-7)	O (49)
12/5	at Hawaii	W 51-10	W (-12)	O (59)
12/29	vs Miami	W 20-14	W (+3½)	U (55½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	at UNLV	W 41-21	L (-20½)	O (55)
9/11	SAN JOSE ST	W 27-14	L (-39)	U (53½)
9/18	ARIZONA ST	W 20-19	L (-11)	U (49)
9/25	AUSTIN PEAY	W 70-3	W (-45)	
10/2	at Michigan St	L 24-34	L (-2½)	O (54½)
10/9	MINNESOTA	W 41-23	L (-21½)	O (58)
10/16	OHIO ST	W 31-18	W (+4)	O (48½)
10/23	at Iowa	W 31-30	W (+6)	O (46)
11/6	at Purdue	W 34-13	W (-20)	U (49)
11/13	INDIANA	W 83-20	W (-22½)	O (57)
11/20	at Michigan	W 48-28	W (-3½)	O (69)
11/27	NORTHWESTERN	W 70-23	W (-23½)	O (57½)
1/1	vs TCU	L 19-21	W (+3½)	U (54½)

CONFERENCE USA

PREDICTED FINISH

EAST DIVISION	WEST DIVISION
1. Central Florida	1. Tulsa
2. Southern Miss	2. Houston
3. UAB	3. SMU
4. East Carolina	4. Tulane
5. Marshall	5. Rice
6. Memphis	6. UTEP

ALABAMA-BIRMINGHAM

Offense: Spread - Starters Returning: 7
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 8

Head coach Neil Callaway needs to improve his 15-33 record at UAB, and he has a good enough offense to be competitive in C-USA. QB Bryan Ellis (2,940 pass yds, 25 TD, 12 INT) and RB Pat Shed (1,318 yds from scrimmage, 5.4 YPC, 7 total TD) are both coming off great seasons. The duo also has the benefit of a sound offensive line that returns four starters to a unit that allowed a mere 12 sacks last season (T-12th in nation). The receivers are serviceable with junior Patrick Hearn (536 rec yds, 4 TD) the best of the lot. The defense had its deficiencies last year (31.6 PPG, 95th in nation), and the mediocre pass rush was weakened by the loss of DE Bryant Turner and his 6.5 sacks. But most of the unit is back, including run-stuffing DT Elliott Henigan (55 tackles, 15.5 TFL) and junior LBs Marvin Burdette (114 tackles) and Daniel White (55 tackles). Three starting senior DBs return to try to improve the team's 105th-ranked pass defense (248 YPG) – CB T.J. Ballou (3 INT), S Jamie Bender (94 tackles, 9 PD) and CB Marquis Coleman (7 PD).

CENTRAL FLORIDA

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 7
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 4

QB Jeff Godfrey looks to build on a solid freshman campaign (2,159 pass yds, 13 TD, 8 INT; 566 rush yds, 10 TD) for 11-3 UCF. The backfield is loaded with RB Ronnie Weaver (890 rush yds, 11 TD) and Brynn Harvey (1,109 rush yds, 14 TD in '09) returning from a knee injury. Head coach George O'Leary needs marked improvement from his receivers, especially speed burner Quincy McDuffie (13 rec, 144 yds, 2 TD; 32.2 kick return avg.) and A.J. Guyton (28-353-3). UCF's defense lost a good chunk of players from a unit that was eighth in the nation in points (17.1 PPG) and 15th in yardage (315 YPG). The school's all-time sack leader, Bruce Miller, is gone, but DE Darius Nall (8.5 sacks) is back, with fellow DE Troy Davis (5.5 sacks) poised for a monster season after five sacks in the spring game. The linebacker corps lacks experience with freshman Leilon Willingham, converted RB Jonathan Davis and basketball player Ray Shipman. CB Josh Robinson (13 PD) and FS Kemal Ishmael (93 tackles, 5 PD) lead a quality secondary.

EAST CAROLINA

Offense: Spread - Starters Returning: 5
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 7

After starting the year 5-2, ECU closed the season with just one win in the final six games, including a 51-20 Military Bowl loss to Maryland. Ruffin McNeill has an explosive offense led by QB Dominique Davis (316 total YPG, 7th in nation; 37 pass TD, 16 INT, 9 rush TD). His passing numbers in C-USA play were phenomenal: 302 YPG, 27 TD, 9 INT. Four of his top receivers return, including senior Lance Lewis (89 rec, 1,116 yds, 14 TD). But who will carry the football, with top two rushers Jon Williams and Giavanni Ruffin both graduated? No returning RB had even a dozen carries last year. The O-Line is also undergoing a lot of turnover with four starters leaving, including stud OT Willie Smith. ECU ranked dead last among FBS schools in total defense (479 YPG) and only New Mexico allowed more points than the Pirates' 44.0 PPG. Justin Dixon and Matt Milner (3 sacks each) are adequate D-ends, but Jamar McLendon (7 TFL) is the only starting LB coming back. Three starting DBs return, including senior CB Emanuel Davis (9 PD).

HOUSTON

Offense: Spread - Starters Returning: 6
Defense: Base 34 - Starters Returning: 6

Dynamic signal-caller Case Keenum returns and is determined to lead his Cougars to a C-USA title. Keenum (13,586 pass yds, 69% comp. rate, 107 TD, 41 INT; 20 rush TD in career) has a great supporting cast of skill players. TB Bryce Beall (870 rush yds, 12 TD) and WR Patrick Edwards (71 rec, 1,100 yds, 13 TD) were the team's top gainers last year, and TB Charles Sims (1,457 yds from scrimmage, 10 TD in 2009) is back after being ineligible to play in 2010. The O-Line could be an area of concern with three starters gone. The defense was porous last year, allowing 451 YPG (111th in nation) and 30.1 PPG (ranked 95th). The front seven lost two productive players in DT Matangi Tonga and ILB Matt Nicholson, but DE Kelvin King (4 sacks) and LBs Marcus McGraw (110 tackles, 7 TFL) and Sammy Brown (7.5 sacks, 20 TFL) all return. The secondary has to replace three starters, including both cornerbacks, but FS Nick Saenz (72 tackles, 6 PD) and SS Roisean Haynes (45 tackles) comprise a strong last line of defense.

MARSHALL

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 5
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 9

Second-year head coach Doc Holliday capped off his first season at Marshall with a 4-1 record. The offense was poor, ranking 103rd in yardage (314 YPG) and 101st in points (20.8 PPG). QB Brian Anderson graduated, leaving the starting to job to either sophomore Eddie Sullivan (6-of-24 passing 134 yds, 1 TD), or freshmen A.J. Graham or Cornelius Jones. The tailback role is also unsettled, with unimpressive junior Martin Ward (345 rush yds, 3.6 YPC, 1 TD) as the best option. Marshall's top offensive player is junior WR Aaron Dobson (44 rec, 689 yds, 5 TD) and the O-Line is also strong, allowing just 13 sacks last year (T-17th in nation). The improving defense returns nine starters, most notably DE Vinny Curry (12 sacks, 18 TFL) up front, and LB Kellen Harris (68 tackles) who will be counted on to help offset the loss of stud LB Mario Harvey who had 143 tackles and 8.5 sacks. The entire secondary returns, featuring safeties Omar and Donald Brown (163 tackles and 6 INT combined). CB Rashad Jackson also has great ball skills (3 INT, 7 PD).

MEMPHIS

Offense: Spread - Starters Returning: 7
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 7

Larry Porter's first season in Memphis was abysmal, as his Tigers went 1-11 with the second-fewest points scored (14.4 PPG) and fourth-most points allowed (39.8 PPG) in the nation. The ground game averaged 2.9 yards per carry and the O-Line surrendered 37 sacks and 87 TFL. The one bright spot on offense is the productive duo of sophomore QB Ryan Williams (2,075 pass yds, 13 TD, 10 INT) and junior WR Marcus Rucker (41 rec, 704 yds, 8 TD). The defense only forced 13 turnovers (5 fumbles, 8 INT) with a paltry 14 sacks. The D-Line did stop the run fairly well (4.4 YPC) as DE Frank Trotter (80 tackles, 16.5 TFL) and 350-pound DT Dontari Poe (41 tackles, 6.5 TFL) were able to get penetration often. C-USA leading tackler Jamon Hughes is gone, but LBs Terrence Thomas (8.5 TFL) and DeRon Furr (58 tackles) are back. The secondary returns improving CBs Taurean Nixon and Mohammed Seisay.

RICE

Offense: Multiple Spread - Starters Returning: 7
Defense: 4-2-5 - Starters Returning: 7

Despite last year's 4-8 record, there is still reason for optimism for the 2011 Rice Owls. QB Taylor McHargue posted quality numbers in five games as a freshman (63% comp. rate, 11 TD, 9 INT), and will likely start instead of senior Nick Fanuzzi. The ground game averaged 50 more YPG than it did in 2009, and should continue to improve with the entire O-Line returning to create holes for TB Sam McGuffie (883 rush yds, 384 rec yds, 9 total TD). TEs Vance McDonald (396 rec yds, 8 TD) and Luke Wilson (425 rec yds, 3 TD) are the team's top receivers. The defense is still a work in progress after ranking second-to-last in passing (304 YPG) and 114th in scoring (38.5 PPG). The Owls need to force the issue more, after a meager 14-sack, 6-INT season. Improved line play is vital to help the secondary, which returns four starters, including Travis Bradshaw (76 tackles) and CB Phillip Gaines (6 PD).

SMU

Offense: Run-and-Shoot - Starters Returning: 6
Defense: Base 34 - Starters Returning: 7

June Jones' run-and-shoot continues to work at SMU, as the Mustangs went 6-2 in C-USA play. Junior QB Kyle Padron (3,828 pass yds, 31 TD, 14 INT) is a rising star, but needs to help his team correct its minus-12 turnover margin. He'll miss his outside threat Aldrick Robinson who had 1,301 yards and 14 TD in his final year, but two great inside receivers remain (Cole Beasley: 1,060 rec yds and Darius Johnson: 845 rec yds). The ground game is also in good shape with TB Zach Line (1,494 rush yds, 6.1 YPC, 10 TD) running behind an all-senior O-Line. Defensively, SMU was pretty sound. DEs Taylor Thompson (5.5 sacks) and Margus Hunt (45 tackles, 6.5 TFL) man the front line, while WLB Ja'Gared Davis (90 tackles, 9 sacks, 16 TFL) and MLB Taylor Reed (145 tackles) make plays all over the field. CB Richard Crawford (4 INT, 8 PD) is the best of the three returnees in the adequate secondary.

SOUTHERN MISSISSIPPI

Offense: Spread - Starters Returning: 6
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 7

Head coach Larry Fedora has all the pieces to earn a fourth bowl berth in his fourth season at Southern Miss. QB Austin Davis (3,103 pass yds, 20 TD, 6 INT; 452 rush yds, 10 TD) ran the spread offense brilliantly last year, ranking 15th in the county in scoring (36.9 PPG) and 18th in yardage (453 YPG). The Golden Eagles also return two top-notch TBs in Kendrick Hardy (903 rush yds, 6.5 YPC as freshman) and Desmond Johnson (611 rush yds, 5.3 YPC, 8 TD), as well as their top two receivers – Kelvin Bolden (46 rec, 722 yds, 6 TD) and Quentin Pierce (38 rec, 454 yds, 4 TD). The defense did a great job stuffing the run (113 YPG, 13th in nation) behind DE Cordarro Law (6 sacks, 11.5 TFL) and MLB Korey Williams (93 tackles, 14.5 TFL). Sophomore CB Deron Wilson (3 INT, 12 PD) leads a subpar secondary that allowed 243 passing YPG (99th in nation) and lost two starters from last year's team.

TULANE

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 7
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 7

Bob Toledo has a woeful 13-35 record in four seasons at Tulane. The ability of the Green Wave to improve starts with junior QB Ryan Griffin (2,371 pass yds, 14 TD, 8 INT) who averaged a mere 6.4 yards per pass attempt. Griffin would also benefit greatly if Tulane had more than one reliable receiver to complement junior Ryan Grant (33 rec, 515 yds, 4 TD). Tulane had trouble running the football (128 YPG, 91st in nation), but TB Orleans Darkwa (925 rush yds, 5.0 YPC, 11 TD) was not to blame. The O-Line returns three starters and is improving. The defense allowed 37.2 PPG, including six games of 40+ points, and lost two key players in DT Justin Adams and CB Phillip Davis. DE Dezman Moses (6 sacks, 11.5 TFL) and MLB Trent Mackey (124 tackles, 7.5 TFL) will need a lot of help up front, and the secondary is also subpar.

TEXAS-EL PASO

Offense: Spread - Starters Returning: 2
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 9

The Miners have a bunch of holes to fill on offense, including all five starting linemen and four-year starting QB Trevor Vittatoe. His replacement probably won't be named until late August, but it will likely be senior Tate Smith or JUCO transfer Nick Lamaison. The QB's progress will be hindered by the team's lack of wideouts with 1,070-yard, 14-TD Kris Adams graduated. RB Joe Banyard (623 rush yds, 8 TD) is the key to the offense. Although the defense remains virtually intact, this unit was terrible last year, ranking 91st in yardage (413 YPG) T-105th in sacks (14) and 115th in TFL (50). DE Greg Watkins (3 sacks), LBs Isaiah Carter (75 tackles) and Jamie Irving (79 tackles), and CBs Travaun Nixon (4 INT) and Antwon Blake (11 PD) are the best returning defenders, with leading tackler S Wiston Jeune gone.

TULSA

Offense: Spread - Starters Returning: 8
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 8

Tulsa is out to prove it can beat anybody, scheduling road games at Oklahoma and Boise State, while hosting Oklahoma State. New head coach Bill Blankenship inherits a 10-3 team that averaged 45.9 PPG in winning its final seven games. Tulsa is led by two explosive seniors in QB G.J. Kinne (3,650 pass yds, 31 TD, 10 INT; 561 rush yds, 7 TD) and WR Damaris Johnson (872 rec yds, 560 rush yds, 13 total TD). The Golden Hurricane also return all five starting offensive linemen. Tulsa led the nation with 24 INT and its +17 turnover margin was second among FBS schools. But it was also the nation's worst pass defense (319 YPG) and ranked 85th in scoring (30.3 PPG). Many playmakers remain for new DC Brent Guy's 4-3 defense, including OLB Shawn Jackson (8.5 sacks), DE Tyrunn Walker (12 TFL), LB Curnelius Arnick (5 sacks), FS Dexter McCoil (6 INT) and WS Marco Nelson (6 INT).

> CONFERENCE USA



ALABAMA-BIRMINGHAM

StatFox.com Power Rating: 27 (#88 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -8.0 (#96 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 30.58 (88th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 32.08 (77th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -5.3 (#85 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 4-8
2010 ATS Record: 5-7
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 6-6

2011 SCHEDULE

9/10	at Florida
9/17	TULANE
9/24	at E Carolina
10/1	at Troy
10/8	MISSISSIPPI ST
10/15	at Tulsa
10/22	UCF
10/29	at Marshall
11/5	HOUSTON
11/12	at Memphis
11/17	SOUTHERN MISS
11/26	at FLA Atlantic

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	2–10	4–8	5–7	4–8	15–33	31.3%
OVERALL ATS	6–5	6–4	5–7	5–7	22–23	48.9%
HOME ATS	2–2	2–1	3–2	2–4	9–9	50.0%
ROAD ATS	4–3	4–3	2–5	3–3	13–14	48.1%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	4–4	4–3	4–4	2–6	14–17	45.2%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	2–1	2–1	1–3	3–1	8–6	57.1%
AS FAVORITE ATS	1–0	0–0	3–1	1–5	5–6	45.5%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	5–5	6–4	2–6	4–2	17–17	50.0%
OVER-UNDER	4–7	4–6	8–4	6–6	22–23	48.9%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	26.3	66
First Downs	21.3	37
Yards/Game	422.8	30
RushYds/Gm	155.8	59
Pass Yds/Gm	267	24
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	31.6	95
Yards/Game	398.8	80
RushYds/Gm	150.6	59
Pass Yds/Gm	248.3	105
Takeaways	18	86

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	TULSA	L 22-45	L (+13)	P (67)
9/6	at FLA Atlantic	L 34-49	L (+14)	O (56½)
9/13	at Tennessee	L 3-35	W (+33)	U (61)
9/20	ALABAMA ST	W 45-10		
9/27	at S Carolina	L 13-26	W (+25½)	U (50½)
10/2	MEMPHIS	L 30-33	P (+3)	O (58)
10/9	at Houston	L 20-45	L (+18½)	U (67½)
10/18	MARSHALL	W 23-21	W (+2½)	U (55½)
11/1	at Southern Miss	L 14-70	L (+7½)	O (62½)
11/15	at Tulane	W 41-24	W (+4)	O (54½)
11/22	E CAROLINA	L 13-17	W (+6½)	U (50½)
11/29	at UCF	W 15-0	W (+9)	U (47)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	RICE	W 44-24	W (-6)	O (60)
9/12	SMU	L 33-35	L (-11)	O (59½)
9/19	at Troy	L 14-27	L (+6½)	U (59½)
9/26	at Texas A&M	L 19-56	L (+15)	O (64½)
10/1	SOUTHERN MISS	W 30-17	W (+10)	U (58½)
10/17	at Ole Miss	L 13-48	L (+23)	O (55)
10/24	at Marshall	L 7-27	L (+7)	U (51½)
10/31	at UTEP	W 38-33	W (+7½)	O (63½)
11/7	FLA ATLANTIC	W 56-29	W (-7)	O (63½)
11/14	at Memphis	W 31-21	W (-1)	U (64)
11/21	at E Carolina	L 21-37	L (+14½)	O (56½)
11/28	UCF	L 27-34	L (+3)	O (52½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/2	FLA ATLANTIC	L 31-32	L (-14)	O (50)
9/11	at SMU	L 7-28	L (+11)	U (55)
9/18	TROY	W 34-33	W (+3½)	O (58)
9/25	at Tennessee	L 29-32	W (+14)	O (51½)
10/6	at UCF	L 7-42	L (+11½)	O (47½)
10/16	UTEP	W 21-6	W (-3)	U (59½)
10/23	at Mississippi St	L 24-29	W (+19½)	U (53½)
10/30	at Southern Miss	W 50-49	W (+10)	O (56)
11/6	MARSHALL	L 17-31	L (-10½)	U (53)
11/11	E CAROLINA	L 42-54	L (-1)	O (68½)
11/20	MEMPHIS	W 31-15	L (-20)	U (57½)
11/27	at Rice	L 23-28	L (-3)	U (68)

> CONFERENCE USA



CENTRAL FLORIDA

StatFox.com Power Rating: 43 (#40 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +10.9 (#32 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 27.21 (108th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 29.08 (95th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +14.9 (#13 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 11-3
2010 ATS Record: 11-3
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 6-7

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	CHARLESTON SOUT
9/10	BOSTON COLLEGE
9/17	at FLA International
9/23	at BYU
10/8	MARSHALL
10/15	at SMU
10/22	at UAB
10/29	MEMPHIS
11/5	TULSA
11/12	at Southern Miss
11/19	at E Carolina
11/26	UTEP

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	10–4	4–8	8–5	11–3	33–20	62.3%
OVERALL ATS	8–6	6–5	9–3	11–3	34–17	66.7%
HOME ATS	5–2	3–2	4–2	5–2	17–8	68.0%
ROAD ATS	3–4	3–3	5–1	6–1	17–9	65.4%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	6–3	4–4	7–1	7–2	24–10	70.6%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	2–3	2–1	2–2	4–1	10–7	58.8%
AS FAVORITE ATS	5–5	1–2	5–1	8–3	19–11	63.3%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	3–1	5–3	4–2	2–0	14–6	70.0%
OVER-UNDER	5–8	5–5	5–6	6–7	21–26	44.7%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	32.1	31
First Downs	20.7	43
Yards/Game	374.0	65
RushYds/Gm	187.6	26
Pass Yds/Gm	186.43	87
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	17.1	8
Yards/Game	315.4	15
RushYds/Gm	108.4	8
Pass Yds/Gm	207.0	41
Takeaways	23	49

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	S CAROLINA ST	W 17-0		
9/6	S FLORIDA	L 24-31	W (+14)	O (47½)
9/20	at Boston College	L 7-34	L (+10)	O (40)
9/27	at UTEP	L 13-58	L (-3½)	O (50½)
10/4	SMU	W 31-17	W (-13½)	U (55)
10/11	at Miami	L 14-20	W (+17)	U (46½)
10/26	at Tulsa	L 19-49	L (+23)	P (68)
11/2	E CAROLINA	L 10-13	W (+6)	U (47)
11/8	SOUTHERN MISS	L 6-17	L (+2½)	U (49½)
11/15	at Marshall	W 30-14	W (+7½)	O (39½)
11/22	at Memphis	W 28-21	W (+6)	O (46½)
11/29	UAB	L 0-15	L (-9)	U (47)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	SAMFORD	W 28-24		
9/12	at Southern Miss	L 19-26	W (+15½)	U (50½)
9/19	BUFFALO	W 23-17	W (-5)	U (46½)
9/26	at E Carolina	L 14-19	W (+9)	U (43½)
10/3	MEMPHIS	W 32-14	W (-7)	P (46)
10/17	MIAMI	L 7-27	L (+12½)	U (46½)
10/24	at Rice	W 49-7	W (-10)	O (51)
11/1	MARSHALL	W 21-20	L (-7)	U (43)
11/7	at Texas	L 3-35	W (+35½)	U (47)
11/14	HOUSTON	W 37-32	W (+3½)	O (67½)
11/21	TULANE	W 49-0	W (-22½)	O (47)
11/28	at UAB	W 34-27	W (-3)	O (52½)
12/19	vs Rutgers	L 24-45	L (+2½)	O (45)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	S DAKOTA	W 38-7	W (-26½)	
9/11	NC STATE	L 21-28	L (-3)	U (52)
9/18	at Buffalo	W 24-10	W (-7½)	U (47½)
9/25	at Kansas St	L 13-17	W (+6½)	U (46)
10/6	UAB	W 42-7	W (-11½)	O (47½)
10/13	at Marshall	W 35-14	W (-6½)	O (45½)
10/23	RICE	W 41-14	W (-24)	O (52)
10/30	E CAROLINA	W 49-35	W (-8½)	O (53½)
11/5	at Houston	W 40-33	W (-2)	O (63½)
11/13	SOUTHERN MISS	L 21-31	L (-10½)	U (54½)
11/20	at Tulane	W 61-14	W (-18½)	O (54)
11/27	at Memphis	W 37-17	L (-26½)	U (56)
12/4	SMU	W 17-7	W (-8)	U (56)
12/31	vs Georgia	W 10-6	W (+7)	U (53½)

> CONFERENCE USA



EAST CAROLINA

StatFox.com Power Rating: 27 (#88 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -6.1 (#89 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 35.92 (54th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 34.08 (68th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -7.2 (#91 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 6–7
2010 ATS Record: 6–7
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 10–3

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	S CAROLINA
9/10	VIRGINIA TECH
9/24	UAB
10/1	N CAROLINA
10/8	at Houston
10/15	at Memphis
10/22	at Navy
10/29	TULANE
11/5	SOUTHERN MISS
11/12	at UTEP
11/19	UCF
11/26	at Marshall

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	8–5	9–5	9–5	6–7	32–22	59.3%
OVERALL ATS	8–5	5–9	7–6	6–7	26–27	49.1%
HOME ATS	3–3	3–2	3–3	4–2	13–10	56.5%
ROAD ATS	5–2	2–7	4–3	2–5	13–17	43.3%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	6–2	3–6	6–3	5–3	20–14	58.8%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	2–3	2–3	1–3	1–4	6–13	31.6%
AS FAVORITE ATS	3–3	2–8	4–3	2–2	11–16	40.7%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	5–2	3–1	3–3	4–5	15–11	57.7%
OVER-UNDER	7–6	7–7	7–6	10–3	31–22	58.5%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	36.8	16
First Downs	23.6	18
Yards/Game	437.6	25
RushYds/Gm	118.9	99
Pass Yds/Gm	318.69	8
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	44.0	119
Yards/Game	478.8	120
RushYds/Gm	226.7	117
Pass Yds/Gm	252.1	107
Takeaways	22	59

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	vs Virginia Tech	W 27-22	W (+8½)	O (44)
9/6	W VIRGINIA	W 24-3	W (+7½)	U (48½)
9/13	at Tulane	W 28-24	L (-11½)	O (45)
9/20	at NC State	L 24-30	L (-7)	O (43½)
9/27	HOUSTON	L 24-41	L (-10½)	O (61)
10/11	at Virginia	L 20-35	L (-5½)	O (44)
10/18	MEMPHIS	W 30-10	W (-9)	U (53½)
11/2	at UCF	W 13-10	L (-6)	U (47)
11/8	MARSHALL	W 19-16	L (-8)	U (47)
11/15	at Southern Miss	L 3-21	L (+3)	U (50)
11/22	at UAB	W 17-13	L (-6½)	U (50½)
11/28	UTEP	W 53-21	W (-6½)	O (55)
12/6	at Tulsa	W 27-24	W (+12)	U (66½)
1/2	vs Kentucky	L 19-25	L (-3)	O (41)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	APPALACHIAN ST	W 29-24		
9/12	at W Virginia	L 20-35	L (+6)	O (44)
9/19	at N Carolina	L 17-31	L (+8)	O (43½)
9/26	UCF	W 19-14	L (-9)	U (43½)
10/3	at Marshall	W 21-17	W (-1½)	U (48)
10/10	at SMU	L 21-28	L (-4)	U (53)
10/17	RICE	W 49-13	W (-18)	O (56)
10/27	at Memphis	W 38-19	W (-6)	O (49)
11/5	VIRGINIA TECH	L 3-16	L (+12½)	U (51½)
11/15	at Tulsa	W 44-17	W (+4)	O (51)
11/21	UAB	W 37-21	W (-14½)	O (56½)
11/28	SOUTHERN MISS	W 25-20	L (-6)	U (54½)
12/5	HOUSTON	W 38-32	W (+1)	O (68½)
1/2	vs Arkansas	L 17-20	W (+7½)	U (60)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	TULSA	W 51-49	W (+7)	O (56½)
9/11	MEMPHIS	W 49-27	W (-13½)	O (56½)
9/18	at Virginia Tech	L 27-49	L (+19½)	O (59½)
10/2	at N Carolina	L 17-42	L (+14½)	U (61)
10/9	at Southern Miss	W 44-43	W (+8½)	O (62½)
10/16	NC STATE	W 33-27	W (+7½)	U (69½)
10/23	MARSHALL	W 37-10	W (-13)	U (61)
10/30	at UCF	L 35-49	L (+8½)	O (53½)
11/6	NAVY	L 35-76	L (-2½)	O (64)
11/11	at UAB	W 54-42	W (+1)	O (68½)
11/20	at Rice	L 38-62	L (-8)	O (73½)
11/26	SMU	L 38-45	L (+1½)	O (70)
12/29	vs Maryland	L 20-51	L (+8)	O (70½)

> CONFERENCE USA



HOUSTON

StatFox.com Power Rating: 36 (#63 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +4.7 (#51 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 29.17 (95th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 25.17 (113th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +5.5 (#46 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 5–7
2010 ATS Record: 5–7
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 7–4

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	UCLA
9/10	at North Texas
9/17	at Louisiana Tech
9/24	GEORGIA ST
10/1	at UTEP
10/8	E CAROLINA
10/22	MARSHALL
10/29	RICE
11/5	at UAB
11/10	at Tulane
11/19	SMU
11/26	at Tulsa

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	8–5	8–5	10–4	5–7	31–21	59.6%
OVERALL ATS	4–8	5–7	8–5	5–7	22–27	44.9%
HOME ATS	1–4	3–1	5–0	3–3	12–8	60.0%
ROAD ATS	3–4	2–6	3–5	2–4	10–19	34.5%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	2–6	4–4	5–4	4–4	15–18	45.5%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	2–2	1–3	3–1	0–3	6–9	40.0%
AS FAVORITE ATS	3–6	3–6	5–5	3–3	14–20	41.2%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	1–2	2–1	3–0	1–4	7–7	50.0%
OVER-UNDER	4–8	7–5	8–5	7–4	26–22	54.2%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	37.7	13
First Downs	25.6	6
Yards/Game	480.5	11
RushYds/Gm	153.3	61
Pass Yds/Gm	327.25	5
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	32.2	96
Yards/Game	432.8	103
RushYds/Gm	208.8	114
Pass Yds/Gm	224.1	68
Takeaways	21	69

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	SOUTHERN	W 55-3		
9/6	at Oklahoma St	L 37-56	L (+14½)	O (61½)
9/13	vs Air Force	L 28-31	L (-2)	O (51½)
9/20	at Colorado St	L 25-28	L (-5½)	U (62½)
9/27	at E Carolina	W 41-24	W (+10½)	O (61)
10/9	UAB	W 45-20	W (-18½)	U (67½)
10/18	at SMU	W 44-38	L (-14½)	O (70)
10/28	at Marshall	L 23-37	L (-7)	U (60½)
11/8	TULANE	W 42-14	W (-18)	U (57½)
11/15	TULSA	W 70-30	W (+3½)	O (80½)
11/22	UTEP	W 42-37	L (-19)	O (73½)
11/29	at Rice	L 42-56	L (-3½)	O (79)
12/31	vs Air Force	W 34-28	W (-5½)	U (65)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	NORTHWESTERN ST	W 55-7		
9/12	at Oklahoma St	W 45-35	W (+16½)	O (71)
9/26	TEXAS TECH	W 29-28	W (+2)	U (75½)
10/3	at UTEP	L 41-58	L (-14)	O (69½)
10/10	at Mississippi St	W 31-24	W (+3)	U (68)
10/17	at Tulane	W 44-16	W (-17)	U (68½)
10/24	SMU	W 38-15	W (-16)	U (71)
10/31	SOUTHERN MISS	W 50-43	W (-6½)	O (65)
11/7	at Tulsa	W 46-45	L (-2)	O (70½)
11/14	at UCF	L 32-37	L (-3½)	O (67½)
11/21	MEMPHIS	W 55-14	W (-23)	U (74)
11/28	RICE	W 73-14	W (-30½)	O (71½)
12/5	at E Carolina	L 32-38	L (-1)	O (68½)
12/31	vs Air Force	L 20-47	L (-4½)	O (65½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	TEXAS ST UNIV	W 68-28	W (-39½)	
9/10	UTEP	W 54-24	W (-20½)	O (75½)
9/18	at UCLA	L 13-31	L (-3½)	U (66)
9/25	TULANE	W 42-23	W (-18½)	O (57)
10/9	MISSISSIPPI ST	L 24-47	L (+4½)	O (57)
10/16	at Rice	L 31-34	L (-9½)	U (66)
10/23	at SMU	W 45-20	W (+8)	O (61)
10/30	at Memphis	W 56-17	W (-14)	O (59½)
11/5	UCF	L 33-40	L (+2)	O (63½)
11/13	TULSA	L 25-28	L (-3)	U (76½)
11/20	at Southern Miss	L 41-59	L (+3½)	O (68)
11/27	at Texas Tech	L 20-35	L (+10)	U (70½)

> CONFERENCE USA



MARSHALL

StatFox.com Power Rating: 26 (#93 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -8.5 (#98 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 30.92 (87th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 34.75 (65th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -7.9 (#93 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 5-7
2010 ATS Record: 4-8
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 5-6-1

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	at W Virginia
9/10	SOUTHERN MISS
9/17	at Ohio U
9/24	VIRGINIA TECH
10/1	at Louisville
10/8	at UCF
10/15	RICE
10/22	at Houston
10/29	UAB
11/12	at Tulsa
11/19	at Memphis
11/26	E CAROLINA

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	3-9	4-8	7-6	5-7	19-30	38.8%
OVERALL ATS	5-6	4-7	7-5	4-8	20-26	43.5%
HOME ATS	3-2	2-3	2-3	3-3	10-11	47.6%
ROAD ATS	2-4	2-4	5-2	1-5	10-15	40.0%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	4-4	4-4	4-4	3-5	15-17	46.9%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	1-2	0-3	3-1	1-3	5-9	35.7%
AS FAVORITE ATS	1-1	0-3	2-1	2-3	5-8	38.5%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	4-5	4-4	5-4	2-5	15-18	45.5%
OVER-UNDER	4-7	4-7	3-9	5-6	16-29	35.6%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	20.8	101
First Downs	16.5	106
Yards/Game	314.3	103
RushYds/Gm	97.2	114
Pass Yds/Gm	217.08	63
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	28.8	74
Yards/Game	386.0	71
RushYds/Gm	145.3	55
Pass Yds/Gm	240.8	94
Takeaways	17	94

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	ILLINOIS ST	W 35-10		
9/6	at Wisconsin	L 14-51	L (+20½)	O (50½)
9/13	MEMPHIS	W 17-16	L (-6)	U (60)
9/20	at Southern Miss	W 34-27	W (+7½)	O (54)
9/27	at W Virginia	L 3-27	L (+16)	U (53½)
10/3	CINCINNATI	L 10-33	L (+3)	U (47½)
10/18	at UAB	L 21-23	L (-2½)	U (55½)
10/28	HOUSTON	W 37-23	W (+7)	U (60½)
11/8	at E Carolina	L 16-19	W (+8)	U (47)
11/15	UCF	L 14-30	L (-7½)	O (39½)
11/22	at Rice	L 10-35	L (+8½)	U (68)
11/29	TULSA	L 35-38	W (+16)	O (66)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	S ILLINOIS	W 31-28		
9/12	at Virginia Tech	L 10-52	L (+20)	O (44)
9/19	BOWLING GREEN	W 17-10	W (+3)	U (52)
9/26	at Memphis	W 27-16	W (+3)	U (49)
10/3	E CAROLINA	L 17-21	L (+1½)	U (48)
10/10	at Tulane	W 31-10	W (-3)	U (48)
10/17	at W Virginia	L 7-24	W (+20)	U (51½)
10/24	UAB	W 27-7	W (-7)	U (51½)
11/1	at UCF	L 20-21	W (+7)	U (43)
11/14	SOUTHERN MISS	L 20-27	L (+3)	U (51½)
11/21	SMU	W 34-31	L (-3½)	O (48½)
11/28	at UTEP	L 21-52	L (+2)	O (60)
12/26	vs Ohio U	W 21-17	W (+3)	U (49)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/2	at Ohio St	L 7-45	L (+28)	O (47)
9/10	W VIRGINIA	L 21-24	W (+12)	U (46½)
9/18	at Bowling Green	L 28-44	L (-3½)	O (50)
9/25	OHIO U	W 24-23	L (-6½)	P (47)
10/2	at Southern Miss	L 16-41	L (+9)	O (54)
10/13	UCF	L 14-35	L (+6½)	O (45½)
10/23	at E Carolina	L 10-37	L (+13)	U (61)
10/30	UTEP	W 16-12	W (-2½)	U (54)
11/6	at UAB	W 31-17	W (+10½)	U (53)
11/13	MEMPHIS	W 28-13	L (-16)	U (51)
11/20	at SMU	L 17-31	L (+13½)	U (48½)
11/27	TULANE	W 38-23	W (-11)	O (52½)

> CONFERENCE USA



MEMPHIS

StatFox.com Power Rating: 12 (#117 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -22.9 (#118 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 35.92 (55th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 28.25 (99th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -25.4 (#119 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 1-11
2010 ATS Record: 5-7
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 6-6

2011 SCHEDULE

9/1	MISSISSIPPI ST
9/10	at Arkansas St
9/17	AUSTIN PEAY
9/24	SMU
10/1	at Middle Tenn st
10/8	at Rice
10/15	E CAROLINA
10/22	at Tulane
10/29	at UCF
11/12	UAB
11/19	MARSHALL
11/26	at Southern Miss

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	7-6	6-7	2-10	1-11	16-34	32.0%
OVERALL ATS	7-5	5-6	3-9	5-7	20-27	42.6%
HOME ATS	3-3	3-3	2-4	2-4	10-14	41.7%
ROAD ATS	4-2	2-3	1-5	3-3	10-13	43.5%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	5-3	4-3	2-6	4-4	15-16	48.4%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	2-2	1-3	1-3	1-3	5-11	31.3%
AS FAVORITE ATS	2-2	1-2	0-1	0-0	3-5	37.5%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	5-3	4-4	2-8	5-7	16-22	42.1%
OVER-UNDER	6-6	6-6	4-6	6-6	22-24	47.8%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	14.4	119
First Downs	14.3	120
Yards/Game	285.0	117
RushYds/Gm	91.6	116
Pass Yds/Gm	193.42	81
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	39.8	117
Yards/Game	460.7	115
RushYds/Gm	171.9	81
Pass Yds/Gm	288.8	116
Takeaways	13	115

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	at Ole Miss	L 24-41	L (+9)	O (53)
9/6	RICE	L 35-42	L (-2½)	O (67)
9/13	at Marshall	L 16-17	W (+6)	U (60)
9/20	NICHOLLS ST	W 31-10		
9/27	ARKANSAS ST	W 29-17	W (+1)	U (55)
10/2	at UAB	W 33-30	P (-3)	O (58)
10/10	LOUISVILLE	L 28-35	L (+6)	O (56½)
10/18	at E Carolina	L 10-30	L (+9)	U (53½)
10/25	SOUTHERN MISS	W 36-30	W (+3½)	O (52)
11/8	at SMU	W 31-26	W (pk)	U (61)
11/22	UCF	L 21-28	L (-6)	O (46½)
11/29	TULANE	W 45-6	W (-14)	U (53)
12/20	vs S Florida	L 14-41	L (+10½)	U (56½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/6	OLE MISS	L 14-45	L (+17½)	O (55)
9/12	at Middle Tenn st	L 14-31	L (pk)	U (53)
9/19	TENN-MARTIN	W 41-14	W (-19)	
9/26	MARSHALL	L 16-27	L (-3)	U (49)
10/3	at UCF	L 14-32	L (+7)	P (46)
10/10	UTEP	W 35-20	W (+1)	U (58)
10/17	at Southern Miss	L 16-36	L (+14½)	U (54)
10/27	E CAROLINA	L 19-38	L (+6)	O (49)
11/7	at Tennessee	L 28-56	L (+25½)	O (53)
11/14	UAB	L 21-31	L (+1)	U (64)
11/21	at Houston	L 14-55	L (+23)	U (74)
11/27	at Tulsa	L 30-33	W (+16)	O (61)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	at Mississippi St	L 7-49	L (+22)	O (47)
9/11	at E Carolina	L 27-49	L (+13½)	O (56½)
9/18	MIDDLE TENN ST	W 24-17	W (+5½)	U (55½)
9/25	at UTEP	L 13-16	W (+12½)	U (59½)
10/2	TULSA	L 7-48	L (+8)	U (62)
10/9	at Louisville	L 0-56	L (+16½)	O (51)
10/16	SOUTHERN MISS	L 19-41	L (+16½)	O (53)
10/30	HOUSTON	L 17-56	L (+14)	O (59½)
11/6	TENNESSEE	L 14-50	L (+20½)	O (52)
11/13	at Marshall	L 13-28	W (+16)	U (51)
11/20	at UAB	L 15-31	W (+20)	U (57½)
11/27	UCF	L 17-37	W (+26½)	U (56)

> CONFERENCE USA



RICE

StatFox.com Power Rating: 22 (#102 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -11.1 (#106 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 31.50 (83rd toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 30.67 (82nd toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -9.8 (#96 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 4–8
2010 ATS Record: 6–6
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 7–5

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	at Texas
9/10	PURDUE
9/24	at Baylor
10/1	at Southern Miss
10/8	MEMPHIS
10/15	at Marshall
10/22	TULSA
10/29	at Houston
11/5	UTEP
11/12	at Northwestern
11/19	TULANE
11/26	at SMU

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	3–9	10–3	2–10	4–8	19–30	38.8%
OVERALL ATS	5–6	9–4	4–7	6–6	24–23	51.1%
HOME ATS	2–3	5–1	2–3	5–2	14–9	60.9%
ROAD ATS	3–3	4–3	2–4	1–4	10–14	41.7%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	5–3	7–1	3–4	4–4	19–12	61.3%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	0–3	2–3	1–3	2–2	5–11	31.3%
AS FAVORITE ATS	0–2	6–1	1–0	0–0	7–3	70.0%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	5–4	3–3	3–7	6–6	17–20	45.9%
OVER-UNDER	10–1	9–4	8–4	7–5	34–14	70.8%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	28.7	52
First Downs	19.7	61
Yards/Game	375.3	63
RushYds/Gm	159.4	51
Pass Yds/Gm	215.92	64
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	38.5	114
Yards/Game	448.8	107
RushYds/Gm	145.2	54
Pass Yds/Gm	303.7	119
Takeaways	14	112

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/29	SMU	W 56-27	W (-4)	O (70)
9/6	at Memphis	W 42-35	W (+2½)	O (67)
9/13	at Vanderbilt	L 21-38	L (+9½)	O (58)
9/20	at Texas	L 10-52	L (+29)	U (68)
9/27	NORTH TEXAS	W 77-20	W (-15½)	O (67½)
10/4	at Tulsa	L 28-63	L (+16½)	O (79½)
10/18	SOUTHERN MISS	W 45-40	W (-2)	O (69)
10/25	at Tulane	W 42-17	W (+1½)	U (66½)
11/1	at UTEP	W 49-44	W (-2½)	O (76½)
11/8	ARMY	W 38-31	L (-8½)	O (55½)
11/22	MARSHALL	W 35-10	W (-8½)	U (68)
11/29	HOUSTON	W 56-42	W (+3½)	O (79)
12/30	vs W Michigan	W 38-14	W (-2)	U (73½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	at UAB	L 24-44	L (+6)	O (60)
9/12	at Texas Tech	L 10-55	L (+28)	O (64)
9/19	at Oklahoma St	L 24-41	W (+32½)	U (66½)
9/26	VANDERBILT	L 17-36	L (+7½)	O (49½)
10/3	TULSA	L 10-27	P (+17)	U (63)
10/10	NAVY	L 14-63	L (+10)	O (56)
10/17	at E Carolina	L 13-49	L (+18)	O (56)
10/24	UCF	L 7-49	L (+10)	O (51)
11/7	at SMU	L 28-31	W (+18)	O (57)
11/14	TULANE	W 28-20	W (-3)	U (58)
11/21	UTEP	W 30-29	W (+6½)	U (70½)
11/28	at Houston	L 14-73	L (+30½)	O (71½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	TEXAS	L 17-34	W (+31½)	U (55½)
9/11	at North Texas	W 32-31	W (+3½)	O (57)
9/18	NORTHWESTERN	L 13-30	L (+6½)	U (54½)
9/25	BAYLOR	L 13-30	L (+8)	U (56)
10/2	SMU	L 31-42	W (+11½)	O (57)
10/9	at UTEP	L 24-44	L (+9)	O (58)
10/16	HOUSTON	W 34-31	W (+9½)	U (66)
10/23	at UCF	L 14-41	L (+24)	O (52)
11/6	at Tulsa	L 27-64	L (+17)	O (62½)
11/13	at Tulane	L 49-54	L (+4)	O (57½)
11/20	E CAROLINA	W 62-38	W (+8)	O (73½)
11/27	UAB	W 28-23	W (+3)	U (68)

> CONFERENCE USA



SMU

StatFox.com Power Rating: 30 (#79 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +0.3 (#69 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 32.71 (74th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 32.25 (75th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +0.1 (#67 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 7–7
2010 ATS Record: 6–8
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 6–8

2011 SCHEDULE

9/4	at Texas A&M
9/10	UTEP
9/17	NORTHWESTERN ST
9/24	at Memphis
10/1	at TCU
10/15	UCF
10/22	at Southern Miss
10/29	at Tulsa
11/5	TULANE
11/12	NAVY
11/19	at Houston
11/26	RICE

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	1–11	1–11	8–5	7–7	17–34	33.3%
OVERALL ATS	4–8	3–7	7–5	6–8	20–28	41.7%
HOME ATS	0–6	2–3	2–3	3–4	7–16	30.4%
ROAD ATS	4–2	1–4	5–2	3–4	13–12	52.0%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	3–5	3–5	4–4	4–5	14–19	42.4%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	1–3	0–2	3–1	2–3	6–9	40.0%
AS FAVORITE ATS	0–4	0–0	0–4	4–6	4–14	22.2%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	4–4	3–7	7–1	2–2	16–14	53.3%
OVER-UNDER	6–6	4–7	5–6	6–8	21–27	43.8%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	25.7	74
First Downs	20.7	43
Yards/Game	414.6	33
RushYds/Gm	138.8	81
Pass Yds/Gm	275.79	21
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	25.6	59
Yards/Game	352.9	40
RushYds/Gm	144.8	53
Pass Yds/Gm	208.1	43
Takeaways	15	108

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/29	at Rice	L 27-56	L (+4)	O (70)
9/6	TEXAS ST UNIV	W 47-36		
9/13	at Texas Tech	L 7-43	P (+36)	U (68½)
9/20	TCU	L 7-48	L (+24)	O (52½)
9/25	at Tulane	L 27-34	W (+17½)	O (52½)
10/4	at UCF	L 17-31	L (+13½)	U (55)
10/11	TULSA	L 31-37	W (+23)	U (77½)
10/18	HOUSTON	L 38-44	W (+14½)	O (70)
10/25	at Navy	L 7-34	L (+14)	U (61½)
11/8	MEMPHIS	L 26-31	L (pk)	U (61)
11/15	at UTEP	L 10-36	L (+13½)	U (66)
11/29	SOUTHERN MISS	L 12-28	L (+14)	U (59)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	STEPH F AUSTIN	W 31-23		
9/12	at UAB	W 35-33	W (+11)	O (59½)
9/19	at Washington St	L 27-30	L (-4)	U (58)
10/3	at TCU	L 14-39	W (+28½)	P (53)
10/10	E CAROLINA	W 28-21	W (+4)	U (53)
10/17	NAVY	L 35-38	W (+7)	O (53½)
10/24	at Houston	L 15-38	L (+16)	U (71)
10/31	at Tulsa	W 27-13	W (+14½)	U (53)
11/7	RICE	W 31-28	L (-18)	O (57)
11/14	UTEP	W 35-31	L (-6½)	O (62)
11/21	at Marshall	L 31-34	W (+3½)	O (48½)
11/28	TULANE	W 26-21	L (-20)	U (55½)
12/24	vs Nevada	W 45-10	W (+11)	U (69½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	at Texas Tech	L 27-35	W (+12½)	O (57½)
9/11	UAB	W 28-7	W (-11)	U (55)
9/18	WASHINGTON ST	W 35-21	L (-23½)	O (53½)
9/24	TCU	L 24-41	W (+18)	O (54½)
10/2	at Rice	W 42-31	L (-11½)	O (57)
10/9	TULSA	W 21-18	L (-6)	U (64)
10/16	at Navy	L 21-28	L (+1)	U (52)
10/23	HOUSTON	L 20-45	L (-8)	O (61)
10/30	at Tulane	W 31-17	W (-9½)	U (54)
11/6	at UTEP	L 14-28	L (-6½)	U (55½)
11/20	MARSHALL	W 31-17	W (-13½)	U (48½)
11/26	at E Carolina	W 45-38	W (-1½)	O (70)
12/4	at UCF	L 7-17	L (+8)	U (56)
12/30	ARMY	L 14-16	L (-7)	U (50½)

> CONFERENCE USA



SOUTHERN MISSISSIPPI

StatFox.com Power Rating: 40 (#54 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +7.2 (#42 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 28.54 (98th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 26.42 (109th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +7.3 (#36 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 8–5
2010 ATS Record: 6–7
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 9–3

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	LOUISIANA TECH
9/10	at Marshall
9/17	SE LOUISIANA
9/24	at Virginia
10/1	RICE
10/8	at Navy
10/22	SMU
10/29	at UTEP
11/5	at E Carolina
11/12	UCF
11/17	at UAB
11/26	MEMPHIS

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	7–6	7–6	7–6	8–5	29–23	55.8%
OVERALL ATS	6–6	8–5	6–6	6–7	26–24	52.0%
HOME ATS	1–4	3–3	3–2	3–3	10–12	45.5%
ROAD ATS	5–2	5–2	3–4	3–4	16–12	57.1%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	5–3	4–4	5–3	5–3	19–13	59.4%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	1–3	4–1	1–3	1–4	7–11	38.9%
AS FAVORITE ATS	5–4	5–3	4–5	5–4	19–16	54.3%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	1–2	3–2	2–1	1–3	7–8	46.7%
OVER-UNDER	6–6	7–6	5–7	9–3	27–22	55.1%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	36.9	15
First Downs	24.2	12
Yards/Game	453.4	18
RushYds/Gm	200.9	20
Pass Yds/Gm	252.54	35
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	29.5	81
Yards/Game	356.4	47
RushYds/Gm	113.0	13
Pass Yds/Gm	243.4	99
Takeaways	26	30

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	at Texas Tech	L 27-35	W (+12½)	O (57½)
9/11	UAB	W 28-7	W (-11)	U (55)
9/18	WASHINGTON ST	W 35-21	L (-23½)	O (53½)
9/24	TCU	L 24-41	W (+18)	O (54½)
10/2	at Rice	W 42-31	L (-11½)	O (57)
10/9	TULSA	W 21-18	L (-6)	U (64)
10/16	at Navy	L 21-28	L (+1)	U (52)
10/23	HOUSTON	L 20-45	L (-8)	O (61)
10/30	at Tulane	W 31-17	W (-9½)	U (54)
11/6	at UTEP	L 14-28	L (-6½)	U (55½)
11/20	MARSHALL	W 31-17	W (-13½)	U (48½)
11/26	at E Carolina	W 45-38	W (-1½)	O (70)
12/4	at UCF	L 7-17	L (+8)	U (56)
12/30	ARMY	L 14-16	L (-7)	U (50½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	ALCORN ST	W 52-0		
9/12	UCF	W 26-19	L (-15½)	U (50½)
9/19	VIRGINIA	W 37-34	L (-13½)	O (46½)
9/26	at Kansas	L 28-35	W (+11½)	O (58)
10/1	at UAB	L 17-30	L (-10)	U (58½)
10/10	at Louisville	L 23-25	L (-2)	U (50)
10/17	MEMPHIS	W 36-16	W (-14½)	U (54)
10/24	TULANE	W 43-6	W (-21)	U (52)
10/31	at Houston	L 43-50	L (+6½)	O (65)
11/14	at Marshall	W 27-20	W (-3)	U (51½)
11/21	TULSA	W 44-34	W (-9)	O (55)
11/28	at E Carolina	L 20-25	W (+6)	U (54½)
12/20	vs Middle Tenn st	L 32-42	L (-3½)	O (61½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/2	at S Carolina	L 13-41	L (+13½)	O (46½)
9/11	PRAIRIE VIEW	W 34-7	L (-32½)	
9/17	KANSAS	W 31-16	W (-5)	U (52)
9/25	at Louisiana Tech	W 13-12	L (-3½)	U (55½)
10/2	MARSHALL	W 41-16	W (-9)	O (54)
10/9	E CAROLINA	L 43-44	L (-8½)	O (62½)
10/16	at Memphis	W 41-19	W (-16½)	O (53)
10/30	UAB	L 49-50	L (-10)	O (56)
11/6	at Tulane	W 46-30	W (-10)	O (55½)
11/13	at UCF	W 31-21	W (+10½)	U (54½)
11/20	HOUSTON	W 59-41	W (-3½)	O (68)
11/26	at Tulsa	L 50-56	L (+3)	O (67)
12/21	vs Louisville	L 28-31	L (+2)	O (58½)

> CONFERENCE USA



TULANE

StatFox.com Power Rating: 14 (#113 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -11.3 (#107 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 30.00 (90th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 27.92 (101st toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -12.3 (#104 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 4–8
2010 ATS Record: 4–8
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 8–3

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	SE LOUISIANA
9/10	TULSA
9/17	at UAB
9/24	at Duke
10/1	at Army
10/8	SYRACUSE
10/15	UTEP
10/22	MEMPHIS
10/29	at E Carolina
11/5	at SMU
11/10	HOUSTON
11/19	at Rice
11/26	at Hawaii

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	4–8	2–10	3–9	4–8	13–35	27.1%
OVERALL ATS	5–6	5–7	4–8	4–8	18–29	38.3%
HOME ATS	2–4	2–4	2–4	2–5	8–17	32.0%
ROAD ATS	3–2	3–3	2–4	2–3	10–12	45.5%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	3–5	2–6	2–6	2–6	9–23	28.1%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	2–1	3–1	2–2	2–2	9–6	60.0%
AS FAVORITE ATS	0–1	1–4	0–0	1–2	2–7	22.2%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	5–5	4–3	3–8	3–6	15–22	40.5%
OVER-UNDER	4–7	4–8	3–8	8–3	19–26	42.2%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	24.9	80
First Downs	21.3	37
Yards/Game	373.3	66
RushYds/Gm	127.5	91
Pass Yds/Gm	245.75	38
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	37.2	113
Yards/Game	390.1	74
RushYds/Gm	195.5	101
Pass Yds/Gm	194.6	28
Takeaways	23	49

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/6	at Alabama	L 6-20	W (+29)	U (45½)
9/13	E CAROLINA	L 24-28	W (+11½)	O (45)
9/20	LA MONROE	W 24-10	W (-4½)	U (46)
9/25	SMU	W 34-27	L (-17½)	O (52½)
10/4	ARMY	L 13-44	L (-18½)	O (43)
10/11	at UTEP	L 21-24	W (+6)	U (56)
10/25	RICE	L 17-42	L (-1½)	U (66½)
11/1	at LSU	L 10-35	W (+30½)	U (53½)
11/8	at Houston	L 14-42	L (+18)	U (57½)
11/15	UAB	L 24-41	L (-4)	O (54½)
11/22	at Tulsa	L 7-56	L (+28½)	U (69)
11/29	at Memphis	L 6-45	L (+14)	U (53)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	TULSA	L 13-37	L (+13½)	U (64)
9/12	BYU	L 3-54	L (+18½)	O (52½)
9/26	MCNEESE ST	W 42-32	W (-3)	
10/3	at Army	W 17-16	W (+6)	U (45)
10/10	MARSHALL	L 10-31	L (+3)	U (48)
10/17	HOUSTON	L 16-44	L (+17)	U (68½)
10/24	at Southern Miss	L 6-43	L (+21)	U (52)
10/31	at LSU	L 0-42	L (+37)	U (45½)
11/7	UTEP	W 45-38	W (+6½)	O (57½)
11/14	at Rice	L 20-28	L (+3)	U (58)
11/21	at UCF	L 0-49	L (+22½)	O (47)
11/28	at SMU	L 21-26	W (+20)	U (55½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/2	SE LOUISIANA	W 27-21	L (-10)	
9/11	OLE MISS	L 13-27	W (+20½)	U (49½)
9/25	at Houston	L 23-42	L (+18½)	O (57)
10/2	at Rutgers	W 17-14	W (+17)	U (41½)
10/9	ARMY	L 23-41	L (-1)	O (43½)
10/16	at Tulsa	L 24-52	L (+18)	O (57½)
10/23	at UTEP	W 34-24	W (+10)	O (55)
10/30	SMU	L 17-31	L (+9½)	U (54)
11/6	SOUTHERN MISS	L 30-46	L (+10)	O (55½)
11/13	RICE	W 54-49	W (-4)	O (57½)
11/20	UCF	L 14-61	L (+18½)	O (54)
11/27	at Marshall	L 23-38	L (+11)	O (52½)

> CONFERENCE USA



TEXAS-EL PASO

StatFox.com Power Rating: 27 (#88 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -9.9 (#101 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 25.15 (115th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 27.33 (104th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -1.5 (#73 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 6–7
2010 ATS Record: 5–8
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 6–6

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	STONY BROOK
9/10	at SMU
9/17	at New Mexico st
9/24	at S Florida
10/1	HOUSTON
10/15	at Tulane
10/22	COLORADO ST
10/29	SOUTHERN MISS
11/5	at Rice
11/12	E CAROLINA
11/19	TULSA
11/26	at UCF

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	4–8	5–7	4–8	6–7	19–30	38.8%
OVERALL ATS	6–5	5–7	5–7	5–8	21–27	43.8%
HOME ATS	3–2	2–4	3–3	3–3	11–12	47.8%
ROAD ATS	3–3	3–3	2–4	2–5	10–15	40.0%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	4–4	4–4	4–4	3–5	15–17	46.9%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	2–1	1–3	1–3	2–3	6–10	37.5%
AS FAVORITE ATS	0–3	1–2	2–5	3–2	6–12	33.3%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	6–2	4–5	3–2	2–5	15–14	51.7%
OVER-UNDER	6–5	8–4	7–5	6–6	27–20	57.4%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	26.0	71
First Downs	20.4	50
Yards/Game	360.4	78
RushYds/Gm	137.1	84
Pass Yds/Gm	223.31	58
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	27.5	66
Yards/Game	412.6	91
RushYds/Gm	184.1	90
Pass Yds/Gm	228.5	80
Takeaways	16	102

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/28	at Buffalo	L 17-42	L (+3)	O (56½)
9/6	TEXAS	L 13-42	L (+26)	U (61)
9/20	NEW MEXICO ST	L 33-34	L (-7½)	O (62½)
9/27	UCF	W 58-13	W (+3½)	O (50½)
10/4	at Southern Miss	W 40-37	W (+8)	O (62)
10/11	TULANE	W 24-21	L (-6)	U (56)
10/18	at Tulsa	L 35-77	L (+20)	O (73½)
11/1	RICE	L 44-49	L (+2½)	O (76½)
11/8	at LA Lafayette	W 37-24	W (+13½)	U (71½)
11/15	SMU	W 36-10	W (-13½)	U (66)
11/22	at Houston	L 37-42	W (+19)	O (73½)
11/28	at E Carolina	L 21-53	L (+6½)	O (55)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	BUFFALO	L 17-23	L (-9½)	U (61½)
9/12	KANSAS	L 7-34	L (+13)	U (62½)
9/19	at New Mexico st	W 38-12	W (-14)	O (48)
9/26	at Texas	L 7-64	L (+37)	O (64½)
10/3	HOUSTON	W 58-41	W (+14)	O (69½)
10/10	at Memphis	L 20-35	L (-1)	U (58)
10/21	TULSA	W 28-24	W (+8½)	U (60)
10/31	UAB	L 33-38	L (-7½)	O (63½)
11/7	at Tulane	L 38-45	L (-6½)	O (57½)
11/14	at SMU	L 31-35	W (+6½)	O (62)
11/21	at Rice	L 29-30	L (-6½)	U (70½)
11/28	MARSHALL	W 52-21	W (-2)	O (60)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	AK-PINE BLUFF	W 31-10	L (-38½)	
9/10	at Houston	L 24-54	L (+20½)	O (75½)
9/18	NEW MEXICO ST	W 42-10	W (-15)	U (57)
9/25	MEMPHIS	W 16-13	L (-12½)	U (59½)
10/2	at New Mexico	W 38-20	W (-15)	O (57½)
10/9	RICE	W 44-24	W (-9)	O (58)
10/16	at UAB	L 6-21	L (+3)	U (59½)
10/23	TULANE	L 24-34	L (-10)	O (55)
10/30	at Marshall	L 12-16	L (+2½)	U (54)
11/6	SMU	W 28-14	W (+6½)	U (55½)
11/13	at Arkansas	L 21-58	L (+28½)	O (60)
11/20	at Tulsa	L 28-31	W (+17)	U (61)
12/18	vs BYU	L 24-52	L (+10½)	O (51)

> CONFERENCE USA



TULSA

StatFox.com Power Rating: 41 (#47 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +11.7 (#23 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 28.08 (103rd toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 35.25 (61st toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +11.1 (#25 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 10–3
2010 ATS Record: 9–4
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 6–6

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	at Oklahoma
9/10	at Tulane
9/17	OKLAHOMA ST
9/24	at Boise St
10/1	NORTH TEXAS
10/15	UAB
10/22	at Rice
10/29	SMU
11/5	at UCF
11/12	MARSHALL
11/19	at UTEP
11/26	HOUSTON

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	10–4	11–3	5–7	10–3	36–17	67.9%
OVERALL ATS	5–9	8–5	5–6	9–4	27–24	52.9%
HOME ATS	2–4	5–1	3–3	5–2	15–10	60.0%
ROAD ATS	3–5	3–4	2–3	4–2	12–14	46.2%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	2–7	5–4	2–5	6–2	15–18	45.5%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	3–2	3–1	3–1	3–2	12–6	66.7%
AS FAVORITE ATS	3–6	8–5	2–4	5–3	18–18	50.0%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	2–3	0–0	2–2	4–1	8–6	57.1%
OVER-UNDER	6–8	6–5	4–7	6–6	22–26	45.8%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	41.4	6
First Downs	25.6	5
Yards/Game	505.6	5
RushYds/Gm	216.9	15
Pass Yds/Gm	288.69	13
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	30.3	85
Yards/Game	450.9	111
RushYds/Gm	131.9	34
Pass Yds/Gm	319.0	120
Takeaways	36	3

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	at UAB	W 45-22	W (-13)	P (67)
9/6	at North Texas	W 56-26	W (-21½)	O (70)
9/20	NEW MEXICO	W 56-14	W (-10½)	O (61½)
9/27	C ARKANSAS	W 62-34		
10/4	RICE	W 63-28	W (-16½)	O (79½)
10/11	at SMU	W 37-31	L (-23)	U (77½)
10/18	UTEP	W 77-35	W (-20)	O (73½)
10/26	UCF	W 49-19	W (-23)	P (68)
11/1	at Arkansas	L 23-30	L (-7½)	U (75)
11/15	at Houston	L 30-70	L (-3½)	O (80½)
11/22	TULANE	W 56-7	W (-28½)	U (69)
11/29	at Marshall	W 38-35	L (-16)	O (66)
12/6	E CAROLINA	L 24-27	L (-12)	U (66½)
1/6	vs Ball St	W 45-13	W (-2)	U (70½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	at Tulane	W 37-13	W (-13½)	U (64)
9/12	at New Mexico	W 44-10	W (-17)	U (57)
9/19	at Oklahoma	L 0-45	L (+18)	U (57)
9/26	SAM HOUSTON ST	W 56-3	W (-38)	
10/3	at Rice	W 27-10	P (-17)	U (63)
10/14	BOISE ST	L 21-28	W (+9)	U (56)
10/21	at UTEP	L 24-28	L (-8½)	U (60)
10/31	SMU	L 13-27	L (-14½)	U (53)
11/7	HOUSTON	L 45-46	W (+2)	O (70½)
11/15	E CAROLINA	L 17-44	L (-4)	O (51)
11/21	at Southern Miss	L 34-44	L (+9)	O (55)
11/27	MEMPHIS	W 33-30	L (-16)	O (61)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	at E Carolina	L 49-51	L (-7)	O (56½)
9/11	BOWLING GREEN	W 33-20	L (-16½)	U (62½)
9/18	at Oklahoma St	L 28-65	L (+7)	O (69)
9/25	C ARKANSAS	W 41-14	W (-24)	
10/2	at Memphis	W 48-7	W (-8)	U (62)
10/9	at SMU	L 18-21	W (+6)	U (64)
10/16	TULANE	W 52-24	W (-18)	O (57½)
10/30	at Notre Dame	W 28-27	W (+9½)	U (62½)
11/6	RICE	W 64-27	W (-17)	O (62½)
11/13	at Houston	W 28-25	W (+3)	U (76½)
11/20	UTEP	W 31-28	L (-17)	U (61)
11/26	SOUTHERN MISS	W 56-50	W (-3)	O (67)
12/24	at Hawaii	W 62-35	W (+10)	O (75½)

MAC

PREDICTED FINISH

EAST DIVISION	WEST DIVISION
1. Temple	1. Toledo
2. Ohio	2. No. Illinois
3. Kent State	3. W. Michigan
4. Miami-Ohio	4. Ball State
5. Buffalo	5. C. Michigan
6. Bowling Green	6. E. Michigan
7. Akron	

AKRON

Offense: Pro Style - Starters Returning: 6
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 7

The 1-11 Zips had the second-fewest FBS yards (269 YPG) and third-fewest points (15.6 PPG). QB Patrick Nicely wasn't good (49% comp. rate, 10 TD, 13 INT), and JUCO transfer Clayton Moore could possibly win the starting job. The other skill positions are also a big problem with the loss of 1,301 rushing yards from RBs Alex Allen and Nate Burney, plus 772 receiving yards from departed WRs Jeremy LaFrance and Jalil Carter. Broderick Alexander should return from an Achilles' tear to win the starting TB job, while WR Keith Sconiers (9 rec, 75 yds) could be the top passing target. Akron's defense will be terrible again, especially with the loss of DE Shawn Lemon. The best defenders remaining are DE Hasan Hazime (7.5 TFL), MLB Brian Wagner (130 tackles, 6 PD) and CB Manley Waller (6 TFL, 5 PD).

BALL STATE

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 8
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 7

Former Elon head coach Pete Lembo is the new sheriff in town, looking to turn around this program that suffered two long years under Stan Parrish (6-18) after a 12-2 season in 2008. Lembo brings a no-huddle, pass-happy offense to Muncie, which will probably be orchestrated by sophomore QB Keith Wenning (14 TD, 14 INT) who started the final 10 games over junior Kelly Page (38-71, 470 yds, 4 TD, 2 INT). Plenty of skill positions return, namely TB Eric Williams (613 rush yds, 4.8 YPC, 8 total TD) and top WR Jack Tomlinson (29 rec, 484 yds, 6 TD). The D-Line is a major problem area, as no BSU returnee had more than one sack last year. But the back seven is decent, led by MLB Travis Freeman (109 tackles), OLB Tony Martin (76 tackles), FS Sean Baker (88 tackles, 6 INT) and CB Jason Pinkston (4 INT, 7 PD).

BOWLING GREEN

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 6
Defense: Base 42 - Starters Returning: 7

After 21 wins in three seasons, the Falcons were a dismal 2-10 last year. Sophomore QB Matt Schilz was partly to blame (8 TD, 14 INT), but BGSU had the worst rushing offense among all FBS schools (63 YPG). Non-productive Jordan Hopgood (2.2 YPC, 167 rush yds, 6 TD) is the top RB remaining, but explosive WR Kamar Jorden (96 rec, 1,109 yds, 4 TD) is also back. If the offensive line doesn't improve immensely (35 sacks allowed), it will be another long season. The defensive line was also horrible, with just 17 sacks, and was a big reason why BGSU ranked 102nd in yards (432 YPG) and 100th in scoring (33.6 PPG). The key players are LB Dwayne Woods (134 tackles) and an experienced secondary led by senior safeties Jovan Leacock (91 tackles) and Keith Morgan (67 tackles), and sophomore CB Cameron Truss (7 PD).

BUFFALO

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 8
Defense: Base 34 - Starters Returning: 3

First-year head coach Jeff Quinn saw his team score the fewest points in the land (14.2 PPG) including a dreadful 10.6 PPG during a season-ending, seven-game losing streak. The Bulls committed 33 turnovers (3rd-most in FBS) and didn't get good QB play from either Jerry Davis (16 TD, 16 INT) or Alex Zordich (1 TD, 6 INT). The ground game was also brutal (3.0 YPC) with leading rusher Branden Oliver (298 yds, 2.9 YPC) needing to improve in a big way. The bright spot was the starting WRs who both return this year (Marcus Rivers: 690 yds, Alex Neutz: 414 yds). The defense played well with 25 turnovers and 181 passing YPG (19th in nation). But eight starters are gone, including the entire secondary. DE Steven Means (4.5 sacks) and OLB Khalil Mack (68 tackles, 14.5 TFL, 10 PD) are two valuable returnees.

CENTRAL MICHIGAN

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 8
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 6

The Chippewas lost eight of their final nine games last year, as QB Ryan Radcliff (3,358 yds, 17 TD, 17 INT) had his moments, but was no Dan LeFevour, the legendary QB he replaced. Radcliff now has to work with an O-Line that lost two All-MAC players, C Colin Miller and RG Jeff Maddux. The ground game is also suspect (106 YPG, 108th in nation), but Paris Cotton (651 rush yds, 4.6 YPC) showed flashes of potential. Junior WR Cody Wilson (83 rec, 1,137 yds, 5 TD) is excellent, but the Chippewas need to find other reliable targets for Radcliff. On defense, no lineman had more than 2.5 sacks, while the team only had a total of 4 INT. The best remaining defenders are LB Armond Staten (78 tackles, 5 FF) and DBs Jahleel Addae (80 tackles, 4 PD) and Avery Cunningham (51 tackles).

EASTERN MICHIGAN

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 7
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 7

The rough ride continues for EMU, whose 2-10 record last year gives it a 10-50 record since 2006. The Eagles lost by an average score of 44-19 in 2010, but QB Alex Gillett (1,633 pass yds, 13 TD, 13 INT; 766 rush yds, 5 TD) and RB Javonti Greene (5.5 YPC) are back to help the team move forward behind a heavier offensive line. Returning junior WR Kinsman Thomas (26 rec, 473 yds, 4 TD) remains the team's top receiver. The defense had a pathetic 10 sacks, and two total interceptions last year. Senior DE Javon Reese (team-high 3.5 sacks, 4.5 TFL) has big-play ability, but the top two LBs are gone, leaving senior Marcus English (37 tackles) as the de-facto leader. CB Marcell Rose (56 tackles, 6 PD) and SS Martavius Cardwell (46 tackles, 4 TFL) will try to lead the secondary back to respectability.

KENT STATE

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 9
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 5

Former Ohio State receivers coach Darrell Hazell takes over as Kent State head man and will work with former Purdue receivers coach Brian Rock as his new OC. Both will try to rejuvenate QB Spencer Keith who had a rough sophomore year (2,212 pass yds, 8 TD, 11 INT), but his favorite WR returns in Tyshon Goode (743 rec yds, 5 TD). The maturing O-Line returns all five starters, which should help the subpar ground game. New DC Jon Heacock, who was the head man at Youngstown State, will switch from a 3-4 to a 4-3 this year. He may not want to change too much, considering KSU ranked in the nation's top 8 in rushing defense (97 YPG), TFL (100) and sacks per game (2.9). Of the returning defenders, the standouts are DT Roosevelt Nix (9 sacks, 20 TFL) and CBs Norman Wolfe (5 INT) and Josh Pleasant (8 PD).

MIAMI-OHIO

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 8
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 9

After going 3-21 in 2008-09, the RedHawks stunned the MAC by winning the 2010 conference title. They will try to repeat with 17 returning starters and a new head coach, Don Treadwell. The team has two capable junior QBs. Zac Dysert's (2,406 pass yds, 13 TD, 12 INT) spleen injury allowed backup Austin Boucher to shine in the two postseason games (622 pass yds, 3 TD). Two key performers are gone (RB Thomas Merriweather and WR Armand Robinson), but RB Tracy Woods (376 yds, 4.0 YPC) and WR Nick Harwell (64 rec, 871 yds, 6 TD) are talented replacements. New DC Pete Rekstis inherits a unit that forced 35 turnovers and had 35 sacks. His returnees include DE Jason Semmes (6 sacks), MLB Jerrell Wedge (101 tackles), OLB Evan Harris (6 INT, 94 tackles), CB Dayonne Nunley (6 INT) and CB D.J. Brown (13 PD).

NORTHERN ILLINOIS

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 9
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 4

The Huskies were a perfect 8-0 in the MAC before losing to Miami-Ohio in the title game. Head coach Jerry Kill left for Minnesota, and NIU hired Wisconsin DC Dave Doeren to replace him. He and new OC Matt Canada (Indiana OC) inherit a stacked offense only losing one key player in RB Chad Spann, who gained 1,388 yards with 22 TD. But three-year starting QB Chandler Harnish (21 TD, 5 INT) has plenty of weapons – RBs Jasmin Hopkins (9.6 YPC) and Cameron Bell (5.8 YPC), and WRs Willie Clark (602 rec yds, 7 TD) and Nathan Palmer (532 yds, 6 TD). The O-Line was tremendous (13 sacks allowed) and returns all five starters. The defense lost a lot, but DE Sean Progar (4 sacks), MLB Devon Butler (80 tackles), WLB Tyrone Clark (77 tackles, 4 INT) and CB Rashaan Melvin (2 INT) are a good foundation.

OHIO

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 8
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 4

Frank Solich's Ohio team is on the rise with 17 wins in the past two seasons. The offense could have a setback in 2011 with QB Boo Jackson graduating. He will likely be replaced by senior Phil Bates, who is a great ball carrier (519 yds, 6.7 YPC), but a poor passer (9-23, 178 yds, 1 TD, 3 INT). Leading rusher Vince Davidson also graduated, but whoever carries the football will do so behind a top-notch offensive line that returns all five starters, including All-MAC OTs Joe Flading and A.J. Strum. The starting WR jobs are also up for grabs. Defensively, there aren't many returnees from last year's team that ranked 20th in the nation in rushing defense (123 YPG). All four starting DLs exit, leaving DT Carl Jones (5 TFL) as the only experienced lineman. All three linebackers return, but OLBs Alphonso Lewis (38 tackles) and Eric Benjamin (56 tackles) need to be more productive. CBs Travis Carrie (5 PD) and Omar Leftwich (7 PD) will be tasked with leading the secondary.

TEMPLE

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 8
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 5

Florida OC Steve Addazio replaces Al Golden as Temple's head coach. Addazio and new co-OC Scot Loeffler (Florida QB coach) will implement a multiple offensive system. Two QBs will vie for starting honors. Senior Chester Stewart (842 pass yds, 4 TD, 5 INT) started the first seven games last year while junior Mike Gerardi was a little better (1,290 pass yds, 10 TD, 8 INT) in starting the final five. The running game is in good shape with Matt Brown (830 rush yds, 5.1 YPC, 7 TD) and Bernard Pierce (728 rush yds, 4.7 YPC, 10 TD), while senior WR Rod Streater (481 yds, 16.0 avg, 4 TD) is a reliable target. The O-Line is pretty stable with three starters returning. The Owls will miss DT Muhammad Wilkerson and their top two tacklers at LB. But DE Adrian Robinson (3.5 sacks), OLB Tahir Whitehead (7.5 TFL), CB Keeayre Griffin (51 tackles) and Justin Gildea (58 tackles) can all make plays.

TOLEDO

Offense: Spread - Starters Returning: 9
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 8

A +11 turnover margin was a big reason Toledo was able to win eight games and go bowling last year. Head coach Tim Beckman has a fierce QB battle raging between junior Austin Dantin (1,254 pass yds, 7 TD, 8 INT) and sophomore Terrance Owens (1,244 pass yds, 13 TD, 5 INT) who did an outstanding job replacing Dantin when he got injured. The stars of the show are senior TB Adonis Thomas (1,098 rush yds, 6.3 YPC, 372 rec yds, 10 total TD) and junior WR Eric Page is no slouch either (99 rec, 1,105 yds, 8 TD, 3 kick ret. TD). In addition to the 34 forced turnovers, Toledo's defense only allowed 5.3 yards per play. The Rockets will miss leading tackler WLB Archie Donald and DE Douglas Westbrook, but they are strong throughout with DE T.J. Fatinikun (5 sacks, 13 TFL), DT Malcolm Riley (5.5 sacks, 10 TFL), MLB Dan Molls (143 tackles, 3 INT) and S Mark Singer (73 tackles, 2 INT).

WESTERN MICHIGAN

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 6
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 8

The Broncos are riding a three-game winning streak from last season, outscoring those opponents 124 to 40. QB Alex Carder, the MAC total offense leader (297 total YPG) returns for his junior season eager to pick up where he left off last year (20 TD, 3 INT in final six games). He'll get to do so with incredible WR Jordan White (94 rec, 1,378 yds, 10 TD) who was granted a sixth year of eligibility by the NCAA. RB Tevin Drake only played six games, but still led the team in rushing (405 yds, 10.1 YPC) while Brian Fields also came on strong (362 rush yds, 6.5 YPC). The defense returns eight starters, including the entire D-Line, led by DE Paul Hazel (8 sacks, 12 TFL). MLB Mitch Zajac (97 tackles) and WLB Dex Jones (5.5 sacks, 12.5 TFL) also return, while the secondary will be led by sophomore CB Lewis Toler who had a superb freshman campaign: 5 INT (1 TD), 9 PD, 2 FR and 59 tackles.

> MAC



AKRON

StatFox.com Power Rating: 10 (#118 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -17.9 (#116 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 27.25 (107th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 27.50 (102nd toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -19.5 (#115 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 1–11
2010 ATS Record: 5–7
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 4–6–1

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	at Ohio St
9/10	TEMPLE
9/17	at Cincinnati
9/24	VMI
10/1	at E Michigan
10/8	FLA INTERNATIONAL
10/22	OHIO U
10/29	C MICHIGAN
11/3	at Miami Ohio
11/12	KENT ST
11/19	at Buffalo
11/25	at W Michigan

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	4–8	5–7	3–9	1–11	13–35	27.1%
OVERALL ATS	6–5	6–5	4–8	5–7	21–25	45.7%
HOME ATS	3–1	2–3	2–4	2–4	9–12	42.9%
ROAD ATS	3–4	4–2	2–4	3–3	12–13	48.0%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	4–3	2–5	2–6	4–4	12–18	40.0%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	2–2	4–0	2–2	1–3	9–7	56.3%
AS FAVORITE ATS	1–1	3–2	1–2	2–0	7–5	58.3%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	5–4	3–3	3–6	4–6	15–19	44.1%
OVER-UNDER	7–5	9–3	5–6	4–6	25–20	55.6%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	15.6	118
First Downs	14.7	118
Yards/Game	268.5	119
RushYds/Gm	113.4	101
Pass Yds/Gm	155.08	108
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	35.1	106
Yards/Game	428.2	99
RushYds/Gm	164.1	72
Pass Yds/Gm	264.1	113
Takeaways	16	102

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	at Wisconsin	L 17-38	W (+26½)	O (52)
9/6	at Syracuse	W 42-28	W (+4½)	O (43½)
9/13	BALL ST	L 24-41	L (+7)	O (60½)
9/20	at Army	W 22-3	W (-10)	U (47)
9/27	CINCINNATI	L 15-17	W (+11½)	U (56½)
10/4	at Kent St	W 30-27	P (-3)	O (51)
10/11	BOWLING GREEN	L 33-37	L (+2)	O (52)
10/18	at E Michigan	W 42-35	W (-4½)	O (53½)
11/5	TOLEDO	W 47-30	W (-7)	O (55)
11/13	BUFFALO	L 40-43	L (-2½)	O (61)
11/22	at Ohio U	L 42-49	L (-2½)	O (54½)
11/28	at Temple	L 6-27	L (+3)	U (58)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	at Penn St	L 7-31	W (+29½)	U (59)
9/12	MORGAN ST	W 41-0	W (-27)	
9/19	INDIANA	L 21-38	L (-3)	O (45)
9/26	at C Michigan	L 21-48	L (+15)	O (54)
10/10	OHIO U	L 7-19	L (+3½)	U (52½)
10/17	at Buffalo	L 17-21	W (+7½)	U (46½)
10/24	at Syracuse	L 14-28	L (+11)	U (47½)
10/31	at N Illinois	L 10-27	L (+12)	U (41)
11/7	KENT ST	W 28-20	W (+3½)	O (42½)
11/13	TEMPLE	L 17-56	L (+6)	O (46)
11/20	at Bowling Green	L 20-36	L (+12)	O (55)
11/27	E MICHIGAN	W 28-21	L (-14½)	U (51½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	SYRACUSE	L 3-29	L (+9)	U (42)
9/11	GARDNER WEBB	L 37-38	L (-16½)	
9/18	at Kentucky	L 10-47	L (+24½)	O (52)
9/25	at Indiana	L 20-35	W (+23½)	U (56)
10/2	N ILLINOIS	L 14-50	L (+13½)	O (47)
10/9	at Kent St	L 17-28	W (+17)	U (46½)
10/16	at Ohio U	L 10-38	L (+17)	P (48)
10/23	W MICHIGAN	L 10-56	L (+7½)	O (52)
10/30	at Temple	L 0-30	L (+29½)	U (50)
11/6	at Ball St	L 30-37	W (+13½)	O (48½)
11/17	MIAMI OHIO	L 14-19	W (+8)	U (46½)
11/26	BUFFALO	W 22-14	W (-1)	U (43)

> MAC



BALL STATE

StatFox.com Power Rating: 18 (#106 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -9.3 (#100 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 22.08 (119th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 31.33 (80th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -8.4 (#94 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 4–8
2010 ATS Record: 4–8
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 6–4

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	INDIANA
9/10	at S Florida
9/17	BUFFALO
9/24	ARMY
10/1	at Oklahoma
10/8	TEMPLE
10/15	at Ohio U
10/22	C MICHIGAN
10/29	at W Michigan
11/5	at E Michigan
11/15	at N Illinois
11/25	TOLEDO

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	7–6	12–2	2–10	4–8	25–26	49.0%
OVERALL ATS	7–5	8–4	6–6	4–8	25–23	52.1%
HOME ATS	2–2	3–1	1–5	0–6	6–14	30.0%
ROAD ATS	5–3	5–3	5–1	4–2	19–9	67.9%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	4–3	5–3	4–4	3–5	16–15	51.6%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	3–2	3–1	2–2	1–3	9–8	52.9%
AS FAVORITE ATS	3–3	7–3	0–2	0–5	10–13	43.5%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	4–2	1–1	6–3	4–3	15–9	62.5%
OVER-UNDER	6–6	6–7	3–7	6–4	21–24	46.7%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	22.0	94
First Downs	16.3	107
Yards/Game	305.8	106
RushYds/Gm	152.3	63
Pass Yds/Gm	153.58	109
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	30.4	88
Yards/Game	398.3	79
RushYds/Gm	178.3	87
Pass Yds/Gm	220.0	59
Takeaways	26	30

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/28	NORTHEASTERN	W 48-14		
9/5	NAVY	W 35-23	W (-6½)	U (64)
9/13	at Akron	W 41-24	W (-7)	O (60½)
9/20	at Indiana	W 42-20	W (+1½)	O (61)
9/27	KENT ST	W 41-20	P (-21)	O (60)
10/4	at Toledo	W 31-0	W (-7½)	U (61½)
10/11	at W Kentucky	W 24-7	W (-16)	U (51)
10/25	E MICHIGAN	W 38-16	L (-27½)	U (57)
11/5	N ILLINOIS	W 45-14	W (-7½)	O (47)
11/11	at Miami Ohio	W 31-16	L (-17½)	U (54)
11/19	at C Michigan	W 31-24	W (-6½)	U (62)
11/25	W MICHIGAN	W 45-22	W (-10)	O (56)
12/5	vs Buffalo	L 24-42	L (-15)	O (62½)
1/6	vs Tulsa	L 13-45	L (+2)	U (70½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/3	NORTH TEXAS	L 10-20	L (-15)	U (54)
9/12	NEW HAMPSHIRE	L 16-23	L (-7)	
9/19	at Army	L 17-24	W (+9)	U (42)
9/26	at Auburn	L 30-54	W (+30½)	O (54½)
10/3	TOLEDO	L 30-37	L (+5½)	O (59)
10/10	at Temple	L 19-24	W (+14½)	U (45½)
10/17	BOWLING GREEN	L 17-31	L (+3)	U (55½)
10/24	at E Michigan	W 29-27	L (-2½)	O (44)
10/31	OHIO U	L 17-20	W (+5½)	U (44)
11/12	at N Illinois	L 20-26	W (+16)	P (46)
11/18	C MICHIGAN	L 3-35	L (+14½)	U (51)
11/24	at W Michigan	W 22-17	W (+10)	U (54)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/2	SE MISSOURI ST	W 27-10	L (-27)	
9/11	LIBERTY	L 23-27	L (-10½)	
9/18	at Purdue	L 13-24	W (+16)	U (47½)
9/25	at Iowa	L 0-45	L (+28)	U (45½)
10/2	at C Michigan	W 31-17	W (+19)	U (51½)
10/9	W MICHIGAN	L 16-45	L (-5½)	O (48)
10/16	E MICHIGAN	L 38-41	L (-15)	O (57)
10/23	at Toledo	L 24-31	W (+11½)	O (50)
10/30	at Kent St	L 14-33	L (+10)	O (42½)
11/6	AKRON	W 37-30	L (-13½)	O (48½)
11/12	at Buffalo	W 20-3	W (+3)	U (47)
11/20	N ILLINOIS	L 21-59	L (+15½)	O (52½)

> MAC

BOWLING GREEN

StatFox.com Power Rating: 19 (#105 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -11.1 (#105 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 29.50 (91st toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 28.58 (98th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -12.3 (#105 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 2–10
2010 ATS Record: 5–7
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 6–6

2011 SCHEDULE

9/1	at Idaho
9/10	MORGAN ST
9/17	WYOMING
9/24	at Miami Ohio
10/1	at W Virginia
10/8	at W Michigan
10/15	TOLEDO
10/22	TEMPLE
10/29	at Kent St
11/8	N ILLINOIS
11/16	OHIO U
11/25	at Buffalo

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	8–5	6–6	7–6	2–10	23–27	46.0%
OVERALL ATS	7–5	8–4	7–6	5–7	27–22	55.1%
HOME ATS	2–2	1–4	3–3	1–4	7–13	35.0%
ROAD ATS	5–3	7–0	4–3	4–3	20–9	69.0%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	5–3	5–3	5–3	2–6	17–15	53.1%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	2–2	3–1	2–3	3–1	10–7	58.8%
AS FAVORITE ATS	3–3	5–4	4–3	0–1	12–11	52.2%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	4–2	3–0	3–3	5–6	15–11	57.7%
OVER-UNDER	7–5	4–8	6–7	6–6	23–26	46.9%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	21.3	100
First Downs	17.4	96
Yards/Game	294.4	115
RushYds/Gm	62.8	120
Pass Yds/Gm	231.58	51
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	33.6	100
Yards/Game	432.0	102
RushYds/Gm	207.4	112
Pass Yds/Gm	224.6	69
Takeaways	29	18

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	at Pittsburgh	W 27-17	W (+14)	U (54)
9/6	MINNESOTA	L 17-42	L (-3½)	U (59½)
9/13	at Boise St	L 7-20	W (+17½)	U (55½)
9/27	at Wyoming	W 45-16	W (-3)	O (44½)
10/4	E MICHIGAN	L 21-24	L (-18½)	U (55)
10/11	at Akron	W 37-33	W (-2)	O (52)
10/18	MIAMI OHIO	L 20-27	L (-9½)	U (51)
10/25	at N Illinois	L 13-16	W (+6½)	U (48)
11/1	KENT ST	W 45-30	W (-6)	O (53)
11/8	at Ohio U	W 28-3	W (-2½)	U (49½)
11/21	BUFFALO	L 34-40	L (-5½)	O (55)
11/28	at Toledo	W 38-10	W (-2½)	U (51½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/3	TROY	W 31-14	W (+7½)	U (55)
9/12	at Missouri	L 20-27	W (+20)	U (56½)
9/19	at Marshall	L 10-17	L (-3)	U (52)
9/26	BOISE ST	L 14-49	L (+16½)	O (52)
10/3	OHIO U	L 37-44	L (-2½)	O (48½)
10/10	at Kent St	W 36-35	L (-2)	O (53½)
10/17	at Ball St	W 31-17	W (-3)	U (55½)
10/24	C MICHIGAN	L 10-24	L (+9½)	U (61½)
11/3	at Buffalo	W 30-29	W (+3)	O (57½)
11/12	at Miami Ohio	W 35-14	W (-4)	U (59)
11/20	AKRON	W 36-20	W (-12)	O (55)
11/27	TOLEDO	W 38-24	W (-7)	U (66½)
12/30	vs Idaho	L 42-43	L (pk)	O (69)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	at Troy	L 27-30	W (+14½)	O (54)
9/11	at Tulsa	L 20-33	W (+16½)	U (62½)
9/18	MARSHALL	W 44-28	W (+3½)	O (50)
9/25	at Michigan	L 21-65	L (+25½)	O (56)
10/2	BUFFALO	L 26-28	L (-3)	O (50)
10/9	at Ohio U	L 25-49	L (+8)	O (48½)
10/16	at Temple	L 27-28	W (+20)	O (51½)
10/23	KENT ST	L 6-30	L (+3)	U (49½)
10/30	at C Michigan	W 17-14	W (+13)	U (52½)
11/10	MIAMI OHIO	L 21-24	L (+2½)	U (51½)
11/17	at Toledo	L 14-33	L (+10)	U (54½)
11/26	W MICHIGAN	L 7-41	L (+7)	U (52½)

> MAC

BUFFALO

StatFox.com Power Rating: 14 (#113 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -14.1 (#109 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 25.67 (113th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 27.25 (105th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -13.7 (#108 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 2–10
2010 ATS Record: 2–10
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 4–7

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	at Pittsburgh
9/10	STONY BROOK
9/17	at Ball St
9/24	CONNECTICUT
10/1	at Tennessee
10/8	OHIO U
10/15	at Temple
10/22	N ILLINOIS
10/29	at Miami Ohio
11/12	at E Michigan
11/19	AKRON
11/25	BOWLING GREEN

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	5–7	8–6	5–7	2–10	20–30	40.0%
OVERALL ATS	7–5	9–5	5–7	2–10	23–27	46.0%
HOME ATS	3–2	2–4	2–4	1–5	8–15	34.8%
ROAD ATS	4–3	7–1	3–3	1–5	15–12	55.6%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	6–2	6–3	3–5	1–7	16–17	48.5%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	1–3	3–2	2–2	1–3	7–10	41.2%
AS FAVORITE ATS	1–1	2–4	1–4	1–2	5–11	31.3%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	6–4	7–1	3–3	1–8	17–16	51.5%
OVER-UNDER	7–5	10–4	6–5	4–7	27–21	56.3%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	14.2	120
First Downs	17.2	99
Yards/Game	297.7	111
RushYds/Gm	113.4	101
Pass Yds/Gm	184.25	89
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	27.8	67
Yards/Game	340.5	32
RushYds/Gm	159.1	67
Pass Yds/Gm	181.4	18
Takeaways	25	36

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/28	UTEP	W 42-17	W (-3)	O (56½)
9/6	at Pittsburgh	L 16-27	W (+13½)	U (46½)
9/13	TEMPLE	W 30-28	L (-7)	O (45)
9/20	at Missouri	L 21-42	W (+31½)	U (68)
9/27	at C Michigan	L 25-27	W (+7)	U (61½)
10/11	W MICHIGAN	L 28-34	L (-1)	O (54)
10/18	ARMY	W 27-24	L (-11)	O (46)
10/28	at Ohio U	W 32-19	W (+1½)	O (49)
11/4	MIAMI OHIO	W 37-17	W (-8)	O (50½)
11/13	at Akron	W 43-40	W (+2½)	O (61)
11/21	at Bowling Green	W 40-34	W (+5½)	O (55)
11/28	KENT ST	L 21-24	L (-9)	U (58½)
12/5	vs Ball St	W 42-24	W (+15)	O (62½)
1/3	vs Connecticut	L 20-38	L (+7)	O (51)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	at UTEP	W 23-17	W (+9½)	U (61½)
9/12	PITTSBURGH	L 27-54	L (+11½)	O (42½)
9/19	at UCF	L 17-23	L (+5)	U (46½)
9/26	at Temple	L 13-37	L (+3½)	O (46)
10/3	C MICHIGAN	L 13-20	W (+9½)	U (53½)
10/10	GARDNER WEBB	W 40-0	W (-17)	
10/17	AKRON	W 21-17	L (-7½)	U (46½)
10/24	at W Michigan	L 31-34	W (+5)	O (51½)
11/3	BOWLING GREEN	L 29-30	L (-3)	O (57½)
11/10	OHIO U	L 24-27	L (-2)	O (46½)
11/18	at Miami Ohio	W 42-17	W (-4½)	O (52½)
11/27	at Kent St	W 9-6	L (-3½)	U (49)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/2	RHODE ISLAND	W 31-0	W (-24)	
9/11	at Baylor	L 6-34	L (+14½)	U (52½)
9/18	UCF	L 10-24	L (+7½)	U (47½)
9/25	at Connecticut	L 21-45	L (+20½)	O (48½)
10/2	at Bowling Green	W 28-26	W (+3)	O (50)
10/16	at N Illinois	L 14-45	L (+14)	O (50)
10/23	TEMPLE	L 0-42	L (+8½)	U (46½)
10/30	MIAMI OHIO	L 9-21	L (+2½)	U (44)
11/4	at Ohio U	L 17-34	L (+16)	O (47½)
11/12	BALL ST	L 3-20	L (-3)	U (47)
11/20	E MICHIGAN	L 17-21	L (-6½)	U (51)
11/26	at Akron	L 14-22	L (+1)	U (43)

> MAC

CENTRAL MICHIGAN

StatFox.com Power Rating: 30 (#79 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +1.0 (#66 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 27.92 (104th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 27.08 (106th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -1.6 (#74 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 3–9
2010 ATS Record: 6–6
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 5–6

2011 SCHEDULE

9/1	S CAROLINA ST
9/10	at Kentucky
9/17	at W Michigan
9/24	at Michigan St
10/1	N ILLINOIS
10/8	at NC State
10/15	E MICHIGAN
10/22	at Ball St
10/29	at Akron
11/4	at Kent St
11/10	OHIO U
11/18	TOLEDO

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	8–6	8–5	12–2	3–9	31–22	58.5%
OVERALL ATS	7–5	6–6	9–4	6–6	28–21	57.1%
HOME ATS	3–1	2–2	4–0	2–3	11–6	64.7%
ROAD ATS	4–4	4–4	5–4	4–3	17–15	53.1%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	5–2	4–4	6–2	3–5	18–13	58.1%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	2–3	2–2	3–2	3–1	10–8	55.6%
AS FAVORITE ATS	5–2	2–4	6–3	3–3	16–12	57.1%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	2–3	4–2	2–1	3–3	11–9	55.0%
OVER-UNDER	10–3	6–6	6–7	5–6	27–22	55.1%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	24.4	82
First Downs	22.0	29
Yards/Game	389.8	50
RushYds/Gm	105.8	108
Pass Yds/Gm	284	17
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	26.0	60
Yards/Game	377.0	66
RushYds/Gm	171.9	81
Pass Yds/Gm	205.1	39
Takeaways	18	86

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/28	E ILLINOIS	W 31-12		
9/6	at Georgia	L 17-56	L (+24½)	O (59)
9/13	at Ohio U	W 31-28	W (-2½)	O (53½)
9/20	at Purdue	L 25-32	W (+10½)	U (68½)
9/27	BUFFALO	W 27-25	L (-7)	U (61½)
10/11	TEMPLE	W 24-14	W (-8)	U (48)
10/18	W MICHIGAN	W 38-28	W (+1½)	O (59½)
10/25	at Toledo	W 24-23	L (-4)	U (56½)
11/1	at Indiana	W 37-34	W (+1½)	O (60½)
11/12	at N Illinois	W 33-30	W (+4)	O (52)
11/19	BALL ST	L 24-31	L (+6½)	U (62)
11/28	at E Michigan	L 52-56	L (-11)	O (65½)
12/26	vs FLA Atlantic	L 21-24	L (-7)	U (70)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	at Arizona	L 6-19	W (+13½)	U (55½)
9/12	at Michigan St	W 29-27	W (+15)	O (50)
9/19	ALCORN ST	W 48-0	W (-43)	
9/26	AKRON	W 48-21	W (-15)	O (54)
10/3	at Buffalo	W 20-13	L (-9½)	U (53½)
10/10	E MICHIGAN	W 56-8	W (-24)	O (54)
10/17	at W Michigan	W 34-23	W (-7)	U (59)
10/24	at Bowling Green	W 24-10	W (-9½)	U (61½)
10/31	at Boston College	L 10-31	L (+5½)	U (48)
11/11	TOLEDO	W 56-28	W (-17½)	O (64)
11/18	at Ball St	W 35-3	W (-14½)	U (51)
11/27	N ILLINOIS	W 45-31	P (-14)	O (52½)
12/4	vs Ohio U	W 20-10	L (-13½)	U (57)
1/6	vs Troy	W 44-41	L (-3½)	O (63)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/2	HAMPTON	W 33-0	W (-32)	
9/9	at Temple	L 10-13	W (+7½)	U (47)
9/18	at E Michigan	W 52-14	W (-10)	O (47)
9/25	at Northwestern	L 25-30	W (+6½)	O (51)
10/2	BALL ST	L 17-31	L (-19)	U (51½)
10/9	at Virginia Tech	L 21-45	L (+21½)	O (55)
10/16	MIAMI OHIO	L 20-27	L (-13)	U (51)
10/23	at N Illinois	L 7-33	L (+10)	U (51½)
10/30	BOWLING GREEN	L 14-17	L (-13)	U (52½)
11/5	W MICHIGAN	W 26-22	W (-3)	U (53½)
11/13	at Navy	L 37-38	W (+14)	O (55)
11/26	at Toledo	L 31-42	L (+4)	O (52)

> MAC

EASTERN MICHIGAN

StatFox.com Power Rating: 5 (#120 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -22.6 (#117 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 31.25 (84th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 24.33 (118th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -24.9 (#118 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 2–10
2010 ATS Record: 5–7
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 8–4

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	HOWARD
9/10	ALABAMA ST
9/17	at Michigan
9/24	at Penn St
10/1	AKRON
10/8	at Toledo
10/15	at C Michigan
10/22	W MICHIGAN
11/5	BALL ST
11/12	BUFFALO
11/19	at Kent St
11/25	at N Illinois

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	4–8	3–9	0–12	2–10	9–39	18.8%
OVERALL ATS	4–7	4–7	4–8	5–7	17–29	37.0%
HOME ATS	1–2	1–3	1–4	1–4	4–13	23.5%
ROAD ATS	3–5	3–4	3–4	4–3	13–16	44.8%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	3–4	4–4	2–6	4–4	13–18	41.9%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	1–3	0–3	2–2	1–3	4–11	26.7%
AS FAVORITE ATS	0–0	0–1	0–1	0–0	0–2	0.0%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	4–7	4–6	4–7	5–7	17–27	38.6%
OVER-UNDER	6–5	5–6	6–6	8–4	25–21	54.3%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	19.0	108
First Downs	17.0	100
Yards/Game	333.4	91
RushYds/Gm	173.3	37
Pass Yds/Gm	160.08	104
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	43.9	118
Yards/Game	454.1	113
RushYds/Gm	230.6	118
Pass Yds/Gm	223.5	66
Takeaways	13	115

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/28	INDIANA ST	W 52-0		
9/6	at Michigan St	L 10-42	L (+21)	U (56)
9/13	TOLEDO	L 17-41	L (-2)	O (54)
9/20	at Maryland	L 24-51	L (+21½)	O (52)
9/27	N ILLINOIS	L 0-37	L (+5½)	U (51)
10/4	at Bowling Green	W 24-21	W (+18½)	U (55)
10/11	at Army	L 13-17	L (+2½)	U (45½)
10/18	AKRON	L 35-42	L (+4½)	O (53½)
10/25	at Ball St	L 16-38	W (+27½)	U (57)
11/1	at W Michigan	L 10-31	L (+19)	U (59)
11/22	at Temple	L 52-55	W (+11½)	O (48)
11/28	C MICHIGAN	W 56-52	W (+11)	O (65½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	ARMY	L 14-27	L (-3½)	U (48)
9/12	at Northwestern	L 24-27	W (+22)	U (51½)
9/19	at Michigan	L 17-45	L (+24)	O (54½)
10/3	TEMPLE	L 12-24	L (+6½)	U (44½)
10/10	at C Michigan	L 8-56	L (+24)	O (54)
10/17	KENT ST	L 6-28	L (+6½)	U (49)
10/24	BALL ST	L 27-29	W (+2½)	O (44)
10/31	at Arkansas	L 27-63	W (+37)	O (60)
11/5	at N Illinois	L 6-50	L (+21½)	O (48)
11/14	W MICHIGAN	L 14-35	L (+13)	U (59)
11/20	at Toledo	L 21-47	L (+16)	O (62)
11/27	at Akron	L 21-28	W (+14½)	U (51½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	ARMY	L 27-31	W (+9½)	O (42)
9/11	at Miami Ohio	L 21-28	W (+13½)	U (51½)
9/18	C MICHIGAN	L 14-52	L (+10)	O (47)
9/25	at Ohio St	L 20-73	L (+43½)	O (58)
10/2	OHIO U	L 17-30	L (+10)	U (49)
10/9	at Vanderbilt	L 6-52	L (+25)	O (54)
10/16	at Ball St	W 41-38	W (+15)	O (57)
10/23	at Virginia	L 21-48	L (+24)	O (56)
10/30	TOLEDO	L 7-42	L (+11½)	U (56)
11/13	at W Michigan	L 30-45	W (+20)	O (57½)
11/20	at Buffalo	W 21-17	W (+6½)	U (51)
11/26	N ILLINOIS	L 3-71	L (+24½)	O (62)

> MAC

KENT STATE

StatFox.com Power Rating: 25 (#99 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -2.9 (#81 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 25.58 (114th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 27.08 (106th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -1.6 (#75 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 5-7
2010 ATS Record: 5-7
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 4-7

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	at Alabama
9/10	LA LAFAYETTE
9/17	at Kansas St
9/24	S ALABAMA
10/1	at Ohio U
10/8	at N Illinois
10/15	MIAMI OHIO
10/29	BOWLING GREEN
11/4	C MICHIGAN
11/12	at Akron
11/19	E MICHIGAN
11/25	at Temple

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	3-9	4-8	5-7	5-7	17-31	35.4%
OVERALL ATS	2-9	3-6	7-4	5-7	17-26	39.5%
HOME ATS	0-4	1-3	4-1	3-3	8-11	42.1%
ROAD ATS	2-5	2-3	3-3	2-4	9-15	37.5%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	1-7	3-3	6-2	3-5	13-17	43.3%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	1-2	0-3	1-2	2-2	4-9	30.8%
AS FAVORITE ATS	1-5	0-1	3-1	3-3	7-10	41.2%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	1-4	3-5	4-3	2-4	10-16	38.5%
OVER-UNDER	6-5	8-3	5-6	4-7	23-21	52.3%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	21.3	99
First Downs	16.8	103
Yards/Game	314.3	102
RushYds/Gm	113.6	100
Pass Yds/Gm	200.75	74
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	22.9	42
Yards/Game	306.7	10
RushYds/Gm	97.2	4
Pass Yds/Gm	209.5	47
Takeaways	27	26

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	BOSTON COLLEGE	L 0-21	L (+11)	U (47½)
9/6	at Iowa St	L 28-48	L (+10)	O (45)
9/13	DELAWARE ST	W 24-3		
9/20	at LA Lafayette	L 27-44	L (+2½)	O (51)
9/27	at Ball St	L 20-41	P (+21)	O (60)
10/4	AKRON	L 27-30	P (+3)	O (51)
10/11	OHIO U	L 19-26	L (-1)	U (51½)
10/25	at Miami Ohio	W 54-21	W (+3½)	O (46½)
11/1	at Bowling Green	L 30-45	L (+6)	O (53)
11/12	TEMPLE	W 41-38	W (pk)	O (46)
11/18	N ILLINOIS	L 14-42	L (+2½)	O (51)
11/28	at Buffalo	W 24-21	W (+9)	U (58½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/3	COASTAL CAROLINA	W 18-0		
9/12	at Boston College	L 7-34	L (+21)	U (45)
9/19	IOWA ST	L 14-34	L (+3)	O (47)
9/26	MIAMI OHIO	W 29-19	W (-7)	O (47)
10/3	at Baylor	L 15-31	W (+22½)	U (48½)
10/10	BOWLING GREEN	L 35-36	W (+2)	O (53½)
10/17	at E Michigan	W 28-6	W (-6½)	U (49)
10/24	at Ohio U	W 20-11	W (+10)	U (46½)
10/31	W MICHIGAN	W 26-14	W (-2½)	U (50½)
11/7	at Akron	L 20-28	L (-3½)	O (42½)
11/21	at Temple	L 13-47	L (+10)	O (46)
11/27	BUFFALO	L 6-9	W (+3½)	U (49)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/2	MURRAY ST	W 41-10	W (-28)	
9/11	at Boston College	L 13-26	W (+17)	U (47)
9/18	at Penn St	L 0-24	L (+21)	U (45)
10/2	at Miami Ohio	L 21-27	L (-3)	O (44½)
10/9	AKRON	W 28-17	L (-17)	U (46½)
10/16	at Toledo	L 21-34	L (+4)	O (46½)
10/23	at Bowling Green	W 30-6	W (-3)	U (49½)
10/30	BALL ST	W 33-14	W (-10)	O (42½)
11/6	TEMPLE	L 10-28	L (+3)	U (43)
11/13	ARMY	L 28-45	L (-1½)	O (44)
11/20	at W Michigan	L 3-38	L (+2½)	U (50½)
11/26	OHIO U	W 28-6	W (+4½)	U (46½)

> MAC

MIAMI-OHIO

StatFox.com Power Rating: 32 (#70 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -1.9 (#79 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 27.57 (106th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 28.08 (100th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -1.6 (#77 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 10-4
2010 ATS Record: 9-5
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 3-11

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	at Missouri
9/17	at Minnesota
9/24	BOWLING GREEN
10/1	CINCINNATI
10/8	ARMY
10/15	at Kent St
10/22	at Toledo
10/29	BUFFALO
11/3	AKRON
11/9	at Temple
11/16	W MICHIGAN
11/22	at Ohio U

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	6-7	2-10	1-11	10-4	19-32	37.3%
OVERALL ATS	6-6	4-7	5-7	9-5	24-25	49.0%
HOME ATS	2-2	1-4	3-2	3-2	9-10	47.4%
ROAD ATS	4-4	3-3	2-5	6-3	15-15	50.0%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	3-4	3-5	3-5	6-3	15-17	46.9%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	3-2	1-2	2-2	3-2	9-8	52.9%
AS FAVORITE ATS	1-2	0-3	0-0	3-2	4-7	36.4%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	5-4	4-4	5-7	6-3	20-18	52.6%
OVER-UNDER	5-8	6-5	5-7	3-11	19-31	38.0%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	21.6	98
First Downs	19.0	69
Yards/Game	352.0	81
RushYds/Gm	98.3	113
Pass Yds/Gm	253.71	32
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	23.2	44
Yards/Game	338.2	28
RushYds/Gm	127.6	25
Pass Yds/Gm	210.6	49
Takeaways	35	4

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/28	VANDERBILT	L 13-34	L (-3½)	O (38½)
9/6	at Michigan	L 6-16	W (+14½)	U (40)
9/13	CHARLESTON SOUT	W 38-27		
9/20	at Cincinnati	L 20-45	L (+12½)	O (48½)
10/4	TEMPLE	L 10-28	L (-7)	U (41)
10/11	at N Illinois	L 13-17	W (+10)	U (43)
10/18	at Bowling Green	W 27-20	W (+9½)	U (51)
10/25	KENT ST	L 21-54	L (-3½)	O (46½)
11/4	at Buffalo	L 17-37	L (+8)	O (50½)
11/11	BALL ST	L 16-31	W (+17½)	U (54)
11/21	at Toledo	L 14-42	L (+3½)	O (48½)
11/28	OHIO U	L 26-41	L (+3)	O (48½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	vs Kentucky	L 0-42	L (+15)	U (49)
9/12	at Boise St	L 0-48	L (+38)	U (52½)
9/19	at W Michigan	L 26-48	L (+16)	O (48½)
9/26	at Kent St	L 19-29	L (+7)	O (47)
10/3	CINCINNATI	L 13-37	W (+29½)	U (59)
10/10	at Northwestern	L 6-16	W (+17)	U (55½)
10/17	at Ohio U	L 7-28	L (+14)	U (47½)
10/24	N ILLINOIS	L 22-27	W (+10)	O (45)
10/31	TOLEDO	W 31-24	W (+5)	U (57½)
11/5	at Temple	L 32-34	W (+17)	O (44½)
11/12	BOWLING GREEN	L 14-35	L (+4)	U (59)
11/18	BUFFALO	L 17-42	L (+4½)	O (52½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	at Florida	L 12-34	W (+38)	U (54½)
9/11	E MICHIGAN	W 28-21	L (-13½)	U (51½)
9/18	COLORADO ST	W 31-10	W (-7)	U (46)
9/25	at Missouri	L 13-51	L (+20)	O (50½)
10/2	KENT ST	W 27-21	W (+3)	O (44½)
10/9	at Cincinnati	L 3-45	L (+17½)	U (53½)
10/16	at C Michigan	W 27-20	W (+13)	U (51)
10/23	OHIO U	L 13-34	L (+3)	U (49½)
10/30	at Buffalo	W 21-9	W (-2½)	U (44)
11/10	at Bowling Green	W 24-21	W (-2½)	U (51½)
11/17	at Akron	W 19-14	L (-8)	U (46½)
11/23	TEMPLE	W 23-3	W (+8½)	U (44½)
12/3	vs N Illinois	W 26-21	W (+19½)	U (55)
1/6	vs Middle Tenn st	W 35-21	W (+1½)	O (49)

> MAC

NORTHERN ILLINOIS

StatFox.com Power Rating: 46 (#26 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +19.4 (#9 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 24.57 (116th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 24.50 (116th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +19.1 (#9 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 11–3
2010 ATS Record: 10–3–1
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 8–5

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	ARMY
9/10	at Kansas
9/17	WISCONSIN
9/24	CAL POLY SLO
10/1	at C Michigan
10/8	KENT ST
10/15	W MICHIGAN
10/22	at Buffalo
11/1	at Toledo
11/8	at Bowling Green
11/15	BALL ST
11/25	E MICHIGAN

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	2–10	6–7	7–6	11–3	26–26	50.0%
OVERALL ATS	5–6	6–6	6–6	10–3	27–21	56.3%
HOME ATS	3–1	1–4	4–2	4–1	12–8	60.0%
ROAD ATS	2–5	5–2	2–4	6–2	15–13	53.6%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	3–4	4–4	3–4	7–1	17–13	56.7%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	2–2	2–2	3–2	3–2	10–8	55.6%
AS FAVORITE ATS	0–2	3–4	3–4	8–2	14–12	53.8%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	5–4	3–2	2–2	2–1	12–9	57.1%
OVER-UNDER	2–9	5–7	5–6	8–5	20–27	42.6%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	38.0	12
First Downs	21.6	36
Yards/Game	450.0	19
RushYds/Gm	260.4	7
Pass Yds/Gm	189.64	85
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	18.9	14
Yards/Game	334.7	26
RushYds/Gm	126.5	24
Pass Yds/Gm	208.2	44
Takeaways	25	36

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	at Minnesota	L 27-31	W (+9½)	O (56½)
9/6	at W Michigan	L 26-29	W (+6)	O (52)
9/20	INDIANA ST	W 48-3		
9/27	at E Michigan	W 37-0	W (-5½)	U (51)
10/4	at Tennessee	L 9-13	W (+16½)	U (44½)
10/11	MIAMI OHIO	W 17-13	L (-10)	U (43)
10/18	TOLEDO	W 38-7	W (-8½)	U (46½)
10/25	BOWLING GREEN	W 16-13	L (-6½)	U (48)
11/5	at Ball St	L 14-45	L (+7½)	O (47)
11/12	C MICHIGAN	L 30-33	L (-4)	O (52)
11/18	at Kent St	W 42-14	W (-2½)	O (51)
11/25	NAVY	L 0-16	L (-2½)	U (51½)
12/28	vs Louisiana Tech	L 10-17	L (+2½)	U (46)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	at Wisconsin	L 20-28	W (+16½)	U (49½)
9/12	W ILLINOIS	W 41-7	W (-13½)	
9/19	at Purdue	W 28-21	W (+12)	U (52½)
9/26	IDAHO	L 31-34	L (-14)	O (54½)
10/3	W MICHIGAN	W 38-3	W (-6)	U (49)
10/17	at Toledo	L 19-20	L (-7)	U (60½)
10/24	at Miami Ohio	W 27-22	L (-10)	O (45)
10/31	AKRON	W 27-10	W (-12)	U (41)
11/5	E MICHIGAN	W 50-6	W (-21½)	O (48)
11/12	BALL ST	W 26-20	L (-16)	P (46)
11/21	at Ohio U	L 31-38	L (+1)	O (44½)
11/27	at C Michigan	L 31-45	P (+14)	O (52½)
1/2	vs S Florida	L 3-27	L (+6½)	U (50)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/2	at Iowa St	L 10-27	L (+4)	U (51)
9/11	N DAKOTA	W 23-17	L (-24½)	
9/18	at Illinois	L 22-28	W (+7)	O (45)
9/25	at Minnesota	W 34-23	W (+4)	O (49½)
10/2	at Akron	W 50-14	W (-13½)	O (47)
10/9	TEMPLE	W 31-17	W (-3)	U (49½)
10/16	BUFFALO	W 45-14	W (-14)	O (50)
10/23	C MICHIGAN	W 33-7	W (-10)	U (51½)
10/30	at W Michigan	W 28-21	P (-7)	U (52½)
11/9	TOLEDO	W 65-30	W (-11½)	O (51½)
11/20	at Ball St	W 59-21	W (-15½)	O (52½)
11/26	at E Michigan	W 71-3	W (-24½)	O (62)
12/3	vs Miami Ohio	L 21-26	L (-19½)	U (55)
12/18	vs Fresno St	W 40-17	W (-1½)	O (56½)

> MAC

OHIO

StatFox.com Power Rating: 26 (#93 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -2.3 (#80 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 22.77 (118th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 21.00 (120th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +3.7 (#54 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 8–5
2010 ATS Record: 8–5
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 6–4–2

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	at New Mexico st
9/10	GARDNER WEBB
9/17	MARSHALL
9/24	at Rutgers
10/1	KENT ST
10/8	at Buffalo
10/15	BALL ST
10/22	at Akron
11/2	TEMPLE
11/10	at C Michigan
11/16	at Bowling Green
11/22	MIAMI OHIO

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	6–6	4–8	9–5	8–5	27–24	52.9%
OVERALL ATS	7–4	6–4	9–5	8–5	30–18	62.5%
HOME ATS	4–1	1–3	4–2	4–2	13–8	61.9%
ROAD ATS	3–3	5–1	5–3	4–3	17–10	63.0%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	4–4	3–4	7–2	6–2	20–12	62.5%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	3–0	3–0	2–3	2–3	10–6	62.5%
AS FAVORITE ATS	2–2	1–1	3–4	5–3	11–10	52.4%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	5–2	5–3	5–1	2–2	17–8	68.0%
OVER-UNDER	8–3	5–6	6–7	6–4	25–20	55.6%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	27.5	55
First Downs	17.0	100
Yards/Game	326.1	95
RushYds/Gm	163.8	47
Pass Yds/Gm	162.31	102
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	23.8	51
Yards/Game	353.4	41
RushYds/Gm	123.1	20
Pass Yds/Gm	230.3	83
Takeaways	25	36

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	at Wyoming	L 20-21	W (+14)	U (47)
9/6	at Ohio St	L 14-26	W (+34½)	U (47)
9/13	C MICHIGAN	L 28-31	L (+2½)	O (53½)
9/20	at Northwestern	L 8-16	W (+10½)	U (52½)
9/27	VMI	W 51-31		
10/4	at W Michigan	L 20-41	L (+8)	O (48½)
10/11	at Kent St	W 26-19	W (+1)	U (51½)
10/21	at Temple	L 10-14	P (+4)	U (40)
10/28	BUFFALO	L 19-32	L (-1½)	O (49)
11/8	BOWLING GREEN	L 3-28	L (+2½)	U (49½)
11/22	AKRON	W 49-42	W (+2½)	O (54½)
11/28	at Miami Ohio	W 41-26	W (-3)	O (48½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	CONNECTICUT	L 16-23	L (+3½)	U (44½)
9/12	at North Texas	W 31-30	L (-2½)	O (52)
9/19	CAL POLY SLO	W 28-10	W (-5½)	
9/26	at Tennessee	L 23-34	W (+22)	O (44)
10/3	at Bowling Green	W 44-37	W (+2½)	O (48½)
10/10	at Akron	W 19-7	W (-3½)	U (52½)
10/17	MIAMI OHIO	W 28-7	W (-14)	U (47½)
10/24	KENT ST	L 11-20	L (-10)	U (46½)
10/31	at Ball St	W 20-17	L (-5½)	U (44)
11/10	at Buffalo	W 27-24	W (+2)	O (46½)
11/21	N ILLINOIS	W 38-31	W (-1)	O (44½)
11/27	TEMPLE	W 35-17	W (+1½)	O (43½)
12/4	vs C Michigan	L 10-20	W (+13½)	U (57)
12/26	vs Marshall	L 17-21	L (-3)	U (49)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	WOFFORD	W 33-10	W (-14)	
9/11	TOLEDO	L 13-20	L (-10)	U (52)
9/18	at Ohio St	L 7-43	L (+29½)	O (45)
9/25	at Marshall	L 23-24	W (+6½)	P (47)
10/2	at E Michigan	W 30-17	W (-10)	U (49)
10/9	BOWLING GREEN	W 49-25	W (-8)	O (48½)
10/16	AKRON	W 38-10	W (-17)	P (48)
10/23	at Miami Ohio	W 34-13	W (-3)	U (49½)
10/30	LA LAFAYETTE	W 38-31	L (-17)	O (51)
11/4	BUFFALO	W 34-17	W (-16)	O (47½)
11/16	at Temple	W 31-23	W (+10)	O (45½)
11/26	at Kent St	L 6-28	L (-4½)	U (46½)
12/18	vs Troy	L 21-48	L (+2)	O (57½)

> MAC



TEMPLE

StatFox.com Power Rating: 32 (#70 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +5.2 (#50 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 26.08 (112th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 24.42 (117th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +5.9 (#43 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 8–4
2010 ATS Record: 7–5
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 4–8

2011 SCHEDULE

9/1	VILLANOVA
9/10	at Akron
9/17	PENN ST
9/24	at Maryland
10/1	TOLEDO
10/8	at Ball St
10/15	BUFFALO
10/22	at Bowling Green
11/2	at Ohio U
11/9	MIAMI OHIO
11/19	ARMY
11/25	KENT ST

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	4–8	5–7	9–4	8–4	26–23	53.1%
OVERALL ATS	8–4	7–4	8–4	7–5	30–17	63.8%
HOME ATS	4–2	3–1	3–2	3–3	13–8	61.9%
ROAD ATS	4–2	4–3	5–2	4–2	17–9	65.4%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	6–2	4–3	5–3	3–5	18–13	58.1%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	2–2	3–1	3–1	4–0	12–4	75.0%
AS FAVORITE ATS	0–1	2–1	5–3	4–4	11–9	55.0%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	8–3	5–3	3–1	2–1	18–8	69.2%
OVER-UNDER	3–9	5–7	9–3	4–8	21–27	43.8%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	25.0	79
First Downs	16.8	103
Yards/Game	334.1	89
RushYds/Gm	150.3	67
Pass Yds/Gm	183.83	90
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	19.1	16
Yards/Game	317.7	16
RushYds/Gm	139.5	47
Pass Yds/Gm	178.2	15
Takeaways	20	72

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/29	at Army	W 35-7	W (-7)	O (40)
9/6	CONNECTICUT	L 9-12	W (+6½)	U (39½)
9/13	at Buffalo	L 28-30	W (+7)	O (45)
9/20	at Penn St	L 3-45	L (+28½)	U (54)
9/27	W MICHIGAN	L 3-7	W (+4½)	U (47½)
10/4	at Miami Ohio	W 28-10	W (+7)	U (41)
10/11	at C Michigan	L 14-24	L (+8)	U (48)
10/21	OHIO U	W 14-10	P (-4)	U (40)
11/1	at Navy	L 27-33	W (+8)	O (44½)
11/12	at Kent St	L 38-41	L (pk)	O (46)
11/22	E MICHIGAN	W 55-52	L (-11½)	O (48)
11/28	AKRON	W 27-6	W (-3)	U (58)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/3	VILLANOVA	W 24-27		
9/19	at Penn St	L 6-31	W (+29½)	U (48)
9/26	BUFFALO	W 37-13	W (-3½)	O (46)
10/3	at E Michigan	W 24-12	W (-6½)	U (44½)
10/10	BALL ST	W 24-19	L (-14½)	U (45½)
10/17	ARMY	W 27-13	W (-10½)	O (36½)
10/24	at Toledo	W 40-24	W (pk)	O (53½)
10/31	at Navy	W 27-24	W (+6½)	O (41)
11/5	MIAMI OHIO	W 34-32	L (-17)	O (44½)
11/13	at Akron	W 56-17	W (-6)	O (46)
11/21	KENT ST	W 47-13	W (-10)	O (46)
11/27	at Ohio U	L 17-35	L (-1½)	O (43½)
12/29	vs UCLA	L 21-30	L (+4½)	O (44)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/3	VILLANOVA	W 31-24	W (-4½)	O (48)
9/9	C MICHIGAN	W 13-10	L (-7½)	U (47)
9/18	CONNECTICUT	W 30-16	W (+5½)	U (47½)
9/25	at Penn St	L 13-22	W (+13½)	U (43½)
10/2	at Army	W 42-35	W (-6)	O (40½)
10/9	at N Illinois	L 17-31	L (+3)	U (49½)
10/16	BOWLING GREEN	W 28-27	L (-20)	O (51½)
10/23	at Buffalo	W 42-0	W (-8½)	U (46½)
10/30	AKRON	W 30-0	W (-29½)	U (50)
11/6	at Kent St	W 28-10	W (-3)	U (43)
11/16	OHIO U	L 23-31	L (-10)	O (45½)
11/23	at Miami Ohio	L 3-23	L (-8½)	U (44½)

> MAC



TOLEDO

StatFox.com Power Rating: 28 (#87 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +3.2 (#56 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 30.23 (89th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 31.75 (79th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -0.6 (#68 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 8–5
2010 ATS Record: 7–5–1
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 8–5

2011 SCHEDULE

9/1	NEW HAMPSHIRE
9/10	at Ohio St
9/17	BOISE ST
9/24	at Syracuse
10/1	at Temple
10/8	E MICHIGAN
10/15	at Bowling Green
10/22	MIAMI OHIO
11/1	N ILLINOIS
11/8	W MICHIGAN
11/18	at C Michigan
11/25	at Ball St

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	5–7	3–9	5–7	8–5	21–28	42.9%
OVERALL ATS	4–7	6–6	5–7	7–5	22–25	46.8%
HOME ATS	4–2	3–3	3–2	3–3	13–10	56.5%
ROAD ATS	0–5	3–3	2–5	4–2	9–15	37.5%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	3–5	4–4	3–5	6–2	16–16	50.0%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	1–2	2–2	2–2	1–3	6–9	40.0%
AS FAVORITE ATS	3–0	1–1	2–2	4–2	10–5	66.7%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	1–7	5–5	3–5	3–3	12–20	37.5%
OVER-UNDER	8–3	4–8	8–4	8–5	28–20	58.3%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	27.9	54
First Downs	17.9	90
Yards/Game	365.4	74
RushYds/Gm	167.4	43
Pass Yds/Gm	198	77
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	28.5	73
Yards/Game	364.8	56
RushYds/Gm	133.5	36
Pass Yds/Gm	231.3	86
Takeaways	34	5

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/6	at Arizona	L 16-41	L (+24½)	U (61)
9/13	at E Michigan	W 41-17	W (+2)	O (54)
9/20	FRESNO ST	L 54-55	W (+6)	O (52½)
9/27	FLA INTERNATIONAL	L 16-35	L (-18)	U (53)
10/4	BALL ST	L 0-31	L (+7½)	U (61½)
10/11	at Michigan	W 13-10	W (+15)	U (49)
10/18	at N Illinois	L 7-38	L (+8½)	U (46½)
10/25	C MICHIGAN	L 23-24	W (+4)	U (56½)
11/5	at Akron	L 30-47	L (+7)	O (55)
11/15	at W Michigan	L 17-27	W (+14½)	U (53½)
11/21	MIAMI OHIO	W 42-14	W (-3½)	O (48½)
11/28	BOWLING GREEN	L 10-38	L (+2½)	U (51½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	at Purdue	L 31-52	L (+10)	O (47½)
9/11	COLORADO	W 54-38	W (+4)	O (55)
9/19	vs Ohio St	L 0-38	L (+22½)	U (58½)
9/26	at FLA International	W 41-31	W (+1)	O (58½)
10/3	at Ball St	W 37-30	W (-5½)	O (59)
10/10	W MICHIGAN	L 26-58	L (-7½)	O (63)
10/17	N ILLINOIS	W 20-19	W (+7)	U (60½)
10/24	TEMPLE	L 24-40	L (pk)	O (53½)
10/31	at Miami Ohio	L 24-31	L (-5)	U (57½)
11/11	at C Michigan	L 28-56	L (+17½)	O (64)
11/20	E MICHIGAN	W 47-21	W (-16)	O (62)
11/27	at Bowling Green	L 24-38	L (+7)	U (66½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/3	ARIZONA	L 2-41	L (+15)	U (58)
9/11	at Ohio U	W 20-13	W (+10)	U (52)
9/18	at W Michigan	W 37-24	W (+3½)	O (52½)
9/25	at Purdue	W 31-20	W (+11)	O (49)
10/2	WYOMING	L 15-20	L (-4½)	U (49½)
10/9	at Boise St	L 14-57	L (+38)	O (59½)
10/16	KENT ST	W 34-21	W (-4)	O (46½)
10/23	BALL ST	W 31-24	L (-11½)	O (50)
10/30	at E Michigan	W 42-7	W (-11½)	U (56)
11/9	at N Illinois	L 30-65	L (+11½)	O (51½)
11/17	BOWLING GREEN	W 33-14	W (-10)	U (54½)
11/26	C MICHIGAN	W 42-31	W (-4)	O (52)
12/26	vs FLA International	L 32-34	P (+2)	O (58½)

> MAC

WESTERN MICHIGAN

StatFox.com Power Rating: 36 (#63 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +6.2 (#46 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 24.00 (117th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 26.08 (111th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +8.5 (#34 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 6–6
2010 ATS Record: 5–5–2
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 6–5

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	at Michigan
9/10	NICHOLLS ST
9/17	C MICHIGAN
9/24	at Illinois
10/1	at Connecticut
10/8	BOWLING GREEN
10/15	at N Illinois
10/22	at E Michigan
10/29	BALL ST
11/8	at Toledo
11/16	at Miami Ohio
11/25	AKRON

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	5–7	9–4	5–7	6–6	25–24	51.0%
OVERALL ATS	2–8	5–7	3–9	5–5	15–29	34.1%
HOME ATS	0–4	2–2	1–4	2–3	5–13	27.8%
ROAD ATS	2–4	3–5	2–5	3–2	10–16	38.5%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	1–5	3–5	3–5	4–3	11–18	37.9%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	1–3	2–2	0–4	1–2	4–11	26.7%
AS FAVORITE ATS	0–6	3–4	2–2	4–2	9–14	39.1%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	2–2	2–3	1–6	1–3	6–14	30.0%
OVER-UNDER	7–4	7–5	4–7	6–5	24–21	53.3%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	32.3	27
First Downs	20.8	42
Yards/Game	411.7	34
RushYds/Gm	125.9	93
Pass Yds/Gm	285.75	16
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	23.8	52
Yards/Game	387.8	73
RushYds/Gm	166.6	76
Pass Yds/Gm	221.3	62
Takeaways	30	12

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	at Nebraska	L 24-47	L (+13½)	O (55)
9/6	N ILLINOIS	W 29-26	L (-6)	O (52)
9/13	at Idaho	W 51-28	W (-9½)	O (57)
9/20	TENNESSEE TECH	W 41-7		
9/27	at Temple	W 7-3	L (-4½)	U (47½)
10/4	OHIO U	W 41-20	W (-8)	O (48½)
10/11	at Buffalo	W 34-28	W (+1)	O (54)
10/18	at C Michigan	L 28-38	L (-1½)	O (59½)
11/1	E MICHIGAN	W 31-10	W (-19)	U (59)
11/8	vs Illinois	W 23-17	W (+8)	U (64)
11/15	TOLEDO	W 27-17	L (-14½)	U (53½)
11/25	at Ball St	L 22-45	L (+10)	O (56)
12/30	vs Rice	L 14-38	L (+2)	U (73½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	at Michigan	L 7-31	L (+13)	U (53½)
9/12	at Indiana	L 19-23	L (+1)	U (54)
9/19	MIAMI OHIO	W 48-26	W (-16)	O (48½)
9/26	HOFSTRA	W 24-10	L (-22)	
10/3	at N Illinois	L 3-38	L (+6)	U (49)
10/10	at Toledo	W 58-26	W (+7½)	O (63)
10/17	C MICHIGAN	L 23-34	L (+7)	U (59)
10/24	BUFFALO	W 34-31	L (-5)	O (51½)
10/31	at Kent St	L 14-26	L (+2½)	U (50½)
11/7	at Michigan St	L 14-49	L (+20½)	O (55½)
11/14	at E Michigan	W 35-14	W (-13)	U (59)
11/24	BALL ST	L 17-22	L (-10)	U (54)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	at Michigan St	L 14-38	L (+22½)	O (51)
9/11	NICHOLLS ST	W 49-14	W (-28)	
9/18	TOLEDO	L 24-37	L (-3½)	O (52½)
10/2	IDAHO	L 13-33	L (+4)	U (61)
10/9	at Ball St	W 45-16	W (+5½)	O (48)
10/16	at Notre Dame	L 20-44	P (+24)	O (53)
10/23	at Akron	W 56-10	W (-7½)	O (52)
10/30	N ILLINOIS	L 21-28	P (+7)	U (52½)
11/5	at C Michigan	L 22-26	L (+3)	U (53½)
11/13	E MICHIGAN	W 45-30	L (-20)	O (57½)
11/20	KENT ST	W 38-3	W (-2½)	U (50½)
11/26	at Bowling Green	W 41-7	W (-7)	U (52½)



StatFox.com



Providing Unprecedented Handicapping Content

StatFox.com is renowned for providing the most comprehensive game information on the Internet. Our popular FoxSheets are packed with proprietary handicapping tools that benefit everyone from the beginning bettor to the most advanced self-handicapper.

LOG ON TO STATFOX.COM AND START WINNING TODAY!

MOUNTAIN WEST CONFERENCE

PREDICTED FINISH

1. Boise State
2. TCU
3. San Diego State
4. Colorado State
5. Air Force
6. UNLV
7. Wyoming
8. New Mexico

AIR FORCE

Offense: Multiple Set Triple Option - Starters Returning: 6
Defense: Base 34 - Starters Returning: 7

The Falcons continued their ascent under Troy Calhoun, going 9-4 to run his four-year win total to 34. QB Tim Jefferson has developed into a legitimate dual-threat QB (1,459 pass yds, 10 TD, 6 INT; 794 rush yds, 15 TD) and his O-Line allowed an FBS-low five sacks. TB Asher Clark (1,031 rush yds) helped AFA rank second in rushing offense (307 YPG). The Falcons also ranked second in FBS in pass defense (148 YPG) led by CB Anthony Wright (6 PD) and S Jon Davis (93 tackles, 3 INT). The front seven lost some key players.

BOISE STATE

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 7
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 7

The Broncos move from the WAC to the MWC, but their dominance should continue. Despite losing OC Bryan Harsin, the offense is in good hands with QB Kellen Moore (3,845 pass yds, 35 TD, 6 INT) and TB Doug Martin (1,260 rush yds, 12 TD). BSU needs to replace WRs Titus Young and Austin Pettis, who combined for 2,166 rec yds and 19 TD. The defense is also primed for a big year with DEs Shea McClellin (9.5 sacks) and Tyrone Crawford (7 sacks, 13.5 TFL), MLB Byron Hout (4 TFL in 9 games) and FS George Iloka (5 PD).

COLORADO STATE

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 7
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 6

The Rams had a rough season, going 3-9 and getting outscored by an average of 35 to 17. They had a minus-11 TO margin and allowed 44 sacks (4th-most in FBS). QB Pete Thomas (2,662 pass yds, 11 TD, 13 INT) and leading WR Lou Greenwood (474 rec yds) are back, but the 103rd-ranked ground game (113 YPG) lost its top rusher. On defense, LB Mychal Sisson (7 FF, 15 TFL) is tremendous, but the D-Line lost its only good player and the awful secondary has no playmakers (4 INT, zero players with more than 2 PD).

NEW MEXICO

Offense: Multiple Spread - Starters Returning: 7
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 9

The Lobos were arguably the nation's worst team at 1-11 and last among FBS schools in offense (266 YPG) and scoring defense (44.3 PPG). The only quality offensive player is All-MWC TE Lucas Reed (33 rec, 459 yds, 5 TD) as RB Kasey Carrier (373 rush yds, 3.6 YPC) and the QB position as a whole (5.2 YPA, 11 TD, 15 INT) are subpar. The defense was also atrocious (469 YPG) aside from playmaking LB Carmen Messina (115 tackles, 6 TFL, 4 PD), but UNM only loses two starting defenders as the back seven all return.

SAN DIEGO STATE

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 7
Defense: 3-3-5 - Starters Returning: 5

DC Rocky Long replaces Brady Hoke as SDSU's head coach. Long knows what to do, as he was New Mexico's head man for 11 years. It also helps that the Aztecs bring back stars QB Ryan Lindley (3,830 pass yds, 28 TD, 14 INT) and RB Ronnie Hillman (1,532 rush yds, 5.8 YPC, 17 TD). The defense lost six starters, but still has great experience with Long's deep rotation in 2010. OLB Miles Burris (20 TFL, 9.5 sacks, 4 FF) is the best of the returnees, but NT Jerome Long (6 TFL) and CB Leon McFadden (12 PD) are also top-notch.

TCU

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 5
Defense: 4-2-5 - Starters Returning: 6

Many starters left the 2011 Rose Bowl Champions, but Gary Patterson (36-3 in the past three years) still has great talent. QB Andy Dalton has departed, but junior Casey Pachall has loads of potential. RB Ed Wesley (1,078 rush yds, 11 TD) and WR Josh Boyce (646 rec yds, 6 TD) are already great. The defense can't possibly get better after an FBS-best 228 YPG and 12.0 PPG allowed. LBs Tank Carder (9.5 TFL) and Tanner Brock (106 tackles) return to lead a TCU team with a deep defensive line and an improving secondary.

UNLV

Offense: Multiple Spread - Starters Returning: 7
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 5

The Rebels went 2-11 and lost by an average of 21.3 PPG (39.7 to 18.4) in Bobby Hauck's first season. They will look to improve with a new QB (likely sophomore Caleb Herring) and a young O-Line that lost three starters. The top offensive players are RB Tim Cornett (546 rush yds, 6 TD) and WR Phillip Payne (19 career rec TD). The defense allowed 450 YPG with only 12 sacks, but DE B.J. Bell has breakout potential (51 tackles), and CBs Will Chandler (5 INT) and CB Quinton Pointer (72 tackles in '09) are great cover men.

WYOMING

Offense: Spread - Starters Returning: 8
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 9

The Cowboys return most of their starters from last year's dismal 3-9 campaign. QB Austyn Carta-Samuels transferred, leaving the 116th-ranked offense (286 total YPG) to one of three freshmen. But TB Alvester Alexander (792 rush yds, 14 TD) is ready to run behind an experienced O-Line. The 92nd-ranked defense (414 YPG) should improve with a returning front seven featuring DE Josh Biezuns (61 tackles, 6.5 sacks) and LB Brian Hendricks (80 tackles). Senior CB Tashaun Gipson (9 PD, 3 INT) leads the group of DBs.

> MOUNTAIN WEST



AIR FORCE

StatFox.com Power Rating: 43 (#40 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +7.6 (#40 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 33.23 (72nd toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 30.17 (87th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +9.8 (#29 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 9–4
2010 ATS Record: 5–7–1
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 3–9

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	S DAKOTA
9/10	TCU
9/24	TENNESSEE ST
10/1	at Navy
10/8	at Notre Dame
10/13	SAN DIEGO ST
10/22	at Boise St
10/29	at New Mexico
11/5	ARMY
11/12	WYOMING
11/19	UNLV
11/26	at Colorado St

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	9–4	8–5	8–5	9–4	34–18	65.4%
OVERALL ATS	9–3	7–5	7–4	5–7	28–19	59.6%
HOME ATS	5–0	3–2	3–2	2–3	13–7	65.0%
ROAD ATS	4–3	4–3	4–2	3–4	15–12	55.6%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	7–1	5–3	5–3	2–6	19–13	59.4%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	2–2	2–2	2–1	3–1	9–6	60.0%
AS FAVORITE ATS	5–0	4–2	4–2	3–6	16–10	61.5%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	4–3	3–3	3–2	2–1	12–9	57.1%
OVER-UNDER	6–6	7–5	6–6	3–9	22–26	45.8%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	30.9	40
First Downs	21.9	31
Yards/Game	425.9	28
RushYds/Gm	306.5	2
Pass Yds/Gm	119.31	118
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	21.1	28
Yards/Game	349.3	37
RushYds/Gm	201.5	103
Pass Yds/Gm	147.8	2
Takeaways	22	59

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	S UTAH	W 41-7		
9/6	at Wyoming	W 23-3	W (+3)	U (42½)
9/13	vs Houston	W 31-28	W (+2)	O (51½)
9/20	UTAH	L 23-30	W (+9½)	O (50½)
10/4	NAVY	L 27-33	L (-4½)	O (51½)
10/11	at San Diego st	W 35-10	W (-10½)	U (48)
10/18	at UNLV	W 29-28	L (-3½)	O (52)
10/23	NEW MEXICO	W 23-10	W (-4)	U (44½)
11/1	at Army	W 16-7	W (-7½)	U (41)
11/8	COLORADO ST	W 38-17	W (-10½)	O (51½)
11/15	BYU	L 24-38	L (+3½)	O (52)
11/22	at TCU	L 10-44	L (+20½)	O (42)
12/31	vs Houston	L 28-34	L (+5½)	U (65)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	NICHOLLS ST	W 72-0		
9/12	at Minnesota	L 13-20	L (+3)	U (49½)
9/19	at New Mexico	W 37-13	W (-16½)	O (45½)
9/26	SAN DIEGO ST	W 26-14	L (-17)	U (52½)
10/3	at Navy	L 13-16	P (+3)	U (48½)
10/10	TCU	L 17-20	W (+10)	U (43)
10/17	WYOMING	W 10-0	L (-11½)	U (45)
10/24	at Utah	L 16-23	W (+9)	U (43)
10/31	at Colorado St	W 34-16	W (-7)	O (45)
11/7	ARMY	W 35-7	W (-17)	O (37½)
11/14	UNLV	W 45-17	W (-17)	O (47)
11/21	at BYU	L 21-38	L (+9)	O (47½)
12/31	vs Houston	W 47-20	W (+4½)	O (65½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	NORTHWESTERN ST	W 65-21	P (-44)	
9/11	BYU	W 35-14	W (-2)	U (50)
9/18	at Oklahoma	L 24-27	W (+16½)	U (53½)
9/25	at Wyoming	W 20-14	L (-13½)	U (48½)
10/2	NAVY	W 14-6	L (-9½)	U (50)
10/9	COLORADO ST	W 49-27	L (-24)	O (47)
10/16	at San Diego st	L 25-27	L (-1)	U (55½)
10/23	at TCU	L 7-38	L (+18½)	U (51)
10/30	UTAH	L 23-28	W (+6½)	U (55½)
11/6	at Army	W 42-22	W (-6)	O (48½)
11/13	NEW MEXICO	W 48-23	L (-35)	O (56½)
11/18	at UNLV	W 35-20	L (-19)	U (56½)
12/27	vs Georgia Tech	W 14-7	W (-3)	U (55)

> MOUNTAIN WEST



BOISE STATE

StatFox.com Power Rating: 62 (#3 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +32.2 (#1 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 32.15 (78th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 34.67 (66th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +32.3 (#1 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 12–1
2010 ATS Record: 9–4
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 5–7–1

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	at Georgia
9/17	at Toledo
9/24	TULSA
10/1	NEVADA
10/7	at Fresno St
10/15	at Colorado St
10/22	AIR FORCE
11/5	at UNLV
11/12	TCU
11/19	at San Diego st
11/26	WYOMING
12/3	NEW MEXICO

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	10–3	12–1	14–0	12–1	48–5	90.6%
OVERALL ATS	6–6	8–2	9–5	9–4	32–17	65.3%
HOME ATS	4–2	2–2	4–3	3–3	13–10	56.5%
ROAD ATS	2–4	6–0	5–2	6–1	19–7	73.1%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	5–3	5–1	5–3	5–3	20–10	66.7%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	1–3	3–1	4–2	4–1	12–7	63.2%
AS FAVORITE ATS	6–5	6–2	8–4	8–4	28–15	65.1%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	0–1	2–0	1–0	1–0	4–1	80.0%
OVER-UNDER	5–7	5–7	7–6	5–7	22–27	44.9%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	45.1	2
First Downs	25.1	8
Yards/Game	521.3	2
RushYds/Gm	200.2	21
Pass Yds/Gm	321.08	6
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	12.8	2
Yards/Game	254.7	2
RushYds/Gm	103.8	7
Pass Yds/Gm	150.9	4
Takeaways	27	26

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	IDAHO ST	W 49-7		
9/13	BOWLING GREEN	W 20-7	L (-17½)	U (55½)
9/20	at Oregon	W 37-32	W (+10½)	O (52)
10/1	LOUISIANA TECH	W 38-3	W (-24)	U (57)
10/11	at Southern Miss	W 24-7	W (-9½)	U (58)
10/17	HAWAII	W 27-7	L (-23)	U (51½)
10/24	at San Jose st	W 33-16	W (-7)	O (40½)
11/1	at New Mexico st	W 49-0	W (-21)	U (56)
11/8	UTAH ST	W 49-14	P (-35)	O (52)
11/15	at Idaho	W 45-10	P (-35)	U (60)
11/22	at Nevada	W 41-34	W (-6½)	O (62½)
11/28	FRESNO ST	W 61-10	W (-21)	O (57)
12/23	vs TCU	L 16-17	W (+3)	U (46)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/3	OREGON	W 19-8	W (-3)	U (64)
9/12	MIAMI OHIO	W 48-0	W (-38)	U (52½)
9/18	at Fresno St	W 51-34	W (-7½)	O (52½)
9/26	at Bowling Green	W 49-14	W (-16½)	O (52)
10/3	CAL DAVIS	W 34-16	L (-47½)	
10/14	at Tulsa	W 28-21	L (-9)	U (56)
10/24	at Hawaii	W 54-9	W (-25)	O (59)
10/31	SAN JOSE ST	W 45-7	W (-36)	U (54)
11/6	at Louisiana Tech	W 45-35	L (-20)	O (53)
11/14	IDAHO	W 63-25	W (-32)	O (63½)
11/20	at Utah St	W 52-21	W (-22)	O (62½)
11/27	NEVADA	W 44-33	L (-12)	O (71)
12/5	NEW MEXICO ST	W 42-7	L (-46½)	U (58½)
1/4	vs TCU	W 17-10	W (+7½)	U (54½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/6	vs Virginia Tech	W 33-30	W (+1½)	O (53)
9/18	at Wyoming	W 51-6	W (-23)	O (51½)
9/25	OREGON ST	W 37-24	L (-18)	O (56)
10/2	at New Mexico st	W 59-0	W (-43½)	U (61)
10/9	TOLEDO	W 57-14	W (-38)	O (59½)
10/16	at San Jose st	W 48-0	W (-40)	U (58)
10/26	LOUISIANA TECH	W 49-20	L (-36)	O (65½)
11/6	HAWAII	W 42-7	W (-22)	U (65)
11/12	at Idaho	W 52-14	W (-34½)	U (67)
11/19	FRESNO ST	W 51-0	W (-29)	U (66)
11/26	at Nevada	L 31-34	L (-14)	U (68)
12/4	UTAH ST	W 50-14	L (-40½)	P (64)
12/22	vs Utah	W 26-3	W (-16)	U (58)

> MOUNTAIN WEST



COLORADO STATE

StatFox.com Power Rating: 17 (#109 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -16.9 (#115 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 36.25 (51st toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 30.67 (82nd toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -18.2 (#113 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 3–9
2010 ATS Record: 6–6
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 6–6

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	at New Mexico
9/10	N COLORADO
9/17	at Colorado
9/24	at Utah St
10/1	SAN JOSE ST
10/15	BOISE ST
10/22	at UTEP
10/29	at UNLV
11/12	SAN DIEGO ST
11/19	at TCU
11/26	AIR FORCE
12/3	WYOMING

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	3–9	7–6	3–9	3–9	16–33	32.7%
OVERALL ATS	5–6	7–5	4–8	6–6	22–25	46.8%
HOME ATS	2–3	5–0	2–4	4–1	13–8	61.9%
ROAD ATS	3–3	2–5	2–4	2–5	9–17	34.6%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	4–4	5–3	2–6	5–3	16–16	50.0%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	1–2	2–2	2–2	1–3	6–9	40.0%
AS FAVORITE ATS	1–2	1–1	0–4	2–0	4–7	36.4%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	4–4	6–4	4–3	4–6	18–17	51.4%
OVER-UNDER	5–5	7–5	5–6	6–6	23–22	51.1%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	16.5	114
First Downs	18.1	87
Yards/Game	334.8	87
RushYds/Gm	113.0	103
Pass Yds/Gm	221.83	60
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	34.7	104
Yards/Game	423.8	96
RushYds/Gm	197.9	102
Pass Yds/Gm	225.9	74
Takeaways	14	112

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/31	vs Colorado	L 17-38	L (+13½)	U (56)
9/6	SACRAMENTO ST	W 23-20		
9/20	HOUSTON	W 28-25	W (+5½)	U (62½)
9/27	at California	L 7-42	L (+28)	U (59)
10/4	UNLV	W 41-28	W (-1½)	O (51½)
10/11	TCU	L 7-13	W (+17)	U (45½)
10/18	at Utah	L 16-49	L (+21)	O (49½)
10/25	at San Diego st	W 38-34	L (-7½)	O (53½)
11/1	BYU	L 42-45	W (+16)	O (59½)
11/8	at Air Force	L 17-38	L (+10½)	O (51½)
11/15	NEW MEXICO	W 20-6	W (+3½)	U (51)
11/22	at Wyoming	W 31-20	W (+2)	O (47)
12/20	vs Fresno St	W 40-35	W (+1½)	O (61)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/6	at Colorado	W 23-17	W (+13)	U (50½)
9/12	WEBER ST	W 24-23	L (-13½)	
9/19	NEVADA	W 35-20	W (+5)	U (57)
9/26	at BYU	L 23-42	W (+19½)	O (56)
10/3	at Idaho	L 29-31	L (-4½)	O (57)
10/10	UTAH	L 17-24	W (+9)	U (50½)
10/17	at TCU	L 6-44	L (+22½)	U (50½)
10/24	SAN DIEGO ST	L 28-42	L (-8)	O (50½)
10/31	AIR FORCE	L 16-34	L (+7)	O (45)
11/7	at UNLV	L 16-35	L (+2)	U (62)
11/21	at New Mexico	L 27-29	L (-3½)	O (54)
11/27	WYOMING	L 16-17	L (-2½)	U (48½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	vs Colorado	L 3-24	L (+11½)	U (46½)
9/11	at Nevada	L 6-51	L (+24½)	U (58)
9/18	at Miami Ohio	L 10-31	L (+7)	U (46)
9/25	IDAHO	W 36-34	W (+8)	O (50½)
10/2	TCU	L 0-27	W (+33½)	U (54)
10/9	at Air Force	L 27-49	W (+24)	O (47)
10/16	UNLV	W 43-10	W (-3½)	O (51)
10/23	at Utah	L 6-59	L (+31)	O (56)
10/30	NEW MEXICO	W 38-14	W (-14½)	O (51½)
11/6	at San Diego st	L 19-24	W (+18)	U (58)
11/13	BYU	L 10-49	L (+6½)	O (50)
11/20	at Wyoming	L 0-44	L (+2½)	U (50)

> MOUNTAIN WEST



NEW MEXICO

StatFox.com Power Rating: 13 (#116 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -27.1 (#120 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 36.33 (48th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 35.50 (57th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -28.5 (#120 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 1–11
2010 ATS Record: 4–8
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 9–3

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	COLORADO ST
9/10	ARKANSAS
9/17	TEXAS TECH
9/24	SAM HOUSTON ST
10/1	NEW MEXICO ST
10/15	at Nevada
10/22	at TCU
10/29	AIR FORCE
11/5	at San Diego st
11/12	UNLV
11/19	at Wyoming
12/3	at Boise St

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	9–4	4–8	1–11	1–11	15–34	30.6%
OVERALL ATS	5–7	6–6	5–7	4–8	20–28	41.7%
HOME ATS	3–3	4–2	2–4	2–4	11–13	45.8%
ROAD ATS	2–4	2–4	3–3	2–4	9–15	37.5%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	2–6	4–4	4–4	3–5	13–19	40.6%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	3–1	2–2	1–3	1–3	7–9	43.8%
AS FAVORITE ATS	3–4	3–2	0–1	0–0	6–7	46.2%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	2–3	3–4	5–6	4–8	14–21	40.0%
OVER-UNDER	4–8	5–7	6–6	9–3	24–24	50.0%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	15.8	116
First Downs	15.7	113
Yards/Game	265.7	120
RushYds/Gm	108.0	106
Pass Yds/Gm	157.67	106
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	44.3	120
Yards/Game	469.0	119
RushYds/Gm	250.2	120
Pass Yds/Gm	218.8	57
Takeaways	19	77

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	TCU	L 3-26	L (+6)	U (41½)
9/6	TEXAS A&M	L 22-28	L (+1½)	O (39½)
9/13	ARIZONA	W 36-28	W (+10)	O (50½)
9/20	at Tulsa	L 14-56	L (+10½)	O (61½)
9/27	at New Mexico st	W 35-24	W (-3)	O (54)
10/4	WYOMING	W 24-0	W (-10)	U (41)
10/11	at BYU	L 3-21	W (+22)	U (48)
10/18	SAN DIEGO ST	W 70-7	W (-17)	O (44)
10/23	at Air Force	L 10-23	L (+4)	U (44½)
11/1	UTAH	L 10-13	W (+7½)	U (45½)
11/8	at UNLV	L 20-27	L (-6)	U (48½)
11/15	at Colorado St	L 6-20	L (-3½)	U (51)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	at Texas A&M	L 6-41	L (+14½)	U (55)
9/12	TULSA	L 10-44	L (+17)	U (57)
9/19	AIR FORCE	L 13-37	L (+16½)	O (45½)
9/26	NEW MEXICO ST	L 17-20	L (-11½)	U (44)
10/3	at Texas Tech	L 28-48	W (+34½)	O (56)
10/10	at Wyoming	L 13-37	L (+10)	O (45)
10/24	UNLV	L 17-34	L (+1)	U (60)
10/31	at San Diego st	L 20-23	W (+16½)	U (54)
11/7	at Utah	L 14-45	L (+27)	O (47½)
11/14	BYU	L 19-24	W (+27)	U (57½)
11/21	COLORADO ST	W 29-27	W (+3½)	O (54)
11/28	at TCU	L 10-51	W (+44)	O (57½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	at Oregon	L 0-72	L (+36½)	O (54½)
9/11	TEXAS TECH	L 17-52	L (+24½)	O (55½)
9/18	UTAH	L 14-56	L (+21½)	O (54)
9/25	at UNLV	L 10-45	L (+10½)	O (50)
10/2	UTEP	L 20-38	L (+15)	O (57½)
10/9	at New Mexico st	L 14-16	W (+2½)	U (49)
10/23	SAN DIEGO ST	L 20-30	W (+23½)	U (55)
10/30	at Colorado St	L 14-38	L (+14½)	O (51½)
11/6	WYOMING	W 34-31	W (+9)	O (48)
11/13	at Air Force	L 23-48	W (+35)	O (56½)
11/20	at BYU	L 7-40	L (+30)	U (52)
11/27	TCU	L 17-66	L (+44½)	O (57½)

> MOUNTAIN WEST



SAN DIEGO STATE

StatFox.com Power Rating: 41 (#47 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +7.0 (#43 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 29.31 (92nd toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 30.33 (86th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +12.9 (#19 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 9–4
2010 ATS Record: 8–4–1
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 5–7

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	CAL POLY SLO
9/10	at Army
9/17	WASHINGTON ST
9/24	at Michigan
10/8	TCU
10/13	at Air Force
10/29	WYOMING
11/5	NEW MEXICO
11/12	at Colorado St
11/19	BOISE ST
11/26	at UNLV
12/3	FRESNO ST

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	4–8	2–10	4–8	9–4	19–30	38.8%
OVERALL ATS	6–5	5–6	4–8	8–4	23–23	50.0%
HOME ATS	3–2	3–2	1–5	5–2	12–11	52.2%
ROAD ATS	3–3	2–4	3–3	3–2	11–12	47.8%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	5–3	3–5	4–4	3–4	15–16	48.4%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	1–2	2–1	0–4	5–0	8–7	53.3%
AS FAVORITE ATS	0–0	1–0	0–4	4–3	5–7	41.7%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	6–5	4–6	4–3	3–1	17–15	53.1%
OVER-UNDER	7–4	6–5	5–6	5–7	23–22	51.1%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	35.0	19
First Downs	20.3	52
Yards/Game	456.7	16
RushYds/Gm	161.3	48
Pass Yds/Gm	295.38	12
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	22.1	36
Yards/Game	353.8	43
RushYds/Gm	148.8	57
Pass Yds/Gm	205.0	38
Takeaways	16	102

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	CAL POLY SLO	W 27-29		
9/6	at Notre Dame	L 13-21	W (+21½)	U (46)
9/13	at San Jose st	L 10-35	L (+7)	O (43½)
9/27	IDAHO	W 45-17	W (-12)	O (54½)
10/4	at TCU	L 7-41	L (+25)	U (49)
10/11	AIR FORCE	L 10-35	L (+10½)	U (48)
10/18	at New Mexico	L 7-70	L (+17)	O (44)
10/25	COLORADO ST	L 34-38	W (+7½)	O (53½)
11/1	at Wyoming	L 10-35	L (+6)	U (47)
11/8	at BYU	L 12-41	W (+36)	U (65½)
11/15	UTAH	L 14-63	L (+28)	O (53)
11/22	UNLV	W 42-21	W (+10)	O (60)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	at UCLA	L 14-33	L (+18½)	U (47½)
9/12	S UTAH	W 35-19	L (-19)	
9/19	at Idaho	L 20-34	L (-3½)	U (58)
9/26	at Air Force	L 14-26	W (+17)	U (52½)
10/3	NEW MEXICO ST	W 34-17	L (-17½)	O (46½)
10/17	BYU	L 28-38	W (+17)	O (54)
10/24	at Colorado St	W 42-28	W (+8)	O (50½)
10/31	NEW MEXICO	W 23-20	L (-16½)	U (54)
11/7	TCU	L 12-55	L (+24½)	O (48)
11/14	WYOMING	L 27-30	L (-7)	O (49)
11/21	at Utah	L 7-38	L (+21)	U (54½)
11/28	at UNLV	L 24-28	W (+6½)	U (60½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	NICHOLLS ST	W 47-0	W (-32)	
9/11	at New Mexico st	W 41-21	W (-14)	O (50½)
9/18	at Missouri	L 24-27	W (+14)	U (59)
9/25	UTAH ST	W 41-7	W (-9½)	U (62)
10/9	at BYU	L 21-24	L (-4½)	U (53½)
10/16	AIR FORCE	W 27-25	W (+1)	U (55½)
10/23	at New Mexico	W 30-20	L (-23½)	U (55)
10/30	at Wyoming	W 48-38	P (-10)	O (49)
11/6	COLORADO ST	W 24-19	L (-18)	U (58)
11/13	at TCU	L 35-40	W (+27½)	O (54)
11/20	UTAH	L 34-38	L (+2½)	O (55)
11/27	UNLV	W 48-14	W (-24)	O (61½)
12/23	NAVY	W 35-14	W (-3)	U (57½)

> MOUNTAIN WEST



TCU

StatFox.com Power Rating: 61 (#5 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +29.5 (#2 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 32.00 (79th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 29.67 (91st toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +29.6 (#2 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 13–0
2010 ATS Record: 7–6
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 5–7

2011 SCHEDULE

9/2	at Baylor
9/10	at Air Force
9/17	LA MONROE
9/24	PORTLAND ST
10/1	SMU
10/8	at San Diego st
10/22	NEW MEXICO
10/28	BYU
11/5	at Wyoming
11/12	at Boise St
11/19	COLORADO ST
12/3	UNLV

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	8–5	11–2	12–1	13–0	44–8	84.6%
OVERALL ATS	6–6	8–4	8–5	7–6	29–21	58.0%
HOME ATS	4–2	5–0	3–3	4–2	16–7	69.6%
ROAD ATS	2–4	3–4	5–2	3–4	13–14	48.1%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	4–3	6–2	6–2	5–3	21–10	67.7%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	2–3	2–2	2–2	2–3	8–10	44.4%
AS FAVORITE ATS	5–5	8–3	7–4	7–6	27–18	60.0%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	1–1	0–1	1–0	0–0	2–2	50.0%
OVER-UNDER	5–8	5–7	5–6	5–7	20–28	41.7%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	41.6	4
First Downs	25.2	7
Yards/Game	476.9	12
RushYds/Gm	247.4	10
Pass Yds/Gm	229.46	53
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	12.0	1
Yards/Game	228.5	1
RushYds/Gm	99.7	5
Pass Yds/Gm	128.8	1
Takeaways	22	59

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	at New Mexico	W 26-3	W (-6)	U (41½)
9/6	SF AUSTIN	W 67-7		
9/13	STANFORD	W 31-14	W (-15½)	O (39½)
9/20	at SMU	W 48-7	W (-24)	O (52½)
9/27	at Oklahoma	L 10-35	L (+18)	U (53½)
10/4	SAN DIEGO ST	W 41-7	W (-25)	U (49)
10/11	at Colorado St	W 13-7	L (-17)	U (45½)
10/16	BYU	W 32-7	W (-1½)	U (44)
10/25	WYOMING	W 54-7	W (-32)	O (42½)
11/1	at UNLV	W 44-14	W (-16½)	O (51)
11/6	at Utah	L 10-13	L (-1½)	U (42)
11/22	AIR FORCE	W 44-10	W (-20½)	O (42)
12/23	vs Boise St	W 17-16	L (-3)	U (46)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/12	at Virginia	W 30-14	W (-11)	O (42)
9/19	TEXAS ST UNIV	W 56-21	L (-40)	
9/26	at Clemson	W 14-10	W (+2)	U (41)
10/3	SMU	W 39-14	L (-28½)	P (53)
10/10	at Air Force	W 20-17	L (-10)	U (43)
10/17	COLORADO ST	W 44-6	W (-22½)	U (50½)
10/24	at BYU	W 38-7	W (-2½)	U (51)
10/31	UNLV	W 41-0	W (-35)	U (57½)
11/7	at San Diego st	W 55-12	W (-24½)	O (48)
11/14	UTAH	W 55-28	W (-19½)	O (49)
11/21	at Wyoming	W 45-10	W (-30½)	O (50)
11/28	NEW MEXICO	W 51-10	L (-44)	O (57½)
1/4	vs Boise St	L 10-17	L (-7½)	U (54½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	vs Oregon St	W 30-21	L (-13½)	O (49)
9/11	TENNESSEE TECH	W 62-7	W (-50½)	
9/18	BAYLOR	W 45-10	W (-21½)	U (55½)
9/24	at SMU	W 41-24	L (-18)	O (54½)
10/2	at Colorado St	W 27-0	L (-33½)	U (54)
10/9	WYOMING	W 45-0	W (-35½)	U (48½)
10/16	BYU	W 31-3	L (-30)	U (51)
10/23	AIR FORCE	W 38-7	W (-18½)	U (51)
10/30	at UNLV	W 48-6	W (-34½)	U (56½)
11/6	at Utah	W 47-7	W (-4)	O (50½)
11/13	SAN DIEGO ST	W 40-35	L (-27½)	O (54)
11/27	at New Mexico	W 66-17	W (-44½)	O (57½)
1/1	vs Wisconsin	W 21-19	L (-3½)	U (54½)

> MOUNTAIN WEST



UNLV

StatFox.com Power Rating: 18 (#106 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -16.8 (#114 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 39.23 (24th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 36.58 (49th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -21.3 (#116 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 2–11
2010 ATS Record: 5–8
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 9–4

2011 SCHEDULE

9/1	at Wisconsin
9/10	at Washington St
9/17	HAWAII
9/24	S UTAH
10/8	at Nevada
10/15	at Wyoming
10/29	COLORADO ST
11/5	BOISE ST
11/12	at New Mexico
11/19	at Air Force
11/26	SAN DIEGO ST
12/3	at TCU

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	2–10	5–7	5–7	2–11	14–35	28.6%
OVERALL ATS	5–7	7–5	3–8	5–8	20–28	41.7%
HOME ATS	3–3	4–3	2–4	5–1	14–11	56.0%
ROAD ATS	2–4	3–2	1–4	0–7	6–17	26.1%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	3–5	5–3	2–6	3–5	13–19	40.6%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	2–2	2–2	1–2	2–3	7–9	43.8%
AS FAVORITE ATS	1–2	2–3	2–3	1–0	6–8	42.9%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	4–5	5–2	1–5	4–8	14–20	41.2%
OVER-UNDER	4–8	8–4	5–6	9–4	26–22	54.2%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	18.4	110
First Downs	15.7	112
Yards/Game	274.2	118
RushYds/Gm	103.3	109
Pass Yds/Gm	170.92	98
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	39.7	116
Yards/Game	450.5	109
RushYds/Gm	222.7	116
Pass Yds/Gm	227.8	77
Takeaways	18	86

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	UTAH ST	W 27-17	L (-11)	U (46½)
9/6	at Utah	L 21-42	W (+21½)	O (44)
9/13	at Arizona St	W 23-20	W (+25½)	U (53½)
9/20	IOWA ST	W 34-31	W (-2)	O (50)
9/27	NEVADA	L 27-49	L (-3½)	O (57)
10/4	at Colorado St	L 28-41	L (+1½)	O (51½)
10/18	AIR FORCE	L 28-29	W (+3½)	O (52)
10/25	at BYU	L 35-42	W (+23)	O (62½)
11/1	TCU	L 14-44	L (+16½)	O (51)
11/8	NEW MEXICO	W 27-20	W (+6)	U (48½)
11/13	WYOMING	W 22-14	W (-6)	U (48)
11/22	at San Diego st	L 21-42	L (-10)	O (60)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	SACRAMENTO ST	W 38-3		
9/12	OREGON ST	L 21-23	W (+6½)	U (53)
9/19	HAWAII	W 34-33	L (-7)	O (56)
9/26	at Wyoming	L 27-30	L (-3)	O (46)
10/3	at Nevada	L 28-63	L (+6½)	O (58½)
10/10	BYU	L 21-59	L (+17)	O (64½)
10/17	UTAH	L 15-35	L (+14½)	U (60)
10/24	at New Mexico	W 34-17	W (-1)	U (60)
10/31	at TCU	L 0-41	L (+35)	U (57½)
11/7	COLORADO ST	W 35-16	W (-2)	U (62)
11/14	at Air Force	L 17-45	L (+17)	O (47)
11/28	SAN DIEGO ST	W 28-24	L (-6½)	U (60½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	WISCONSIN	L 21-41	W (+20½)	O (55)
9/11	at Utah	L 10-38	L (+20½)	U (54)
9/18	at Idaho	L 7-30	L (+7)	U (59)
9/25	NEW MEXICO	W 45-10	W (-10½)	O (50)
10/2	NEVADA	L 26-44	W (+20½)	O (61)
10/9	at W Virginia	L 10-49	L (+26½)	O (47½)
10/16	at Colorado St	L 10-43	L (+3½)	O (51)
10/30	TCU	L 6-48	L (+34½)	U (56½)
11/6	at BYU	L 7-55	L (+19)	O (49½)
11/13	WYOMING	W 42-16	W (+4)	O (52)
11/18	AIR FORCE	L 20-35	W (+19)	U (56½)
11/27	at San Diego st	L 14-48	L (+24)	O (61½)
12/4	at Hawaii	L 21-59	L (+32½)	O (65½)

> MOUNTAIN WEST



WYOMING

StatFox.com Power Rating: 25 (#99 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -7.5 (#95 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 34.67 (63rd toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 29.67 (91st toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -11.2 (#101 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 3–9
2010 ATS Record: 5–6–1
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 5–6

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	WEBER ST
9/10	TEXAS ST UNIV
9/17	at Bowling Green
9/24	NEBRASKA
10/8	at Utah St
10/15	UNLV
10/29	at San Diego st
11/5	TCU
11/12	at Air Force
11/19	NEW MEXICO
11/26	at Boise St
12/3	at Colorado St

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	5–7	4–8	7–6	3–9	19–30	38.8%
OVERALL ATS	2–9	2–9	9–3	5–6	18–27	40.0%
HOME ATS	1–4	1–5	3–2	2–3	7–14	33.3%
ROAD ATS	1–5	1–4	6–1	3–3	11–13	45.8%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	0–7	1–7	6–2	3–4	10–20	33.3%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	2–2	1–2	3–1	2–2	8–7	53.3%
AS FAVORITE ATS	0–5	1–3	1–0	1–2	3–10	23.1%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	2–4	1–6	8–3	4–3	15–16	48.4%
OVER-UNDER	7–5	4–7	7–5	5–6	23–23	50.0%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	19.2	107
First Downs	14.4	119
Yards/Game	285.5	116
RushYds/Gm	119.5	98
Pass Yds/Gm	166	100
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	30.3	86
Yards/Game	413.7	92
RushYds/Gm	205.8	109
Pass Yds/Gm	207.9	42
Takeaways	15	108

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	OHIO U	W 21-20	L (-14)	U (47)
9/6	AIR FORCE	L 3-23	L (-3)	U (42½)
9/13	N DAKOTA ST	W 16-13		
9/20	at BYU	L 0-44	L (+28)	U (52)
9/27	BOWLING GREEN	L 16-45	L (+3)	O (44½)
10/4	at New Mexico	L 0-24	L (+10)	U (41)
10/11	UTAH	L 7-40	L (+21½)	O (41)
10/25	at TCU	L 7-54	L (+32)	O (42½)
11/1	SAN DIEGO ST	W 35-10	W (-6)	U (47)
11/8	at Tennessee	W 13-7	W (+25½)	U (41½)
11/13	at UNLV	L 14-22	L (+6)	U (48)
11/22	COLORADO ST	L 20-31	L (-2)	O (47)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	WEBER ST	W 29-22		
9/12	TEXAS	L 10-41	W (+32)	U (56)
9/19	at Colorado	L 0-24	L (+7)	U (52)
9/26	UNLV	W 30-27	W (+3)	O (46)
10/3	at FLA Atlantic	W 30-28	W (+5½)	O (54½)
10/10	NEW MEXICO	W 37-13	W (-10)	O (45)
10/17	at Air Force	L 0-10	W (+11½)	U (45)
10/31	at Utah	L 10-22	W (+17)	U (44½)
11/7	BYU	L 0-52	L (+13½)	O (49)
11/14	at San Diego st	W 30-27	W (+7)	O (49)
11/21	TCU	L 10-45	L (+30½)	O (50)
11/27	at Colorado St	W 17-16	W (+2½)	U (48½)
12/19	vs Fresno St	W 35-28	W (+10)	O (54½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	S UTAH	W 28-20	L (-21½)	
9/11	at Texas	L 7-34	W (+27½)	U (51)
9/18	BOISE ST	L 6-51	L (+23)	O (51½)
9/25	AIR FORCE	L 14-20	W (+13½)	U (48½)
10/2	at Toledo	W 20-15	W (+4½)	U (49½)
10/9	at TCU	L 0-45	L (+35½)	U (48½)
10/16	UTAH	L 6-30	L (+22)	U (53)
10/23	at BYU	L 20-25	W (+11)	O (44½)
10/30	SAN DIEGO ST	L 38-48	P (+10)	O (49)
11/6	at New Mexico	L 31-34	L (-9)	O (48)
11/13	at UNLV	L 16-42	L (-4)	O (52)
11/20	COLORADO ST	W 44-0	W (-2½)	U (50)

PAC-12

PREDICTED FINISH

NORTH DIVISION	SOUTH DIVISION
1. Oregon	1. Arizona State
2. Stanford	2. USC
3. Oregon State	3. Utah
4. Washington	4. UCLA
5. California	5. Arizona
6. Washington St.	6. Colorado

ARIZONA

Offense: Spread - Starters Returning: 5
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 5

The Wildcats are eager to erase the memory of five straight losses to end the 2010 season. QB Nick Foles (3,191 pass yds, 20 TD, 10 INT) is back to lead what was the nation's 9th-best passing offense (308 YPG), and the Wildcats are deep at receiver, with Texas transfer Dan Buckner, David Douglas and David Roberts. There were reports when we went to press, however, that star WR Juron Criner could end up missing the 2011 season. The ground game will miss TB Nic Grigsby, but senior Keola Antolin (team-high 668 rush yds, 4.7 YPC, 9 total TD) can handle more carries. Protecting Foles could be a problem, as Arizona lost its entire offensive line. On the defensive front, UA lost three DEs to the NFL Draft. But DT Justin Washington (6 sacks, 11.5 TFL) is back, as are all three starting linebackers, although the extent of LB Jake Fischer's (7.5 TFL) knee injury in the spring game remains unknown. Two reliable safeties, Joseph Perkins and Anthony Wilcox are gone, but sophomore CB Shaquille Richardson (7 PD) has the tools to be outstanding.

ARIZONA STATE

Offense: Single Back - Starters Returning: 9
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 8

The excitement level is high in Tempe as ASU returns 17 starters from last year's club. One position with a new face is QB, after Steven Threet retired due to multiple concussions. That leaves junior QB Brock Osweiler (62-109, 797 yds, 5 TD, 0 INT) in charge of the passing offense that ranked 15th in the nation (286 YPG). Osweiler should benefit from an O-Line returning all its starters, hopeful to improve on 31 sacks allowed last year. He also has talented skill players in RBs Cameron Marshall (783 rush yds, 9 TD) and Deantre Lewis (539 rush yds, 5.9 YPC), as well as spring-ball standout WR Gerell Robinson (387 rec yds, 5 TD) and four other receivers who had between 25 and 36 receptions. The defense held six opponents to 20 points or less last year, and returns nearly everybody. The best front-seven players include DT Lawrence Guy (6 TFL), DE Junior Onyeali (6.5 sacks) and MLB Vontaze Burfict (90 tackles, 8.5 TFL). The secondary is a weak spot now that All-Pac 10 cornerback Omar Bolden (3 INT, 7 PD) tore his ACL in April.

CALIFORNIA

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 7
Defense: Base 34 - Starters Returning: 5

Cal was a mystery last year with three 50-point games, but failing to surpass 14 points six times. Much of the drop-off was due to QB Kevin Riley tearing his MCL, but with Riley graduated, head coach Jeff Tedford has to find a replacement fast. Senior Brock Mansion (67-137, 646 yds, 2 TD, 5 INT) was terrible as Riley's backup, leaving Buffalo transfer Zach Maynard as Cal's top QB when spring practice ended. Two quality WRs return, in senior Marvin Jones (765 rec yds, 4 TD) and sophomore Keenan Allen (406 rec yds, 5 TD). RB Shane Vereen, who totaled 1,376 yards and 16 TD is also gone, leaving 5-foot-7 Isi Sofele (338 rush yds, 4.9 YPC) as the featured back. It's doubtful that the 185-pound junior can handle the pounding from a full workload. The defense, which allowed 35+ points four times, but no more than 17 in the other eight games, lost ILB Mike Mohamed and DE Cameron Jordan. Some top-notch defenders return in DE Trevor Guyton (4.5 sacks, 8.5 TFL), OLB Mychal Kendricks (7 sacks, 14.5 TFL) and LB D.J. Holt (86 tackles). Cal only allowed 187 pass YPG (21st in nation) but had a paltry 9 INT.

COLORADO

Offense: Pro Style - Starters Returning: 8
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 5

There is excitement in Boulder with a new conference and new coaching staff filled with both NFL and college experience. The new head man is former Redskins TE coach Jon Embree. New OC Eric Bieniemy (Vikings RB coach) starred for CU during its national title in 1990, and has some talent to mentor with QB Tyler Hansen (68.3% comp. rate, 6 TD, 6 INT) and RB Rodney Stewart (1,608 total yards, 4.5 YPC, 10 TD). Hansen had a tremendous spring, looking quite comfortable in the pro-style offense, while Stewart ranked 12th in the nation in rushing (110 YPG). WR Paul Richardson (514 rec yds, 6 TD) and TE Ryan Deehan (25 rec, 249 yds) are both reliable targets. New DC Greg Brown begins his third stint with the Buffs, serving as Arizona's co-DC last year. The strength of Colorado's D is the front line with DEs Josh Hartigan (7 sacks) and Forrest West (5.5 sacks, 8.5 TFL) and DT Conrad Obi. Two starting LBs departed, but Jon Major (31 solo tackles in 7 games) is a solid returnee. The 110th-ranked pass defense (260 YPG), could be worse this year without NFL-bound CBs Jimmy Smith and Jalil Brown.

OREGON

Offense: Spread Option - Starters Returning: 6
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 5

Although Oregon lost a bunch of starters from last year's 12-1 team, nobody in the country has a better QB-RB duo than Darron Thomas (2,881 pass yds, 30 TD, 9 INT; 486 rush yds, 5 TD) and LaMichael James, the FBS leader in both rush yds (1,731) and total TD (24). Both are Heisman contenders and were the main reason Oregon led the nation in points (47.0 PPG) and yards (531 YPG). WR is a trouble spot without Jeff Maehl and so is the O-Line replacing three starters. The D-Line only returns one starter, Terrell Turner (5.5 TFL), but the Ducks have great front-four depth and won't be affected much by the losses. LB is thinner with Casey Matthews gone to the NFL and Kiko Alonso possibly suspended after an off-season arrest. SLB Josh Kaddu (6.5 TFL) remains. Oregon is loaded in the secondary, led by CB Cliff Harris (6 INT, 17 PD, 4 punt ret. TD) and FS John Boyett (5 INT, 9 PD, 78 tackles).

OREGON STATE

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 7
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 5

Oregon State had a very disappointing 5-7 season, as the offense ranked 94th in yardage (327 YPG) and averaged 16.8 PPG in the final five games. QB Ryan Katz (2,401 pass yds, 18 TD, 11 INT) returns as the starter, and will likely have the services of WR James Rodgers (91 rec, 1,034 yds, 13 TD in '09), who suffered a severe knee injury last October. His brother RB Jacquizz Rodgers and his 17 TD are now in the NFL, and several candidates are vying for his vacant starting job. The O-Line returns four starters, but it wasn't a strong unit last year (35 sacks allowed). The defense, which ranked 86th in yards (408 YPG) has some holes to fill with the top two DLs and best two LBs all gone. But the OLBs left are speed burners – Michael Doctor and Cameron Collins (39 tackles). And even without top CB James Dockery, the secondary is still a top-notch unit led by S Lance Mitchell (74 tackles, 2 INT).

SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 6
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 8

The Trojans had another subpar year by their own standards, going 8-5 overall and 5-4 in the Pac-10. QB Matt Barkley's final numbers were excellent (2,791 pass yds, 26 TD, 12 INT), but he fizzled in his final five games (6 TD, 8 INT). The O-Line is major source of concern with just two starters remaining. Despite the potential blocking troubles, the strength of the Trojans lies with RBs Marc Tyler (913 rush yds, 5.3 YPC, 9 TD) and Dillon Baxter (252 rush yds, 4.3 YPC). WR Robert Woods is a productive wideout (65 rec, 792 yds, 6 TD), but USC is looking for a receiver to complement him. The Trojans' defensive slide continued last year, as they allowed 400 YPG (84th in nation) and gave up 32+ points in six games. But eight starters are back, including four really good ones – DE Nick Perry (4 sacks), LB Devon Kennard (72 tackles), S T.J. McDonald (89 tackles, 3 INT) and CB Nickell Robey (4 INT).

STANFORD

Offense: Pro Style - Starters Returning: 5
Defense: Base 34 - Starters Returning: 6

Much has changed for the Orange Bowl champs, especially last year's OC David Shaw replacing head coach Jim Harbaugh. But the QB remains the same, as Andrew Luck (3,338 pass yds, 32 TD, 8 INT; 453 rush yds, 8.2 YPC), returns to school to play for a national championship. He doesn't have his top receivers anymore, Doug Baldwin and Robert Whalen, but WR Chris Owusu (1,158 rec yds, 11 total TD in career) is a playmaker when healthy. RB Stepfan Taylor (1,137 rush yds, 15 TD, 5.1 YPC) returns to anchor the strong rushing attack, which was 17th-best among FBS schools (214 YPG). The defense only allowed 9.3 PPG in the final six games. Three starting DLs have to be replaced, but two LBs return with All-American candidate ILB Shayne Skov (84 tackles, 6.5 sacks) and OLB Chase Thomas (70 tackles). SS Delano Howell (60 tackles, 5 PD) is one of three returnees in the secondary.

UCLA

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 7
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 8

The Bruins had a miserable 4-8 season, losing seven Pac-10 games by an average of 24.3 PPG. New OC Mike Johnson will try to solve the QB position (5.3 YPA, 9 TD, 14 INT). The starter will be Richard Brehaut (6 TD, 7 INT), Kevin Prince (3 TD, 5 INT), or true freshman Brett Hundley. The rushing offense was pretty good (176 YPG, 32nd in the nation) and RB Johnathan Franklin (1,127 rush yds, 5.3 YPC, 8 TD) is back. So are all the top receivers, namely Taylor Embree (409 rec yds) and Nelson Rosario (309 rec yds). The defense, which only forced 18 turnovers and ranked 108th against the run (206 YPG), gets a big boost from DE Datone Jones (4 sacks, 11 TFL in '09) who missed 2010 with a broken foot. The team's best LB, Akeem Ayers, bolted for the NFL, but WLB Sean Westgate (90 tackles, 11 TFL, 4 sacks) returns. Three starting DBs remain, including talented SS Tony Dye (96 tackles, 9 PD).

UTAH

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 6
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 5

After starting last season 8-0, the Utes finished 2-3, scoring a laughable 13 total points in the three defeats. Now Utah enters the Pac-12, but with a lot of question marks. Highly-regarded OC Norm Chow joins the staff, but he doesn't have great weapons with the loss of Utah's two best RBs and two best WRs. QB Jordan Wynn (2,334 pass yds, 17 TD, 10 INT) is coming off shoulder surgery, but he does have WR DeVonte Christopher (39 rec, 660 yds, 6 TD) as a reliable target. There is no telling who will carry the football, though. There are many defensive holes to fill with six starters gone. No returning player in the front seven had more than two sacks last year, but two strong LBs return in Chaz Walker (113 tackles) and Matt Martinez (91 tackles). The secondary wasn't great in 2010 (225 YPG, 73rd in nation), and four of its top five players are gone. Not good for a passing-rich Pac-12.

WASHINGTON

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 6
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 8

The Huskies won the final four games of 2010 including crushing Nebraska in the Holiday Bowl, but QB Jake Locker has graduated. The QB battle is between sophomore Keith Price (19-37, 164 yds, 2 TD, 0 INT) and redshirt freshman Nick Montana. There are two bona-fide stars in the supporting cast in RB Chris Polk (1,415 rush yds, 5.4 YPC, 9 TD) and WR Jermaine Kearse (63 rec, 1,005 yds, 12 TD). The O-Line should remain above-average. Defensively, DT Alameda Ta'amu (39 tackles, 5 TFL) anchors a deep front line, but the linebacker corps must replace its two best players in SLB Mason Foster, who had 163 tackles, and WLB Victor Aiyewa, who had 21 TFL. MLB Cort Dennison (92 tackles, 8.5 TFL) is the remaining starter. UW only allowed 194 passing YPG (27th in nation), and its secondary remains strong with CB Desmond Trufant (48 tackles, 4 PD) and FS Nate Fellner (5 INT).

WASHINGTON STATE

Offense: Multiple Spread - Starters Returning: 8
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 7

WSU has a dreadful 5-32 record in the past three seasons, but things are looking up. QB Jeff Tuel (2,780 pass yds, 18 TD, 12 INT) enters his third year as the starter and has a bevy of talented receivers, most notably Marquess Wilson (55 rec, 1,006 yds, 6 TD). The passing game should improve further in coach Paul Wulff's no-huddle offense. Freshman RB Rickey Galvin is expected to boost the ground game which averaged 2.6 YPC last year. The O-Line was also to blame, allowing 51 sacks and 108 TFL. The defense gave up the nation's third-most yards (467 YPG), but quality players remain in DE Travis Long (4 sacks), MLB C.J. Mizell (57 tackles) and WLB Alex Hoffman-Ellis (5 TFL). The secondary returns all four starters, so they should improve.

> PAC-12



ARIZONA

StatFox.com Power Rating: 43 (#40 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +6.5 (#45 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 41.08 (12th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 41.50 (8th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +5.5 (#45 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 7–6
2010 ATS Record: 5–7–1
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 7–5

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	N ARIZONA
9/10	at Oklahoma St
9/17	STANFORD
9/24	OREGON
10/1	at USC
10/8	at Oregon St
10/20	UCLA
10/29	at Washington
11/5	UTAH
11/12	at Colorado
11/19	at Arizona St
11/26	LA LAFAYETTE

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	5–7	8–5	8–5	7–6	28–23	54.9%
OVERALL ATS	6–5	8–5	6–7	5–7	25–24	51.0%
HOME ATS	3–2	6–1	4–2	3–4	16–9	64.0%
ROAD ATS	3–3	2–4	2–5	2–3	9–15	37.5%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	6–3	5–4	6–3	2–6	19–16	54.3%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	0–2	3–1	0–4	3–1	6–8	42.9%
AS FAVORITE ATS	1–2	6–4	3–3	4–5	14–14	50.0%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	5–3	2–1	3–3	1–2	11–9	55.0%
OVER-UNDER	5–6	6–7	5–7	7–5	23–25	47.9%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	28.2	53
First Downs	23.7	17
Yards/Game	439.4	24
RushYds/Gm	131.7	88
Pass Yds/Gm	307.69	9
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	22.7	41
Yards/Game	340.9	33
RushYds/Gm	131.7	33
Pass Yds/Gm	209.2	46
Takeaways	18	86

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	IDAHO	W 70-0	W (-26½)	O (60)
9/6	TOLEDO	W 41-16	W (-24½)	U (61)
9/13	at New Mexico	L 28-36	L (-10)	O (50½)
9/20	at UCLA	W 31-10	W (-4)	U (50½)
10/4	WASHINGTON	W 48-14	W (-23)	O (61)
10/11	at Stanford	L 23-24	L (-6½)	U (52½)
10/18	CALIFORNIA	W 42-27	W (+2½)	O (53)
10/25	USC	L 10-17	W (+14½)	U (52½)
11/8	at Washington St	W 59-28	L (-39)	O (56)
11/15	at Oregon	L 45-55	L (+6)	O (62)
11/22	OREGON ST	L 17-19	L (-3)	U (57)
12/6	ARIZONA ST	W 31-10	W (-13)	U (51)
12/20	vs BYU	W 31-21	W (-3)	U (62)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	C MICHIGAN	W 19-6	L (-13½)	U (55½)
9/12	N ARIZONA	W 34-17	L (-30)	
9/19	at Iowa	L 17-27	L (+4½)	O (41)
9/26	at Oregon St	W 37-32	W (+2½)	O (46)
10/10	at Washington	L 33-36	L (-4)	O (54)
10/17	STANFORD	W 43-38	W (-4)	O (53½)
10/24	UCLA	W 27-13	W (-7½)	U (49½)
11/7	WASHINGTON ST	W 48-7	W (-32½)	U (56½)
11/14	at California	L 16-24	L (+2½)	U (55½)
11/21	OREGON	L 41-44	W (+5)	O (60)
11/28	at Arizona St	W 20-17	L (-3½)	U (44½)
12/5	at USC	W 21-17	W (+7)	U (50)
12/30	vs Nebraska	L 0-33	L (+2)	U (42)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/3	at Toledo	W 41-2	W (-15)	U (58)
9/11	THE CITADEL	W 52-6	W (-42½)	
9/18	IOWA	W 34-27	W (-2½)	O (45)
9/25	CALIFORNIA	W 10-9	L (-5½)	U (57½)
10/9	OREGON ST	L 27-29	L (-8½)	O (52½)
10/16	at Washington St	W 24-7	L (-23)	U (61)
10/23	WASHINGTON	W 44-14	W (-6)	O (53)
10/30	at UCLA	W 29-21	P (-8)	O (47)
11/6	at Stanford	L 17-42	L (+7½)	O (57½)
11/13	USC	L 21-24	L (-4)	U (61)
11/26	at Oregon	L 29-48	W (+20)	O (64½)
12/2	ARIZONA ST	L 29-30	L (-4)	O (57)
12/29	vs Oklahoma St	L 10-36	L (+4½)	U (68½)

> PAC-12



ARIZONA STATE

StatFox.com Power Rating: 45 (#32 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +11.6 (#26 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 37.83 (39th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 39.67 (28th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +7.3 (#38 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 6–6
2010 ATS Record: 9–3
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 6–4

2011 SCHEDULE

9/1	CAL DAVIS
9/9	MISSOURI
9/17	at Illinois
9/24	USC
10/1	OREGON ST
10/8	at Utah
10/15	at Oregon
10/29	COLORADO
11/5	at UCLA
11/12	at Washington St
11/19	ARIZONA
11/25	CALIFORNIA

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	10–3	5–7	4–8	6–6	25–24	51.0%
OVERALL ATS	6–7	4–7	6–5	9–3	25–22	53.2%
HOME ATS	5–3	2–4	5–1	5–1	17–9	65.4%
ROAD ATS	1–4	2–3	1–4	4–2	8–13	38.1%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	4–5	4–5	4–5	7–2	19–17	52.8%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	2–2	0–2	2–0	2–1	6–5	54.5%
AS FAVORITE ATS	6–4	3–2	2–2	3–1	14–9	60.9%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	0–3	1–5	4–3	5–2	10–13	43.5%
OVER-UNDER	4–9	4–7	2–9	6–4	16–29	35.6%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	32.3	28
First Downs	21.3	39
Yards/Game	425.7	29
RushYds/Gm	139.3	78
Pass Yds/Gm	286.42	15
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	25.0	55
Yards/Game	364.9	57
RushYds/Gm	119.7	16
Pass Yds/Gm	245.3	101
Takeaways	17	94

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	N ARIZONA	W 30-13		
9/6	STANFORD	W 41-17	W (-14½)	O (51½)
9/13	UNLV	L 20-23	L (-25½)	U (53½)
9/20	GEORGIA	L 10-27	L (+7)	U (52)
10/4	at California	L 14-24	L (+9½)	U (53)
10/11	at USC	L 0-28	L (+27½)	U (49½)
10/25	OREGON	L 20-54	L (+3)	O (54½)
11/1	at Oregon St	L 25-27	W (+14½)	O (51)
11/8	at Washington	W 39-19	W (-13)	O (49½)
11/15	WASHINGTON ST	W 31-0	L (-35)	U (56½)
11/28	UCLA	W 34-9	W (-10)	U (45)
12/6	at Arizona	L 10-31	L (+13)	U (51)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	IDAHO ST	W 50-3		
9/19	LA MONROE	W 38-14	W (-21)	O (50)
9/26	at Georgia	L 17-20	W (+13½)	U (48½)
10/3	OREGON ST	L 17-28	L (-5)	U (45½)
10/10	at Washington St	W 27-14	L (-20½)	U (47)
10/17	WASHINGTON	W 24-17	W (-6½)	U (47½)
10/24	at Stanford	L 14-33	L (+7)	U (49)
10/31	CALIFORNIA	L 21-23	W (+6)	U (51½)
11/7	USC	L 9-14	W (+12)	U (45½)
11/14	at Oregon	L 21-44	L (+21)	O (51)
11/21	at UCLA	L 13-23	L (+5½)	U (41)
11/28	ARIZONA	L 17-20	W (+3½)	U (44½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	PORTLAND ST	W 54-9	W (-39)	
9/11	N ARIZONA	W 41-20	L (-24)	
9/18	at Wisconsin	L 19-20	W (+11)	U (49)
9/25	OREGON	L 31-42	W (+12½)	O (56½)
10/2	at Oregon St	L 28-31	L (+2½)	O (53)
10/9	at Washington	W 24-14	W (-1)	U (59)
10/23	at California	L 17-50	L (+3)	O (50½)
10/30	WASHINGTON ST	W 42-0	W (-22)	U (57)
11/6	at USC	L 33-34	W (+6)	O (61)
11/13	STANFORD	L 13-17	W (+4½)	U (59)
11/26	UCLA	W 55-34	W (-13)	O (48½)
12/2	at Arizona	W 30-29	W (+4)	O (57)

> PAC-12

CALIFORNIA

StatFox.com Power Rating: 41 (#47 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +6.0 (#47 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 40.67 (16th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 40.17 (21st toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +3.3 (#55 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 5–7
2010 ATS Record: 6–6
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 5–6

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	FRESNO ST
9/10	at Colorado
9/17	PRESBYTERIAN
9/24	at Washington
10/6	at Oregon
10/13	USC
10/22	UTAH
10/29	at UCLA
11/5	WASHINGTON ST
11/12	OREGON ST
11/19	at Stanford
11/25	at Arizona St

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	7–6	9–4	8–5	5–7	29–22	56.9%
OVERALL ATS	4–9	9–4	6–7	6–6	25–26	49.0%
HOME ATS	2–4	7–0	3–3	5–2	17–9	65.4%
ROAD ATS	2–5	2–4	3–4	1–4	8–17	32.0%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	2–7	7–2	3–6	4–5	16–20	44.4%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	2–2	2–2	3–1	2–1	9–6	60.0%
AS FAVORITE ATS	3–7	8–3	4–6	3–3	18–19	48.6%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	1–2	1–1	1–1	2–3	5–7	41.7%
OVER-UNDER	5–7	8–5	6–6	5–6	24–24	50.0%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	25.8	73
First Downs	17.8	91
Yards/Game	334.0	90
RushYds/Gm	158.9	52
Pass Yds/Gm	175.08	94
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	22.6	40
Yards/Game	319.1	18
RushYds/Gm	132.1	35
Pass Yds/Gm	187.0	21
Takeaways	19	77

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	MICHIGAN ST	W 38-31	W (-6)	O (54)
9/6	at Washington St	W 66-3	W (-13½)	O (52)
9/13	at Maryland	L 27-35	L (-13½)	O (54)
9/27	COLORADO ST	W 42-7	W (-28)	U (59)
10/4	ARIZONA ST	W 24-14	W (-9½)	U (53)
10/18	at Arizona	L 27-42	L (-2½)	O (53)
10/25	UCLA	W 41-20	W (-19½)	O (51)
11/1	OREGON	W 26-16	W (-2½)	U (55½)
11/8	at USC	L 3-17	W (+22)	U (48)
11/15	at Oregon St	L 21-34	L (+3)	O (50½)
11/22	STANFORD	W 37-16	W (-9½)	O (50½)
12/6	WASHINGTON	W 48-7	W (-34½)	O (54)
12/27	vs Miami	W 24-17	L (-10)	U (51)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	MARYLAND	W 52-13	W (-21)	O (50½)
9/12	E WASHINGTON	W 59-7	W (-34)	
9/19	at Minnesota	W 35-21	W (-13½)	O (52½)
9/26	at Oregon	L 3-42	L (-5½)	U (55)
10/3	USC	L 3-30	L (+4½)	U (45)
10/17	at UCLA	W 45-26	W (-3½)	O (44)
10/24	WASHINGTON ST	W 49-17	L (-36½)	O (60)
10/31	at Arizona St	W 23-21	L (-6)	U (51½)
11/7	OREGON ST	L 14-31	L (-6½)	U (61)
11/14	ARIZONA	W 24-16	W (-2½)	U (55½)
11/21	at Stanford	W 34-28	W (+7)	O (60)
12/5	at Washington	L 10-42	L (-6½)	U (57)
12/23	vs Utah	L 27-37	L (-2½)	O (52½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	CAL DAVIS	W 52-3	W (-37)	
9/11	COLORADO	W 52-7	W (-10½)	O (49½)
9/17	at Nevada	L 31-52	L (-2½)	O (66½)
9/25	at Arizona	L 9-10	W (+5½)	U (57½)
10/9	UCLA	W 35-7	W (-8)	U (49)
10/16	at USC	L 14-48	L (+2)	O (54)
10/23	ARIZONA ST	W 50-17	W (-3)	O (50½)
10/30	at Oregon St	L 7-35	L (+3)	U (54)
11/6	at Washington St	W 20-13	L (-14)	U (53½)
11/13	OREGON	L 13-15	W (+19)	U (62½)
11/20	STANFORD	L 14-48	L (+6½)	O (49)
11/27	WASHINGTON	L 13-16	L (-7)	U (50)

> PAC-12

COLORADO

StatFox.com Power Rating: 35 (#67 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -4.8 (#86 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 40.25 (19th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 43.31 (3rd toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -6.6 (#88 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 5–7
2010 ATS Record: 5–6–1
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 6–6

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	at Hawaii
9/10	CALIFORNIA
9/17	COLORADO ST
9/24	at Ohio St
10/1	WASHINGTON ST
10/8	at Stanford
10/15	at Washington
10/22	OREGON
10/29	at Arizona St
11/4	USC
11/12	ARIZONA
11/19	at UCLA
11/25	at Utah

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	6–7	5–7	3–9	5–7	19–30	38.8%
OVERALL ATS	6–7	4–7	7–5	5–6	22–25	46.8%
HOME ATS	3–3	2–3	4–2	4–1	13–9	59.1%
ROAD ATS	3–4	2–4	3–3	1–5	9–16	36.0%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	4–4	2–6	5–3	2–5	13–18	41.9%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	2–3	2–1	2–2	3–1	9–7	56.3%
AS FAVORITE ATS	4–1	1–2	1–2	2–1	8–6	57.1%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	2–6	3–5	6–3	3–5	14–19	42.4%
OVER-UNDER	7–6	4–7	7–5	6–6	24–24	50.0%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	24.2	84
First Downs	19.8	60
Yards/Game	359.7	79
RushYds/Gm	137.0	85
Pass Yds/Gm	222.67	59
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	30.8	91
Yards/Game	400.0	83
RushYds/Gm	140.3	48
Pass Yds/Gm	259.8	110
Takeaways	19	77

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/31	vs Colorado St	W 38-17	W (-13½)	U (56)
9/6	E WASHINGTON	W 31-24		
9/18	W VIRGINIA	W 17-14	W (+3)	U (57)
9/27	at Florida St	L 21-39	L (+6½)	O (42)
10/4	TEXAS	L 14-38	L (+11)	O (51½)
10/11	at Kansas	L 14-30	L (+13½)	U (51)
10/18	KANSAS ST	W 14-13	L (-3)	U (57½)
10/25	at Missouri	L 0-58	L (+24)	U (59½)
11/1	at Texas A&M	L 17-24	L (+4)	U (51)
11/8	IOWA ST	W 28-24	L (-10½)	O (46½)
11/15	OKLAHOMA ST	L 17-30	W (+17)	U (55½)
11/28	at Nebraska	L 31-40	W (+18½)	O (56½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/6	COLORADO ST	L 17-23	L (-13)	U (50½)
9/11	at Toledo	L 38-54	L (-4)	O (55)
9/19	WYOMING	W 24-0	W (-7)	U (52)
10/1	at W Virginia	L 24-35	W (+17)	O (55½)
10/10	at Texas	L 14-38	W (+33½)	U (59)
10/17	KANSAS	W 34-30	W (+7½)	O (54½)
10/24	at Kansas St	L 6-20	L (+4½)	U (49½)
10/31	MISSOURI	L 17-36	L (+3)	O (46)
11/7	TEXAS A&M	W 35-34	W (+3)	O (56)
11/14	at Iowa St	L 10-17	L (+4½)	U (48½)
11/19	at Oklahoma St	L 28-31	W (+17)	O (47)
11/27	NEBRASKA	L 20-28	W (+10)	O (41)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	vs Colorado St	W 24-3	W (-11½)	U (46½)
9/11	at California	L 7-52	L (+10½)	O (49½)
9/18	HAWAII	W 31-13	W (-13½)	U (56)
10/2	GEORGIA	W 29-27	W (+5)	O (50½)
10/9	at Missouri	L 0-26	L (+12)	U (53)
10/16	BAYLOR	L 25-31	L (+1)	O (53½)
10/23	TEXAS TECH	L 24-27	P (+3)	U (57)
10/30	at Oklahoma	L 10-43	L (+25)	U (56)
11/6	at Kansas	L 45-52	L (-8½)	O (47)
11/13	IOWA ST	W 34-14	W (+1)	U (55)
11/20	KANSAS ST	W 44-36	W (+2)	O (54½)
11/26	at Nebraska	L 17-45	L (+18)	O (48½)

> PAC-12



OREGON

StatFox.com Power Rating: 62 (#3 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +26.8 (#3 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 38.38 (32nd toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 41.25 (12th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +28.3 (#3 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 12–1
2010 ATS Record: 7–6
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 8–4

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	vs. LSU
9/10	NEVADA
9/17	MISSOURI ST
9/24	at Arizona
10/6	CALIFORNIA
10/15	ARIZONA ST
10/22	at Colorado
10/29	WASHINGTON ST
11/5	at Washington
11/12	at Stanford
11/19	USC
11/26	OREGON ST

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	9–4	10–3	10–3	12–1	41–11	78.8%
OVERALL ATS	9–4	7–6	7–6	7–6	30–22	57.7%
HOME ATS	5–2	3–3	5–2	4–2	17–9	65.4%
ROAD ATS	4–2	4–3	2–4	3–4	13–13	50.0%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	5–4	5–4	6–3	4–5	20–16	55.6%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	4–0	2–2	1–3	3–1	10–6	62.5%
AS FAVORITE ATS	7–4	6–4	5–5	7–6	25–19	56.8%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	2–0	1–2	2–1	0–0	5–3	62.5%
OVER-UNDER	6–7	7–5	9–4	8–4	30–20	60.0%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	47.0	1
First Downs	26.5	1
Yards/Game	530.7	1
RushYds/Gm	286.2	4
Pass Yds/Gm	244.46	39
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	18.7	12
Yards/Game	346.0	34
RushYds/Gm	128.1	27
Pass Yds/Gm	217.9	56
Takeaways	37	2

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	WASHINGTON	W 44-10	W (-14½)	U (61½)
9/6	UTAH ST	W 66-24	W (-36)	O (51½)
9/13	at Purdue	W 32-26	L (-7)	U (58½)
9/20	BOISE ST	L 32-37	L (-10½)	O (52)
9/27	at Washington St	W 63-14	W (-21)	O (56½)
10/4	at USC	L 10-44	L (+16)	U (56½)
10/11	UCLA	W 31-24	L (-20½)	P (55)
10/25	at Arizona St	W 54-20	W (-3)	O (54½)
11/1	at California	L 16-26	L (+2½)	U (55½)
11/8	STANFORD	W 35-28	L (-13½)	O (56)
11/15	ARIZONA	W 55-45	W (-6)	O (62)
11/29	at Oregon St	W 65-38	W (+2)	O (58)
12/30	vs Oklahoma St	W 42-31	W (-1)	U (75½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/3	at Boise St	L 8-19	L (+3)	U (64)
9/12	PURDUE	W 38-36	L (-13)	O (57½)
9/19	UTAH	W 31-24	W (-4)	O (53½)
9/26	CALIFORNIA	W 42-3	W (+5½)	U (55)
10/3	WASHINGTON ST	W 52-6	W (-35)	O (55½)
10/10	at UCLA	W 24-10	W (-3)	U (44)
10/24	at Washington	W 43-19	W (-9½)	O (55½)
10/31	USC	W 47-20	W (+3)	O (48½)
11/7	at Stanford	L 42-51	L (-6½)	O (57½)
11/14	ARIZONA ST	W 44-21	W (-21)	O (51)
11/21	at Arizona	W 44-41	L (-5)	O (60)
12/3	OREGON ST	W 37-33	L (-9½)	O (63)
1/1	vs Ohio St	L 17-26	L (-4½)	U (51)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	NEW MEXICO	W 72-0	W (-36½)	O (54½)
9/11	at Tennessee	W 48-13	W (-10½)	O (52)
9/18	PORTLAND ST	W 69-0	W (-55)	
9/25	at Arizona St	W 42-31	L (-12½)	O (56½)
10/2	STANFORD	W 52-31	W (-6½)	O (64)
10/9	at Washington St	W 43-23	L (-37)	U (70)
10/21	UCLA	W 60-13	W (-26½)	O (60½)
10/30	at USC	W 53-32	W (-6)	O (72)
11/6	WASHINGTON	W 53-16	L (-38)	O (68)
11/13	at California	W 15-13	L (-19)	U (62½)
11/26	ARIZONA	W 48-29	L (-20)	O (64½)
12/4	at Oregon St	W 37-20	W (-16½)	U (62½)
1/10	vs Auburn	L 19-22	L (-1)	U (73½)

> PAC-12



OREGON STATE

StatFox.com Power Rating: 46 (#26 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +5.7 (#49 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 47.33 (1st toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 42.67 (4th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -2.4 (#79 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 5–7
2010 ATS Record: 7–5
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 6–6

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	SACRAMENTO ST
9/10	at Wisconsin
9/24	UCLA
10/1	at Arizona St
10/8	ARIZONA
10/15	BYU
10/22	at Washington St
10/29	at Utah
11/5	STANFORD
11/12	at California
11/19	WASHINGTON
11/26	at Oregon

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	9–4	9–4	8–5	5–7	31–20	60.8%
OVERALL ATS	8–4	9–4	7–5	7–5	31–18	63.3%
HOME ATS	4–1	4–2	2–3	3–3	13–9	59.1%
ROAD ATS	4–3	5–2	5–2	4–2	18–9	66.7%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	6–3	6–3	7–2	5–4	24–12	66.7%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	2–1	3–1	0–3	2–1	7–6	53.8%
AS FAVORITE ATS	5–2	6–3	2–4	2–3	15–12	55.6%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	3–2	3–1	5–1	5–2	16–6	72.7%
OVER-UNDER	6–6	7–6	6–6	6–6	25–24	51.0%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	24.4	82
First Downs	18.5	79
Yards/Game	326.5	94
RushYds/Gm	119.6	97
Pass Yds/Gm	206.92	71
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	26.8	64
Yards/Game	407.9	86
RushYds/Gm	179.5	89
Pass Yds/Gm	228.4	79
Takeaways	20	72

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/28	at Stanford	L 28-36	L (-2½)	O (45)
9/6	at Penn St	L 14-45	L (+15)	O (49)
9/13	HAWAII	W 45-7	W (-14)	U (56½)
9/25	USC	W 27-21	W (+24)	U (55½)
10/2	at Utah	L 28-31	W (+11½)	O (53)
10/11	WASHINGTON ST	W 66-13	W (-28½)	O (54½)
10/18	at Washington	W 34-13	W (-16½)	U (59)
11/1	ARIZONA ST	W 27-25	L (-14½)	O (51)
11/8	at UCLA	W 34-6	W (-7½)	U (48½)
11/15	CALIFORNIA	W 34-21	W (-3)	O (50½)
11/22	at Arizona	W 19-17	W (+3)	U (57)
11/29	OREGON	L 38-65	L (-2)	O (58)
12/31	vs Pittsburgh	W 3-0	W (-1½)	U (51)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	PORTLAND ST	W 34-7		
9/12	at UNLV	W 23-21	L (-6½)	U (53)
9/19	CINCINNATI	L 18-28	L (+1)	U (57½)
9/26	ARIZONA	L 32-37	L (-2½)	O (46)
10/3	at Arizona St	W 28-17	W (+5)	U (45½)
10/10	STANFORD	W 38-28	W (+2)	O (52½)
10/24	at USC	L 36-42	W (+21)	O (52)
10/31	UCLA	W 26-19	L (-10)	U (48)
11/7	at California	W 31-14	W (+6½)	U (61)
11/14	WASHINGTON	W 48-21	W (-13½)	O (58)
11/21	at Washington St	W 42-10	W (-31)	U (56)
12/3	at Oregon	L 33-37	W (+9½)	O (63)
12/22	vs BYU	L 20-44	L (-2½)	O (58)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	vs TCU	L 21-30	W (+13½)	O (49)
9/18	LOUISVILLE	W 35-28	L (-20½)	O (55)
9/25	at Boise St	L 24-37	W (+18)	O (56)
10/2	ARIZONA ST	W 31-28	W (-2½)	O (53)
10/9	at Arizona	W 29-27	W (+8½)	O (52½)
10/16	at Washington	L 34-35	W (+2)	O (60½)
10/30	CALIFORNIA	W 35-7	W (-3)	U (54)
11/6	at UCLA	L 14-17	L (-4)	U (53)
11/13	WASHINGTON ST	L 14-31	L (-23½)	U (56)
11/20	USC	W 36-7	W (+3)	U (58½)
11/27	at Stanford	L 0-38	L (+14)	U (57)
12/4	OREGON	L 20-37	L (+16½)	U (62½)

> PAC-12



SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

StatFox.com Power Rating: 45 (#32 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +4.6 (#52 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 42.38 (5th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 43.83 (2nd toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +4.3 (#51 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 8–5
2010 ATS Record: 5–7–1
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 7–6

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	MINNESOTA
9/10	UTAH
9/17	SYRACUSE
9/24	at Arizona St
10/1	ARIZONA
10/13	at California
10/22	at Notre Dame
10/29	STANFORD
11/4	at Colorado
11/12	WASHINGTON
11/19	at Oregon
11/26	UCLA

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	11–2	12–1	9–4	8–5	40–12	76.9%
OVERALL ATS	7–6	8–5	4–9	5–7	24–27	47.1%
HOME ATS	2–4	5–1	2–4	1–5	10–14	41.7%
ROAD ATS	5–2	3–4	2–5	4–2	14–13	51.9%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	4–5	4–5	2–7	5–4	15–21	41.7%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	3–1	4–0	2–2	0–3	9–6	60.0%
AS FAVORITE ATS	7–5	8–5	4–9	3–6	22–25	46.8%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	0–1	0–0	0–0	2–1	2–2	50.0%
OVER-UNDER	3–10	4–8	5–8	7–6	19–32	37.3%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	31.0	37
First Downs	23.3	19
Yards/Game	431.5	26
RushYds/Gm	189.3	25
Pass Yds/Gm	242.15	41
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	26.7	63
Yards/Game	400.1	84
RushYds/Gm	140.5	49
Pass Yds/Gm	259.5	109
Takeaways	26	30

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	at Virginia	W 52-7	W (-20½)	O (43)
9/13	OHIO ST	W 35-3	W (-11½)	U (44½)
9/25	at Oregon St	L 21-27	L (-24)	U (55½)
10/4	OREGON	W 44-10	W (-16)	U (56½)
10/11	ARIZONA ST	W 28-0	W (-27½)	U (49½)
10/18	at Washington St	W 69-0	W (-41½)	O (56½)
10/25	at Arizona	W 17-10	L (-14½)	U (52½)
11/1	WASHINGTON	W 56-0	W (-44½)	P (56)
11/8	CALIFORNIA	W 17-3	L (-22)	U (48)
11/15	at Stanford	W 45-23	L (-23½)	O (48½)
11/29	NOTRE DAME	W 38-3	W (-33½)	U (51)
12/6	at UCLA	W 28-7	L (-32)	U (46½)
1/1	vs Penn St	W 38-24	W (-10)	O (44½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	SAN JOSE ST	W 56-3	W (-34)	O (47)
9/12	at Ohio St	W 18-15	L (-7)	U (45½)
9/19	at Washington	L 13-16	L (-20)	U (53)
9/26	WASHINGTON ST	W 27-6	L (-45½)	U (57½)
10/3	at California	W 30-3	W (-4½)	U (45)
10/17	at Notre Dame	W 34-27	L (-9½)	O (51)
10/24	OREGON ST	W 42-36	L (-21)	O (52)
10/31	at Oregon	L 20-47	L (-3)	O (48½)
11/7	at Arizona St	W 14-9	L (-12)	U (45½)
11/14	STANFORD	L 21-55	L (-11½)	O (58)
11/28	UCLA	W 28-7	W (-13)	U (47)
12/5	ARIZONA	L 17-21	L (-7)	U (50)
12/26	vs Boston College	W 24-13	W (-7½)	U (45)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/2	at Hawaii	W 49-36	L (-21)	O (53)
9/11	VIRGINIA	W 17-14	L (-20½)	U (53)
9/18	at Minnesota	W 32-21	P (-11)	U (55)
9/25	at Washington St	W 50-16	W (-22)	O (54½)
10/2	WASHINGTON	L 31-32	L (-9½)	O (59½)
10/9	at Stanford	L 35-37	W (+10)	O (60)
10/16	CALIFORNIA	W 48-14	W (-2)	O (54)
10/30	OREGON	L 32-53	L (+6)	O (72)
11/6	ARIZONA ST	W 34-33	L (-6)	O (61)
11/13	at Arizona	W 24-21	W (+4)	U (61)
11/20	at Oregon St	L 7-36	L (-3)	U (58½)
11/27	NOTRE DAME	L 16-20	L (-5)	U (53)
12/4	at UCLA	W 28-14	W (-6½)	U (52)

> PAC-12



STANFORD

StatFox.com Power Rating: 66 (#1 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +23.1 (#5 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 39.00 (25th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 38.75 (34th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +22.9 (#5 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 12–1
2010 ATS Record: 8–5
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 6–6

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	SAN JOSE ST
9/10	at Duke
9/17	at Arizona
10/1	UCLA
10/8	COLORADO
10/15	at Washington St
10/22	WASHINGTON
10/29	at USC
11/5	at Oregon St
11/12	OREGON
11/19	CALIFORNIA
11/26	NOTRE DAME

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	4–8	5–7	8–5	12–1	29–21	58.0%
OVERALL ATS	5–7	7–5	8–5	8–5	28–22	56.0%
HOME ATS	3–5	5–0	5–2	3–3	16–10	61.5%
ROAD ATS	2–2	2–5	3–3	5–2	12–12	50.0%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	3–6	6–3	6–3	5–4	20–16	55.6%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	2–1	1–2	2–2	3–0	8–5	61.5%
AS FAVORITE ATS	1–1	2–1	5–3	8–3	16–8	66.7%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	4–6	5–4	3–2	0–1	12–13	48.0%
OVER-UNDER	3–9	9–3	8–5	6–6	26–23	53.1%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	40.3	9
First Downs	24.3	11
Yards/Game	472.5	14
RushYds/Gm	213.8	17
Pass Yds/Gm	258.69	29
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	17.4	10
Yards/Game	322.9	21
RushYds/Gm	120.9	19
Pass Yds/Gm	202.0	35
Takeaways	30	12

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/28	OREGON ST	W 36-28	W (+2½)	O (45)
9/6	at Arizona St	L 17-41	L (+14½)	O (51½)
9/13	at TCU	L 14-31	L (+15½)	O (39½)
9/20	SAN JOSE ST	W 23-10	W (-6½)	U (44½)
9/27	at Washington	W 35-28	W (+3½)	O (54½)
10/4	at Notre Dame	L 21-28	L (+6)	O (48)
10/11	ARIZONA	W 24-23	W (+6½)	U (52½)
10/18	at UCLA	L 20-23	L (-2)	U (46)
11/1	WASHINGTON ST	W 58-0	W (-28)	O (51)
11/8	at Oregon	L 28-35	W (+13½)	O (56)
11/15	USC	L 23-45	W (+23½)	O (48½)
11/22	at California	L 16-37	L (+9½)	O (50½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	at Washington St	W 39-13	W (-17)	U (52½)
9/12	at Wake Forest	L 17-24	L (+3)	U (46½)
9/19	SAN JOSE ST	W 42-17	W (-18)	O (47½)
9/26	WASHINGTON	W 34-14	W (-9)	U (54)
10/3	UCLA	W 24-16	W (-4½)	U (45)
10/10	at Oregon St	L 28-38	L (-2)	O (52½)
10/17	at Arizona	L 38-43	L (+4)	O (53½)
10/24	ARIZONA ST	W 33-14	W (-7)	U (49)
11/7	OREGON	W 51-42	W (+6½)	O (57½)
11/14	at USC	W 55-21	W (+11½)	O (58)
11/21	CALIFORNIA	L 28-34	L (-7)	O (60)
11/28	NOTRE DAME	W 45-38	L (-10)	O (64)
12/31	vs Oklahoma	L 27-31	W (+10½)	O (54½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	SACRAMENTO ST	W 52-17	L (-42)	
9/11	at UCLA	W 35-0	W (-5½)	U (52)
9/18	WAKE FOREST	W 68-24	W (-17½)	O (56)
9/25	at Notre Dame	W 37-14	W (-4½)	U (59½)
10/2	at Oregon	L 31-52	L (+6½)	O (64)
10/9	USC	W 37-35	L (-10)	O (60)
10/23	WASHINGTON ST	W 38-28	L (-37)	O (65½)
10/30	at Washington	W 41-0	W (-7)	U (64)
11/6	ARIZONA	W 42-17	W (-7½)	O (57½)
11/13	at Arizona St	W 17-13	L (-4½)	U (59)
11/20	at California	W 48-14	W (-6½)	O (49)
11/27	OREGON ST	W 38-0	W (-14)	U (57)
1/3	vs Virginia Tech	W 40-12	W (-3½)	U (58½)

> PAC-12



UCLA

StatFox.com Power Rating: 33 (#69 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -6.5 (#92 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 44.50 (3rd toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 40.83 (15th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -10.2 (#98 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 4–8
2010 ATS Record: 3–8–1
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 6–6

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	at Houston
9/10	SAN JOSE ST
9/17	TEXAS
9/24	at Oregon St
10/1	at Stanford
10/8	WASHINGTON ST
10/20	at Arizona
10/29	CALIFORNIA
11/5	ARIZONA ST
11/12	at Utah
11/19	COLORADO
11/26	at USC

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	6–7	4–8	7–6	4–8	21–29	42.0%
OVERALL ATS	9–4	7–5	7–6	3–8	26–23	53.1%
HOME ATS	5–1	5–2	3–3	2–3	15–9	62.5%
ROAD ATS	4–3	2–3	4–3	1–5	11–14	44.0%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	7–2	5–4	3–6	1–7	16–19	45.7%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	2–2	2–1	4–0	2–1	10–4	71.4%
AS FAVORITE ATS	3–3	2–0	5–1	0–1	10–5	66.7%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	6–1	5–5	2–5	3–7	16–18	47.1%
OVER-UNDER	5–8	4–7	3–10	6–6	18–31	36.7%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	20.2	104
First Downs	18.4	81
Yards/Game	316.7	100
RushYds/Gm	175.6	32
Pass Yds/Gm	141.08	116
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	30.3	86
Yards/Game	420.1	94
RushYds/Gm	205.5	108
Pass Yds/Gm	214.6	53
Takeaways	18	86

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/1	TENNESSEE	W 27-24	W (+7)	O (45½)
9/13	at BYU	L 0-59	L (+8½)	O (51)
9/20	ARIZONA	L 10-31	L (+4)	U (50½)
9/27	FRESNO ST	L 31-36	W (+7)	O (48)
10/4	WASHINGTON ST	W 28-3	W (-15½)	U (54)
10/11	at Oregon	L 24-31	W (+20½)	P (55)
10/18	STANFORD	W 23-20	W (+2)	U (46)
10/25	at California	L 20-41	L (+19½)	O (51)
11/8	OREGON ST	L 6-34	L (+7½)	U (48½)
11/15	at Washington	W 27-7	W (-6½)	U (47)
11/28	at Arizona St	L 9-34	L (+10)	U (45)
12/6	USC	L 7-28	W (+32)	U (46½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	SAN DIEGO ST	W 33-14	W (-18½)	U (47½)
9/12	at Tennessee	W 19-15	W (+10½)	U (46)
9/19	KANSAS ST	W 23-9	W (-11)	U (44½)
10/3	at Stanford	L 16-24	L (+4½)	U (45)
10/10	OREGON	L 10-24	L (+3)	U (44)
10/17	CALIFORNIA	L 26-45	L (+3½)	O (44)
10/24	at Arizona	L 13-27	L (+7½)	U (49½)
10/31	at Oregon St	L 19-26	W (+10)	U (48)
11/7	WASHINGTON	W 24-23	L (-4½)	U (52)
11/14	at Washington St	W 43-7	W (-18)	O (48)
11/21	ARIZONA ST	W 23-13	W (-5½)	U (41)
11/28	at USC	L 7-28	L (+13)	U (47)
12/29	vs Temple	W 30-21	W (-4½)	O (44)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	at Kansas St	L 22-31	L (+1)	O (44½)
9/11	STANFORD	L 0-35	L (+5½)	U (52)
9/18	HOUSTON	W 31-13	W (+3½)	U (66)
9/25	at Texas	W 34-12	W (+15½)	O (43½)
10/2	WASHINGTON ST	W 42-28	L (-23½)	O (48)
10/9	at California	L 7-35	L (+8)	U (49)
10/21	at Oregon	L 13-60	L (+26½)	O (60½)
10/30	ARIZONA	L 21-29	P (+8)	O (47)
11/6	OREGON ST	W 17-14	W (+4)	U (53)
11/18	at Washington	L 7-24	L (+2)	U (51½)
11/26	at Arizona St	L 34-55	L (+13)	O (48½)
12/4	USC	L 14-28	L (+6½)	U (52)

> PAC-12



UTAH

StatFox.com Power Rating: 46 (#26 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +13.0 (#19 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 36.15 (53rd toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 37.75 (41st toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +12.8 (#20 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 10–3
2010 ATS Record: 7–5–1
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 7–6

2011 SCHEDULE

9/1	MONTANA ST
9/10	at USC
9/17	at BYU
10/1	WASHINGTON
10/8	ARIZONA ST
10/15	at Pittsburgh
10/22	at California
10/29	OREGON ST
11/5	at Arizona
11/12	UCLA
11/19	at Washington St
11/25	COLORADO

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	9–4	13–0	10–3	10–3	42–10	80.8%
OVERALL ATS	7–6	8–4	6–7	7–5	28–22	56.0%
HOME ATS	4–2	3–2	3–3	3–2	13–9	59.1%
ROAD ATS	3–4	5–2	3–4	4–3	15–13	53.6%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	5–3	5–3	4–4	5–3	19–13	59.4%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	2–3	3–1	2–3	2–2	9–9	50.0%
AS FAVORITE ATS	4–4	5–4	4–5	7–3	20–16	55.6%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	3–2	3–0	2–2	0–2	8–6	57.1%
OVER-UNDER	5–8	10–2	5–8	7–6	27–24	52.9%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	33.1	23
First Downs	18.7	74
Yards/Game	389.0	52
RushYds/Gm	152.9	62
Pass Yds/Gm	236.08	47
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	20.3	24
Yards/Game	336.9	27
RushYds/Gm	111.7	11
Pass Yds/Gm	225.2	73
Takeaways	25	36

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	at Michigan	W 25-23	W (+3)	O (43)
9/6	UNLV	W 42-21	L (-21½)	O (44)
9/13	at Utah St	W 58-10	W (-24½)	O (50½)
9/20	at Air Force	W 30-23	L (-9½)	O (50½)
9/27	WEBER ST	W 37-21		
10/2	OREGON ST	W 31-28	L (-11½)	O (53)
10/11	at Wyoming	W 40-7	W (-21½)	O (41)
10/18	COLORADO ST	W 49-16	W (-21)	O (49½)
11/1	at New Mexico	W 13-10	L (-7½)	U (45½)
11/6	TCU	W 13-10	W (+1½)	U (42)
11/15	at San Diego st	W 63-14	W (-28)	O (53)
11/22	BYU	W 48-24	W (-6½)	O (56)
1/2	vs Alabama	W 31-17	W (+9½)	O (46½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/3	UTAH ST	W 35-17	L (-20½)	O (50)
9/12	at San Jose st	W 24-14	L (-13½)	U (48)
9/19	at Oregon	L 24-31	L (+4)	O (53½)
9/26	LOUISVILLE	W 30-14	W (-14)	U (52½)
10/10	at Colorado St	W 24-17	L (-9)	U (50½)
10/17	at UNLV	W 35-15	W (-14½)	U (60)
10/24	AIR FORCE	W 23-16	L (-9)	U (43)
10/31	WYOMING	W 22-10	L (-17)	U (44½)
11/7	NEW MEXICO	W 45-14	W (-27)	O (47½)
11/14	at TCU	L 28-55	L (+19½)	O (49)
11/21	SAN DIEGO ST	W 38-7	W (-21)	U (54½)
11/28	at BYU	L 23-26	W (+8)	U (55½)
12/23	vs California	W 37-27	W (+2½)	O (52½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/2	PITTSBURGH	W 27-24	P (-3)	O (48½)
9/11	UNLV	W 38-10	W (-20½)	U (54)
9/18	at New Mexico	W 56-14	W (-21½)	O (54)
9/25	SAN JOSE ST	W 56-3	W (-30)	O (52)
10/9	at Iowa St	W 68-27	W (-5½)	O (54)
10/16	at Wyoming	W 30-6	W (-22)	U (53)
10/23	COLORADO ST	W 59-6	W (-31)	O (56)
10/30	at Air Force	W 28-23	L (-6½)	U (55½)
11/6	TCU	L 7-47	L (+4)	O (50½)
11/13	at Notre Dame	L 3-28	L (-5½)	U (53)
11/20	at San Diego st	W 38-34	W (-2½)	O (55)
11/27	BYU	W 17-16	L (-7½)	U (50½)
12/22	vs Boise St	L 3-26	L (+16)	U (58)

> PAC-12

WASHINGTON

StatFox.com Power Rating: 41 (#47 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -3.3 (#84 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 45.31 (2nd toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 42.08 (5th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -7.5 (#92 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 7–6
2010 ATS Record: 7–6
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 7–6

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	E WASHINGTON
9/10	HAWAII
9/17	at Nebraska
9/24	CALIFORNIA
10/1	at Utah
10/15	COLORADO
10/22	at Stanford
10/29	ARIZONA
11/5	OREGON
11/12	at USC
11/19	at Oregon St
11/26	WASHINGTON ST

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	4–9	0–12	5–7	7–6	16–34	32.0%
OVERALL ATS	6–7	1–11	7–5	7–6	21–29	42.0%
HOME ATS	3–4	1–6	5–2	2–4	11–16	40.7%
ROAD ATS	3–3	0–5	2–3	5–2	10–13	43.5%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	3–6	0–9	5–4	5–4	13–23	36.1%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	3–1	1–2	2–1	2–2	8–6	57.1%
AS FAVORITE ATS	2–2	0–2	1–1	3–2	6–7	46.2%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	4–5	1–9	6–4	4–4	15–22	40.5%
OVER-UNDER	9–4	6–5	6–6	7–6	28–21	57.1%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	21.9	96
First Downs	18.5	80
Yards/Game	362.5	76
RushYds/Gm	172.2	38
Pass Yds/Gm	190.38	84
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	29.3	80
Yards/Game	384.9	70
RushYds/Gm	190.5	97
Pass Yds/Gm	194.4	27
Takeaways	19	77

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	at Oregon	L 10-44	L (+14½)	U (61½)
9/6	BYU	L 27-28	W (+7½)	O (54½)
9/13	OKLAHOMA	L 14-55	L (+20½)	O (61½)
9/27	STANFORD	L 28-35	L (-3½)	O (54½)
10/4	at Arizona	L 14-48	L (+23)	O (61)
10/18	OREGON ST	L 13-34	L (+16½)	U (59)
10/25	NOTRE DAME	L 7-33	L (+9)	U (55)
11/1	at USC	L 0-56	L (+44½)	P (56)
11/8	ARIZONA ST	L 19-39	L (+13)	O (49½)
11/15	UCLA	L 7-27	L (+6½)	U (47)
11/22	at Washington St	L 13-16	L (-6½)	U (47)
12/6	at California	L 7-48	L (+34½)	O (54)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	LSU	L 23-31	W (+17½)	O (52½)
9/12	IDAHO	W 42-23	L (-20½)	O (55)
9/19	USC	W 16-13	W (+20)	U (53)
9/26	at Stanford	L 14-34	L (+9)	U (54)
10/3	at Notre Dame	L 30-37	W (+12)	O (55)
10/10	ARIZONA	W 36-33	W (+4)	O (54)
10/17	at Arizona St	L 17-24	L (+6½)	U (47½)
10/24	OREGON	L 19-43	L (+9½)	O (55½)
11/7	at UCLA	L 23-24	W (+4½)	U (52)
11/14	at Oregon St	L 21-48	L (+13½)	O (58)
11/28	WASHINGTON ST	W 30-0	W (-25½)	U (60½)
12/5	CALIFORNIA	W 42-10	W (+6½)	U (57)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	at BYU	L 17-23	L (-1½)	U (56½)
9/11	SYRACUSE	W 41-20	W (-13)	O (51½)
9/18	NEBRASKA	L 21-56	L (+2½)	O (51)
10/2	at USC	W 32-31	W (+9½)	O (59½)
10/9	ARIZONA ST	L 14-24	L (+1)	U (59)
10/16	OREGON ST	W 35-34	L (-2)	O (60½)
10/23	at Arizona	L 14-44	L (+6)	O (53)
10/30	STANFORD	L 0-41	L (+7)	U (64)
11/6	at Oregon	L 16-53	W (+38)	O (68)
11/18	UCLA	W 24-7	W (-2)	U (51½)
11/27	at California	W 16-13	W (+7)	U (50)
12/4	at Washington St	W 35-28	W (-5½)	O (54)
12/30	vs Nebraska	W 19-7	W (+12)	U (55)

> PAC-12

WASHINGTON STATE

StatFox.com Power Rating: 26 (#93 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -11.0 (#104 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 42.08 (8th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 40.00 (24th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -16.3 (#111 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 2–10
2010 ATS Record: 7–5
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 6–5

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	IDAHO ST
9/10	UNLV
9/17	at San Diego st
10/1	at Colorado
10/8	at UCLA
10/15	STANFORD
10/22	OREGON ST
10/29	at Oregon
11/5	at California
11/12	ARIZONA ST
11/19	UTAH
11/26	at Washington

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	5–7	2–11	1–11	2–10	10–39	20.4%
OVERALL ATS	6–6	4–8	5–7	7–5	22–26	45.8%
HOME ATS	3–2	2–4	2–3	3–3	10–12	45.5%
ROAD ATS	3–4	2–4	3–4	4–2	12–14	46.2%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	5–4	3–6	3–6	6–3	17–19	47.2%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	1–2	1–2	2–1	1–2	5–7	41.7%
AS FAVORITE ATS	2–2	0–0	0–0	0–1	2–3	40.0%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	4–4	4–8	5–7	7–4	20–23	46.5%
OVER-UNDER	6–6	7–5	4–8	6–5	23–24	48.9%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	19.6	106
First Downs	17.7	93
Yards/Game	330.2	92
RushYds/Gm	91.0	117
Pass Yds/Gm	239.17	42
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	35.8	110
Yards/Game	467.0	118
RushYds/Gm	220.3	115
Pass Yds/Gm	246.8	104
Takeaways	22	59

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	OKLAHOMA ST	L 13-39	L (+8)	U (64)
9/6	CALIFORNIA	L 3-66	L (+13½)	O (52)
9/12	at Baylor	L 17-45	L (+6½)	O (51½)
9/20	PORTLAND ST	W 48-9		
9/27	OREGON	L 14-63	L (+21)	O (56½)
10/4	at UCLA	L 3-28	L (+15½)	U (54)
10/11	at Oregon St	L 13-66	L (+28½)	O (54½)
10/18	USC	L 0-69	L (+41½)	O (56½)
11/1	at Stanford	L 0-58	L (+28)	O (51)
11/8	ARIZONA	L 28-59	W (+39)	O (56)
11/15	at Arizona St	L 0-31	W (+35)	U (56½)
11/22	WASHINGTON	W 16-13	W (+6½)	U (47)
11/29	at Hawaii	L 10-24	W (+27½)	U (51½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	STANFORD	L 13-39	L (+17)	U (52½)
9/12	vs Hawaii	L 20-38	L (+2½)	O (55)
9/19	SMU	W 30-27	W (+4)	U (58)
9/26	at USC	L 6-27	W (+45½)	U (57½)
10/3	at Oregon	L 6-52	L (+35)	O (55½)
10/10	ARIZONA ST	L 14-27	W (+20½)	U (47)
10/24	at California	L 17-49	W (+36½)	O (60)
10/31	vs Notre Dame	L 14-40	W (+28)	U (62)
11/7	at Arizona	L 7-48	L (+32½)	U (56½)
11/14	UCLA	L 7-43	L (+18)	O (48)
11/21	OREGON ST	L 10-42	L (+31)	U (56)
11/28	at Washington	L 0-30	L (+25½)	U (60½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	at Oklahoma St	L 17-65	L (+16½)	O (51)
9/11	MONTANA ST	W 23-22	L (-7½)	
9/18	at SMU	L 21-35	W (+23½)	O (53½)
9/25	USC	L 16-50	L (+22)	O (54½)
10/2	at UCLA	L 28-42	W (+23½)	O (48)
10/9	OREGON	L 23-43	W (+37)	U (70)
10/16	ARIZONA	L 7-24	W (+23)	U (61)
10/23	at Stanford	L 28-38	W (+37)	O (65½)
10/30	at Arizona St	L 0-42	L (+22)	U (57)
11/6	CALIFORNIA	L 13-20	W (+14)	U (53½)
11/13	at Oregon St	W 31-14	W (+23½)	U (56)
12/4	WASHINGTON	L 28-35	L (+5½)	O (54)

SEC

PREDICTED FINISH

EASTERN DIVISION	WESTERN DIVISION
1. Georgia	1. Alabama
2. South Carolina	2. LSU
3. Florida	3. Arkansas
4. Tennessee	4. Mississippi St.
5. Kentucky	5. Auburn
6. Vanderbilt	6. Mississippi

ALABAMA

Offense: Pro Style - Starters Returning: 7
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 9

Last year was a letdown for Alabama, which was the favorite to repeat as BCS Champions. The Tide lost three games, allowing 29.0 PPG in the defeats. But SEC/BCS title aspirations remain in Tuscaloosa despite the loss of QB Greg McElroy, RB Mark Ingram and WR Julio Jones. This year's offense clearly revolves around RB Trent Richardson (700 rush yds, 6.3 YPC, 11 total TD) who is the strongest and fastest player on the team. The new QB will be either sophomore A.J. McCarron (389 pass yds, 3 TD, 0 INT) or freshman Phillip Sims, and will have senior receivers to throw to in Marquis Maze (557 rec yds, 3 TD) and Darius Hanks (456 rec yds, 3 TD). The O-Line returns four starters and should improve its 2.5 sacks per game allowed (88th in nation). The nation's fifth-ranked defense (286 YPG), which was also third in scoring (13.5 PPG), remains stacked. The D-Line is the weak spot minus Marcell Dareus, but Courtney Upshaw (7 sacks, 14.5 TFL, 4 FF) leads a strong LB group and FS Robert Lester (8 INT) stars in an outstanding secondary.

ARKANSAS

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 6
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 7

If the Razorbacks are going to win the SEC like folks in Fayetteville believe they will, the title run makes regular-season stops at Alabama and at LSU. Many pieces remain for Arkansas to be very good, but QB Ryan Mallett is now in the NFL, leaving signal-calling duties to junior Tyler Wilson (34-51, 453 yds, 4 TD, 3 INT). Wilson's best option is to hand off to RB Knile Davis who was the top RB in the SEC with 1,322 rushing yards (6.5 YPC, 13 TD). Three solid receivers also return in Joe Adams (813 rec yds, 6 TD), Jarius Wright (788 rec yds, 5 TD) and Greg Childs (659 rec yds, 6 TD). But TE D.J. Williams is gone and so are three O-Line starters. Bobby Petrino expects a big improvement out of his defense, which ranked 47th in scoring (23.4 PPG) and 71st against the run (162 YPG). Most of the starters return, including DEs Jake Bequette (7 sacks, 8.5 TFL) and Tenarius Wright (6 sacks, 8 TFL), LBs Jerry Franklin (100 tackles, 13 TFL, 6.5 sacks) and Jerico Nelson (87 tackles, 11 TFL), and safety Tramain Thomas (83 tackles, 4 INT, 4 FF).

AUBURN

Offense: Spread - Starters Returning: 3
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 3

The cupboard isn't entirely bare for the defending BCS Champions, but a whole gaggle of unknown players will have to step up for Auburn to be competitive in the stacked SEC West. The departure of Heisman Trophy winner Cam Newton, and his 51 TD, leaves the QB position to one of three players – junior Barrett Trotter (6-of-9, 64 yds), sophomore Clint Moseley (2 rush, 8 yds) or freshman Kiehl Frazier. The strength of these Tigers is the ground game with the duo of Michael Dyer (1,093 rush yds, 5 TD, 6.0 YPC) and Onterio McCalebb (810 rush yds, 9 TD, 8.5 YPC), but they'll be running behind a young O-Line with just one returning starter. Emory Blake (526 rec yds, team-best 8 TD) leads a quality group of receivers. On defense, only three players return to a unit that was inconsistent last year – DE Nosa Eguae (3.5 sacks, 7.5 TFL), OLB Daren Bates (48 tackles, 7 QB hurries) and CB Neiko Thorpe (64 tackles, 9 PD). The secondary was the weak link of the 2010 season, ranking 108th in pass defense (259 YPG allowed).

FLORIDA

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 7
Defense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 4

Will Muschamp left his DC/coach-in-waiting position at Texas to try to get this Florida program back to respectability. The Gators lost five of their final nine games last year and ranked 82nd in total offense (351 YPG). To further help matters, Muschamp hired former Patriots OC Charlie Weis who has three Super Bowl rings. Weis' pro-style elements should help QB John Brantley (2,061 pass yds, 9 TD, 10 INT) improve. There are plenty of weapons in the supporting cast with RB Jeff Demps (551 rush yds, 6.0 YPC), WR Deonte Thompson (570 rec yds) and TE Jordan Reed (6 total TD). The O-Line lost four players from last year, but should still be adequate. Muschamp and new DC Dan Quinn (Seahawks D-Line coach) will implement both 3-4 and 4-3 schemes. DTs Jaye Howard (10 TFL) and Sharrif Floyd (6.5 TFL) are good run stuffers, but the pass rush is weak and the LBs didn't do much aside from Jelani Jenkins (76 tackles). The secondary is in disarray with two starting safeties gone and All-SEC CB Janoris Jenkins kicked off the team.

GEORGIA

Offense: Multiple Pro - Starters Returning: 6
Defense: Base 34 - Starters Returning: 7

Despite its 6-7 season, Georgia has the tools to win the SEC East. Aaron Murray (3,049 pass yds, 24 TD, 8 INT) could be the conference's best QB, and he'll have WR Tavarres King (504 rec yds) replacing A.J. Green at flanker and a top-notch TE in Orson Charles (422 rec yds). Washaun Ealey's transfer hurts the running game, but senior Caleb King (430 rush yds, 5.4 YPC) and stud freshman Isaiah Crowell should handle the workload. A big concern is replacing LT Trinton Sturdivant who will miss the season with a knee injury. The defense was solid last year (329 YPG, 23rd in nation), but the Bulldogs only had 24 sacks. OLB Justin Houston had 10 of those sacks, but he's gone. The remaining LBs are highly athletic with USC transfer Jarvis Jones on the strong side and converted safety Alec Ogletree (26 solo tackles) in the middle. Christian Robinson (46 tackles, 6 TFL) mans the middle and is the leader of this group. The secondary will be superb with all four starters returning, including CB Brandon Boykin (3 INT) and S Bacarri Rambo (3 INT).

KENTUCKY

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 6
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 9

Kentucky was only 6-7 in Joker Phillips' first season, but was among the top 35 in the country in offense (428 YPG) and scoring (31.2 PPG). However, the Wildcats lost QB Mike Hartline, and 32 of their 53 scores in the departed trio of Randall Cobb (13 TD), Derrick Locke (10 TD) and Chris Matthews (9 TD). QB Morgan Newton (971 pass yds, 6 TD, 3 INT in career) replaces Hartline, but could struggle without a lack of proven skill players other than RB Raymond Sanders (466 all-purpose yds, 4 TD). Newton will be protected by a strong O-Line returning four starters. The lack of a pass rush (no player eclipsed three sacks for the season) is the biggest weakness on defense. All-American WLB Danny Trevathan (144 tackles, 16 TFL, 4 FF) leads a decent LB corps, while the 14th-ranked pass defense (177 YPG) brings back the entire secondary, including SS Winston Guy (106 tackles, 3 INT).

LSU

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 8
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 7

If the Tigers are to fulfill their 2011 SEC and BCS title aspirations, they have to get better QB play from Jordan Jefferson (7 TD, 10 INT). The senior looked great in the spring, and will benefit from the ultra-talented WR duo of Reuben Randle (544 rec yds) and Russell Shepard (254 yds). LSU also brought in former Louisville head coach Steve Kragthorpe as the new OC to boost the team's 107th-ranked passing attack (156 YPG). With Stevan Ridley gone, sophomore TB Spencer Ware (10 rush, 102 yds in Cotton Bowl) will be the top RB, and get plenty of holes from a great offensive line. The Tigers lost three excellent defenders (DT Drake Nevis, MLB Kelvin Sheppard and CB Patrick Peterson), but still have a strong front seven led by DE Sam Montgomery (6 TFL in 5 games), WLB Ryan Baker (7 sacks), and two top-notch corners in Morris Claiborne (5 INT) and Tyrann Mathieu (7 PD).

MISSISSIPPI

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 9
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 5

The Rebels were just 1-7 in SEC play last year and may struggle just as much in 2011. The good news for Ole Miss is that senior RB Brandon Bolden (976 rush yds, 6.0 YPC, 14 TD) has never looked better and will have an excellent O-Line blocking for him. The bad news is that opponents might stuff the box with 8 and 9-man fronts if the 82nd-ranked passing game (192 YPG) stays inept. Dual-threat junior Randall Mackey will likely win the starting QB job, but his WRs are pretty thin after Melvin Harris (408 rec yds). The defense gets a nice boost with DE Kentrell Lockett (5 sacks, 10 TFL in '09) back after missing 2010 with a knee injury. This will help offset the spring losses of LBs D.T. Shackelford (knee) and Clarence Jackson (dismissal after arrest). The pass defense (246 YPG, 103rd in nation) also needs serious work, as only one returnee had an interception last year (Charles Sawyer, 2 INT).

MISSISSIPPI STATE

Offense: Multiple Spread Option - Starters Returning: 8
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 7

After an 8-2 finish last season, the Bulldogs hope to make some noise in the powerful SEC West. QB Chris Relf (1,789 pass yds, 13 TD, 6 INT; 713 rush yds, 5 TD) has always been a great rusher, but his passing ability improved greatly last year (569 pass yds, 6 TD in final two games). Relf has a strong supporting cast with TB Vick Ballard (968 rush yds, 5.3 YPC, 19 TD) and WR Chad Bumphis (634 rec yds, 5 TD), and a quality offensive line returning three starters. The defense hung tough last year, racking up 90 TFL and holding opponents under 20 PPG. But MSU loses its three best front-seven players who combined for 33.5 TFL (DE Pernell McPhee, LB Chris White, LB K.J. Wright). The secondary should improve though, with a trio of 3-INT returnees (CBs Johnthan Banks and Corey Broomfield, and FS Nickoe Whitley).

SOUTH CAROLINA

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 7
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 6

The Gamecocks are loaded for a return trip to the SEC title game. The school's top three offensive players all return in RB Marcus Lattimore (4.8 YPC, 1,609 total yards, 19 TD), WR Alshon Jeffery (88 rec, 1,517 yds, 9 TD) and QB Stephen Garcia (3,059 pass yds, 20 TD, 14 INT). Garcia had two spring suspensions, which could help sophomore Connor Shaw take his job. Plenty of stars return to the defense, including DE Melvin Ingram (9 sacks, 11 TFL), DE Devin Taylor (7.5 sacks, 13 TFL) and DT Travian Robertson (4 sacks, 10 TFL) up front. Highly-touted DE Jadeveon Clowney is also expected to boost the pass rush. The biggest weakness on defense was defending the pass (242 YPG, 97th in nation), but the coaches point out the secondary wasn't all at fault. Four DBs who started last season return hungry, led by CB Stephon Gilmore (3 INT, 79 tackles) and SS D.J. Swearinger (5 PD, 66 tackles).

TENNESSEE

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 7
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 6

Tennessee played a killer schedule last year, starting off 2-6. But the Vols then won four straight before losing in double-OT to UNC in the Music City Bowl. This young team has loads of potential, especially QB Tyler Bray (1,849 pass yds, 18 TD, 10 INT). He really matured throughout his freshman year and should work well with athletic sophomore WRs Justin Hunter (415 rec yds, 25.9 avg, 7 TD) and spring star Da'Rick Rogers. The ground game should also take a step forward as the sophomore-laden offensive line continues to improve its blocking for RB Tauren Poole (1,034 rush yds, 11 TD, 5.1 YPC). Defensively, only two starters return to the front seven – DT Malik Jackson (5 sacks, 11 TFL) and WLB Herman Lathers (75 tackles, 2.5 sacks). But the secondary has very good ball skills in FS Janzen Jackson (5 INT) and CBs Prentiss Waggner (5 INT, 3 for TD) and Marsalis Teague (8 PD).

VANDERBILT

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 11
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 8

The Commodores were 2-10, losing their final seven games by 26.6 PPG. The offense ranked 112th in scoring (16.9 PPG) and 110th in yardage (298 YPG). New head coach James Franklin tries to right the ship with nearly all the starters from last year. The offense is paced by QB Larry Smith (11 TD, 13 INT career), RB Warren Norman (459 rush yds, 6.0 YPC) and TE Brandon Barden (425 rec yds). If Smith is to make the necessary improvements for Vandy to be competitive, he'll need more playmakers like WR Jordan Matthews (4 TD on 15 rec). The defense needs major help with its weak pass rush (20 sacks in '10). DE Tim Fugger (4 FF), DT Rob Lohr (3.5 sacks) and MLB Chris Marve (80 tackles) key the front lines. The secondary is quite strong, led by CB Casey Hayward (6 INT) and SS Sean Richardson (99 tackles, 5 PD).

> SEC



ALABAMA

StatFox.com Power Rating: 63 (#2 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +23.9 (#4 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 39.92 (21st toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 38.58 (36th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +22.2 (#6 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 10–3
2010 ATS Record: 8–5
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 4–7–1

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	KENT ST
9/10	at Penn St
9/17	NORTH TEXAS
9/24	ARKANSAS
10/1	at Florida
10/8	VANDERBILT
10/15	at Ole Miss
10/22	TENNESSEE
11/5	LSU
11/12	at Mississippi St
11/19	GA SOUTHERN
11/26	at Auburn

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	7–6	12–2	14–0	10–3	43–11	79.6%
OVERALL ATS	3–8	9–5	9–4	8–5	29–22	56.9%
HOME ATS	1–4	4–3	3–3	5–2	13–12	52.0%
ROAD ATS	2–4	5–2	6–1	3–3	16–10	61.5%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	2–5	6–3	6–3	3–5	17–16	51.5%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	1–3	3–2	3–1	5–0	12–6	66.7%
AS FAVORITE ATS	2–6	7–4	8–4	8–5	25–19	56.8%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	1–2	2–1	1–0	0–0	4–3	57.1%
OVER-UNDER	6–6	5–9	7–5	4–7	22–27	44.9%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	35.7	18
First Downs	22.1	27
Yards/Game	444.1	22
RushYds/Gm	182.9	29
Pass Yds/Gm	261.15	27
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	13.5	3
Yards/Game	286.4	5
RushYds/Gm	110.2	10
Pass Yds/Gm	176.2	13
Takeaways	26	30

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	vs Clemson	W 34-10	W (+4½)	U (46)
9/6	TULANE	W 20-6	L (-29)	U (45½)
9/13	W KENTUCKY	W 41-7	W (-26)	O (47½)
9/20	at Arkansas	W 49-14	W (-9)	O (47½)
9/27	at Georgia	W 41-30	W (+6)	O (46)
10/4	KENTUCKY	W 17-14	L (-15)	U (44½)
10/18	OLE MISS	W 24-20	L (-12½)	U (47)
10/25	at Tennessee	W 29-9	W (-6)	U (41)
11/1	ARKANSAS ST	W 35-0	W (-24)	U (49)
11/8	at LSU	W 27-21	W (-3½)	O (46)
11/15	MISSISSIPPI ST	W 32-7	W (-21)	U (42½)
11/29	AUBURN	W 36-0	W (-15)	U (40½)
12/6	vs Florida	L 20-31	L (+10)	U (54)
1/2	vs Utah	L 17-31	L (-9½)	O (46½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	vs Virginia Tech	W 34-24	W (-6½)	O (37)
9/12	FLA INTERNATIONAL	W 40-14	L (-33½)	O (50½)
9/19	NORTH TEXAS	W 53-7	W (-37)	O (51)
9/26	ARKANSAS	W 35-7	W (-17½)	U (54½)
10/3	at Kentucky	W 38-20	W (-16)	O (47)
10/10	at Ole Miss	W 22-3	W (-4)	U (46)
10/17	S CAROLINA	W 20-6	L (-17½)	U (43½)
10/24	TENNESSEE	W 12-10	L (-14)	U (42)
11/7	LSU	W 24-15	W (-7)	O (38)
11/14	at Mississippi St	W 31-3	W (-11)	U (44½)
11/21	CHATTANOOGA	W 45-0		
11/27	at Auburn	W 26-21	L (-10)	P (47)
12/5	vs Florida	W 32-13	W (+5)	O (41)
1/7	vs Texas	W 37-21	W (-3½)	O (44½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	SAN JOSE ST	W 48-3	W (-40)	U (52)
9/11	PENN ST	W 24-3	W (-14)	U (43)
9/18	at Duke	W 62-13	W (-23½)	O (57)
9/25	at Arkansas	W 24-20	L (-6½)	U (56)
10/2	FLORIDA	W 31-6	W (-8)	U (48)
10/9	at S Carolina	L 21-35	L (-6½)	O (47)
10/16	OLE MISS	W 23-10	L (-20)	U (53½)
10/23	at Tennessee	W 41-10	W (-17)	O (47½)
11/6	at LSU	L 21-24	L (-7)	P (45)
11/13	MISSISSIPPI ST	W 30-10	W (-13)	U (45½)
11/18	GEORGIA ST	W 63-7	W (-44)	
11/26	AUBURN	L 27-28	L (-4)	U (59)
1/1	vs Michigan St	W 49-7	W (-7½)	O (50½)

> SEC



ARKANSAS

StatFox.com Power Rating: 54 (#11 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +15.2 (#14 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 41.08 (12th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 39.92 (26th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +13.1 (#18 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 10–3
2010 ATS Record: 9–4
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 7–5

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	MISSOURI ST
9/10	at New Mexico
9/17	TROY
9/24	at Alabama
10/1	at Texas A&M
10/8	AUBURN
10/22	at Ole Miss
10/29	at Vanderbilt
11/5	S CAROLINA
11/12	TENNESSEE
11/19	MISSISSIPPI ST
11/25	at LSU

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	8–5	5–7	8–5	10–3	31–20	60.8%
OVERALL ATS	7–5	5–6	7–5	9–4	28–20	58.3%
HOME ATS	4–3	3–2	4–2	5–2	16–9	64.0%
ROAD ATS	3–2	2–4	3–3	4–2	12–11	52.2%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	5–3	4–4	5–3	7–1	21–11	65.6%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	2–2	1–2	2–2	2–3	7–9	43.8%
AS FAVORITE ATS	5–4	0–2	4–3	6–1	15–10	60.0%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	2–1	5–4	3–2	3–2	13–9	59.1%
OVER-UNDER	8–4	7–4	6–6	7–5	28–19	59.6%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	36.5	17
First Downs	22.4	23
Yards/Game	482.5	9
RushYds/Gm	148.9	69
Pass Yds/Gm	333.69	4
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	23.4	47
Yards/Game	347.9	36
RushYds/Gm	162.6	71
Pass Yds/Gm	185.3	20
Takeaways	25	36

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	W ILLINOIS	W 28-24		
9/6	vs LA Monroe	W 28-27	L (-14½)	O (49½)
9/20	ALABAMA	L 14-49	L (+9)	O (47½)
9/27	at Texas	L 10-52	L (+27)	O (60½)
10/4	FLORIDA	L 7-38	L (+26½)	U (57)
10/11	at Auburn	W 25-22	W (+16)	O (41½)
10/18	at Kentucky	L 20-21	W (+7)	U (46½)
10/25	OLE MISS	L 21-23	W (+6½)	U (55½)
11/1	TULSA	W 30-23	W (+7½)	U (75)
11/8	at S Carolina	L 21-34	L (+12½)	O (43½)
11/22	at Mississippi St	L 28-31	L (-2½)	O (43)
11/28	LSU	W 31-30	W (+7)	O (53½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	MISSOURI ST	W 48-10		
9/19	GEORGIA	L 41-52	L (-1½)	O (54½)
9/26	at Alabama	L 7-35	L (+17½)	U (54½)
10/3	vs Texas A&M	W 47-19	W (-2)	U (67)
10/10	AUBURN	W 44-23	W (+2)	O (63)
10/17	at Florida	L 20-23	W (+24)	U (57)
10/24	at Ole Miss	L 17-30	L (+6½)	U (53½)
10/31	E MICHIGAN	W 63-27	L (-37)	O (60)
11/7	S CAROLINA	W 33-16	W (-7½)	U (55½)
11/14	TROY	W 56-20	W (-14)	O (64)
11/21	MISSISSIPPI ST	W 42-21	W (-12)	O (57½)
11/28	at LSU	L 30-33	W (+3½)	O (54)
1/2	vs E Carolina	W 20-17	L (-7½)	U (60)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	TENNESSEE TECH	W 44-3	L (-49)	
9/11	LA MONROE	W 31-7	L (-33½)	U (60)
9/18	at Georgia	W 31-24	W (+1½)	O (53)
9/25	ALABAMA	L 20-24	W (+6½)	U (56)
10/9	vs Texas A&M	W 24-17	W (-5½)	U (62)
10/16	at Auburn	L 43-65	L (+3½)	O (60)
10/23	OLE MISS	W 38-24	W (-10)	O (57)
10/30	VANDERBILT	W 49-14	W (-20)	O (54½)
11/6	at S Carolina	W 41-20	W (+4)	O (60½)
11/13	UTEP	W 58-21	W (-28½)	O (60)
11/20	at Mississippi St	W 38-31	W (-3)	O (54½)
11/27	LSU	W 31-23	W (-3½)	U (57)
1/4	vs Ohio St	L 26-31	L (+3)	U (57½)

> SEC



AUBURN

StatFox.com Power Rating: 59 (#7 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +23.1 (#6 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 42.21 (6th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 40.67 (17th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +17.1 (#11 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 14–0
2010 ATS Record: 10–4
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 7–6

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	UTAH ST
9/10	MISSISSIPPI ST
9/17	at Clemson
9/24	FLA ATLANTIC
10/1	at S Carolina
10/8	at Arkansas
10/15	FLORIDA
10/22	at LSU
10/29	OLE MISS
11/12	at Georgia
11/19	SAMFORD
11/26	ALABAMA

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	9–4	5–7	8–5	14–0	36–16	69.2%
OVERALL ATS	7–5	2–9	6–6	10–4	25–24	51.0%
HOME ATS	3–4	2–4	5–2	5–3	15–13	53.6%
ROAD ATS	4–1	0–5	1–4	5–1	10–11	47.6%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	5–3	1–7	4–4	8–1	18–15	54.5%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	2–2	1–2	2–2	2–2	7–8	46.7%
AS FAVORITE ATS	3–4	1–5	3–4	8–4	15–17	46.9%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	4–1	1–4	3–2	2–0	10–7	58.8%
OVER-UNDER	4–8	3–8	7–3	7–6	21–25	45.7%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	41.2	7
First Downs	24.6	10
Yards/Game	499.2	7
RushYds/Gm	284.8	5
Pass Yds/Gm	214.43	66
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	24.1	53
Yards/Game	368.4	60
RushYds/Gm	109.1	9
Pass Yds/Gm	259.3	108
Takeaways	22	59

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	LA MONROE	W 34-0	W (-25½)	U (49)
9/6	SOUTHERN MISS	W 27-13	L (-19½)	U (48½)
9/13	at Mississippi St	W 3-2	L (-10)	U (38½)
9/20	LSU	L 21-26	L (+2)	O (37½)
9/27	TENNESSEE	W 14-12	L (-6)	U (40½)
10/4	at Vanderbilt	L 13-14	L (-3½)	U (38½)
10/11	ARKANSAS	L 22-25	L (-16)	O (41½)
10/23	at W Virginia	L 17-34	L (+3½)	O (40)
11/1	at Ole Miss	L 7-17	L (+6½)	U (42½)
11/8	TENN-MARTIN	W 37-20		
11/15	GEORGIA	L 13-17	W (+8½)	U (45)
11/29	at Alabama	L 0-36	L (+15)	U (40½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	LOUISIANA TECH	W 37-13	W (-13½)	O (46)
9/12	MISSISSIPPI ST	W 49-24	W (-15½)	O (47)
9/19	W VIRGINIA	W 41-30	W (-7)	O (54½)
9/26	BALL ST	W 54-30	L (-30½)	O (54½)
10/3	at Tennessee	W 26-22	W (+2½)	U (49)
10/10	at Arkansas	L 23-44	L (-2)	O (63)
10/17	KENTUCKY	L 14-21	L (-13½)	U (56½)
10/24	at LSU	L 10-31	L (+7½)	U (49)
10/31	OLE MISS	W 33-20	W (+6½)	O (51)
11/7	FURMAN	W 63-31	L (-37½)	
11/14	at Georgia	L 24-31	L (+4)	P (55)
11/27	ALABAMA	L 21-26	W (+10)	P (47)
1/1	vs Northwestern	W 38-35	L (-9)	O (54½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	ARKANSAS ST	W 52-26	L (-31½)	O (52½)
9/9	at Mississippi St	W 17-14	W (-1½)	U (56)
9/18	CLEMSON	W 27-24	L (-8)	U (54½)
9/25	S CAROLINA	W 35-27	W (-3)	O (49)
10/2	LA MONROE	W 52-3	W (-35)	U (55½)
10/9	at Kentucky	W 37-34	L (-6)	O (59)
10/16	ARKANSAS	W 65-43	W (-3½)	O (60)
10/23	LSU	W 24-17	W (-5)	U (51½)
10/30	at Ole Miss	W 51-31	W (-6½)	O (61)
11/6	UT-CHATTANOOGA	W 62-24	L (-43½)	
11/13	GEORGIA	W 49-31	W (-7½)	O (65)
11/26	at Alabama	W 28-27	W (+4)	U (59)
12/4	vs S Carolina	W 56-17	W (-4)	O (61½)
1/10	vs Oregon	W 22-19	W (+1)	U (73½)

> SEC



FLORIDA

StatFox.com Power Rating: 50 (#15 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +11.0 (#30 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 41.38 (9th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 40.08 (22nd toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +8.5 (#33 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 8–5
2010 ATS Record: 7–6
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 7–5

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	FLA ATLANTIC
9/10	UAB
9/17	TENNESSEE
9/24	at Kentucky
10/1	ALABAMA
10/8	at LSU
10/15	at Auburn
10/29	at Georgia
11/5	VANDERBILT
11/12	at S Carolina
11/19	FURMAN
11/26	FLORIDA ST

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	9–4	13–1	13–1	8–5	43–11	79.6%
OVERALL ATS	8–4	11–2	7–6	7–6	33–18	64.7%
HOME ATS	5–1	4–2	3–3	3–4	15–10	60.0%
ROAD ATS	3–3	7–0	4–3	4–2	18–8	69.2%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	5–3	8–1	3–6	4–4	20–14	58.8%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	3–1	3–1	4–0	3–2	13–4	76.5%
AS FAVORITE ATS	7–4	11–2	7–6	7–4	32–16	66.7%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	1–0	0–0	0–0	0–2	1–2	33.3%
OVER-UNDER	9–3	8–5	5–8	7–5	29–21	58.0%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	29.9	43
First Downs	19.0	69
Yards/Game	350.9	82
RushYds/Gm	166.5	44
Pass Yds/Gm	184.31	88
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	21.3	29
Yards/Game	306.5	9
RushYds/Gm	130.6	31
Pass Yds/Gm	175.9	12
Takeaways	29	18

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	HAWAII	W 56-10	W (-36½)	O (64)
9/6	MIAMI	W 26-3	L (-24)	U (53)
9/20	at Tennessee	W 30-6	W (-7½)	U (51½)
9/27	OLE MISS	L 30-31	L (-22½)	O (49½)
10/4	at Arkansas	W 38-7	W (-26½)	U (57)
10/11	LSU	W 51-21	W (-7)	O (48)
10/25	KENTUCKY	W 63-5	W (-25½)	O (48)
11/1	vs Georgia	W 49-10	W (-8)	O (56)
11/8	at Vanderbilt	W 42-14	W (-23½)	O (49)
11/15	S CAROLINA	W 56-6	W (-21½)	O (49)
11/22	THE CITADEL	W 70-19		
11/29	at Florida St	W 45-15	W (-16½)	O (53)
12/6	vs Alabama	W 31-20	W (-10)	U (54)
1/8	vs Oklahoma	W 24-14	W (-4)	U (69)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	CHARLESTON SOUT	W 62-3		
9/12	TROY	W 56-6	W (-37)	O (61)
9/19	TENNESSEE	W 23-13	L (-30)	U (52)
9/26	at Kentucky	W 41-7	W (-20)	U (53½)
10/10	at LSU	W 13-3	W (-9½)	U (46)
10/17	ARKANSAS	W 23-20	L (-24)	U (57)
10/24	at Mississippi St	W 29-19	L (-22½)	U (50)
10/31	vs Georgia	W 41-17	W (-16½)	O (48½)
11/7	VANDERBILT	W 27-3	L (-35)	U (47)
11/14	at S Carolina	W 24-14	L (-17)	U (44)
11/21	FLA INTERNATIONAL	W 62-3	W (-47½)	O (60)
11/28	FLORIDA ST	W 37-10	W (-26)	U (57)
12/5	vs Alabama	L 13-32	L (-5)	O (41)
1/1	vs Cincinnati	W 51-24	W (-12)	O (59)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	MIAMI OHIO	W 34-12	L (-38)	U (54½)
9/11	S FLORIDA	W 38-14	W (-14½)	O (50)
9/18	at Tennessee	W 31-17	W (-13)	O (44½)
9/25	KENTUCKY	W 48-14	W (-14)	O (49½)
10/2	at Alabama	L 6-31	L (+8)	U (48)
10/9	LSU	L 29-33	L (-6½)	O (44)
10/16	MISSISSIPPI ST	L 7-10	L (-9½)	U (47)
10/30	vs Georgia	W 34-31	W (-1)	O (49½)
11/6	at Vanderbilt	W 55-14	W (-14)	O (45½)
11/13	S CAROLINA	L 14-36	L (-6½)	U (51½)
11/20	APPALACHIAN ST	W 48-10	W (-24)	
11/27	at Florida St	L 7-31	L (+2½)	U (51)
1/1	vs Penn St	W 37-24	W (-7)	O (47½)

> SEC



GEORGIA

StatFox.com Power Rating: 49 (#19 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +10.5 (#35 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 38.77 (28th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 39.33 (29th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +10.0 (#28 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 6–7
2010 ATS Record: 5–8
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 8–4

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	BOISE ST
9/10	S CAROLINA
9/17	COASTAL CAROLINA
9/24	at Ole Miss
10/1	MISSISSIPPI ST
10/8	at Tennessee
10/15	at Vanderbilt
10/29	FLORIDA
11/5	NEW MEXICO ST
11/12	AUBURN
11/19	KENTUCKY
11/26	at Georgia Tech

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	11–2	10–3	8–5	6–7	35–17	67.3%
OVERALL ATS	8–4	4–6	5–7	5–8	22–25	46.8%
HOME ATS	4–2	1–3	1–4	4–2	10–11	47.6%
ROAD ATS	4–2	3–3	4–3	1–6	12–14	46.2%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	5–3	1–5	3–5	3–5	12–18	40.0%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	3–1	3–1	2–2	2–3	10–7	58.8%
AS FAVORITE ATS	6–4	4–5	3–4	5–4	18–17	51.4%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	2–0	0–1	2–3	0–4	4–8	33.3%
OVER-UNDER	5–6	6–6	5–6	8–4	24–22	52.2%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	32.1	30
First Downs	18.9	72
Yards/Game	385.0	56
RushYds/Gm	142.6	73
Pass Yds/Gm	242.38	40
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	22.1	36
Yards/Game	328.5	23
RushYds/Gm	147.2	56
Pass Yds/Gm	181.3	17
Takeaways	26	30

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	GA SOUTHERN	W 45-21		
9/6	C MICHIGAN	W 56-17	W (-24½)	O (59)
9/13	at S Carolina	W 14-7	P (-7)	U (45)
9/20	at Arizona St	W 27-10	W (-7)	U (52)
9/27	ALABAMA	L 30-41	L (-6)	O (46)
10/11	TENNESSEE	W 26-14	P (-12)	U (43½)
10/18	VANDERBILT	W 24-14	L (-16½)	U (43½)
10/25	at LSU	W 52-38	W (-2)	O (49)
11/1	vs Florida	L 10-49	L (+8)	O (56)
11/8	at Kentucky	W 42-38	L (-13½)	O (47)
11/15	at Auburn	W 17-13	L (-8½)	U (45)
11/29	GEORGIA TECH	L 42-45	L (-8)	O (50)
1/1	vs Michigan St	W 24-12	W (-8½)	U (57½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	at Oklahoma St	L 10-24	L (+5)	U (60½)
9/12	S CAROLINA	W 41-37	L (-7)	O (40)
9/19	at Arkansas	W 52-41	W (+1½)	O (54½)
9/26	ARIZONA ST	W 20-17	L (-13½)	U (48½)
10/3	LSU	L 13-20	L (-3½)	U (50½)
10/10	at Tennessee	L 19-45	L (pk)	O (46)
10/17	at Vanderbilt	W 34-10	W (-7½)	U (47½)
10/31	vs Florida	L 17-41	L (+16½)	O (48½)
11/7	TENNESSEE TECH	W 38-0	L (-42)	
11/14	AUBURN	W 31-24	W (-4)	P (55)
11/21	KENTUCKY	L 27-34	L (-10)	O (50)
11/28	at Georgia Tech	W 30-24	W (+9½)	U (57)
12/28	vs Texas A&M	W 44-20	W (-6)	U (65½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	LA LAFAYETTE	W 55-7	W (-29½)	O (53)
9/11	at S Carolina	L 6-17	L (+3)	U (44½)
9/18	ARKANSAS	L 24-31	L (-1½)	O (53)
9/25	at Mississippi St	L 12-24	L (+1)	U (46)
10/2	at Colorado	L 27-29	L (-5)	O (50½)
10/9	TENNESSEE	W 41-14	W (-11½)	O (48)
10/16	VANDERBILT	W 43-0	W (-14)	U (49½)
10/23	at Kentucky	W 44-31	W (-6)	O (58)
10/30	vs Florida	L 31-34	L (+1)	O (49½)
11/6	IDAHO ST	W 55-7	W (-45)	
11/13	at Auburn	L 31-49	L (+7½)	O (65)
11/27	GEORGIA TECH	W 42-34	L (-14)	O (58)
12/31	vs UCF	L 6-10	L (-7)	U (53½)

> SEC



KENTUCKY

StatFox.com Power Rating: 39 (#55 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +2.0 (#62 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 36.62 (47th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 37.67 (42nd toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +2.8 (#56 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 6–7
2010 ATS Record: 6–7
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 8–4

2011 SCHEDULE

9/1	at W Kentucky
9/10	C MICHIGAN
9/17	LOUISVILLE
9/24	FLORIDA
10/1	at LSU
10/8	at S Carolina
10/22	JACKSONVILLE ST
10/29	MISSISSIPPI ST
11/5	OLE MISS
11/12	at Vanderbilt
11/19	at Georgia
11/26	TENNESSEE

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	8–5	7–6	7–6	6–7	28–24	53.8%
OVERALL ATS	6–5	6–6	6–6	6–7	24–24	50.0%
HOME ATS	4–3	2–4	1–5	5–2	12–14	46.2%
ROAD ATS	2–2	4–2	5–1	1–5	12–10	54.5%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	3–5	3–5	4–4	3–5	13–19	40.6%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	3–0	3–1	2–2	3–2	11–5	68.8%
AS FAVORITE ATS	3–2	1–3	3–2	4–1	11–8	57.9%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	3–3	5–3	3–4	2–6	13–16	44.8%
OVER-UNDER	7–5	5–7	7–5	8–4	27–21	56.3%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	31.2	34
First Downs	22.2	24
Yards/Game	427.9	27
RushYds/Gm	158.5	53
Pass Yds/Gm	269.31	23
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	28.4	72
Yards/Game	354.2	45
RushYds/Gm	177.1	85
Pass Yds/Gm	177.1	14
Takeaways	16	102

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/31	at Louisville	W 27-2	W (+3½)	U (55½)
9/6	NORFOLK ST	W 38-3		
9/13	MIDDLE TENN ST	W 20-14	L (-19½)	U (46½)
9/27	W KENTUCKY	W 41-3	W (-19)	U (44½)
10/4	at Alabama	L 14-17	W (+15)	U (44½)
10/11	S CAROLINA	L 17-24	L (+1½)	O (37½)
10/18	ARKANSAS	W 21-20	L (-7)	U (46½)
10/25	at Florida	L 5-63	L (+25½)	O (48)
11/1	at Mississippi St	W 14-13	W (+1½)	U (38½)
11/8	GEORGIA	L 38-42	W (+13½)	O (47)
11/15	VANDERBILT	L 24-31	L (-3)	O (38)
11/29	at Tennessee	L 10-28	L (+4)	U (38½)
1/2	vs E Carolina	W 25-19	W (+3)	O (41)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	vs Miami Ohio	W 42-0	W (-15)	U (49)
9/19	LOUISVILLE	W 31-27	L (-12½)	O (49)
9/26	FLORIDA	L 7-41	L (+20)	U (53½)
10/3	ALABAMA	L 20-38	L (+16)	O (47)
10/10	at S Carolina	L 26-28	W (+9½)	O (45½)
10/17	at Auburn	W 21-14	W (+13½)	U (56½)
10/24	LA MONROE	W 36-13	W (-14)	O (47)
10/31	MISSISSIPPI ST	L 24-31	L (-3½)	O (47½)
11/7	E KENTUCKY	W 37-12	L (-31)	
11/14	at Vanderbilt	W 24-13	W (-3½)	U (45)
11/21	at Georgia	W 34-27	W (+10)	O (50)
11/28	TENNESSEE	L 24-30	L (+3)	O (49½)
12/27	vs Clemson	L 13-21	L (+6½)	U (52½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	at Louisville	W 23-16	W (-3)	U (47½)
9/11	W KENTUCKY	W 63-28	W (-24½)	O (53½)
9/18	AKRON	W 47-10	W (-24½)	O (52)
9/25	at Florida	L 14-48	L (+14)	O (49½)
10/2	at Ole Miss	L 35-42	L (+2½)	O (56½)
10/9	AUBURN	L 34-37	W (+6)	O (59)
10/16	S CAROLINA	W 31-28	W (+5½)	O (54½)
10/23	GEORGIA	L 31-44	L (+6)	O (58)
10/30	at Mississippi St	L 17-24	L (+6)	U (55½)
11/6	CHARLESTON SOUT	W 49-21	L (-47)	
11/13	VANDERBILT	W 38-20	W (-16½)	O (54)
11/27	at Tennessee	L 14-24	L (+2½)	U (59)
1/8	vs Pittsburgh	L 10-27	L (+4½)	U (52)

> SEC



LSU

StatFox.com Power Rating: 52 (#14 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +15.1 (#15 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 41.23 (11th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 43.92 (1st toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +11.5 (#24 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 11–2
2010 ATS Record: 6–7
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 5–6–1

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	vs. Oregon
9/10	NORTHWESTERN ST
9/15	at Mississippi St
9/24	at W Virginia
10/1	KENTUCKY
10/8	FLORIDA
10/15	at Tennessee
10/22	AUBURN
11/5	at Alabama
11/12	W KENTUCKY
11/19	at Ole Miss
11/25	ARKANSAS

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	12–2	8–5	9–4	11–2	40–13	75.5%
OVERALL ATS	5–7	3–9	5–7	6–6	19–29	39.6%
HOME ATS	3–4	0–7	3–3	3–3	9–17	34.6%
ROAD ATS	2–3	3–2	2–4	3–3	10–12	45.5%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	1–6	2–6	3–4	4–4	10–20	33.3%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	4–1	1–3	2–3	2–2	9–9	50.0%
AS FAVORITE ATS	5–7	2–6	3–5	4–4	14–22	38.9%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	0–0	1–3	2–2	2–2	5–7	41.7%
OVER-UNDER	10–4	7–5	5–8	5–6	27–23	54.0%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	29.7	45
First Downs	17.5	94
Yards/Game	341.3	86
RushYds/Gm	185.7	28
Pass Yds/Gm	155.62	107
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	18.2	11
Yards/Game	307.2	12
RushYds/Gm	137.3	42
Pass Yds/Gm	169.9	10
Takeaways	32	8

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	APPALACHIAN ST	W 41-13		
9/13	NORTH TEXAS	W 41-3	L (-44½)	U (61)
9/20	at Auburn	W 26-21	W (-2)	O (37½)
9/27	MISSISSIPPI ST	W 34-24	L (-23½)	O (42½)
10/11	at Florida	L 21-51	L (+7)	O (48)
10/18	at S Carolina	W 24-17	W (-1)	U (43)
10/25	GEORGIA	L 38-52	L (+2)	O (49)
11/1	TULANE	W 35-10	L (-30½)	U (53½)
11/8	ALABAMA	L 21-27	L (+3½)	O (46)
11/15	TROY	W 40-31	L (-15½)	O (55)
11/22	OLE MISS	L 13-31	L (-3)	U (54½)
11/28	at Arkansas	L 30-31	L (-7)	O (53½)
12/31	vs Georgia Tech	W 38-3	W (+4)	U (53)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	at Washington	W 31-23	L (-17½)	O (52½)
9/12	VANDERBILT	W 23-9	P (-14)	U (46½)
9/19	LA LAFAYETTE	W 31-3	W (-27)	U (52½)
9/26	at Mississippi St	W 30-26	L (-12)	O (45)
10/3	at Georgia	W 20-13	W (+3½)	U (50½)
10/10	FLORIDA	L 3-13	L (+9½)	U (46)
10/24	AUBURN	W 31-10	W (-7½)	U (49)
10/31	TULANE	W 42-0	W (-37)	U (45½)
11/7	at Alabama	L 15-24	L (+7)	O (38)
11/14	LOUISIANA TECH	W 24-16	L (-22½)	U (47)
11/21	at Ole Miss	L 23-25	W (+6)	O (45)
11/28	ARKANSAS	W 33-30	L (-3½)	O (54)
1/1	vs Penn St	L 17-19	L (-2)	U (40)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	vs N Carolina	W 30-24	L (-7½)	O (43)
9/11	at Vanderbilt	W 27-3	W (-10½)	U (46)
9/18	MISSISSIPPI ST	W 29-7	W (-7)	U (45)
9/25	W VIRGINIA	W 20-14	L (-9½)	U (44½)
10/2	TENNESSEE	W 16-14	L (-16½)	U (43)
10/9	at Florida	W 33-29	W (+6½)	O (44)
10/16	MCNEESE ST	W 32-10	L (-43½)	
10/23	at Auburn	L 17-24	L (+5)	U (51½)
11/6	ALABAMA	W 24-21	W (+7)	P (45)
11/13	LA MONROE	W 51-0	W (-32½)	O (47)
11/20	OLE MISS	W 43-36	L (-14)	O (52)
11/27	at Arkansas	L 23-31	L (+3½)	U (57)
1/7	vs Texas A&M	W 41-24	W (-1½)	O (48½)

> SEC



MISSISSIPPI

StatFox.com Power Rating: 37 (#60 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -4.1 (#85 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 37.08 (43rd toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 41.92 (6th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -4.6 (#84 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 4–8
2010 ATS Record: 4–7
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 7–4

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	BYU
9/10	S ILLINOIS
9/17	at Vanderbilt
9/24	GEORGIA
10/1	at Fresno St
10/15	ALABAMA
10/22	ARKANSAS
10/29	at Auburn
11/5	at Kentucky
11/12	LOUISIANA TECH
11/19	LSU
11/26	at Mississippi St

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	3–9	9–4	9–4	4–8	25–25	50.0%
OVERALL ATS	6–5	9–3	7–5	4–7	26–20	56.5%
HOME ATS	4–2	4–2	4–2	2–4	14–10	58.3%
ROAD ATS	2–3	5–1	3–3	2–3	12–10	54.5%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	5–3	5–3	3–5	3–5	16–16	50.0%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	1–2	4–0	4–0	1–2	10–4	71.4%
AS FAVORITE ATS	1–1	4–3	6–4	2–3	13–11	54.2%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	5–4	5–0	0–1	2–4	12–9	57.1%
OVER-UNDER	4–7	6–6	6–5	7–4	23–22	51.1%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	30.6	41
First Downs	18.7	75
Yards/Game	399.8	43
RushYds/Gm	207.6	18
Pass Yds/Gm	192.25	82
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	35.2	107
Yards/Game	399.2	81
RushYds/Gm	152.8	61
Pass Yds/Gm	246.3	103
Takeaways	18	86

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	MEMPHIS	W 41-24	W (-9)	O (53)
9/6	at Wake Forest	L 28-30	W (+7)	O (45)
9/13	SAMFORD	W 34-10		
9/20	VANDERBILT	L 17-23	L (-6½)	U (49)
9/27	at Florida	W 31-30	W (+22½)	O (49½)
10/4	S CAROLINA	L 24-31	L (-1½)	O (42)
10/18	at Alabama	L 20-24	W (+12½)	U (47)
10/25	at Arkansas	W 23-21	L (-6½)	U (55½)
11/1	AUBURN	W 17-7	W (-6½)	U (42½)
11/15	LA MONROE	W 59-0	W (-24)	O (57)
11/22	at LSU	W 31-13	W (+3)	U (54½)
11/28	MISSISSIPPI ST	W 45-0	W (-18)	U (47½)
1/2	vs Texas Tech	W 47-34	W (+4)	O (66)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/6	at Memphis	W 45-14	W (-17½)	O (55)
9/19	SE LOUISIANA	W 52-6	W (-41)	
9/24	at S Carolina	L 10-16	L (-4)	U (51½)
10/3	at Vanderbilt	W 23-7	W (-10)	U (43½)
10/10	ALABAMA	L 3-22	L (+4)	U (46)
10/17	UAB	W 48-13	W (-23)	O (55)
10/24	ARKANSAS	W 30-17	W (-6½)	U (53½)
10/31	at Auburn	L 20-33	L (-6½)	O (51)
11/7	N ARIZONA	W 38-14	L (-35)	
11/14	TENNESSEE	W 42-17	W (-5½)	O (47½)
11/21	LSU	W 25-23	L (-6)	O (45)
11/28	at Mississippi St	L 27-41	L (-7½)	O (48)
1/2	vs Oklahoma St	W 21-7	W (-3½)	U (51)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	JACKSONVILLE ST	W 48-49		
9/11	at Tulane	W 27-13	L (-20½)	U (49½)
9/18	VANDERBILT	L 14-28	L (-11½)	U (43)
9/25	FRESNO ST	W 55-38	W (-2)	O (50½)
10/2	KENTUCKY	W 42-35	W (-2½)	O (56½)
10/16	at Alabama	L 10-23	W (+20)	U (53½)
10/23	at Arkansas	L 24-38	L (+10)	O (57)
10/30	AUBURN	L 31-51	L (+6½)	O (61)
11/6	LA LAFAYETTE	W 43-21	L (-29½)	O (62)
11/13	at Tennessee	L 14-52	L (+2)	O (55)
11/20	at LSU	L 36-43	W (+14)	O (52)
11/27	MISSISSIPPI ST	L 23-31	L (+3)	U (54½)

> SEC

MISSISSIPPI STATE

StatFox.com Power Rating: 50 (#15 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +12.0 (#21 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 39.00 (25th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 39.75 (27th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +9.2 (#31 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 9–4
2010 ATS Record: 7–6
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 4–8

2011 SCHEDULE

9/1	at Memphis
9/10	at Auburn
9/15	LSU
9/24	LOUISIANA TECH
10/1	at Georgia
10/8	at UAB
10/15	S CAROLINA
10/29	at Kentucky
11/5	TENN-MARTIN
11/12	ALABAMA
11/19	at Arkansas
11/26	OLE MISS

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	8–5	4–8	5–7	9–4	26–24	52.0%
OVERALL ATS	6–6	4–7	6–5	7–5	23–23	50.0%
HOME ATS	1–4	3–2	3–3	3–3	10–12	45.5%
ROAD ATS	5–2	1–5	3–2	4–2	13–11	54.2%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	3–5	4–4	5–3	4–4	16–16	50.0%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	3–1	0–3	1–2	3–1	7–7	50.0%
AS FAVORITE ATS	1–2	0–3	1–1	6–1	8–7	53.3%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	5–4	4–4	5–4	1–4	15–16	48.4%
OVER-UNDER	5–7	4–7	6–5	4–8	19–27	41.3%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	29.0	48
First Downs	20.6	46
Yards/Game	401.3	42
RushYds/Gm	214.9	16
Pass Yds/Gm	186.46	86
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	19.9	21
Yards/Game	356.9	49
RushYds/Gm	119.1	15
Pass Yds/Gm	237.8	91
Takeaways	28	23

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	at Louisiana Tech	L 14-22	L (-7)	U (44)
9/6	SE LOUISIANA	W 34-10		
9/13	AUBURN	L 2-3	W (+10)	U (38½)
9/20	at Georgia Tech	L 7-38	L (+7)	O (38)
9/27	at LSU	L 24-34	W (+23½)	O (42½)
10/11	VANDERBILT	W 17-14	W (+1)	U (38)
10/18	at Tennessee	L 3-34	L (+9½)	U (38)
10/25	MIDDLE TENN ST	W 31-22	L (-11½)	O (43)
11/1	KENTUCKY	L 13-14	L (-1½)	U (38½)
11/15	at Alabama	L 7-32	L (+21)	U (42½)
11/22	ARKANSAS	W 31-28	W (+2½)	O (43)
11/28	at Ole Miss	L 0-45	L (+18)	U (47½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	JACKSON ST	W 45-7		
9/12	at Auburn	L 24-49	L (+15½)	O (47)
9/19	at Vanderbilt	W 15-3	W (+8½)	U (45)
9/26	LSU	L 26-30	W (+12)	O (45)
10/3	GEORGIA TECH	L 31-42	L (+5½)	O (48)
10/10	HOUSTON	L 24-31	L (-3)	U (68)
10/17	at Middle Tenn st	W 27-6	W (-5)	U (52½)
10/24	FLORIDA	L 19-29	W (+22½)	U (50)
10/31	at Kentucky	W 31-24	W (+3½)	O (47¼)
11/14	ALABAMA	L 3-31	L (+11)	U (44½)
11/21	at Arkansas	L 21-42	L (+12)	O (57½)
11/28	OLE MISS	W 41-27	W (+7½)	O (48)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	MEMPHIS	W 49-7	W (-22)	O (47)
9/9	AUBURN	L 14-17	L (+1½)	U (56)
9/18	at LSU	L 7-29	L (+7)	U (45)
9/25	GEORGIA	W 24-12	W (-1)	U (46)
10/2	ALCORN ST	W 49-16	L (-44)	
10/9	at Houston	W 47-24	W (-4½)	O (57)
10/16	at Florida	W 10-7	W (+9½)	U (47)
10/23	UAB	W 29-24	L (-19½)	U (53½)
10/30	KENTUCKY	W 24-17	W (-6)	U (55½)
11/13	at Alabama	L 10-30	L (+13)	U (45½)
11/20	ARKANSAS	L 31-38	L (+3)	O (54½)
11/27	at Ole Miss	W 31-23	W (-3)	U (54½)
1/1	vs Michigan	W 52-14	W (-3)	O (61½)

> SEC

SOUTH CAROLINA

StatFox.com Power Rating: 50 (#15 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +12.5 (#20 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 43.36 (4th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 40.25 (20th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +7.8 (#35 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 9–5
2010 ATS Record: 7–7
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 8–5

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	at E Carolina
9/10	at Georgia
9/17	NAVY
9/24	VANDERBILT
10/1	AUBURN
10/8	KENTUCKY
10/15	at Mississippi St
10/29	at Tennessee
11/5	at Arkansas
11/12	FLORIDA
11/19	THE CITADEL
11/26	CLEMSON

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	6–6	7–6	7–6	9–5	29–23	55.8%
OVERALL ATS	5–5	5–6	7–6	7–7	24–24	50.0%
HOME ATS	3–3	3–2	4–3	4–3	14–11	56.0%
ROAD ATS	2–2	2–4	3–3	3–4	10–13	43.5%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	4–3	4–3	4–4	4–5	16–15	51.6%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	1–2	1–3	3–2	3–2	8–9	47.1%
AS FAVORITE ATS	2–3	4–2	1–3	5–5	12–13	48.0%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	3–2	1–4	6–2	2–2	12–10	54.5%
OVER-UNDER	4–7	5–7	5–7	8–5	22–26	45.8%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	30.9	38
First Downs	20.6	48
Yards/Game	392.8	47
RushYds/Gm	154.4	60
Pass Yds/Gm	238.43	44
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	23.1	43
Yards/Game	354.4	46
RushYds/Gm	112.4	12
Pass Yds/Gm	241.9	97
Takeaways	26	30

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/28	NC STATE	W 34-0	W (-14)	U (43½)
9/4	at Vanderbilt	L 17-24	L (-9½)	U (42½)
9/13	GEORGIA	L 7-14	P (+7)	U (45)
9/20	WOFFORD	W 23-13		
9/27	UAB	W 26-13	L (-25½)	U (50½)
10/4	at Ole Miss	W 31-24	W (+1½)	O (42)
10/11	at Kentucky	W 24-17	W (-1½)	O (37½)
10/18	LSU	L 17-24	L (+1)	U (43)
11/1	TENNESSEE	W 27-6	W (-6)	U (37½)
11/8	ARKANSAS	W 34-21	W (-12½)	O (43½)
11/15	at Florida	L 6-56	L (+21½)	O (49)
11/29	at Clemson	L 14-31	L (pk)	O (40)
1/1	vs Iowa	L 10-31	L (+3½)	U (42)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/3	at NC State	W 7-3	W (+5½)	U (48)
9/12	at Georgia	L 37-41	W (+7)	O (40)
9/19	FLA ATLANTIC	W 38-16	W (-20½)	O (52)
9/24	OLE MISS	W 16-10	W (+4)	U (51½)
10/3	S CAROLINA ST	W 38-14	L (-35½)	
10/10	KENTUCKY	W 28-26	L (-9½)	O (45½)
10/17	at Alabama	L 6-20	W (+17½)	U (43½)
10/24	VANDERBILT	W 14-10	L (-14)	U (41)
10/31	at Tennessee	L 13-31	L (+6)	O (42½)
11/7	at Arkansas	L 16-33	L (+7½)	U (55½)
11/14	FLORIDA	L 14-24	W (+17)	U (44)
11/28	CLEMSON	W 34-17	W (+3)	O (44)
1/2	vs Connecticut	L 7-20	L (-4)	U (50½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/2	SOUTHERN MISS	W 41-13	W (-13½)	O (46½)
9/11	GEORGIA	W 17-6	W (-3)	U (44½)
9/18	FURMAN	W 38-19	L (-33)	
9/25	at Auburn	L 27-35	L (+3)	O (49)
10/9	ALABAMA	W 35-21	W (+6½)	O (47)
10/16	at Kentucky	L 28-31	L (-5½)	O (54½)
10/23	at Vanderbilt	W 21-7	W (-11½)	U (46)
10/30	TENNESSEE	W 38-24	L (-17)	O (49)
11/6	ARKANSAS	L 20-41	L (-4)	O (60½)
11/13	at Florida	W 36-14	W (+6½)	U (51½)
11/20	TROY	W 69-24	W (-20½)	O (59)
11/27	at Clemson	W 29-7	W (-2½)	U (45)
12/4	vs Auburn	L 17-56	L (+4)	O (61½)
12/31	vs Florida St	L 17-26	L (-2)	U (53½)

> SEC



TENNESSEE

StatFox.com Power Rating: 44 (#35 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +0.0 (#70 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 39.00 (25th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 38.75 (34th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +1.9 (#61 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 6–7
2010 ATS Record: 7–6
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 9–3

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	MONTANA
9/10	CINCINNATI
9/17	at Florida
10/1	BUFFALO
10/8	GEORGIA
10/15	LSU
10/22	at Alabama
10/29	S CAROLINA
11/5	MIDDLE TENN ST
11/12	at Arkansas
11/19	VANDERBILT
11/26	at Kentucky

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	10–4	5–7	7–6	6–7	28–24	53.8%
OVERALL ATS	8–4	4–7	7–6	7–6	26–23	53.1%
HOME ATS	5–1	2–5	4–4	3–4	14–14	50.0%
ROAD ATS	3–3	2–2	3–2	4–2	12–9	57.1%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	4–3	4–3	5–3	5–3	18–12	60.0%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	4–1	0–4	2–3	2–3	8–11	42.1%
AS FAVORITE ATS	5–2	2–4	4–4	4–2	15–12	55.6%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	3–2	2–3	3–2	2–4	10–11	47.6%
OVER-UNDER	8–6	1–11	8–5	9–3	26–25	51.0%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	27.0	58
First Downs	17.8	92
Yards/Game	363.8	75
RushYds/Gm	109.2	105
Pass Yds/Gm	254.54	30
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	25.1	56
Yards/Game	382.2	69
RushYds/Gm	152.5	60
Pass Yds/Gm	229.6	81
Takeaways	28	23

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/1	at UCLA	L 24-27	L (-7)	O (45½)
9/13	UAB	W 35-3	L (-33)	U (61)
9/20	FLORIDA	L 6-30	L (+7½)	U (51½)
9/27	at Auburn	L 12-14	W (+6)	U (40½)
10/4	N ILLINOIS	W 13-9	L (-16½)	U (44½)
10/11	at Georgia	L 14-26	P (+12)	U (43½)
10/18	MISSISSIPPI ST	W 34-3	W (-9½)	U (38)
10/25	ALABAMA	L 9-29	L (+6)	U (41)
11/1	at S Carolina	L 6-27	L (+6)	U (37½)
11/8	WYOMING	L 7-13	L (-25½)	U (41½)
11/22	at Vanderbilt	W 20-10	W (+3)	U (36)
11/29	KENTUCKY	W 28-10	W (-4)	U (38½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	W KENTUCKY	W 63-7	W (-29½)	O (44½)
9/12	UCLA	L 15-19	L (-10½)	U (46)
9/19	at Florida	L 13-23	W (+30)	U (52)
9/26	OHIO U	W 34-23	L (-22)	O (44)
10/3	AUBURN	L 22-26	L (-2½)	U (49)
10/10	GEORGIA	W 45-19	W (pk)	O (46)
10/24	at Alabama	L 10-12	W (+14)	U (42)
10/31	S CAROLINA	W 31-13	W (-6)	O (42½)
11/7	MEMPHIS	W 56-28	W (-25½)	O (53)
11/14	at Ole Miss	L 17-42	L (+5½)	O (47½)
11/21	VANDERBILT	W 31-16	L (-17)	U (47½)
11/28	at Kentucky	W 30-24	W (-3)	O (49½)
12/31	vs Virginia Tech	L 14-37	L (+5)	O (48)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	TENN-MARTIN	W 50-0	W (-38½)	
9/11	OREGON	L 13-48	L (+10½)	O (52)
9/18	FLORIDA	L 17-31	L (+13)	O (44½)
9/25	UAB	W 32-29	L (-14)	O (51½)
10/2	at LSU	L 14-16	W (+16½)	U (43)
10/9	at Georgia	L 14-41	L (+11½)	O (48)
10/23	ALABAMA	L 10-41	L (+17)	O (47½)
10/30	at S Carolina	L 24-38	W (+17)	O (49)
11/6	at Memphis	W 50-14	W (-20½)	O (52)
11/13	OLE MISS	W 52-14	W (-2)	O (55)
11/20	at Vanderbilt	W 24-10	W (-7½)	U (49)
11/27	KENTUCKY	W 24-14	W (-2½)	U (59)
12/30	vs N Carolina	L 27-30	L (-1)	O (50)

> SEC



VANDERBILT

StatFox.com Power Rating: 25 (#99 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -14.8 (#111 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 40.33 (17th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 41.17 (13th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -14.3 (#109 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 2–10
2010 ATS Record: 3–9
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 6–6

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	ELON
9/10	CONNECTICUT
9/17	OLE MISS
9/24	at S Carolina
10/8	at Alabama
10/15	GEORGIA
10/22	ARMY
10/29	ARKANSAS
11/5	at Florida
11/12	KENTUCKY
11/19	at Tennessee
11/26	at Wake Forest

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	5–7	7–6	2–10	2–10	16–33	32.7%
OVERALL ATS	5–6	8–5	4–6	3–9	20–26	43.5%
HOME ATS	3–4	3–3	0–5	2–5	8–17	32.0%
ROAD ATS	2–2	5–2	4–1	1–4	12–9	57.1%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	4–4	5–3	3–4	1–7	13–18	41.9%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	1–2	3–2	1–2	2–2	7–8	46.7%
AS FAVORITE ATS	2–2	1–3	1–2	1–1	5–8	38.5%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	3–4	7–2	3–4	2–8	15–18	45.5%
OVER-UNDER	2–9	4–9	2–9	6–6	14–33	29.8%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	16.9	112
First Downs	15.0	116
Yards/Game	298.3	110
RushYds/Gm	138.8	80
Pass Yds/Gm	159.42	105
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	31.2	94
Yards/Game	419.3	93
RushYds/Gm	193.0	100
Pass Yds/Gm	226.3	75
Takeaways	15	108

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/28	at Miami Ohio	W 34-13	W (+3½)	O (38½)
9/4	S CAROLINA	W 24-17	W (+9½)	U (42½)
9/13	RICE	W 38-21	W (-9½)	O (58)
9/20	at Ole Miss	W 23-17	W (+6½)	U (49)
10/4	AUBURN	W 14-13	W (+3½)	U (38½)
10/11	at Mississippi St	L 14-17	L (-1)	U (38)
10/18	at Georgia	L 14-24	W (+16½)	U (43½)
10/25	DUKE	L 7-10	L (-9½)	U (42)
11/8	FLORIDA	L 14-42	L (+23½)	O (49)
11/15	at Kentucky	W 31-24	W (+3)	O (38)
11/22	TENNESSEE	L 10-20	L (-3)	U (36)
11/29	at Wake Forest	L 10-23	L (+4)	U (37½)
12/31	vs Boston College	W 16-14	W (+5)	U (40)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	W CAROLINA	W 45-0		
9/12	at LSU	L 9-23	P (+14)	U (46½)
9/19	MISSISSIPPI ST	L 3-15	L (-8½)	U (45)
9/26	at Rice	W 36-17	W (-7½)	O (49½)
10/3	OLE MISS	L 7-23	L (+10)	U (43½)
10/10	at Army	L 13-16	L (-10)	U (37½)
10/17	GEORGIA	L 10-34	L (+7½)	U (47½)
10/24	at S Carolina	L 10-14	W (+14)	U (41)
10/31	GEORGIA TECH	L 31-56	L (+13½)	O (48)
11/7	at Florida	L 3-27	W (+35)	U (47)
11/14	KENTUCKY	L 13-24	L (+3½)	U (45)
11/21	at Tennessee	L 16-31	W (+17)	U (47½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	NORTHWESTERN	L 21-23	W (+3)	O (41)
9/11	LSU	L 3-27	L (+10½)	U (46)
9/18	at Ole Miss	W 28-14	W (+11½)	U (43)
10/2	at Connecticut	L 21-40	L (+9)	O (45)
10/9	E MICHIGAN	W 52-6	W (-25)	O (54)
10/16	at Georgia	L 0-43	L (+14)	U (49½)
10/23	S CAROLINA	L 7-21	L (+11½)	U (46)
10/30	at Arkansas	L 14-49	L (+20)	O (54½)
11/6	FLORIDA	L 14-55	L (+14)	O (45½)
11/13	at Kentucky	L 20-38	L (+16½)	O (54)
11/20	TENNESSEE	L 10-24	L (+7½)	U (49)
11/27	WAKE FOREST	L 13-34	L (-4½)	U (48)

SUN BELT

PREDICTED FINISH

1. Middle Tennessee	6. Arkansas St.
2. Fla. International	7. North Texas
3. Troy	8. La.-Lafayette
4. La.-Monroe	9. W. Kentucky
5. Florida Atlantic	

ARKANSAS STATE

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 6
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 7

The Red Wolves will continue to rely on their offense as Hugh Freeze moves from OC to head coach. QB Ryan Aplin (21 pass TD, 11 rush TD) is a dual-threat option, and he'll lean on WRs Allen Muse (635 rec yds) and Taylor Stockemer (560 rec yds). But ASU lost all its O-Line starters, and the defense has to limit big plays (437 YPG). DT Bryan Hall is a key loss, but DE Brandon Joiner (4.5 sacks) and LB Demario Davis (63 tackles) are ready to shine.

FLORIDA ATLANTIC

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 7
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 5

FAU opens its 2011 season with three brutal road games at Florida, Michigan St. and Auburn. And with the departure of Jeff Van Camp, the Owls will have a new starter at QB. Graham Wilbert hurt his hand in the spring and might miss time in August camp, allowing David Kooi to get the job. WR Lester Jean and TE Rob Housler are gone, so the Owls need some playmakers to emerge. The running game was subpar in 2010, but it will return RB Alfred Morris (928 rush yds, 7 TD) and all five O-Line starters.

FLORIDA INTERNATIONAL

Offense: Multiple Spread - Starters Returning: 7
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 7

FIU enjoyed its first Sun Belt title and bowl win in 2010. The offense is strong with all-everything performer T.Y. Hilton (59 rec, 848 yds) back. The WR ranked eighth in the nation with 160.7 YPG. QB Wes Carroll (2,623 yds, 16 TD) and RB Darriet Perry (839 rush yds, 16 TD) also return, but the Golden Panthers will need to replace DE Jarvis Wilson and his team-best 9.5 sacks. Look for Tourek Williams (6 sacks, 13.5 TFL) to anchor the defensive line.

LOUISIANA-LAFAYETTE

Offense: Multiple Spread - Starters Returning: 5
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 5

Mark Hudspeth takes over as head coach as the Ragin' Cajuns try to put last season's 3-9 mark behind them. Chris Masson (1,842 pass yds, 11 TD) has the edge over Blaine Gauthier (561 pass yds, 3 TD) at QB, but both could see action during the fall and TE Ladarius Green (794 rec yds, 7 TD) is a great target. The defense allowed 37 PPG last year (112th in FBS), with only 17 sacks. DE Emeka Onyenekwu (4.5 sacks) is a key defender to watch.

LOUISIANA-MONROE

Offense: Spread - Starters Returning: 10
Defense: Base 3-3-5 - Starters Returning: 8

The Warhawks return the majority of their offensive playmakers, led by QB Kolton Browning (2,552 pass yds, 18 TD) and WR Luther Ambrose (752 rec yds, 6 TD). ULM has to find a consistent running game to complement the passing game, as it averaged only 3.1 YPC and loses leading rusher Frank Goodin. Jyruss Edwards (375 rush yds, 4.5 YPC, 4 TD) should get most of the carries. DE Ken Dorsey (5 sacks, 12 TFL) and LB Cameron Blakes (6 sacks, 11 TFL) are the key players on a defense that returns most of its starters.

MIDDLE TENNESSEE

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 7
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 3

The Blue Raiders had a nation's worst -19 turnover margin, as their QBs had 24 INT and 9 TD. Starter Dwight Dasher is gone, and Logan Kilgore and Jeff Murphy are battling for the No. 1 QB job. Four starters return on an O-Line that allowed 23 sacks. RB Phillip Tanner and his 13 rush TD need to be replaced, but Benjamin Cunningham (355 rush yds, 4 TD) and D.D. Kyles (414 rush yds, 5.4 YPC) should improve this season. LB Darin Davis (81 tackles) will be the leader of a rebuilding defense that loses eight starters.

NORTH TEXAS

Offense: Spread - Starters Returning: 6
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 6

Dan McCarney takes over, and he'll be happy to lean on dominant RB Lance Dunbar (1,553 rush yds, 5.7 YPC, 13 TD). But Dunbar will run behind an O-Line that lost three starters. QB Derek Thompson is the leading contender to replace Riley Dodge, who transferred. WR Darius Carey should surpass his numbers from 2010 (524 rec yds, 6 total TD). The defense, which totaled 19 sacks and gave up nearly 30 PPG in 2010, must replace LB Craig Robertson, whose 133 tackles were tied for seventh best in the country.

TROY

Offense: Multiple Spread - Starters Returning: 4
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 8

Troy should once again play the role of favorites in the Sun Belt. The Trojans return talented sophomore QB Corey Robinson (3,726 pass yds, 28 TD), but they will need a replacement WR Jerrel Jurnigan's numbers. Chip Reeves (515 rec yds, 5 TD) could have been that guy, but he, along with WR Jamel Johnson (258 rec yds), are academically ineligible for the fall. Defensively, Troy has plenty of room to improve (30.2 PPG, 410 YPG). DL Jonathan Massaquoi should dominate again (13.5 sacks, 20.5 TFL and 76 tackles).

WESTERN KENTUCKY

Offense: Pro Style - Starters Returning: 7
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 8

QB Kawaun Jakes (1,680 pass yds, 10 TD) returns, but he'll need to improve his woeful 51.2% completion rate. TB Bobby Rainey (1,649 rush yds, 15 TD) ranked third in the nation in rushing (137 YPG) and should continue to help take some pressure off Jakes. The defense allowed 33.2 PPG, and must increase its pathetic sack total from last year (11) to help the secondary.

ARKANSAS STATE

StatFox.com Power Rating: 27 (#88 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -1.9 (#76 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 28.17 (101st toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 24.00 (119th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -0.8 (#69 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 4-8
2010 ATS Record: 7-5
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 7-5

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	at Illinois
9/10	MEMPHIS
9/17	at Virginia Tech
9/24	C ARKANSAS
10/1	at W Kentucky
10/8	at LA Monroe
10/18	FLA INTERNATIONAL
10/29	NORTH TEXAS
11/5	at FLA Atlantic
11/12	LA LAFAYETTE
11/19	at Middle Tenn st
12/3	TROY

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	5-7	6-6	4-8	4-8	19-29	39.6%
OVERALL ATS	5-7	3-8	2-9	7-5	17-29	37.0%
HOME ATS	2-4	2-2	1-3	3-2	8-11	42.1%
ROAD ATS	3-3	1-6	1-6	4-3	9-18	33.3%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	2-5	2-5	1-7	5-3	10-20	33.3%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	3-2	1-3	1-2	2-2	7-9	43.8%
AS FAVORITE ATS	2-4	2-5	1-5	3-1	8-15	34.8%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	3-3	1-3	1-4	4-4	9-14	39.1%
OVER-UNDER	6-6	2-9	3-8	7-5	18-28	39.1%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	30.0	42
First Downs	21.8	33
Yards/Game	403.4	40
RushYds/Gm	149.1	68
Pass Yds/Gm	254.33	31
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	30.8	91
Yards/Game	437.1	105
RushYds/Gm	206.9	110
Pass Yds/Gm	230.2	82
Takeaways	25	36

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	at Texas A&M	W 18-14	W (+18½)	U (50½)
9/6	TEXAS SOUTHERN	W 83-10		
9/13	SOUTHERN MISS	L 24-27	L (-1)	U (55)
9/20	MIDDLE TENN ST	W 31-14	W (-6½)	U (51)
9/27	at Memphis	L 17-29	L (-1)	U (55)
10/11	LA MONROE	W 37-29	L (-13½)	O (53½)
10/18	at LA Lafayette	L 23-28	L (+2½)	U (61)
11/1	at Alabama	L 0-35	L (+24)	U (49)
11/8	at FLA International	L 21-22	L (-3)	U (44½)
11/22	FLA ATLANTIC	W 28-14	W (-3)	U (51)
11/29	at North Texas	W 33-28	L (-20½)	O (58)
12/6	at Troy	L 9-35	L (+13)	U (53)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	MISS VALLEY ST	W 61-0		
9/12	at Nebraska	L 9-38	L (+21½)	U (52)
9/26	TROY	L 27-30	L (-3)	O (50½)
10/3	at Iowa	L 21-24	W (+21)	U (45½)
10/13	at LA Monroe	L 10-16	L (+2½)	U (51)
10/24	FLA INTERNATIONAL	W 27-10	W (-11½)	U (58)
10/31	at Louisville	L 13-21	L (+1½)	U (50)
11/7	LA LAFAYETTE	L 18-21	L (-13½)	U (48½)
11/14	at FLA Atlantic	L 18-35	L (-3)	U (55½)
11/21	at Middle Tenn st	L 14-38	L (+10½)	O (51)
11/28	NORTH TEXAS	W 30-26	L (-7½)	O (55½)
12/3	at W Kentucky	W 24-20	L (-6½)	U (51½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	at Auburn	L 26-52	W (+31½)	O (52½)
9/11	at LA Lafayette	L 24-31	L (+1½)	O (51½)
9/18	LA MONROE	W 34-20	W (-4½)	O (50½)
9/25	at Troy	L 28-35	W (+10)	U (66)
10/2	LOUISVILLE	L 24-34	L (+6½)	U (60)
10/9	at North Texas	W 24-19	W (-3½)	U (55)
10/16	at Indiana	L 34-36	W (+9½)	O (64)
10/23	FLA ATLANTIC	W 37-16	W (-7)	O (52)
11/2	MIDDLE TENN ST	W 51-24	W (+2½)	O (57½)
11/13	W KENTUCKY	L 35-36	L (-12)	O (56)
11/20	at Navy	L 19-35	L (+12½)	U (64½)
11/27	at FLA International	L 24-31	L (+5½)	U (62)

StatFox.com

Providing Unprecedented Handicapping Content

StatFox.com is renowned for providing the most comprehensive game information on the Internet. Our popular FoxSheets are packed with proprietary handicapping tools that benefit everyone from the beginning bettor to the most advanced self-handicapper.

LOG ON TO STATFOX.COM AND START WINNING TODAY!

> SUN BELT



FLORIDA ATLANTIC

StatFox.com Power Rating: 14 (#113 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -14.4 (#110 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 28.17 (101st toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 30.00 (88th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -12.4 (#106 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 4–8
2010 ATS Record: 3–9
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 4–8

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	at Florida
9/10	at Michigan St
9/24	at Auburn
10/1	at LA Lafayette
10/8	at North Texas
10/15	W KENTUCKY
10/22	MIDDLE TENN ST
11/5	ARKANSAS ST
11/12	at FLA International
11/19	at Troy
11/26	UAB
12/3	LA MONROE

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	8–5	7–6	5–7	4–8	24–26	48.0%
OVERALL ATS	8–5	8–5	4–8	3–9	23–27	46.0%
HOME ATS	3–2	3–1	1–4	1–3	8–10	44.4%
ROAD ATS	5–3	5–4	3–4	2–6	15–17	46.9%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	5–2	5–3	4–4	1–7	15–16	48.4%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	3–3	3–2	0–4	2–2	8–11	42.1%
AS FAVORITE ATS	5–2	5–1	1–4	0–3	11–10	52.4%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	3–3	3–4	3–4	3–6	12–17	41.4%
OVER-UNDER	9–4	4–9	6–6	4–8	23–27	46.0%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	16.8	113
First Downs	15.8	111
Yards/Game	302.6	107
RushYds/Gm	94.3	115
Pass Yds/Gm	208.33	69
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	29.3	79
Yards/Game	401.3	85
RushYds/Gm	203.6	105
Pass Yds/Gm	197.8	29
Takeaways	17	94

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	at Texas	L 10-52	L (+23½)	U (62½)
9/6	UAB	W 49-34	W (-14)	O (56½)
9/13	at Michigan St	L 0-17	W (+18½)	U (61)
9/20	at Minnesota	L 3-37	L (+5½)	U (62)
9/30	at Middle Tenn st	L 13-14	W (+2½)	U (51½)
10/7	TROY	L 17-30	L (+4)	U (54)
10/18	at W Kentucky	W 24-20	W (-3)	U (47)
10/25	at LA Monroe	W 29-28	L (-3)	O (54)
11/8	NORTH TEXAS	W 46-13	W (-22½)	U (64)
11/15	LA LAFAYETTE	W 40-29	W (-1½)	O (62½)
11/22	at Arkansas St	L 14-28	L (+3)	U (51)
11/29	vs FLA International	W 57-50	W (-5½)	O (50½)
12/26	vs C Michigan	W 24-21	W (+7)	U (70)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	at Nebraska	L 3-49	L (+23)	U (60½)
9/19	at S Carolina	L 16-38	L (+20½)	O (52)
9/26	LA MONROE	L 25-27	L (-3½)	U (56½)
10/3	WYOMING	L 28-30	L (-5½)	O (54½)
10/17	at North Texas	W 44-40	W (-1)	O (61½)
10/24	at LA Lafayette	W 51-29	W (+3)	O (60)
10/31	MIDDLE TENN ST	L 20-27	L (-3)	U (61½)
11/7	at UAB	L 29-56	L (+7)	O (63½)
11/14	ARKANSAS ST	W 35-18	W (+3)	U (55½)
11/21	at Troy	L 21-47	L (+17)	O (64½)
11/28	W KENTUCKY	W 29-23	L (-12½)	U (66)
12/5	at FLA International	W 28-21	W (+1½)	U (64)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/2	at UAB	W 32-31	W (+14)	O (50)
9/11	vs Michigan St	L 17-30	W (+26½)	U (56)
9/25	NORTH TEXAS	L 17-21	L (-10)	U (51½)
10/2	at S Florida	L 3-31	L (+21½)	U (51½)
10/9	at LA Monroe	L 17-20	L (+2½)	U (46½)
10/23	at Arkansas St	L 16-37	L (+7)	O (52)
10/30	FLA INTERNATIONAL	W 21-9	W (+4½)	U (47)
11/6	at W Kentucky	W 17-16	L (-2½)	U (48)
11/13	LA LAFAYETTE	W 24-23	L (-10½)	U (50)
11/20	at Texas	L 17-51	L (+22)	O (44)
11/27	at Middle Tenn st	L 14-38	L (+5½)	O (49)
12/4	TROY	L 7-44	L (+4)	U (55½)

> SUN BELT



FLORIDA INTERNATIONAL

StatFox.com Power Rating: 29 (#83 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +1.2 (#64 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 28.31 (100th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 24.75 (115th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +1.5 (#62 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 7–6
2010 ATS Record: 6–6–1
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 5–8

2011 SCHEDULE

9/1	NORTH TEXAS
9/9	at Louisville
9/17	UCF
9/24	LA LAFAYETTE
10/1	DUKE
10/8	at Akron
10/18	at Arkansas St
10/25	TROY
11/5	at W Kentucky
11/12	FLA ATLANTIC
11/19	at LA Monroe
11/26	at Middle Tenn st

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	1–11	5–7	3–9	7–6	16–33	32.7%
OVERALL ATS	5–7	8–4	4–8	6–6	23–25	47.9%
HOME ATS	3–1	4–1	1–4	2–3	10–9	52.6%
ROAD ATS	2–6	4–3	3–4	4–3	13–16	44.8%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	3–4	5–3	2–6	4–4	14–17	45.2%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	2–3	3–1	2–2	2–2	9–8	52.9%
AS FAVORITE ATS	0–0	3–1	2–3	3–4	8–8	50.0%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	5–7	5–3	2–5	3–2	15–17	46.9%
OVER-UNDER	7–5	6–6	7–5	5–8	25–24	51.0%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	28.8	51
First Downs	19.2	65
Yards/Game	395.1	46
RushYds/Gm	187.5	27
Pass Yds/Gm	207.54	70
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	27.3	65
Yards/Game	368.9	61
RushYds/Gm	168.7	77
Pass Yds/Gm	200.2	32
Takeaways	25	36

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	at Kansas	L 10-40	W (+36)	U (56½)
9/6	at Iowa	L 0-42	L (+27)	U (44)
9/20	S FLORIDA	L 9-17	W (+29½)	U (55½)
9/27	at Toledo	W 35-16	W (+18)	U (53)
10/4	at North Texas	W 42-10	W (-5½)	O (48)
10/11	MIDDLE TENN ST	W 31-21	W (-1)	O (42½)
10/18	at Troy	L 23-33	W (+11½)	O (46½)
11/1	at LA Lafayette	L 20-49	L (+12)	O (57)
11/8	ARKANSAS ST	W 22-21	W (+3)	U (44½)
11/22	LA MONROE	L 27-31	L (-8)	O (51½)
11/29	vs FLA Atlantic	L 50-57	L (+5½)	O (50½)
12/6	W KENTUCKY	W 27-3	W (-7)	U (49½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/12	at Alabama	L 14-40	W (+33½)	O (50½)
9/19	at Rutgers	L 15-23	W (+16)	U (51½)
9/26	TOLEDO	L 31-41	L (-1)	O (58½)
10/3	at LA Monroe	L 35-48	L (+6½)	O (55)
10/10	at W Kentucky	W 37-20	W (-6)	O (56½)
10/17	TROY	L 33-42	L (+8)	O (58½)
10/24	at Arkansas St	L 10-27	L (+11½)	U (58)
10/31	LA LAFAYETTE	W 20-17	L (-5)	U (56½)
11/7	at Middle Tenn st	L 21-48	L (+12½)	O (55½)
11/14	NORTH TEXAS	W 35-28	W (-3)	U (67)
11/21	at Florida	L 3-62	L (+47½)	O (60)
12/5	FLA ATLANTIC	L 21-28	L (-1½)	U (64)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/11	RUTGERS	L 14-19	W (+14½)	U (47½)
9/18	at Texas A&M	L 20-27	W (+29)	U (61½)
9/25	at Maryland	L 28-42	L (+10)	O (44)
10/2	at Pittsburgh	L 17-44	L (+17)	O (51)
10/9	W KENTUCKY	W 28-21	L (-9)	U (54½)
10/16	at North Texas	W 34-10	W (-4½)	U (48)
10/30	at FLA Atlantic	L 9-21	L (-4½)	U (47)
11/6	LA MONROE	W 42-35	L (-10½)	O (49½)
11/13	at Troy	W 52-35	W (+8)	O (58)
11/20	at LA Lafayette	W 38-17	W (-9½)	U (58½)
11/27	ARKANSAS ST	W 31-24	W (-5½)	U (62)
12/4	MIDDLE TENN ST	L 27-28	L (-5)	U (56)
12/26	vs Toledo	W 34-32	P (-2)	O (58½)

> SUN BELT



LOUISIANA-LAFAYETTE

StatFox.com Power Rating: 17 (#109 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -15.1 (#112 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 29.25 (93rd toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 26.08 (111th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -14.7 (#110 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 3–9
2010 ATS Record: 7–5
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 7–5

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	at Oklahoma St
9/10	at Kent St
9/17	NICHOLLS ST
9/24	at FLA International
10/1	FLA ATLANTIC
10/8	TROY
10/15	NORTH TEXAS
10/22	at W Kentucky
10/29	at Middle Tenn st
11/5	LA MONROE
11/12	at Arkansas St
11/26	at Arizona

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	3–9	6–6	6–6	3–9	18–30	37.5%
OVERALL ATS	4–7	8–4	5–6	7–5	24–22	52.2%
HOME ATS	0–5	4–1	2–3	1–4	7–13	35.0%
ROAD ATS	4–2	4–3	3–3	6–1	17–9	65.4%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	2–5	5–2	4–4	5–3	16–14	53.3%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	2–2	3–2	1–2	2–2	8–8	50.0%
AS FAVORITE ATS	1–2	6–1	1–2	1–1	9–6	60.0%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	3–5	2–3	4–4	6–4	15–16	48.4%
OVER-UNDER	7–4	8–4	5–6	7–5	27–19	58.7%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	22.3	92
First Downs	17.5	95
Yards/Game	350.6	83
RushYds/Gm	100.6	111
Pass Yds/Gm	250	37
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	37.0	112
Yards/Game	399.4	82
RushYds/Gm	162.6	70
Pass Yds/Gm	236.8	90
Takeaways	22	59

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	at Southern Miss	L 21-51	L (+9)	O (52½)
9/13	at Illinois	L 17-20	W (+25½)	U (62)
9/20	KENT ST	W 44-27	W (-2½)	O (51)
9/27	at Kansas St	L 37-45	W (+21½)	O (63½)
10/4	at LA Monroe	W 44-35	W (-1½)	O (55½)
10/11	at North Texas	W 59-30	W (-17)	O (68)
10/18	ARKANSAS ST	W 28-23	W (-2½)	U (61)
11/1	FLA INTERNATIONAL	W 49-20	W (-12)	O (57)
11/8	UTEP	L 24-37	L (-13½)	U (71½)
11/15	at FLA Atlantic	L 29-40	L (+1½)	O (62½)
11/22	at Troy	L 3-48	L (+11)	U (64)
12/3	MIDDLE TENN ST	W 42-28	W (-6)	O (58)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	SOUTHERN	W 42-19		
9/12	KANSAS ST	W 17-15	W (+6½)	U (54)
9/19	at LSU	L 3-31	L (+27)	U (52½)
9/26	at Nebraska	L 0-55	L (+30½)	O (54)
10/10	NORTH TEXAS	W 38-34	L (-4½)	O (52½)
10/17	at W Kentucky	W 30-22	W (-6½)	O (51)
10/24	FLA ATLANTIC	L 29-51	L (-3)	O (60)
10/31	at FLA International	L 17-20	W (+5)	U (56½)
11/7	at Arkansas St	W 21-18	W (+13½)	U (48½)
11/14	at Middle Tenn st	L 17-34	L (+13½)	U (54)
11/21	LA MONROE	W 21-17	W (+5)	U (51½)
11/28	TROY	L 31-48	L (+10½)	O (58)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	at Georgia	L 7-55	L (+29½)	O (53)
9/11	ARKANSAS ST	W 31-24	W (-1½)	O (51½)
9/25	MIDDLE TENN ST	L 14-34	L (+2)	U (51½)
10/2	at North Texas	W 28-27	W (+5½)	O (48)
10/8	OKLAHOMA ST	L 28-54	L (+24)	O (61)
10/16	at Troy	L 24-31	W (+20½)	U (59)
10/23	W KENTUCKY	L 21-54	L (-6)	O (53½)
10/30	at Ohio U	L 31-38	W (+17)	O (51)
11/6	at Ole Miss	L 21-43	W (+29½)	O (62)
11/13	at FLA Atlantic	L 23-24	W (+10½)	U (50)
11/20	FLA INTERNATIONAL	L 17-38	L (+9½)	U (58½)
11/27	at LA Monroe	W 23-22	W (+7)	U (56)

> SUN BELT



LOUISIANA-MONROE

StatFox.com Power Rating: 18 (#106 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -10.1 (#102 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 28.67 (97th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 29.17 (94th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -11.6 (#102 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 5–7
2010 ATS Record: 6–6
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 5–6

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	at Florida St
9/10	GRAMBLING
9/17	at TCU
9/24	at Iowa
10/8	ARKANSAS ST
10/15	at Troy
10/22	at North Texas
10/29	W KENTUCKY
11/5	at LA Lafayette
11/12	MIDDLE TENN ST
11/19	FLA INTERNATIONAL
12/3	at FLA Atlantic

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	6–6	4–8	6–6	5–7	21–27	43.8%
OVERALL ATS	6–5	6–5	6–6	6–6	24–22	52.2%
HOME ATS	2–2	2–2	3–2	3–2	10–8	55.6%
ROAD ATS	4–3	4–3	3–4	3–4	14–14	50.0%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	4–3	5–2	4–4	5–3	18–12	60.0%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	2–2	1–3	2–2	1–3	6–10	37.5%
AS FAVORITE ATS	2–1	0–1	3–2	1–2	6–6	50.0%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	4–4	6–4	2–4	5–4	17–16	51.5%
OVER-UNDER	4–7	7–4	6–5	5–6	22–22	50.0%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	20.8	101
First Downs	18.6	78
Yards/Game	347.0	85
RushYds/Gm	120.3	96
Pass Yds/Gm	226.67	56
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	32.4	97
Yards/Game	378.3	67
RushYds/Gm	159.1	67
Pass Yds/Gm	219.3	58
Takeaways	19	77

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	at Auburn	L 0-34	L (+25½)	U (49)
9/6	vs Arkansas	L 27-28	W (+14½)	O (49½)
9/12	ALABAMA A&M	W 37-15		
9/20	at Tulane	L 10-24	L (+4½)	U (46)
10/4	LA LAFAYETTE	L 35-44	L (+1½)	O (55½)
10/11	at Arkansas St	L 29-37	W (+13½)	O (53½)
10/18	NORTH TEXAS	W 35-23	L (-16½)	U (61)
10/25	FLA ATLANTIC	L 28-29	W (+3)	O (54)
11/1	TROY	W 31-30	W (+10½)	O (56)
11/8	at Middle Tenn st	L 21-24	W (+5½)	U (51)
11/15	at Ole Miss	L 0-59	L (+24)	O (57)
11/22	at FLA International	W 31-27	W (+8)	O (51½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	at Texas	L 20-59	W (+42½)	O (61)
9/12	TEXAS SOUTHERN	W 58-0	W (-43)	
9/19	at Arizona St	L 14-38	L (+21)	O (50)
9/26	at FLA Atlantic	W 27-25	W (+3½)	U (56½)
10/3	FLA INTERNATIONAL	W 48-35	W (-6½)	O (55)
10/13	ARKANSAS ST	W 16-10	W (-2½)	U (51)
10/24	at Kentucky	L 13-36	L (+14)	O (47)
10/31	at Troy	L 21-42	L (+13½)	O (58)
11/7	at North Texas	W 33-6	W (-3)	U (64)
11/14	W KENTUCKY	W 21-18	L (-21½)	U (61)
11/21	at LA Lafayette	L 17-21	L (-5)	U (51½)
11/28	MIDDLE TENN ST	L 19-38	L (+2½)	O (54½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/11	at Arkansas	L 7-31	W (+33½)	U (60)
9/18	at Arkansas St	L 20-34	L (+4½)	O (50½)
9/25	SE LOUISIANA	W 21-20	L (-10½)	
10/2	at Auburn	L 3-52	L (+35)	U (55½)
10/9	FLA ATLANTIC	W 20-17	W (-2½)	U (46½)
10/16	at W Kentucky	W 35-30	W (+3)	O (52½)
10/23	at Middle Tenn st	L 10-38	L (+12½)	U (54½)
10/30	TROY	W 28-14	W (+17)	U (55)
11/6	at FLA International	L 35-42	W (+10½)	O (49½)
11/13	at LSU	L 0-51	L (+32½)	O (47)
11/20	NORTH TEXAS	W 49-37	W (pk)	O (49½)
11/27	LA LAFAYETTE	L 22-23	L (-7)	U (56)

> SUN BELT



MIDDLE TENNESSEE

StatFox.com Power Rating: 27 (#88 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -6.2 (#90 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 22.08 (120th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 24.92 (114th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -1.6 (#76 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 6-7
2010 ATS Record: 4-9
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 3-8-1

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	at Purdue
9/10	GEORGIA TECH
9/24	at Troy
10/1	MEMPHIS
10/6	W KENTUCKY
10/22	at FLA Atlantic
10/29	LA LAFAYETTE
11/5	at Tennessee
11/12	at LA Monroe
11/19	ARKANSAS ST
11/26	FLA INTERNATIONAL
12/3	at North Texas

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	5-7	5-7	10-3	6-7	26-24	52.0%
OVERALL ATS	7-4	5-7	10-3	4-9	26-23	53.1%
HOME ATS	3-1	2-3	5-1	2-4	12-9	57.1%
ROAD ATS	4-3	3-4	5-2	2-5	14-14	50.0%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	4-3	2-6	7-1	4-4	17-14	54.8%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	3-1	3-1	3-2	0-5	9-9	50.0%
AS FAVORITE ATS	4-1	1-2	6-0	3-7	14-10	58.3%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	3-3	4-5	4-3	1-2	12-13	48.0%
OVER-UNDER	4-6	5-7	8-5	3-8	20-26	43.5%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	26.5	62
First Downs	18.6	77
Yards/Game	371.9	69
RushYds/Gm	180.8	31
Pass Yds/Gm	191.15	83
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	28.1	69
Yards/Game	386.9	72
RushYds/Gm	187.9	94
Pass Yds/Gm	199.0	30
Takeaways	19	77

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/28	TROY	L 17-31	L (+6)	U (49½)
9/6	MARYLAND	W 24-14	W (+12½)	U (44½)
9/13	at Kentucky	L 14-20	W (+19½)	U (46½)
9/20	at Arkansas St	L 14-31	L (+6½)	U (51)
9/30	FLA ATLANTIC	W 14-13	L (-2½)	U (51½)
10/11	at FLA International	L 21-31	L (+1)	O (42½)
10/18	at Louisville	L 23-42	L (+14½)	O (48½)
10/25	at Mississippi St	L 22-31	W (+11½)	O (43)
11/8	LA MONROE	W 24-21	L (-5½)	U (51)
11/15	at W Kentucky	W 21-10	W (pk)	U (43½)
11/22	NORTH TEXAS	W 52-13	W (-18)	O (60)
12/3	at LA Lafayette	L 28-42	L (+6)	O (58)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	at Clemson	L 14-37	L (+18½)	O (47½)
9/12	MEMPHIS	W 31-14	W (pk)	U (53)
9/19	at Maryland	W 32-31	W (+7½)	O (52½)
9/26	at North Texas	W 37-21	W (-5)	O (56)
10/6	at Troy	L 7-31	L (+6½)	U (56)
10/17	MISSISSIPPI ST	L 6-27	L (+5)	U (52½)
10/24	W KENTUCKY	W 62-24	W (-17)	O (53½)
10/31	at FLA Atlantic	W 27-20	W (+3)	U (61½)
11/7	FLA INTERNATIONAL	W 48-21	W (-12½)	O (55½)
11/14	LA LAFAYETTE	W 34-17	W (-13½)	U (54)
11/21	ARKANSAS ST	W 38-14	W (-10½)	O (51)
11/28	at LA Monroe	W 38-19	W (-2½)	O (54½)
12/20	vs Southern Miss	W 42-32	W (+3½)	O (61½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/2	MINNESOTA	L 17-24	L (+1)	U (49)
9/11	AUSTIN PEAY	W 56-33	L (-29)	
9/18	at Memphis	L 17-24	L (-5½)	U (55½)
9/25	at LA Lafayette	W 34-14	W (-2)	U (51½)
10/5	TROY	L 13-42	L (-4)	U (63½)
10/16	at Georgia Tech	L 14-42	L (+18½)	U (58½)
10/23	LA MONROE	W 38-10	W (-12½)	U (54½)
11/2	at Arkansas St	L 24-51	L (-2½)	O (57½)
11/13	NORTH TEXAS	L 17-23	L (-10½)	U (54)
11/20	at W Kentucky	W 27-26	L (-5)	P (53)
11/27	FLA ATLANTIC	W 38-14	W (-5½)	O (49)
12/4	at FLA International	W 28-27	W (+5)	U (56)
1/6	vs Miami Ohio	L 21-35	L (-1½)	O (49)

> SUN BELT



NORTH TEXAS

StatFox.com Power Rating: 20 (#103 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -9.1 (#99 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 26.17 (111th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 28.83 (96th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -5.8 (#87 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 3-9
2010 ATS Record: 6-6
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 5-7

2011 SCHEDULE

9/1	at FLA International
9/10	HOUSTON
9/17	at Alabama
9/24	INDIANA
10/1	at Tulsa
10/8	FLA ATLANTIC
10/15	at LA Lafayette
10/22	LA MONROE
10/29	at Arkansas St
11/12	at Troy
11/19	W KENTUCKY
12/3	MIDDLE TENN ST

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	2-10	1-11	2-10	3-9	8-40	16.7%
OVERALL ATS	5-6	4-8	5-7	6-6	20-27	42.6%
HOME ATS	2-2	1-4	2-4	2-4	7-14	33.3%
ROAD ATS	3-4	3-4	3-3	4-2	13-13	50.0%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	3-4	3-5	3-5	4-4	13-18	41.9%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	2-2	1-3	2-2	2-2	7-9	43.8%
AS FAVORITE ATS	0-1	0-0	1-1	0-2	1-4	20.0%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	5-5	4-8	4-6	6-4	19-23	45.2%
OVER-UNDER	6-5	7-5	8-4	5-7	26-21	55.3%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	23.9	87
First Downs	18.7	75
Yards/Game	375.3	64
RushYds/Gm	202.2	19
Pass Yds/Gm	173.08	96
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	29.7	82
Yards/Game	394.4	77
RushYds/Gm	184.9	91
Pass Yds/Gm	209.5	47
Takeaways	15	108

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	at Kansas St	L 6-45	L (+29)	U (65)
9/6	TULSA	L 26-56	L (+21½)	O (70)
9/13	at LSU	L 3-41	W (+44½)	U (61)
9/27	at Rice	L 20-77	L (+15½)	O (67½)
10/4	FLA INTERNATIONAL	L 10-42	L (+5½)	O (48)
10/11	LA LAFAYETTE	L 30-59	L (+17)	O (68)
10/18	at LA Monroe	L 23-35	W (+16½)	U (61)
10/25	TROY	L 17-45	L (+23½)	U (63)
11/1	at W Kentucky	W 51-40	W (+18)	O (55)
11/8	at FLA Atlantic	L 13-46	L (+22½)	U (64)
11/22	at Middle Tenn st	L 13-52	L (+18)	O (60)
11/29	ARKANSAS ST	L 28-33	W (+20½)	O (58)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/3	at Ball St	W 20-10	W (+15)	U (54)
9/12	OHIO U	L 30-31	W (+2½)	O (52)
9/19	at Alabama	L 7-53	L (+37)	O (51)
9/26	MIDDLE TENN ST	L 21-37	L (+5)	O (56)
10/10	at LA Lafayette	L 34-38	W (+4½)	O (52½)
10/17	FLA ATLANTIC	L 40-44	L (+1)	O (61½)
10/24	at Troy	L 26-50	L (+20½)	O (60½)
10/31	W KENTUCKY	W 68-49	W (-14)	O (64½)
11/7	LA MONROE	L 6-33	L (+3)	U (64)
11/14	at FLA International	L 28-35	L (+3)	U (67)
11/21	ARMY	L 13-17	L (-1)	U (53)
11/28	at Arkansas St	L 26-30	W (+7½)	O (55½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	at Clemson	L 10-35	W (+28)	U (54½)
9/11	RICE	L 31-32	L (-3½)	O (57)
9/18	at Army	L 0-24	L (+4½)	U (51½)
9/25	at FLA Atlantic	W 21-17	W (+10)	U (51½)
10/2	LA LAFAYETTE	L 27-28	L (-5½)	O (48)
10/9	ARKANSAS ST	L 19-24	L (+3½)	U (55)
10/16	FLA INTERNATIONAL	L 10-34	L (+4½)	U (48)
10/30	at W Kentucky	W 33-6	W (+6)	U (52½)
11/6	TROY	L 35-41	W (+9)	O (56)
11/13	at Middle Tenn st	W 23-17	W (+10½)	U (54)
11/20	at LA Monroe	L 37-49	L (pk)	O (49½)
11/27	KANSAS ST	L 41-49	W (+14)	O (59)

> SUN BELT



TROY

StatFox.com Power Rating: 32 (#70 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +2.2 (#61 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 26.62 (110th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 27.42 (103rd toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +3.8 (#53 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 8–5
2010 ATS Record: 5–8
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 7–6

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	at Clemson
9/17	at Arkansas
9/24	MIDDLE TENN ST
10/1	UAB
10/8	at LA Lafayette
10/15	LA MONROE
10/25	at FLA International
11/5	at Navy
11/12	NORTH TEXAS
11/19	FLA ATLANTIC
11/26	at W Kentucky
12/3	at Arkansas St

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	8–4	8–5	9–4	8–5	33–18	64.7%
OVERALL ATS	8–3	7–5	9–4	5–8	29–20	59.2%
HOME ATS	4–1	2–2	5–0	1–4	12–7	63.2%
ROAD ATS	4–2	5–3	4–4	4–4	17–13	56.7%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	5–2	5–3	7–1	3–5	20–11	64.5%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	3–1	2–2	2–3	2–3	9–9	50.0%
AS FAVORITE ATS	5–2	5–4	7–2	3–7	20–15	57.1%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	3–1	2–1	2–2	2–1	9–5	64.3%
OVER-UNDER	6–5	5–7	9–4	7–6	27–22	55.1%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	34.1	20
First Downs	23.3	19
Yards/Game	453.5	17
RushYds/Gm	156.6	56
Pass Yds/Gm	296.85	11
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	30.2	84
Yards/Game	409.9	89
RushYds/Gm	165.2	73
Pass Yds/Gm	244.6	100
Takeaways	30	12

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/28	at Middle Tenn st	W 31-17	W (-6)	U (49½)
9/13	ALCORN ST	W 65-0		
9/20	at Ohio St	L 10-28	W (+20½)	U (47½)
9/27	at Oklahoma St	L 24-55	L (+17½)	O (61½)
10/7	at FLA Atlantic	W 30-17	W (-4)	U (54)
10/18	FLA INTERNATIONAL	W 33-23	L (-11½)	O (46½)
10/25	at North Texas	W 45-17	W (-23½)	U (63)
11/1	at LA Monroe	L 30-31	L (-10½)	O (56)
11/8	W KENTUCKY	W 17-7	L (-18)	U (54)
11/15	at LSU	L 31-40	W (+15½)	O (55)
11/22	LA LAFAYETTE	W 48-3	W (-11)	U (64)
12/6	ARKANSAS ST	W 35-9	W (-13)	U (53)
12/21	vs Southern Miss	L 27-30	L (-4½)	O (55)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/3	at Bowling Green	L 14-31	L (-7½)	U (55)
9/12	at Florida	L 6-56	L (+37)	O (61)
9/19	UAB	W 27-14	W (-6½)	U (59½)
9/26	at Arkansas St	W 30-27	W (+3)	O (50½)
10/6	MIDDLE TENN ST	W 31-7	W (-6½)	U (56)
10/17	at FLA International	W 42-33	W (-8)	O (58½)
10/24	NORTH TEXAS	W 50-26	W (-20½)	O (60½)
10/31	LA MONROE	W 42-21	W (-13½)	O (58)
11/7	at W Kentucky	W 40-20	L (-24)	U (63)
11/14	at Arkansas	L 20-56	L (+14)	O (64)
11/21	FLA ATLANTIC	W 47-21	W (-17)	O (64½)
11/28	at LA Lafayette	W 48-31	W (-10½)	O (58)
1/6	vs C Michigan	L 41-44	W (+3½)	O (63)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	BOWLING GREEN	W 30-27	L (-14½)	O (54)
9/11	at Oklahoma St	L 38-41	W (+13½)	O (63½)
9/18	at UAB	L 33-34	L (-3½)	O (58)
9/25	ARKANSAS ST	W 35-28	L (-10)	U (66)
10/5	at Middle Tenn st	W 42-13	W (+4)	U (63½)
10/16	LA LAFAYETTE	W 31-24	L (-20½)	U (59)
10/30	at LA Monroe	L 14-28	L (-17)	U (55)
11/6	at North Texas	W 41-35	L (-9)	O (56)
11/13	FLA INTERNATIONAL	L 35-52	L (-8)	O (58)
11/20	at S Carolina	L 24-69	L (+20½)	O (59)
11/27	W KENTUCKY	W 28-14	W (-12½)	U (60½)
12/4	at FLA Atlantic	W 44-7	W (-4)	U (55½)
12/18	vs Ohio U	W 48-21	W (-2)	O (57½)

> SUN BELT



WESTERN KENTUCKY

StatFox.com Power Rating: 16 (#112 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -12.2 (#108 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 28.50 (99th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 26.50 (108th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -10.3 (#100 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 2–10
2010 ATS Record: 7–5
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 5–6–1

2011 SCHEDULE

9/1	KENTUCKY
9/10	NAVY
9/17	INDIANA ST
10/1	ARKANSAS ST
10/6	at Middle Tenn st
10/15	at FLA Atlantic
10/22	LA LAFAYETTE
10/29	at LA Monroe
11/5	FLA INTERNATIONAL
11/12	at LSU
11/19	at North Texas
11/26	TROY

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	1–5	2–10	0–12	2–10	5–37	11.9%
OVERALL ATS	0–0	2–8	6–6	7–5	15–19	44.1%
HOME ATS	0–0	0–4	3–3	2–3	5–10	33.3%
ROAD ATS	0–0	2–4	3–3	5–2	10–9	52.6%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	0–0	1–4	4–4	5–3	10–11	47.6%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	0–0	1–4	2–2	2–2	5–8	38.5%
AS FAVORITE ATS	0–0	0–1	0–0	0–2	0–3	0.0%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	0–0	2–7	6–5	7–3	15–15	50.0%
OVER-UNDER	0–0	2–8	6–5	5–6	13–19	40.6%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	22.8	90
First Downs	17.9	89
Yards/Game	319.0	98
RushYds/Gm	175.5	33
Pass Yds/Gm	143.5	115
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	33.2	99
Yards/Game	380.2	68
RushYds/Gm	177.3	86
Pass Yds/Gm	202.9	36
Takeaways	17	94

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	at Indiana	L 13-31	L (+17)	U (53)
9/6	at E Kentucky	W 37-13		
9/13	at Alabama	L 7-41	L (+26)	O (47½)
9/20	MURRAY ST	W 50-9		
9/27	at Kentucky	L 3-41	L (+19)	U (44½)
10/4	at Virginia Tech	L 13-27	W (+26½)	U (47)
10/11	BALL ST	L 7-24	L (+16)	U (51)
10/18	FLA ATLANTIC	L 20-24	L (+3)	U (47)
11/1	NORTH TEXAS	L 40-51	L (-18)	O (55)
11/8	at Troy	L 7-17	W (+18)	U (54)
11/15	MIDDLE TENN ST	L 10-21	L (pk)	U (43½)
12/6	at FLA International	L 3-27	L (+7)	U (49½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	at Tennessee	L 7-63	L (+29½)	O (44½)
9/12	S FLORIDA	L 13-35	W (+26)	U (52)
9/19	C ARKANSAS	L 7-28	L (+2)	
9/26	at Navy	L 22-38	W (+31)	O (51)
10/10	FLA INTERNATIONAL	L 20-37	L (+6)	O (56½)
10/17	LA LAFAYETTE	L 22-30	L (+6½)	O (51)
10/24	at Middle Tenn st	L 24-62	L (+17)	O (53½)
10/31	at North Texas	L 49-68	L (+14)	O (64½)
11/7	TROY	L 20-40	W (+24)	U (63)
11/14	at LA Monroe	L 18-21	W (+21½)	U (61)
11/28	at FLA Atlantic	L 23-29	W (+12½)	U (66)
12/3	ARKANSAS ST	L 20-24	W (+6½)	U (51½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	at Nebraska	L 10-49	W (+39½)	O (52)
9/11	at Kentucky	L 28-63	L (+24½)	O (53½)
9/18	INDIANA	L 21-38	L (+13½)	U (60½)
9/25	at S Florida	L 12-24	W (+27½)	U (56)
10/9	at FLA International	L 21-28	W (+9)	U (54½)
10/16	LA MONROE	L 30-35	L (-3)	O (52½)
10/23	at LA Lafayette	W 54-21	W (+6)	O (53½)
10/30	NORTH TEXAS	L 6-33	L (-6)	U (52½)
11/6	FLA ATLANTIC	L 16-17	W (+2½)	U (48)
11/13	at Arkansas St	W 36-35	W (+12)	O (56)
11/20	MIDDLE TENN ST	L 26-27	W (+5)	P (53)
11/27	at Troy	L 14-28	L (+12½)	U (60½)

WAC

PREDICTED FINISH

1. Nevada	5. Louisiana Tech
2. Fresno State	6. Utah State
3. Hawaii	7. San Jose State
4. Idaho	8. New Mexico State

FRESNO STATE

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 7
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 5

A tough non-conference schedule awaits, including trips to Cal and Nebraska to open the season and a date with Boise State in October. Derek Carr, David's younger brother, shined in the spring, and will take over at QB for Ryan Colburn. RB Robbie Rouse (1,129 yds, 5.5 YPC) should provide plenty on the ground, but losing four offensive linemen will hurt. Leading WR Jamel Hamler is gone, but Jalen Saunders (15.4 YPC) has big-play potential. The defense will need to improve after allowing 46.0 PPG in its five losses in 2010, and forcing just 13 turnovers all season (T3rd-fewest in nation).

HAWAII

Offense: Run-and-Shoot - Starters Returning: 3
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 6

Hawaii returns the nation's total offense leader in QB Bryant Moniz (5,040 pass yds, 39 TD), but not much else. Two of Moniz's favorite targets, Greg Salas and Kealoha Pilares, are gone, as is TB Alex Green. WR Royce Pollard (64 rec, 901 yds) will likely be Moniz's go-to guy. The Warriors also need to replace four offensive linemen. DE Kamalu Umu and S Mana Silva will be missed, but Hawaii returns its starting linebackers, including Aaron Brown (5 sacks, 9.5 TFL) and star Corey Paredes (team-best 151 tackles).

IDAHO

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 5
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 8

Brian Reader (5 TD, 1 INT) takes over for Nathan Enderle as the Vandals' QB, but the real question is who will run the football (88 YPG, third-worst in FBS)? The offensive line returns three starters, but also needs to improve its pass protection after surrendering 45 sacks (third-most in FBS). Run defense is also a concern, as the Vandals allowed 189 YPG and 5.0 YPC last season. They'll also need to fill the void left by DE Aaron Lavarias and his 9.5 sacks. The LBs should be a strong unit with three senior starters, while the secondary also returns three starters including FS Gary Walker (58 tackles).

LOUISIANA TECH

Offense: Spread - Starters Returning: 6
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 7

Colby Cameron looks to have the inside track as the Bulldogs' starting QB, and they will need him to perform well after the team's mediocre offensive showing in 2010 (26.8 PPG, 59th in nation). RB Lennon Creer (1,181 rush yds, 5.6 YPC, 10 TD) returns and should be able to take some heat off the passing game, and Tech also brings back four of its top five WRs. But the Bulldogs lost three starters on the offensive line. The defense, which allowed at least 35 points in eight games in 2010, brings back playmaking DE Matt Broha (9 sacks). The back seven has to get better (290 pass YPG).

NEVADA

Offense: Pistol - Starters Returning: 6
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 7

QB Colin Kaepernick and TB Vai Taua leave 426 YPG of offense to be replaced. Tyler Lantrip is the front-runner to start at QB, and he'll be throwing the ball to Rishard Matthews (879 yds) quite a bit. Lampford Mark (7.5 YPC) Mike Ball (6.8 YPC), Stefphon Jefferson and Nick Hale will share rushing duties. The defense surrendered only 21.4 PPG, but could be better with leading tackler James-Michael Johnson (88 tackles, 8 TFL) and DT Brett Roy (eight sacks) back. The defense forced 24 turnovers and had 35 sacks (T-13th in nation), but will need to pick up the offense early on.

NEW MEXICO STATE

Offense: Spread - Starters Returning: 7
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 7

It was an ugly season for the Aggies, who scored 16 points or fewer in seven games and allowed at least 40 points in seven contests. New Mexico State returns QB Matt Christian (8 TD, 2 INT), leading rusher Kenny Turner (461 yds) and its offensive line, which allowed only 20 sacks. The Aggies will need playmakers in the passing game after losing top receivers WR Marcus Allen and TE Kyle Nelson. The defense could also use some big plays after collecting only nine sacks and 42 TFL (both second-worst in nation).

SAN JOSE STATE

Offense: Pistol - Starters Returning: 7
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 9

The Spartans were 1-12 overall, and 0-8 in the WAC. QB Jordan La Secla is gone, and the QB race is wide open amongst Matt Faulkner, Dasmen Stewart and Blake Jurich. TB Brandon Rutley (461 rush yds, 3.6 YPC) is back for the nation's second-worst rushing attack (79 YPG). Although leading WR Jalal Beauchman has moved on, the position is in good hands with Noel Grigsby (822 yds, 14.7 avg) and Chandler Jones (54 rec, 474 yds). The D will get much-needed help from LB Keith Smith (116 tackles, 14 TFL) and DE Travis Johnson (7.5 sacks). SJSU allowed 464 YPG (fourth-worst in nation).

UTAH STATE

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 8
Defense: Base 43 - Starters Returning: 5

The Aggies open the season at national champion Auburn, and they'll do so without three-year starting QB Diondre Borel. Jeremy Higgins transferred to Hawaii, which makes it a QB race between Adam Kennedy and Alex Hart. The OL returns four starters, but Utah State loses its top rusher in Derrvin Speight. TB Kerwynn Williams (451 rush yds, 5.6 YPC) has the ability to enjoy a breakout season. The defense allowed 33.8 PPG and 429 YPG, and the defensive front seven had only 13 sacks in 2010. However, DE Levi Koskan (4.5 sacks) and LB Bobby Wagner (team-best 133 tackles) remain.

> WAC



FRESNO STATE

StatFox.com Power Rating: 31 (#76 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +1.1 (#65 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 31.62 (81st toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 32.77 (74th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -1.0 (#71 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 8–5
2010 ATS Record: 5–7–1
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 7–5

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	at California
9/10	at Nebraska
9/17	N DAKOTA
9/24	at Idaho
10/1	OLE MISS
10/7	BOISE ST
10/15	UTAH ST
10/22	at Nevada
11/5	LOUISIANA TECH
11/12	at New Mexico st
11/19	at Hawaii
11/26	SAN JOSE ST
12/3	at San Diego st

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	9–4	7–6	8–5	8–5	32–20	61.5%
OVERALL ATS	7–5	2–11	7–5	5–7	21–28	42.9%
HOME ATS	2–3	0–5	1–3	3–3	6–14	30.0%
ROAD ATS	5–2	2–6	6–2	2–4	15–14	51.7%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	4–4	1–7	4–4	3–5	12–20	37.5%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	3–1	1–4	3–1	2–2	9–8	52.9%
AS FAVORITE ATS	2–3	1–8	4–3	2–4	9–18	33.3%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	5–2	1–3	3–2	3–3	12–10	54.5%
OVER-UNDER	7–5	7–6	6–6	7–5	27–22	55.1%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	29.0	48
First Downs	19.3	64
Yards/Game	370.6	70
RushYds/Gm	150.6	65
Pass Yds/Gm	220	61
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	30.0	83
Yards/Game	367.2	59
RushYds/Gm	158.5	66
Pass Yds/Gm	208.8	45
Takeaways	13	115

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/1	at Rutgers	W 24-7	W (+3½)	U (57)
9/13	WISCONSIN	L 10-13	L (+2)	U (51)
9/20	at Toledo	W 55-54	L (-6)	O (52½)
9/27	at UCLA	W 36-31	L (-7)	O (48)
10/4	HAWAII	L 29-32	L (-21½)	O (56½)
10/11	IDAHO	W 45-32	L (-34)	O (65)
10/25	at Utah St	W 30-28	L (-16)	U (58½)
11/1	at Louisiana Tech	L 35-38	L (-4)	O (57)
11/7	NEVADA	L 28-41	L (+2)	U (71)
11/15	NEW MEXICO ST	W 24-17	L (-17)	U (63½)
11/21	at San Jose st	W 24-10	W (-3)	U (47½)
11/28	at Boise St	L 10-61	L (+21)	O (57)
12/20	vs Colorado St	L 35-40	L (-1½)	O (61)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	CAL DAVIS	W 51-0		
9/12	at Wisconsin	L 31-34	W (+7½)	O (51½)
9/18	BOISE ST	L 34-51	L (+7½)	O (52½)
9/26	at Cincinnati	L 20-28	W (+17)	U (63½)
10/10	at Hawaii	W 42-17	W (-11)	U (59½)
10/17	SAN JOSE ST	W 41-21	W (-19½)	O (58)
10/24	at New Mexico st	W 34-3	W (-24)	U (51)
10/31	UTAH ST	W 31-27	L (-17)	U (62½)
11/7	at Idaho	W 31-21	W (-9½)	U (67)
11/14	at Nevada	L 14-52	L (+7½)	U (68½)
11/21	LOUISIANA TECH	W 30-28	L (-9½)	O (54½)
12/5	at Illinois	W 53-52	W (+2)	O (58½)
12/19	vs Wyoming	L 28-35	L (-10)	O (54½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	CINCINNATI	W 28-14	W (-2½)	U (57)
9/18	at Utah St	W 41-24	W (-3½)	O (58)
9/25	at Ole Miss	L 38-55	L (+2)	O (50½)
10/2	CAL POLY SLO	W 38-17	P (-21)	
10/9	HAWAII	L 27-49	L (-11)	O (65½)
10/16	NEW MEXICO ST	W 33-10	L (-30)	U (56)
10/23	at San Jose st	W 33-18	L (-17½)	O (49)
11/6	at Louisiana Tech	W 40-34	W (+3)	O (59)
11/13	NEVADA	L 34-35	W (+8)	O (68½)
11/19	at Boise St	L 0-51	L (+29)	U (66)
11/27	IDAHO	W 23-20	L (-10½)	U (59)
12/3	ILLINOIS	W 25-23	W (+6½)	U (60)
12/18	vs N Illinois	L 17-40	L (+1½)	O (56½)

> WAC



HAWAII

StatFox.com Power Rating: 39 (#55 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +15.0 (#16 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 29.21 (94th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 26.23 (110th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +14.1 (#14 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 10–4
2010 ATS Record: 11–3
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 6–7

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	COLORADO
9/10	at Washington
9/17	at UNLV
9/24	CAL DAVIS
10/1	at Louisiana Tech
10/15	at San Jose st
10/22	NEW MEXICO ST
10/29	at Idaho
11/5	UTAH ST
11/12	at Nevada
11/19	FRESNO ST
11/26	TULANE
12/3	BYU

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	12–1	7–7	6–7	10–4	35–19	64.8%
OVERALL ATS	4–7	6–6	6–6	11–3	27–22	55.1%
HOME ATS	2–3	3–3	2–4	7–0	14–10	58.3%
ROAD ATS	2–4	3–3	4–2	4–3	13–12	52.0%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	3–5	5–2	3–5	7–1	18–13	58.1%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	1–2	1–4	3–1	4–2	9–9	50.0%
AS FAVORITE ATS	4–6	2–3	2–1	7–1	15–11	57.7%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	0–1	4–3	4–5	4–2	12–11	52.2%
OVER-UNDER	3–8	7–6	5–7	6–7	21–28	42.9%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	39.6	10
First Downs	23.9	14
Yards/Game	500.6	6
RushYds/Gm	106.4	107
Pass Yds/Gm	394.29	1
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	25.5	58
Yards/Game	357.6	51
RushYds/Gm	135.9	40
Pass Yds/Gm	221.7	63
Takeaways	38	1

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	at Florida	L 10-56	L (+36½)	O (64)
9/6	WEBER ST	W 36-17		
9/13	at Oregon St	L 7-45	L (+14)	U (56½)
9/27	SAN JOSE ST	L 17-20	L (-3)	U (48)
10/4	at Fresno St	W 32-29	W (+21½)	O (56½)
10/11	LOUISIANA TECH	W 24-14	P (-10)	U (49½)
10/17	at Boise St	L 7-27	W (+23)	U (51½)
10/25	NEVADA	W 38-31	W (+3½)	O (60)
11/1	at Utah St	L 14-30	L (-5)	U (49)
11/8	at New Mexico st	W 42-30	W (-3½)	O (53½)
11/22	IDAHO	W 49-17	W (-25)	O (57½)
11/29	WASHINGTON ST	W 24-10	L (-27½)	U (51½)
12/6	CINCINNATI	L 24-29	W (+9)	O (48½)
12/24	NOTRE DAME	L 21-49	L (+2½)	O (48½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	C ARKANSAS	W 25-20		
9/12	vs Washington St	W 38-20	W (-2½)	O (55)
9/19	at UNLV	L 33-34	W (+7)	O (56)
9/30	at Louisiana Tech	L 6-27	L (+4½)	U (55½)
10/10	FRESNO ST	L 17-42	L (+11)	U (59½)
10/17	at Idaho	L 23-35	L (+7½)	U (62½)
10/24	BOISE ST	L 9-54	L (+25)	O (59)
10/31	at Nevada	L 21-31	W (+29)	U (69)
11/7	UTAH ST	W 49-36	W (+2)	O (61)
11/14	NEW MEXICO ST	W 24-6	L (-19½)	U (53½)
11/21	at San Jose st	W 17-10	W (-2)	U (54)
11/28	NAVY	W 24-17	W (+9)	U (56)
12/5	WISCONSIN	L 10-51	L (+12)	O (59)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/2	USC	L 36-49	W (+21)	O (53)
9/11	at Army	W 31-28	W (+3)	O (52½)
9/18	at Colorado	L 13-31	L (+13½)	U (56)
9/25	CHARLESTON SOUT	W 66-7	W (-32)	
10/2	LOUISIANA TECH	W 41-21	W (-8½)	U (63)
10/9	at Fresno St	W 49-27	W (+11)	O (65½)
10/16	NEVADA	W 27-21	W (+6½)	U (75)
10/23	at Utah St	W 45-7	W (-3)	U (58½)
10/30	IDAHO	W 45-10	W (-15)	U (66½)
11/6	at Boise St	L 7-42	L (+22)	U (65)
11/20	SAN JOSE ST	W 41-7	W (-30)	U (59)
11/27	at New Mexico st	W 59-24	W (-27½)	O (57½)
12/4	UNLV	W 59-21	W (-32½)	O (65½)
12/24	TULSA	L 35-62	L (-10)	O (75½)

> WAC



IDAHO

StatFox.com Power Rating: 26 (#93 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -5.8 (#88 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 28.77 (96th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 28.67 (97th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -1.7 (#78 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 6-7
2010 ATS Record: 6-7
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 4-8

2011 SCHEDULE

9/1	BOWLING GREEN
9/10	N DAKOTA
9/17	at Texas A&M
9/24	FRESNO ST
10/1	at Virginia
10/8	LOUISIANA TECH
10/15	at New Mexico st
10/29	HAWAII
11/5	at San Jose st
11/12	at BYU
11/19	UTAH ST
12/3	at Nevada

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	1–11	2–10	8–5	6–7	17–33	34.0%
OVERALL ATS	3–8	3–7	8–5	6–7	20–27	42.6%
HOME ATS	0–5	2–2	3–3	2–4	7–14	33.3%
ROAD ATS	3–3	1–5	5–2	4–3	13–13	50.0%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	1–7	3–4	3–5	2–6	9–22	29.0%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	2–1	0–3	5–0	4–1	11–5	68.8%
AS FAVORITE ATS	0–2	0–0	1–2	3–4	4–8	33.3%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	3–6	3–7	7–3	3–3	16–19	45.7%
OVER-UNDER	5–6	8–3	9–4	4–8	26–21	55.3%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	26.6	60
First Downs	20.0	56
Yards/Game	386.8	55
RushYds/Gm	88.2	118
Pass Yds/Gm	298.62	10
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	28.3	71
Yards/Game	420.3	95
RushYds/Gm	188.9	95
Pass Yds/Gm	231.4	87
Takeaways	30	12

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	at Arizona	L 0-70	L (+26½)	O (60)
9/6	IDAHO ST	W 42-27		
9/13	W MICHIGAN	L 28-51	L (+9½)	O (57)
9/20	at Utah St	L 17-42	L (+4)	O (57½)
9/27	at San Diego st	L 17-45	L (+12)	O (54½)
10/4	NEVADA	L 14-49	L (+23)	U (68)
10/11	at Fresno St	L 32-45	W (+34)	O (65)
10/18	at Louisiana Tech	L 14-46	L (+20½)	O (58½)
10/25	NEW MEXICO ST	W 20-14	W (+11½)	U (64)
11/1	SAN JOSE ST	L 24-30	W (+14½)	O (47½)
11/15	BOISE ST	L 10-45	P (+35)	U (60)
11/22	at Hawaii	L 17-49	L (+25)	O (57½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	at New Mexico st	W 21-6	W (+2½)	U (52½)
9/12	at Washington	L 23-42	W (+20½)	O (55)
9/19	SAN DIEGO ST	W 34-20	W (+3½)	U (58)
9/26	at N Illinois	W 34-31	W (+14)	O (54½)
10/3	COLORADO ST	W 31-29	W (+4½)	O (57)
10/10	at San Jose st	W 29-25	W (+6½)	O (53½)
10/17	HAWAII	W 35-23	W (-7½)	U (62½)
10/24	at Nevada	L 45-70	L (+16½)	O (67½)
10/31	LOUISIANA TECH	W 35-34	L (-2½)	O (56)
11/7	FRESNO ST	L 21-31	L (+9½)	U (67)
11/14	at Boise St	L 25-63	L (+32)	O (63½)
11/28	UTAH ST	L 49-52	L (-3)	O (70)
12/30	vs Bowling Green	W 43-42	W (pk)	O (69)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/2	N DAKOTA	W 45-0	W (-20)	
9/11	at Nebraska	L 17-38	W (+27½)	O (53½)
9/18	UNLV	W 30-7	W (-7)	U (59)
9/25	at Colorado St	L 34-36	L (-8)	O (50½)
10/2	at W Michigan	W 33-13	W (-4)	U (61)
10/16	at Louisiana Tech	L 35-48	L (-1)	O (53½)
10/23	NEW MEXICO ST	W 37-14	L (-25½)	U (54)
10/30	at Hawaii	L 10-45	L (+15)	U (66½)
11/6	NEVADA	L 17-63	L (+12)	O (68½)
11/12	BOISE ST	L 14-52	L (+34½)	U (67)
11/20	at Utah St	W 28-6	W (+2½)	U (57)
11/27	at Fresno St	L 20-23	W (+10½)	U (59)
12/4	SAN JOSE ST	W 26-23	L (-14)	U (56½)

> WAC



LOUISIANA TECH

StatFox.com Power Rating: 29 (#83 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -0.6 (#72 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 31.25 (84th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 30.00 (88th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -3.9 (#83 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 5-7
2010 ATS Record: 5-7
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 7-4

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	at Southern Miss
9/10	C ARKANSAS
9/17	HOUSTON
9/24	at Mississippi St
10/1	HAWAII
10/8	at Idaho
10/22	at Utah St
10/29	SAN JOSE ST
11/5	at Fresno St
11/12	at Ole Miss
11/19	at Nevada
11/26	NEW MEXICO ST

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	5–7	8–5	4–8	5–7	22–27	44.9%
OVERALL ATS	6–5	6–5	8–4	5–7	25–21	54.3%
HOME ATS	2–2	4–1	5–0	3–3	14–6	70.0%
ROAD ATS	4–3	2–4	3–4	2–4	11–15	42.3%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	5–3	4–3	6–2	4–4	19–12	61.3%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	1–2	2–2	2–2	1–3	6–9	40.0%
AS FAVORITE ATS	2–2	2–2	3–0	2–2	9–6	60.0%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	4–3	4–3	4–4	3–4	15–14	51.7%
OVER-UNDER	4–7	5–7	6–5	7–4	22–23	48.9%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	26.8	59
First Downs	20.9	41
Yards/Game	389.8	49
RushYds/Gm	170.9	40
Pass Yds/Gm	218.92	62
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	30.7	90
Yards/Game	462.1	116
RushYds/Gm	171.9	81
Pass Yds/Gm	290.2	117
Takeaways	23	49

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	MISSISSIPPI ST	W 22-14	W (+7)	U (44)
9/6	at Kansas	L 0-29	L (+22)	U (52½)
9/20	SE LOUISIANA	W 41-26		
10/1	at Boise St	L 3-38	L (+24)	U (57)
10/11	at Hawaii	L 14-24	P (+10)	U (49½)
10/18	IDAHO	W 46-14	W (-20½)	O (58½)
10/25	at Army	L 7-14	L (pk)	U (41½)
11/1	FRESNO ST	W 38-35	W (+4)	O (57)
11/8	at San Jose st	W 21-0	W (+7)	U (40½)
11/15	UTAH ST	W 45-38	L (-15)	O (48)
11/22	at New Mexico st	W 35-31	L (-6½)	O (54)
11/29	NEVADA	L 31-35	W (+4½)	O (62½)
12/28	vs N Illinois	W 17-10	W (-2½)	U (46)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	at Auburn	L 13-37	L (+13½)	O (46)
9/12	at Navy	L 14-32	L (+7)	U (50½)
9/19	NICHOLLS ST	W 48-13	W (-27½)	
9/30	HAWAII	W 27-6	W (-4½)	U (55½)
10/9	at Nevada	L 14-37	L (+10½)	U (58)
10/17	NEW MEXICO ST	W 45-7	W (-20½)	O (41½)
10/24	at Utah St	L 21-23	L (+1½)	U (52½)
10/31	at Idaho	L 34-35	W (+2½)	O (56)
11/6	BOISE ST	L 35-45	W (+20)	O (53)
11/14	at LSU	L 16-24	W (+22½)	U (47)
11/21	at Fresno St	L 28-30	W (+9½)	O (54½)
12/5	SAN JOSE ST	W 55-20	W (-24)	O (49)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	at Grambling	W 20-6	L (-24½)	
9/11	at Texas A&M	L 16-48	L (+17½)	O (59)
9/18	NAVY	L 23-37	L (+3)	O (51)
9/25	SOUTHERN MISS	L 12-13	W (+3½)	U (55½)
10/2	at Hawaii	L 21-41	L (+8½)	U (63)
10/9	UTAH ST	W 24-6	W (-2½)	U (56½)
10/16	IDAHO	W 48-35	W (+1)	O (53½)
10/26	at Boise St	L 20-49	W (+36)	O (65½)
11/6	FRESNO ST	L 34-40	L (-3)	O (59)
11/13	at New Mexico st	W 41-20	W (-16½)	O (55)
11/27	at San Jose st	W 45-38	L (-13½)	O (54)
12/4	NEVADA	L 17-35	L (+7½)	U (72)

> WAC



NEVADA

StatFox.com Power Rating: 49 (#19 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +14.9 (#17 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 27.86 (105th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 30.58 (85th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +19.6 (#8 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 13–1
2010 ATS Record: 7–7
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 5–8

2011 SCHEDULE

9/10	at Oregon
9/17	at San Jose st
9/24	at Texas Tech
10/1	at Boise St
10/8	UNLV
10/15	NEW MEXICO
10/22	FRESNO ST
10/29	at New Mexico st
11/12	HAWAII
11/19	LOUISIANA TECH
11/26	at Utah St
12/3	IDAHO

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	6–7	7–6	8–5	13–1	34–19	64.2%
OVERALL ATS	5–7	5–7	7–6	7–7	24–27	47.1%
HOME ATS	3–2	2–3	4–2	4–3	13–10	56.5%
ROAD ATS	2–5	3–4	3–4	3–4	11–17	39.3%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	3–5	4–4	6–2	4–4	17–15	53.1%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	2–2	1–3	1–4	3–3	7–12	36.8%
AS FAVORITE ATS	2–5	4–4	6–4	5–7	17–20	45.9%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	3–2	1–3	1–2	2–0	7–7	50.0%
OVER-UNDER	5–7	8–4	6–7	5–8	24–26	48.0%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	41.0	8
First Downs	26.4	3
Yards/Game	519.1	4
RushYds/Gm	292.2	3
Pass Yds/Gm	226.93	55
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	21.4	31
Yards/Game	363.6	54
RushYds/Gm	120.3	18
Pass Yds/Gm	243.3	98
Takeaways	24	45

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	GRAMBLING	W 49-13		
9/6	TEXAS TECH	L 19-35	L (+10½)	U (71)
9/13	at Missouri	L 17-69	L (+26)	O (69)
9/27	at UNLV	W 49-27	W (+3½)	O (57)
10/4	at Idaho	W 49-14	W (-23)	U (68)
10/11	NEW MEXICO ST	L 45-48	L (-19½)	O (65½)
10/18	UTAH ST	W 44-17	W (-23½)	U (62½)
10/25	at Hawaii	L 31-38	L (-3½)	O (60)
11/7	at Fresno St	W 41-28	W (-2)	U (71)
11/15	SAN JOSE ST	W 41-17	W (-16)	O (51)
11/22	BOISE ST	L 34-41	L (+6½)	O (62½)
11/29	at Louisiana Tech	W 35-31	L (-4½)	O (62½)
12/30	vs Maryland	L 35-42	L (-2)	O (61)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	at Notre Dame	L 0-35	L (+14½)	U (62½)
9/19	at Colorado St	L 20-35	L (-5)	U (57)
9/25	MISSOURI	L 21-31	L (+7)	U (61)
10/3	UNLV	W 63-28	W (-6½)	O (58½)
10/9	LOUISIANA TECH	W 37-14	W (-10½)	U (58)
10/17	at Utah St	W 35-32	L (-8)	O (65½)
10/24	IDAHO	W 70-45	W (-16½)	O (67½)
10/31	HAWAII	W 31-21	L (-29)	U (69)
11/8	at San Jose st	W 62-7	W (-14)	O (60)
11/14	FRESNO ST	W 52-14	W (-7½)	U (68½)
11/21	at New Mexico st	W 63-20	W (-31)	O (59½)
11/27	at Boise St	L 33-44	W (+12)	O (71)
12/24	vs SMU	L 10-45	L (-11)	U (69½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/2	E WASHINGTON	W 49-24	L (-28)	
9/11	COLORADO ST	W 51-6	W (-24½)	U (58)
9/17	CALIFORNIA	W 52-31	W (+2½)	O (66½)
9/25	at BYU	W 27-13	W (-4½)	U (63)
10/2	at UNLV	W 44-26	L (-20½)	O (61)
10/9	SAN JOSE ST	W 35-13	L (-37)	U (64)
10/16	at Hawaii	L 21-27	L (-6½)	U (75)
10/30	UTAH ST	W 56-42	L (-27½)	O (65)
11/6	at Idaho	W 63-17	W (-12)	O (68½)
11/13	at Fresno St	W 35-34	L (-8)	O (68½)
11/20	NEW MEXICO ST	W 52-6	W (-37½)	U (62)
11/26	BOISE ST	W 34-31	W (+14)	U (68)
12/4	at Louisiana Tech	W 35-17	W (-7½)	U (72)
1/9	vs Boston College	W 20-13	L (-7½)	U (54)

> WAC



NEW MEXICO STATE

StatFox.com Power Rating: 9 (#119 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -24.7 (#119 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 31.67 (80th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 31.08 (81st toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -23.8 (#117 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 2–10
2010 ATS Record: 4–8
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 5–7

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	OHIO U
9/10	at Minnesota
9/17	UTEP
9/24	at San Jose st
10/1	at New Mexico
10/15	IDAHO
10/22	at Hawaii
10/29	NEVADA
11/5	at Georgia
11/12	FRESNO ST
11/19	at BYU
11/26	at Louisiana Tech
12/3	UTAH ST

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	4–9	3–9	3–10	2–10	12–38	24.0%
OVERALL ATS	5–6	4–7	6–7	4–8	19–28	40.4%
HOME ATS	4–1	1–4	1–5	1–5	7–15	31.8%
ROAD ATS	1–5	3–3	5–2	3–3	12–13	48.0%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	4–4	3–5	4–4	4–4	15–17	46.9%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	1–2	1–2	2–3	0–4	4–11	26.7%
AS FAVORITE ATS	2–1	0–1	0–1	0–1	2–4	33.3%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	3–5	4–6	6–5	4–7	17–23	42.5%
OVER-UNDER	5–6	5–6	4–8	5–7	19–27	41.3%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	15.7	117
First Downs	17.0	100
Yards/Game	296.5	112
RushYds/Gm	129.1	89
Pass Yds/Gm	167.42	99
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	39.5	115
Yards/Game	453.3	112
RushYds/Gm	207.1	111
Pass Yds/Gm	246.3	102
Takeaways	12	119

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/13	at Nebraska	L 7-38	L (+26½)	U (66)
9/20	at UTEP	W 34-33	W (+7½)	O (62½)
9/27	NEW MEXICO	L 24-35	L (+3)	O (54)
10/4	ALCORN ST	W 45-10		
10/11	at Nevada	W 48-45	W (+19½)	O (65½)
10/18	SAN JOSE ST	L 14-31	L (+1)	U (52)
10/25	at Idaho	L 14-20	L (-11½)	U (64)
11/1	BOISE ST	L 0-49	L (+21)	U (56)
11/8	HAWAII	L 30-42	L (+3½)	O (53½)
11/15	at Fresno St	L 17-24	W (+17)	U (63½)
11/22	LOUISIANA TECH	L 31-35	W (+6½)	O (54)
11/29	at Utah St	L 2-47	L (+6)	U (57½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	IDAHO	L 6-21	L (-2½)	U (52½)
9/12	PRAIRIE VIEW	W 21-18	L (-17)	
9/19	UTEP	L 12-38	L (+14)	O (48)
9/26	at New Mexico	W 20-17	W (+11½)	U (44)
10/3	at San Diego st	L 17-34	W (+17½)	O (46½)
10/10	UTAH ST	W 20-17	W (+10½)	U (49½)
10/17	at Louisiana Tech	L 7-45	L (+20½)	O (41½)
10/24	FRESNO ST	L 3-34	L (+24)	U (51)
10/31	at Ohio St	L 0-45	L (+44)	U (48½)
11/14	at Hawaii	L 6-24	W (+19½)	U (53½)
11/21	NEVADA	L 20-63	L (+31)	O (59½)
11/28	at San Jose st	L 10-13	W (+11½)	U (42)
12/5	at Boise St	L 7-42	W (+46½)	U (58½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/11	SAN DIEGO ST	L 21-41	L (+14)	O (50½)
9/18	at UTEP	L 10-42	L (+15)	U (57)
9/25	at Kansas	L 16-42	L (+23½)	O (50½)
10/2	BOISE ST	L 0-59	L (+43½)	U (61)
10/9	NEW MEXICO	W 16-14	L (-2½)	U (49)
10/16	at Fresno St	L 10-33	W (+30)	U (56)
10/23	at Idaho	L 14-37	W (+25½)	U (54)
10/30	SAN JOSE ST	W 29-27	W (+3)	O (46½)
11/6	at Utah St	L 22-27	W (+18½)	U (54)
11/13	LOUISIANA TECH	L 20-41	L (+16½)	O (55)
11/20	at Nevada	L 6-52	L (+37½)	U (62)
11/27	HAWAII	L 24-59	L (+27½)	O (57½)

> WAC



SAN JOSE STATE

StatFox.com Power Rating: 17 (#109 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -10.6 (#103 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 32.69 (75th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 33.50 (70th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -18.6 (#114 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 1–12
2010 ATS Record: 5–8
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 5–6

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	at Stanford
9/10	at UCLA
9/17	NEVADA
9/24	NEW MEXICO ST
10/1	at Colorado St
10/8	at BYU
10/15	HAWAII
10/29	at Louisiana Tech
11/5	IDAHO
11/12	at Utah St
11/19	NAVY
11/26	at Fresno St

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	5–7	6–6	2–10	1–12	14–35	28.6%
OVERALL ATS	7–4	5–6	2–10	5–7	19–27	41.3%
HOME ATS	4–0	2–3	2–4	2–3	10–10	50.0%
ROAD ATS	3–4	3–3	0–6	3–4	9–17	34.6%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	6–2	3–5	0–8	4–4	13–19	40.6%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	1–2	2–1	2–2	1–3	6–8	42.9%
AS FAVORITE ATS	2–0	3–2	0–2	0–2	5–6	45.5%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	5–4	2–4	1–8	5–5	13–21	38.2%
OVER-UNDER	3–8	4–7	6–5	5–6	18–26	40.9%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	16.1	115
First Downs	15.6	114
Yards/Game	315.1	101
RushYds/Gm	78.5	119
Pass Yds/Gm	236.54	45
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	34.7	105
Yards/Game	463.7	117
RushYds/Gm	203.2	104
Pass Yds/Gm	260.5	111
Takeaways	18	86

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	CAL DAVIS	W 13-10		
9/6	at Nebraska	L 12-35	W (+26½)	U (55½)
9/13	SAN DIEGO ST	W 35-10	W (-7)	O (43½)
9/20	at Stanford	L 10-23	L (+6½)	U (44½)
9/27	at Hawaii	W 20-17	W (+3)	U (48)
10/11	UTAH ST	W 30-7	W (-11½)	U (46)
10/18	at New Mexico st	W 31-14	W (-1)	U (52)
10/24	BOISE ST	L 16-33	L (+7)	O (40½)
11/1	at Idaho	W 30-24	L (-14½)	O (47½)
11/8	LOUISIANA TECH	L 0-21	L (-7)	U (40½)
11/15	at Nevada	L 17-41	L (+16)	O (51)
11/21	FRESNO ST	L 10-24	L (+3)	U (47½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	at USC	L 3-56	L (+34)	O (47)
9/12	UTAH	L 14-24	W (+13½)	U (48)
9/19	at Stanford	L 17-42	L (+18)	O (47½)
9/26	CAL POLY SLO	W 19-9	W (-6½)	
10/10	IDAHO	L 25-29	L (-6½)	O (53½)
10/17	at Fresno St	L 21-41	L (+19½)	O (58)
10/31	at Boise St	L 7-45	L (+36)	U (54)
11/8	NEVADA	L 7-62	L (+14)	O (60)
11/14	at Utah St	L 9-24	L (+12½)	U (57)
11/21	HAWAII	L 10-17	L (+2)	U (54)
11/28	NEW MEXICO ST	W 13-10	L (-11½)	U (42)
12/5	at Louisiana Tech	L 20-55	L (+24)	O (49)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	at Alabama	L 3-48	L (+40)	U (52)
9/11	at Wisconsin	L 14-27	W (+39)	U (53½)
9/18	S UTAH	W 16-11	L (-10½)	
9/25	at Utah	L 3-56	L (+30)	O (52)
10/2	CAL DAVIS	L 13-14	L (-10½)	
10/9	at Nevada	L 13-35	W (+37)	U (64)
10/16	BOISE ST	L 0-48	L (+40)	U (58)
10/23	FRESNO ST	L 18-33	W (+17½)	O (49)
10/30	at New Mexico st	L 27-29	L (-3)	O (46½)
11/13	UTAH ST	L 34-38	L (+3½)	O (52½)
11/20	at Hawaii	L 7-41	L (+30)	U (59)
11/27	LOUISIANA TECH	L 38-45	W (+13½)	O (54)
12/4	at Idaho	L 23-26	W (+14)	U (56½)

> WAC



UTAH STATE

StatFox.com Power Rating: 20 (#103 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: -7.3 (#94 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 33.83 (70th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 29.42 (93rd toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: -11.8 (#103 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 4–8
2010 ATS Record: 5–7
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 3–7–1

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	at Auburn
9/10	WEBER ST
9/24	COLORADO ST
9/30	at BYU
10/8	WYOMING
10/15	at Fresno St
10/22	LOUISIANA TECH
11/5	at Hawaii
11/12	SAN JOSE ST
11/19	at Idaho
11/26	NEVADA
12/3	at New Mexico st

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	2–10	3–9	4–8	4–8	13–35	27.1%
OVERALL ATS	7–5	7–4	8–4	5–7	27–20	57.4%
HOME ATS	1–4	5–1	3–2	1–5	10–12	45.5%
ROAD ATS	6–1	2–3	5–2	4–2	17–8	68.0%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	5–3	5–2	5–3	3–5	18–13	58.1%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	2–2	2–2	3–1	2–2	9–7	56.3%
AS FAVORITE ATS	0–1	2–0	2–2	1–3	5–6	45.5%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	7–4	5–4	6–1	4–4	22–13	62.9%
OVER-UNDER	5–7	5–7	6–5	3–7	19–26	42.2%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	22.0	94
First Downs	19.2	68
Yards/Game	347.3	84
RushYds/Gm	168.7	41
Pass Yds/Gm	178.67	93
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	33.8	101
Yards/Game	428.8	100
RushYds/Gm	179.3	88
Pass Yds/Gm	249.6	106
Takeaways	16	102

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	at UNLV	L 17-27	W (+11)	U (46½)
9/6	at Oregon	L 24-66	L (+36)	O (51½)
9/13	UTAH	L 10-58	L (+24½)	O (50½)
9/20	IDAHO	W 42-17	W (-4)	O (57½)
10/3	BYU	L 14-34	W (+28½)	U (60)
10/11	at San Jose st	L 7-30	L (+11½)	U (46)
10/18	at Nevada	L 17-44	L (+23½)	U (62½)
10/25	FRESNO ST	L 28-30	W (+16)	U (58½)
11/1	HAWAII	W 30-14	W (+5)	U (49)
11/8	at Boise St	L 14-49	P (+35)	O (52)
11/15	at Louisiana Tech	L 38-45	W (+15)	O (48)
11/29	NEW MEXICO ST	W 47-2	W (-6)	U (57½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/3	at Utah	L 17-35	W (+20½)	O (50)
9/19	at Texas A&M	L 30-38	W (+21)	O (58½)
9/26	S UTAH	W 53-34	L (-19½)	
10/2	at BYU	L 17-35	W (+24)	U (62½)
10/10	at New Mexico st	L 17-20	L (-10½)	U (49½)
10/17	NEVADA	L 32-35	W (+8)	O (65½)
10/24	LOUISIANA TECH	W 23-21	W (-1½)	U (52½)
10/31	at Fresno St	L 27-31	W (+17)	U (62½)
11/7	at Hawaii	L 36-49	L (-2)	O (61)
11/14	SAN JOSE ST	W 24-9	W (-12½)	U (57)
11/20	BOISE ST	L 21-52	L (+22)	O (62½)
11/28	at Idaho	W 52-49	W (+3)	O (70)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	at Oklahoma	L 24-31	W (+35)	U (57)
9/11	IDAHO ST	W 38-17	L (-31)	
9/18	FRESNO ST	L 24-41	L (+3½)	O (58)
9/25	at San Diego st	L 7-41	L (+9½)	U (62)
10/1	BYU	W 31-16	W (+4½)	U (51)
10/9	at Louisiana Tech	L 6-24	L (+2½)	U (56½)
10/23	HAWAII	L 7-45	L (+3)	U (58½)
10/30	at Nevada	L 42-56	W (+27½)	O (65)
11/6	NEW MEXICO ST	W 27-22	L (-18½)	U (54)
11/13	at San Jose st	W 38-34	W (-3½)	O (52½)
11/20	IDAHO	L 6-28	L (-2½)	U (57)
12/4	at Boise St	L 14-50	W (+40½)	P (64)

1-877-2Bet-DSI www.BetDSI.eu

The More You Play, The More REWARDS You'll Earn.

Earn BetPoints each time you make a wager.

Every bet on sports, casino, or poker earns you valuable BetPoint credits that you can redeem for cash, gift cards, merchandise, and more.

CASH BACK, GIFT CARDS, MERCHANDISE.



$25 CASH BACK
4,000 BETPOINTS

Redeem your BetPoints for cash that you can withdraw immediately.



$100 GIFT CARD
15,000 BETPOINTS

Or redeem your BetPoints for a full range of gift certificates or gift cards including Amazon, StubHub, and hundreds more.



HOODIE
5,000 BETPOINTS

With BetPoints, you can also choose from any of the official Diamond gear offered in our online store.

AIR MILES

1000 AIR MILES
10,000 BETPOINTS

Use your BetPoints to take that vacation or business trip when you redeem them for airmiles at anyone of the airlines we offer.

BetPoints rewards members enjoy:

- Round - the - clock customer service
- Free - payouts
- Re - load bonuses on every deposit
- Free official sports schedules
- Free poker and casino tournament entries

BetDSI

It's Only A Game. Until You Bet It

INDEPENDENTS

ARMY

Offense: Spread Triple Option - Starters Returning: 6
Defense: Double-Eagle Flex - Starters Returning: 5
The Black Knights played in their first bowl since 1996 last year, winning 16-14 over SMU. They could enjoy more success in 2011 with junior QB Trent Steelman (7 pass TD, 3 INT; 721 rush yds, 3.7 YPC, 11 TD) and junior FB Jared Hassin (1,013 rush yds, 5.3 YPC, 9 TD) both returning to an offense ranking third in the nation in sacks allowed (8) and TO margin (+16). The defense lost arguably its five best players. The top returnees are DT Jarett Mackey (4 sacks), LB Steve Erzinger (76 tackles) and CB Richard King (4 INT).

BYU

Offense: Multiple - Starters Returning: 10
Defense: Base 34 - Starters Returning: 7
The Cougars left the Mountain West, but with 10 offensive starters to help win five tough road contests (Ole Miss, Texas, Oregon St., TCU, Hawaii). QB Jake Heaps (2,316 pass yds, 15, TD, 9 INT), RB JJ Di Luigi (1,397 total yds, 5.2 YPC, 9 TD) and WR Cody Hoffman (42 rec, 527 yds, 7 TD) comprise a great offensive trio. The defense is solid, but BYU still needs an adept pass rusher and three new starters in the secondary. LB Brandon Ogletree (76 tackles) leads a quality linebacker corps, and DB Travis Uale can hit (42 tackles).

NAVY

Offense: Triple Option - Starters Returning: 8
Defense: Base 34 - Starters Returning: 3
Ricky Dobbs used up his eligibility, leaving the starting QB job to Kriss Proctor (304 rush yds, 9.5 YPC, 9 career pass attempts). Navy's triple option led to 285 rush YPG (6th in nation), and could be better this year with a loaded backfield led by FB Alexander Teich (863 rush yds, 5.9 YPC, 7 total TD) and SB Gee Gee Greene (492 rush yds, 6.8 YPC). The defense lost nearly all of its starters, so the unit will have to build around monster DE Jabaree Tuani (72 tackles, 15.5 TFL, 5.5 sacks) and CB Kwesi Mitchell (48 tackles, 3 FF).

NOTRE DAME

Offense: Spread - Starters Returning: 8
Defense: Base 34 - Starters Returning: 8
A 7-2 finish to 2010 gives the Irish momentum for 2011. QB Dayne Crist (2,033 pass yds, 15 TD, 7 INT) should be fully healed from his ruptured patella tendon, and it appears suspended WR Michael Floyd (79 rec, 1,025 yds, 12 TD) will be re-instated in August. TB Cierre Wood (603 rush yds) should flourish behind a solid O-Line. The D-Line needs work, but the LBs are excellent, especially ILB Manti Te'o (133 tackles, 9.5 TFL) and OLB Darius Fleming (5.5 sacks). The secondary loses ball-hawking S Harrison Smith (7 INT).

StatFox.com

Providing Unprecedented Handicapping Content

StatFox.com is renowned for providing the most comprehensive game information on the Internet. Our popular FoxSheets are packed with proprietary handicapping tools that benefit everyone from the beginning bettor to the most advanced self-handicapper.

LOG ON TO STATFOX.COM AND START WINNING TODAY!

> INDEPENDENTS



ARMY

StatFox.com Power Rating: 30 (#79 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +2.8 (#59 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 26.85 (109th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 29.75 (90th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +2.3 (#58 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 7–6
2010 ATS Record: 6–7
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 8–4

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	at N Illinois
9/10	SAN DIEGO ST
9/17	NORTHWESTERN
9/24	at Ball St
10/1	TULANE
10/8	at Miami Ohio
10/22	at Vanderbilt
10/29	FORDHAM
11/5	at Air Force
11/12	RUTGERS
11/19	at Temple
12/10	NAVY

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	3–9	3–9	5–7	7–6	18–31	36.7%
OVERALL ATS	4–6	6–5	4–7	6–6	20–24	45.5%
HOME ATS	2–2	2–3	1–4	1–3	6–12	33.3%
ROAD ATS	2–4	4–2	3–3	5–3	14–12	53.8%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	0–1	0–1	1–0	0–2	1–4	20.0%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	4–5	6–4	3–7	6–4	19–20	48.7%
AS FAVORITE ATS	1–1	1–0	0–2	1–2	3–5	37.5%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	3–5	5–5	4–5	5–4	17–19	47.2%
OVER-UNDER	4–7	4–7	3–8	8–4	19–26	42.2%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	26.6	60
First Downs	18.4	82
Yards/Game	329.7	93
RushYds/Gm	251.6	8
Pass Yds/Gm	78.08	120
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	24.3	54
Yards/Game	338.2	29
RushYds/Gm	139.2	46
Pass Yds/Gm	199.1	31
Takeaways	30	12

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/29	TEMPLE	L 7-35	L (+7)	O (40)
9/6	NEW HAMPSHIRE	W 10-28		
9/20	AKRON	L 3-22	L (+10)	U (47)
9/27	at Texas A&M	L 17-21	W (+27)	U (44½)
10/4	at Tulane	W 44-13	W (+18½)	O (43)
10/11	E MICHIGAN	W 17-13	W (-2½)	U (45½)
10/18	at Buffalo	L 24-27	W (+11)	O (46)
10/25	LOUISIANA TECH	W 14-7	W (pk)	U (41½)
11/1	AIR FORCE	L 7-16	L (+7½)	U (41)
11/8	at Rice	L 31-38	W (+8½)	O (55½)
11/22	at Rutgers	L 3-30	L (+17½)	U (43½)
12/6	vs Navy	L 0-34	L (+10)	U (43)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	at E Michigan	W 27-14	W (+3½)	U (48)
9/12	DUKE	L 19-35	L (+2)	O (44)
9/19	BALL ST	W 24-17	L (-9)	U (42)
9/26	at Iowa St	L 10-31	L (+10½)	U (46½)
10/3	TULANE	L 16-17	L (-6)	U (45)
10/10	VANDERBILT	W 16-13	W (+10)	U (37½)
10/17	at Temple	L 13-27	L (+10½)	O (36½)
10/23	RUTGERS	L 10-27	L (+10½)	U (38½)
11/7	at Air Force	L 7-35	L (+17)	O (37½)
11/14	VMI	W 22-17	L (-25)	
11/21	at North Texas	W 17-13	W (+1)	U (53)
12/12	vs Navy	L 3-17	W (+14½)	U (41½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	at E Michigan	W 31-27	L (-9½)	O (42)
9/11	HAWAII	L 28-31	L (-3)	O (52½)
9/18	NORTH TEXAS	W 24-0	W (-4½)	U (51½)
9/25	at Duke	W 35-21	W (+6)	O (54½)
10/2	TEMPLE	L 35-42	L (+6)	O (40½)
10/9	at Tulane	W 41-23	W (+1)	O (43½)
10/16	vs Rutgers	L 20-23	W (+6)	O (42½)
10/30	VMI	W 29-7	L (-31½)	
11/6	AIR FORCE	L 22-42	L (+6)	O (48½)
11/13	at Kent St	W 45-28	W (+1½)	O (44)
11/20	vs Notre Dame	L 3-27	L (+8½)	U (49)
12/11	vs Navy	L 17-31	L (+7½)	U (53)
12/30	at SMU	W 16-14	W (+7)	U (50½)

> INDEPENDENTS



BRIGHAM YOUNG

StatFox.com Power Rating: 46 (#26 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +4.5 (#53 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 34.92 (61st toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 32.83 (73rd toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +4.5 (#48 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 7–6
2010 ATS Record: 8–5
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 4–9

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	at Ole Miss
9/10	at Texas
9/17	UTAH
9/23	UCF
9/30	UTAH ST
10/8	SAN JOSE ST
10/15	at Oregon St
10/22	IDAHO ST
10/28	at TCU
11/12	IDAHO
11/19	NEW MEXICO ST
12/3	at Hawaii

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	11–2	10–3	11–2	7–6	39–13	75.0%
OVERALL ATS	6–6	3–9	6–7	8–5	23–27	46.0%
HOME ATS	3–2	2–3	1–5	4–2	10–12	45.5%
ROAD ATS	3–4	1–6	5–2	4–3	13–15	46.4%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	5–3	2–6	3–5	6–2	16–16	50.0%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	1–3	1–3	3–2	2–3	7–11	38.9%
AS FAVORITE ATS	6–5	3–6	4–6	4–2	17–19	47.2%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	0–1	0–3	2–1	4–3	6–8	42.9%
OVER-UNDER	4–8	6–6	8–5	4–9	22–28	44.0%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	26.2	70
First Downs	21.8	34
Yards/Game	366.5	73
RushYds/Gm	168.1	42
Pass Yds/Gm	198.38	76
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	21.6	32
Yards/Game	330.9	24
RushYds/Gm	138.6	45
Pass Yds/Gm	192.2	23
Takeaways	23	49

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	N IOWA	W 41-17		
9/6	at Washington	W 28-27	L (-7½)	O (54½)
9/13	UCLA	W 59-0	W (-8½)	O (51)
9/20	WYOMING	W 44-0	W (-28)	U (52)
10/3	at Utah St	W 34-14	L (-28½)	U (60)
10/11	NEW MEXICO	W 21-3	L (-22)	U (48)
10/16	at TCU	L 7-32	L (+1½)	U (44)
10/25	UNLV	W 42-35	L (-23)	O (62½)
11/1	at Colorado St	W 45-42	L (-16)	O (59½)
11/8	SAN DIEGO ST	W 41-12	L (-36)	U (65½)
11/15	at Air Force	W 38-24	W (-3½)	O (52)
11/22	at Utah	L 24-48	L (+6½)	O (56)
12/20	vs Arizona	L 21-31	L (+3)	U (62)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	vs Oklahoma	W 14-13	W (+22)	U (65)
9/12	at Tulane	W 54-3	W (-18½)	O (52½)
9/19	FLORIDA ST	L 28-54	L (-8½)	O (54)
9/26	COLORADO ST	W 42-23	L (-19½)	O (56)
10/2	UTAH ST	W 35-17	L (-24)	U (62½)
10/10	at UNLV	W 59-21	W (-17)	O (64½)
10/17	at San Diego st	W 38-28	L (-17)	O (54)
10/24	TCU	L 7-38	L (+2½)	U (51)
11/7	at Wyoming	W 52-0	W (-13½)	O (49)
11/14	at New Mexico	W 24-19	L (-27)	U (57½)
11/21	AIR FORCE	W 38-21	W (-9)	O (47½)
11/28	UTAH	W 26-23	L (-8)	U (55½)
12/22	vs Oregon St	W 44-20	W (+2½)	O (58)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	WASHINGTON	W 23-17	W (+1½)	U (56½)
9/11	at Air Force	L 14-35	L (+2)	U (50)
9/18	at Florida St	L 10-34	L (+10½)	U (59½)
9/25	NEVADA	L 13-27	L (+4½)	U (63)
10/1	at Utah St	L 16-31	L (-4½)	U (51)
10/9	SAN DIEGO ST	W 24-21	W (+4½)	U (53½)
10/16	at TCU	L 3-31	W (+30)	U (51)
10/23	WYOMING	W 25-20	L (-11)	O (44½)
11/6	UNLV	W 55-7	W (-19)	O (49½)
11/13	at Colorado St	W 49-10	W (-6½)	O (50)
11/20	NEW MEXICO	W 40-7	W (-30)	U (52)
11/27	at Utah	L 16-17	W (+7½)	U (50½)
12/18	vs UTEP	W 52-24	W (-10½)	O (51)

> INDEPENDENTS



NAVY

StatFox.com Power Rating: 37 (#60 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +6.6 (#44 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 31.00 (86th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 30.67 (82nd toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +6.4 (#41 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 9–4
2010 ATS Record: 7–6
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 6–6

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	DELAWARE
9/10	at W Kentucky
9/17	at S Carolina
10/1	AIR FORCE
10/8	SOUTHERN MISS
10/15	at Rutgers
10/22	E CAROLINA
10/29	at Notre Dame
11/5	TROY
11/12	at SMU
11/19	at San Jose st
12/10	at Army

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	8–5	8–5	10–4	9–4	35–18	66.0%
OVERALL ATS	5–7	6–6	6–6	7–6	24–25	49.0%
HOME ATS	1–4	2–2	2–2	2–3	7–11	38.9%
ROAD ATS	4–3	4–4	4–4	5–3	17–14	54.8%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	2–0	1–1	1–1	2–0	6–2	75.0%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	3–7	5–5	5–5	5–6	18–23	43.9%
AS FAVORITE ATS	2–5	2–1	2–5	4–5	10–16	38.5%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	3–2	4–5	4–1	3–1	14–9	60.9%
OVER-UNDER	8–4	5–7	5–8	6–6	24–25	49.0%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	29.7	45
First Downs	20.5	49
Yards/Game	404.8	38
RushYds/Gm	284.8	6
Pass Yds/Gm	120	117
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	23.3	46
Yards/Game	390.5	75
RushYds/Gm	165.9	75
Pass Yds/Gm	224.7	70
Takeaways	23	49

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
8/30	TOWSON	W 41-13		
9/5	at Ball St	L 23-35	L (+6½)	U (64)
9/13	at Duke	L 31-41	L (+2½)	O (57½)
9/20	RUTGERS	W 23-21	W (+5½)	U (59)
9/27	at Wake Forest	W 24-17	W (+17)	U (53)
10/4	at Air Force	W 33-27	W (+4½)	O (51½)
10/18	PITTSBURGH	L 21-42	L (+3)	O (51)
10/25	SMU	W 34-7	W (-14)	U (61½)
11/1	TEMPLE	W 33-27	L (-8)	O (44½)
11/15	vs Notre Dame	L 21-27	L (+4½)	U (51½)
11/25	at N Illinois	W 16-0	W (+2½)	U (51½)
12/6	vs Army	W 34-0	W (-10)	U (43)
12/20	vs Wake Forest	L 19-29	L (+3)	O (44½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	at Ohio St	L 27-31	W (+22)	O (46½)
9/12	LOUISIANA TECH	W 32-14	W (-7)	U (50½)
9/19	at Pittsburgh	L 14-27	L (+8)	U (49½)
9/26	W KENTUCKY	W 38-22	L (-31)	O (51)
10/3	AIR FORCE	W 16-13	P (-3)	U (48½)
10/10	at Rice	W 63-14	W (-10)	O (56)
10/17	at SMU	W 38-35	L (-7)	O (53½)
10/24	WAKE FOREST	W 13-10	W (+2½)	U (47½)
10/31	TEMPLE	L 24-27	L (-6½)	O (41)
11/7	at Notre Dame	W 23-21	W (+13)	U (56)
11/14	DELAWARE	W 35-18	L (-21)	
11/28	at Hawaii	L 17-24	L (-9)	U (56)
12/12	vs Army	W 17-3	L (-14½)	U (41½)
12/31	vs Missouri	W 35-13	W (+6½)	U (54½)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/6	vs Maryland	L 14-17	L (-6)	U (49)
9/11	GA SOUTHERN	W 13-7	L (-29)	
9/18	at Louisiana Tech	W 37-23	W (-3)	O (51)
10/2	at Air Force	L 6-14	W (+9½)	U (50)
10/9	at Wake Forest	W 28-27	L (-3)	O (47½)
10/16	SMU	W 28-21	W (-1)	U (52)
10/23	vs Notre Dame	W 35-17	W (+6½)	O (49)
10/30	DUKE	L 31-34	L (-12½)	O (56½)
11/6	at E Carolina	W 76-35	W (+2½)	O (64)
11/13	C MICHIGAN	W 38-37	L (-14)	O (55)
11/20	ARKANSAS ST	W 35-19	W (-12½)	U (64½)
12/11	vs Army	W 31-17	W (-7½)	U (53)
12/23	at San Diego st	L 14-35	L (+3)	U (57½)

> INDEPENDENTS



NOTRE DAME

StatFox.com Power Rating: 46 (#26 of 120)
StatFox.com Outplay Factor: +11.2 (#28 of 120)
2010 Schedule Strength: 40.69 (15th toughest)
2011 Schedule Strength: 40.83 (15th toughest)
2010 Scoring Differential: +6.1 (#42 of 120)
2010 Straight-Up Record: 8–5
2010 ATS Record: 6–4–3
2010 Totals (Over-Under): 4–9

2011 SCHEDULE

9/3	S FLORIDA
9/10	at Michigan
9/17	MICHIGAN ST
9/24	at Pittsburgh
10/1	at Purdue
10/8	AIR FORCE
10/22	USC
10/29	NAVY
11/5	at Wake Forest
11/12	MARYLAND
11/19	BOSTON COLLEGE
11/26	at Stanford

SITUATIONAL RECORDS

SCENARIO	2007	2008	2009	2010	4-YR TOT	4-YEAR %
STRAIGHT UP	3–9	7–6	6–6	8–5	24–26	48.0%
OVERALL ATS	5–7	7–6	3–8	6–4	21–25	45.7%
HOME ATS	2–5	3–3	2–5	1–3	8–16	33.3%
ROAD ATS	3–2	4–3	1–3	5–1	13–9	59.1%
VS CONFERENCE ATS	0–1	1–0	0–1	1–1	2–3	40.0%
NON-CONFERENCE ATS	5–6	6–6	3–7	5–3	19–22	46.3%
AS FAVORITE ATS	1–1	5–3	1–8	2–3	9–15	37.5%
AS UNDERDOG ATS	4–6	2–3	2–0	4–1	12–10	54.5%
OVER-UNDER	3–9	6–7	6–6	4–9	19–31	38.0%

2010 STATS/RANK

OFFENSE	NO.	RANK
Points Scored	26.3	67
First Downs	20.1	54
Yards/Game	379.7	61
RushYds/Gm	126.6	92
Pass Yds/Gm	253.08	34
DEFENSE	**NO.**	**RANK**
Points Allowed	20.2	23
Yards/Game	357.2	50
RushYds/Gm	142.2	50
Pass Yds/Gm	215.1	54
Takeaways	25	36

2008-2010 GAME LOGS

2008	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/6	SAN DIEGO ST	W 21-13	L (-21½)	U (46)
9/13	MICHIGAN	W 35-17	W (+1½)	O (37)
9/20	at Michigan St	L 7-23	L (+9)	U (48)
9/27	PURDUE	W 38-21	W (-2)	O (48)
10/4	STANFORD	W 28-21	W (-6)	O (48)
10/11	at N Carolina	L 24-29	W (+7½)	O (47)
10/25	at Washington	W 33-7	W (-9)	U (55)
11/1	PITTSBURGH	L 33-36	L (-4)	O (48)
11/8	at Boston College	L 0-17	L (+3)	U (46)
11/15	vs Navy	W 27-21	W (-4½)	U (51½)
11/22	SYRACUSE	L 23-24	L (-20)	U (47½)
11/29	at USC	L 3-38	L (+33½)	U (51)
12/24	at Hawaii	W 49-21	W (-2½)	O (48½)

2009	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/5	NEVADA	W 35-0	W (-14½)	U (62½)
9/12	at Michigan	L 34-38	L (-3)	O (46½)
9/19	MICHIGAN ST	W 33-30	L (-10½)	O (54)
9/26	at Purdue	W 24-21	L (-6)	U (59)
10/3	WASHINGTON	W 37-30	L (-12)	O (55)
10/17	USC	L 27-34	W (+9½)	O (51)
10/24	BOSTON COLLEGE	W 20-16	L (-8)	U (53½)
10/31	vs Washington St	W 40-14	L (-28)	U (62)
11/7	NAVY	L 21-23	L (-13)	U (56)
11/14	at Pittsburgh	L 22-27	P (+5)	U (59)
11/21	CONNECTICUT	L 30-33	L (-6)	O (58)
11/28	at Stanford	L 38-45	W (+10)	O (64)

2010	OPPONENT	SCORE	ATS	TOTAL
9/4	PURDUE	W 23-12	P (-11)	U (54)
9/11	MICHIGAN	L 24-28	L (-3½)	U (53½)
9/18	at Michigan St	L 31-34	W (+3½)	O (53½)
9/25	STANFORD	L 14-37	L (+4½)	U (59½)
10/2	at Boston College	W 31-13	W (-3)	U (46½)
10/9	PITTSBURGH	W 23-17	P (-6)	U (50)
10/16	W MICHIGAN	W 44-20	P (-24)	O (53)
10/23	vs Navy	L 17-35	L (-6½)	O (49)
10/30	TULSA	L 27-28	L (-9½)	U (62½)
11/13	UTAH	W 28-3	W (+5½)	U (53)
11/20	vs Army	W 27-3	W (-8½)	U (49)
11/27	at USC	W 20-16	W (+5)	U (53)
12/31	vs Miami	W 33-17	W (+3)	O (48)

> TOP WEEKLY HEAD-TO-HEAD NFL TRENDS

We scoured the StatFox.com database that goes back nearly 20 years. The fruit of our labor is the following week-by-week breakdown of potent head-to-head patterns that have developed between opponents.

WEEK 1

OAKLAND is 5-0 ATS at DENVER since '06
Last five games are 5-0 OVER the total in HOU-IND series
NY GIANTS are 8-2 ATS and 9-1 SU vs. WASHINGTON since '06
PHILADELPHIA is 7-1 ATS vs. ST. LOUIS since '92
Last eight games are 7-1 UNDER the total in ATL-CHI series since '92

WEEK 2

NY GIANTS are 5-0 ATS and 4-1 SU vs. ST. LOUIS since '01
JACKSONVILLE is 5-0 ATS and 4-1 SU vs. NY JETS since '01
PHILADELPHIA is 7-1 ATS and SU vs. ATLANTA since '01
UNDERDOG is 5-0 ATS in HOU-MIA series since '02
FAVORITE is 5-0 ATS and 6-0 SU in CHI-NO series since '01

WEEK 3

NEW ENGLAND is 6-0 ATS and 7-0 SU at BUFFALO since '04
Last six games are 6-0 UNDER the total in DET-MIN series since '08
UNDERDOG is 10-2 ATS and 9-3 SU in NYG-PHI series since '06
FAVORITE is 6-1 ATS and 7-0 SU at TAMPA BAY in ATL-TB series since '04
GREEN BAY is 14-6 ATS and 15-5 SU at CHICAGO since '92

WEEK 4

BUFFALO is 7-1 ATS and 8-0 SU vs. CINCINNATI since '92
Last 11 games are 10-1 UNDER the total in MIA-SD series since '92
PHILADELPHIA is 5-0 ATS and SU vs. SAN FRANCISCO since '04
BALTIMORE is 6-1 ATS and SU vs. NY JETS since '92
FAVORITE is 7-1 ATS and SU at CLEVELAND in CLE-TEN series since '92

WEEK 5

SAN DIEGO is 8-2 ATS and SU vs. DENVER since '06
ROAD TEAM is 6-1 ATS and 5-2 SU in ATL-GB series since '01
ROAD TEAM is 16-3 ATS in CAR-NO series since '01
INDIANAPOLIS is 8-2 ATS and 9-1 SU vs. KANSAS CITY since '92
Last 13 games at PITTSBURGH are 10-3 OVER the total in PIT-TEN series

WEEK 6

ROAD TEAM is 4-0 ATS and 3-1 SU in BAL-HOU series since '01
CHICAGO is 8-2 ATS and 9-1 SU at home vs. MINNESOTA since '01
CLEVELAND is 7-1 ATS and 6-2 SU vs. OAKLAND since '92
HOME TEAM is 15-6 ATS in JAC-PIT series since '95
UNDERDOG is 16-7 ATS and 13-11 SU in NO-TB series since '92

WEEK 7

MIAMI is 5-0 ATS and 4-1 SU vs. DENVER since '01
Last five games at TENNESSEE are 5-0 OVER the total in HOU-TEN series
KANSAS CITY is 8-2 ATS and 7-3 SU at OAKLAND since '01
UNDERDOG is 9-0 ATS in CAR-WAS series since '95
UNDERDOG is 14-5 ATS and 10-9 SU at MINNESOTA in GB-MIN series

WEEK 8

TENNESSEE is 4-1 ATS at home vs. INDIANAPOLIS since '06
Last six games are 5-1 UNDER the total in CAR-MIN series since '01
NEW ENGLAND is 4-1 ATS and SU at PITTSBURGH since '01
BUFFALO is 5-0 ATS and SU vs. WASHINGTON since '92
Last 13 games at ST. LOUIS are 10-3 OVER the total in NO-STL series

WEEK 9

TAMPA BAY is 6-1 ATS and 5-2 SU at NEW ORLEANS since '04
Last seven games at ARIZONA are 6-1 UNDER the total in ARI-STL series
UNDERDOG is 11-3 ATS and 9-5 SU in BUF-NYJ series since '04
Last seven games in PITTSBURGH are 5-1-1 OVER the total in BAL-PIT series
FAVORITE is 6-1 ATS and SU in CIN-TEN series since '01

WEEK 10

NEW ENGLAND is 8-2 ATS and SU at NY JETS since '01
Last six games are 5-1 OVER the total in IND-JAC series since '08
NY GIANTS are 5-0 ATS vs. SAN FRANCISCO since '01
Last 10 games at KANSAS CITY are 8-2 OVER the total in DEN-KC series
PITTSBURGH is 15-5 ATS and 16-4 SU at CINCINNATI since '92

WEEK 11

PHILADELPHIA is 4-0 ATS and SU at NY GIANTS since '08
SEATTLE is 7-1 ATS and SU vs. ST. LOUIS since '07
BUFFALO is 10-4 ATS vs. MIAMI since '04
CINCINNATI is 10-4 ATS vs. BALTIMORE since '04
UNDERDOG is 10-4 ATS in DAL-WAS series since '04

WEEK 12

UNDERDOG is 7-1 ATS in CIN-CLE series since '07
UNDERDOG is 6-1 ATS when BUFFALO plays at NY JETS since '04
Last four games are 4-0 OVER the total in KC-PIT series since '01
HOME TEAM is 6-2 ATS and 7-1 SU in HOU-JAC series since '07
HOME TEAM is 25-13 ATS and 30-10 SU in DET-GB series since '92

WEEK 13

Last seven games are 6-1 OVER the total in CAR-TB series since '04
UNDERDOG is 5-1 ATS in IND-NE series since '06
HOME TEAM is 6-2 ATS and 7-1 SU in the ARI-DAL series since '01
Last six games in MIAMI are 5-1 UNDER the total in MIA-OAK series
ROAD TEAM is 6-2 ATS and 7-1 SU in PHI-SEA series since '92

WEEK 14

SAN FRANCISCO is 6-0 ATS in games at ARIZONA since '04
SEATTLE is 4-0 ATS and SU at home vs. ST. LOUIS since '07
FAVORITE is 8-3 ATS and 10-1 SU in BAL-IND series since '92
NY GIANTS are 9-4 ATS vs. DALLAS since '04
Last 16 games are 13-3 UNDER the total in ATL-CAR series at CAROLINA

WEEK 15

Last nine games at INDIANAPOLIS are 8-1 UNDER the total in IND-TEN series
BUFFALO is 5-1 ATS and SU at home vs. MIAMI since '04
Last seven games are 6-1 OVER the total in CHI-SEA series since '01
Last 10 games at NY GIANTS are 8-2 UNDER the total in NYG-WAS series
DENVER is 6-2 ATS and SU vs. NEW ENGLAND since '01

WEEK 16

HOME TEAM is 4-0 ATS and SU in SF-SEA series since '09
ROAD TEAM is 12-2 ATS and 10-4 SU in KC-OAK series since '04
Last nine games are 7-2 UNDER the total in CHI-GB series since '07
UNDERDOG is 6-1 ATS and 5-2 SU at TENNESSEE in JAC-TEN series
Last nine games at CAROLINA are 7-2 UNDER the total in CAR-TB series

WEEK 17

CAROLINA is 9-0 ATS on the road vs. NEW ORLEANS since '01
Last seven games at ARIZONA are 7-0 OVER the total in ARI-SEA series
Last 10 games at NY GIANTS are 8-2 OVER the total in DAL-NYG series
ROAD TEAM is 7-1 ATS and 6-2 SU in PHI-WAS series since '07
NY JETS are 6-1 ATS and 5-2 SU at MIAMI since '04

1-877-2Bet-DSI

www.BetDSI.eu



TURN LOSERS INTO WINNERS !?

TEASERS

Turn a loser into a winner when you place an NFL teaser bet at BetDSI.eu

Select from 2 to 7 teams and adjust the point spread in your favor by 6, 7, 8, or 9 points on the side or total!

Or try our special 3-team NFL teaser where you can adjust the point spread by 10, 11, 12, or 13 points!

FOOTBALL TEASERS	6pts	6½pts	7pts	7½pts	8pts	8½pts	9pts
2 Teams NFL	-110	-120	-130	-160	-180	-190	-200
3 Teams pays	+180	+160	+150	+105	-105	-115	-120
4 Teams pays	+300	+250	+200	+140	+120	+110	+100
5 Teams pays	+450	+400	+350	+235	+200	+180	+130
6 Teams pays	+600	+550	+500	+325	+280	+230	+180
7 Teams	+800	+700	+600	+445	+335	+280	+230

SPECIAL FOOTBALL TEASERS	10	10½	11	11½	12	12½	13
3 Team	-120	-140	-160	-180	-195	-200	-225

EXCLUSIVE "LAY 6" PLEASERS

If you're sure about picking a winner, go for a big payout with our NFL Pleasers

Select from 2 to 6 teams and adjust the point spread against yourself by 6 points and win up to 300 to1 !
Wager as little as $1.

PLEASERS	
NFL	6 pts
2 Teams pays	6 : 1
3 Teams pays	17 : 1
4 Teams pays	45 : 1
5 Teams pays	120 : 1
6 Teams pays	300 : 1

CALL US TOLL FREE FOR DETAILS. 1-877-223-8374

SIGN UP NOW!

BetDSI

It's Only A Game, Until You Bet It